Advances in managing pelvic floor disorders
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Abstract
The last 10-12 years have seen an avalanche of changes in both the management of incontinence and genital prolapse. So many new procedures continue to appear that often the clinician is confused as to which approach to adopt. Complications are now being reported, creating a need to reappraise the situation.

Introduction and context
Previously, anterior vaginal repair and colposuspension were the main available procedures to combat incontinence, however, the former was largely discredited for having a low 5-year dry rate [1] (although dry rate is difficult to define and may vary according to the perspective of the clinician or the patient). A number of needle suspension procedures (for example, Stamey or Raz) were popular for a while but also had poor dry rates. Suburethral autologous slings are not new; the use of the gracilis muscle was described in 1907. Since then, modifications have used other muscles such as pyramidalis, rectus fascia, and fascia lata. Traditionally, this was considered an operation for patients who remained incontinent despite previous bladder neck surgery. If the operation is performed as a primary procedure, a 90% success rate is quoted, but like colposuspension, the procedure carries significant complications, with a de novo detrusor instability rate of around 16% and a post-operative voiding disorder rate of 10%.

Currently, there is a wide range of approaches for restoring continence, ranging from injectables (bulking agents) to midurethral slings. These may be retropubic midurethral slings, for example, tension-free vaginal tape (TVT) or transobturator midurethral sling (TOT) procedures. The latter may be introduced by an outside-in or an inside-out technique, and there are numerous varieties of tape to apply, with differing pore size and tensile strength confounding the comparison of data between clinical series.

Pelvic organ prolapse (POP) has classically been treated with standard surgical approaches, using midline plication for anterior and posterior compartmental defects and either abdominal or laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy or sacrospinus fixation for apical prolapse. Magnetic resonance imaging [2,3] has contributed to the understanding of anatomical defects so that lateral defects along the line of the arcus tendinious can now be detected, altering the approach to repairs in some cases. Synthetic meshes and biological grafts have been introduced along with numerous materials and implementation procedures, but their use has not been backed up by robust randomised controlled trial (RCT) data. Research into the use of synthetic meshes often takes the form of small observational or retrospective studies or case series, often with a short follow-up and numerous confounding variables.

Long-term data are essential for any technique as initial promising results may be misleading. A classical example of this is NASHA-Dx (non-animal stabilised hyaluronic acid/dextranomer), or ZuidexTM, used to treat stress incontinence. The initial multicentre study [4] demonstrated a 77% response rate at 12 months. However, reported cases of pseudocysts have appeared [5] and stress urinary incontinence (SUI) may return when the
abscess is drained. A case of suburethral mass has been described [6]. Zuidex™ has now been withdrawn from the UK market.

Recent advances

Stress urinary incontinence

Cross-linked polydimethylsiloxane (Macroplastique®) has been available since 1991 to treat urinary incontinence and is the European bulking agent leader. The first North American single-blinded multicentre study of cross-linked polydimethylsiloxane has just been published [7] with an intention-to-treat analysis. A total of 247 women with intrinsic sphincter deficiency were randomly assigned to receive Macroplastique® or Contigen®, which served as a control. Repeat injection was allowed at 3-month follow-up. Effectiveness was determined at 12 months using assessment of leakage by Stamey grade, 1-hour pad test, and urinary incontinence quality-of-life (QoL) scale scores. Many of these women had been incontinent for a long time (average of 11.2 years), and 24% had undergone prior incontinence surgery. At 12 months, 61.5% of the Macroplastique® patients and 48% of the Contigen® controls had improved by 1 Stamey grade. The total dry rates were 36.9% for Macroplastique® patients and 24.8% for controls (P < 0.05). QoL score changes in the two groups were similar. A 2-year extension study is ongoing. This study, providing larger numbers, supports the favourable small-cohort 5-year follow-up data on Macroplastique® [8,9], which also demonstrated minimal complications.

Midurethral sling procedures are now commonplace, with 10- to 11-year follow-up data available for TVTs. Data from the Austrian Registry are now available for the TOT since 1991 to treat urinary incontinence and is the European bulking agent leader. The first North American single-blinded multicentre study of cross-linked polydimethylsiloxane has just been published [7] with an intention-to-treat analysis. A total of 247 women with intrinsic sphincter deficiency were randomly assigned to receive Macroplastique® or Contigen®, which served as a control. Repeat injection was allowed at 3-month follow-up. Effectiveness was determined at 12 months using assessment of leakage by Stamey grade, 1-hour pad test, and urinary incontinence quality-of-life (QoL) scale scores. Many of these women had been incontinent for a long time (average of 11.2 years), and 24% had undergone prior incontinence surgery. At 12 months, 61.5% of the Macroplastique® patients and 48% of the Contigen® controls had improved by 1 Stamey grade. The total dry rates were 36.9% for Macroplastique® patients and 24.8% for controls (P < 0.05). QoL score changes in the two groups were similar. A 2-year extension study is ongoing. This study, providing larger numbers, supports the favourable small-cohort 5-year follow-up data on Macroplastique® [8,9], which also demonstrated minimal complications.

Combining continence procedures and prolapse surgery

Several groups have tried to rationalise the long-standing questions of whether to perform prophylactic continence procedures during POP corrective surgery and whether to embark on POP surgery when inserting midurethral tapes. The Netherlands TVT database showed good 2-year success rates when TVT was performed with concomitant prolapse surgery [15]. Fifty-nine women undergoing TVT and POP surgery were compared with 687 women having TVT alone. The IIQ-7 (Incontinence Impact Questionnaire) and the UDI-6 (Urogenital Distress Inventory) were used to evaluate outcomes. In this study, all of the women required continence procedures but only a few had coexisting prolapse. It is reassuring to know that the tape was equally successful whether inserted alone or in conjunction with a prolapse repair. The more vexing clinical question is whether prophylactic tapes should be inserted in patients who are undergoing prolapse surgery but who do not currently leak, to prevent occult incontinence from being unmasked. In this situation, a number of women will receive unnecessary surgery and may develop complications associated with tape insertion. The prediction of those who will develop post-operative incontinence is also problematic.

One study followed 1,356 women for 18 months (that is, 6 months pre-operatively to 1 year post-operatively) and found that 34.4% had undergone concomitant POP surgery (usually anterior repair) at the time of the tape insertion. The women who had received prolapse repairs were significantly less likely to develop a new prolapse or undergo repeat surgery for prolapse or surgery for SUI than those who had received tape alone. The latter group, however, had less bladder neck outlet obstruction and developed less urethral diverticulae [16].

Clinical algorithms are needed to guide the clinician on this matter; there have been four International Consultations on Incontinence (ICIs) that have developed...
Pelvic organ prolapse

Surgery for POP is governed by a need to maintain pain-free function and a desire to prevent recurrence (risk quoted at 15-25%). Clinicians are divided on whether biografts are preferable to synthetic mesh, which can incur complications such as erosion and ridging, and whether additional support should be inserted only in re-do surgery or as first-line treatment.

A meta-analysis of 10 RCTs (n = 1,087 patients) using adjuvant materials versus standard surgery for anterior vaginal prolapse showed a lower objective recurrence rate at 1 year in those cases in which biological adjuvant material (odds ratio [OR] 0.56, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.34-0.92) and absorbable synthetic adjuvant material (OR 0.44, 95% CI 0.21-0.89) were used [20].

A recent prospective study randomly assigned 190 women with recurrent symptomatic stage 2 (or greater) cystocele to receive either Gynemesh® (Ethicon, Inc.), a knitted, monofilament, large-pore polypropylene, non-absorbable mesh, or Pelvicol® (CR Bard, Inc.), a porcine dermis acellular graft [21]. All patients received full objective assessment, including POP-Q (POP-quantification) staging, urodynamics, P-QoL (Prolapse Quality of Life Questionnaire) and short form of PISQ-12 (Pelvic Organ Prolapse – Urinary Incontinence Sexual Questionnaire). Ninety-six women, of whom 60 had previously undergone hysterectomy, were randomly assigned to Gynemesh® and 94, including 54 hysterectomised women, received Pelvicol®. All patients completed a 2-year follow-up. Anterior vaginal wall recurrence was observed in 28.1% of the Gynemesh® group and 43.6% of the Pelvicol® group. This did not reach statistical significance (P = 0.06). None of the women with recurrence was symptomatic enough to require re-operation. Mesh erosions were encountered in six of the Gynemesh® group (6.3%) and in none of the Pelvicol® group (P = 0.02). All erosions were obvious by 6 months after surgery. Patients in the Pelvicol® group exhibited improvement in all but one of the QoL domains as compared with improvement in four domains with Gynemesh®. Sexuality was unchanged on PISQ-12 scores in the Gynemesh® but showed a significant improvement in the Pelvicol® group (P = 0.03). The authors commented that meticulous attention to haemostasis and avoidance of extensive dissection were required and might be the reason for the lack of erosions in the Pelvicol® group compared with results from other papers [22,23]. The high prevalence of hysterectomised women in the Gynemesh® erosion group is concordant with other papers [24] that suggested that prior hysterectomy may be a factor contributing to this complication.

A prospective longitudinal study now offers 5-year follow-up data on anterior and posterior vaginal wall prolapse with Pelvicol® [25]. In all, 72 patients with 82 defects had objective cure rates of 81.6% for anterior defects and 86.4% for posterior defects. The failure rate was six times higher for concomitant anterior and posterior repairs. Complete replacement of the endopelvic fascia has a better outcome than using classical plication or plication augmentation repairs.

Operative complications included bladder injury (1.6%) and rectal injury (1.1%), and two women had serious vascular injuries. Post-operative complications included buttock pain (5.2%), vaginal erosion (10%), one bladder erosion, and two serious infections (0.7%), leading to necrotising fasciitis in one case [26]. Five percent of the women had persistent prolapse at 3 months. Concerns have been echoed in the MAUDE (Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience Database) of the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [27].
A larger retrospective study involving 684 women at seven French centres raised similar concerns [28]. Even with a short follow-up of 6 months, there was a 33.6% 'late complications' rate, including granulomas or prosthetic expositions (11.3%), relapse of prolapse (6.9%), and 5.4% de novo SUI. Early post-surgical complications included abscesses (0.29%), haematomas (1.9%), two vesico-vaginal fistulae, and one recto-vaginal fistula. Multivariate analysis confirmed that prior hysterectomy or placing an isolated anterior prosthesis were risk factors. The FDA has now issued a warning regarding complications associated with mesh, citing reports from nine different manufacturers, involving over 1,000 people with severe complications [29]. A carefully conducted case series demonstrated mesh complications in 1 in 20 women [30], with little evidence to support advantage.

Sexual dysfunction is an area now being considered in greater depth. Ridging and contraction of mesh prostheses remain potential causes of dyspareunia in younger patients. In a retrospective analysis, 87 women undergoing infracoccygeal sacroptexy for apical vaginal prolapse were followed up for a mean of 27 months [31]. Post-operative perineal pain occurred in 10%, dyschesia (difficulty or pain in defaecating) in 5%, and dyspareunia in 6% of cases. Contraction may be related to inadequate tissue in-growth into the mesh [32]. More recently, animal models have shown less contraction with atelcollagen-coated mesh [33].

Implications for clinical practice
The management of pelvic floor dysfunction is a rapidly changing field. The challenge is to provide minimal access techniques that reduce recurrence rates but without an increase in morbidity. Data that are more robust are required on the newer interventions with additional randomised trials, longer duration of follow-up, and clearer definitions of outcomes, using standardised assessment tools. Bowel, bladder, and sexual parameters need to be assessed as women are demanding quality of life following SUI and POP surgery and frequently remain sexually active for longer with modern hormonal interventions. Various risk factors that could lead to clinical algorithms to help the clinician to determine which procedure is right for the patient have now been identified. Surgical techniques need to be reviewed and taught by experienced practitioners aiming at adequate throughput. Personal practice should be audited. National and international databases are needed for pooled morbidity and outcome measures.
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