Tissue engineering in urethral reconstruction
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Abstract
Tissue engineering is an exciting and rapidly evolving technology. In this review, we discuss the recent progress made in the field of urethral reconstruction and consider the clinical implications and further advancement of these endeavours.

Introduction and context
The urethra serves as a conduit that allows urine to flow out of the bladder. In this review, we will discuss the development of tissue engineering to replace excised male anterior urethra. The anterior urethra represents the portion of the urethra extending from the external urethral meatus back to the distal end of the distal sphincter mechanism. The anterior urethra has a segmental blood supply with both a proximal and retrograde supply by way of the dorsal arteries, an important consideration when applying a living graft in need of sufficient vascular supply in order to survive. The anterior urethra is lined by a stratified columnar epithelium.

Tissue-engineered grafts have been used experimentally to treat disorders ranging from stricture disease to hypospadias deformity. The term ‘urethral stricture’ generally refers to anterior urethral disease or a scarring process involving the spongy erectile tissue of the corpus spongiosum. Urethral stricturing is a consequence of ischemic spongiosfibrosis occurring within the urethra. Occasionally, a specific cause may be identified, although the majority of strictures are idiopathic.

Anterior urethral strictures are managed according to their size and position, commencing with the less invasive urethral dilatation, urethral stenting, or urethrotomy and progressing to anastomotic or substitution urethroplasty (or both). Tissue-engineered grafts are required for substitution urethroplasty. The substitution graft of choice is a full-thickness buccal mucosal graft because it is easy to harvest, tough, resilient, easy to handle, and picks up a blood supply very effectively [1]. It has a privileged immunology and fibroblast behaviour unlike that of skin and has less associated fibrosis.

In patients in whom a greater amount of mucosa needs to be harvested, labial (lip) and lingual (tongue) mucosa may also be harvested. The initial results using these are seen to be transposable to those seen with buccal mucosa [2-5]. The use of oral grafts is associated with numerous potential complications. Intraoperative haemorrhage, postoperative infection, pain and swelling, damage to salivary ducts, initial limited oral opening, altered sensation or numbness, scarring, and even deformity have been reported. However, in a survey of 295 patients, Barbagli et al. [6] found that 98.4% would undergo the surgery again despite the risk of complications.

Recent advances
Given the success of oral grafts, tissue-engineered grafts of the urethra are required only in cases of extensive stricture disease or in recurrent cases in which a previous oral graft was used. Therefore, a lot of this work remains within the realms of preclinical research. Clinicians have used unseeded and seeded (with cells) matrices in patients to fashion a new urethra.

el-Kassaby et al. [7] used acellular bladder matrix in nine patients with a healthy wound bed, with good results...
seen in eight of these patients, whereas in the six patients deemed to have a poor wound bed, only two had a good result, suggesting the importance of a healthy wound bed for use of the acellular bladder matrix graft. Palminteri et al. [8] used porcine small intestinal submucosa (SIS) as an acellular urethroplasty matrix, with 94% success in the short term, and Fiala et al. [9] reported 80% success rates at medium-term follow-up, whereas Hauser et al. [10] reported poorer results with acellular SIS grafts. Acellular grafts do not show promise in patients who have had failed urethroplasty or have a poor blood supply.

Given the varying success rates with acellular grafts, researchers have begun looking at the use of cell-seeded grafts. Cells obtained from the patient are multiplied in the laboratory and attached to a suitable matrix to be re-implanted in the patient. Results from cell-seeded grafts are awaited with much anticipation. The drawbacks of a cell-seeded graft are that it requires a period of cell culture in a cleanroom laboratory and that at present it is not suitable as an ‘off the shelf’ product. There are also cost and time implications. However, the cellular composition and mechanical properties of cell-seeded grafts can be approximated better to match urethral tissue.

Research in our own laboratory first reported on the use of tissue-engineered buccal mucosa implanted into humans [11]. We obtained fibroblasts and keratinocytes from oral mucosal biopsies and cultured these in the laboratory. The multiplied cells were then attached to a donor de-epidermised dermis and cultured at an air-fluid interface to produce a graft for implantation. Cell culturing techniques allow a virtually infinite amount of tissue to be produced with just one biopsy and this tissue may be used at many time points (for instance, if a repeat procedure was required). This is an important consideration in the cohort of patients in whom this technology may be used.

Our pilot clinical study [12] reported on five patients, all with extensive stricture disease secondary to lichen sclerosis. From the five patients, three have a patent urethra (at the time of writing), although all three required some form of instrumentation. The patients selected for our study were all complex and the outcomes must be placed in that context [13]. Moreover, it would not be ethical to extend this experimental application to patients with low-burden stricture disease.

Our tissue-engineered grafts were modelled upon buccal mucosa. The histological similarities may be seen in Figures 1a and b. We noted that graft contraction and fibrosis occurred post-implantation and we are currently looking at techniques to overcome this. Whether the hyperinflammatory response was due to the lichen sclerosis or a reaction to the graft matrix is difficult to ascertain in this group of patients.

Recently, Fossum and Nordenskjold [14] described the use of autologous urothelial cells obtained from bladder washings to repair hypospadias deformity in a paediatric population. The cells were obtained from saline irrigation of the bladder and subsequently were expanded in the laboratory and finally returned to patients. Results were encouraging but the authors acknowledge that more work needs to be carried out to improve the tissue-engineering techniques. Similarly, Nagele et al. [15] described a tissue-engineered multilayered urothelium expanded from bladder washings.

Currently, our work in the laboratory is concentrated on developing a scaffold that may be used to deliver the tissue-engineered graft and to reduce contraction and fibrosis occurring post-implantation. We are also looking at ways to manufacture sterile scaffold for clinical use [16]. Feng et al. [17], in a recent study of four different scaffold materials, assessed mechanical properties and cell attachment to the various seeded grafts.

Li et al. [18] described cellularisation of acellular bladder matrix grafts using autologous oral cells. This work has reached only the in vitro stage using rabbit oral mucosa cells, but the important finding is the biocompatibility of bladder acellular matrix with oral mucosa cells. Fu et al. [19] successfully attached foreskin epidermal cells on an acellular rabbit bladder matrix and proved this to be superior in terms of tissue organisation and re-stricturing compared with an unseeded acellular bladder matrix in a rabbit model. The same efforts to seed SIS with urothelial cells and subsequent culture, as attempted by Feil et al. [20], have been unsuccessful.
In another avenue of research, Aboushwareb and Atala [21] described the promising approach of using adult progenitor stem cells or amniotic/placental stem cells to create new urethral tissue. However, this approach still requires much laboratory work. Given the ethical problems of using embryonic stem cells, much work has concentrated on obtaining stem cells from many different tissues, including bone marrow, striated muscle, fat, skin, and recently testicles.

**Implications for clinical practice**
The use of acellular bladder mucosa and SIS grafts has been trialled and has shown results comparable to the existing use of buccal mucosa in cases with a good wound bed. In these patients, an oral mucosal graft is most often sufficient. The necessity for a tissue-engineered graft depends on whether a reduction in donor site morbidity is required and on the need for sufficient donor tissue.

The more pressing need for tissue-engineered grafts is in the treatment of long complex strictures, where the requirement for sufficient tissue imposes greater risk of complication from oral mucosal procedures. These patients tend to have a poor wound bed and make the design of the replacement graft more challenging. With this in mind, we are still searching for the ideal replacement graft. To this end, researchers are still in search of the optimum scaffold, seeded by the correct cells, which differentiate and repair without fibrosis. Scaffolds provide structural support and act as an extracellular matrix in which cell behaviour may be regulated. Therefore, the ideal scaffold should promote cell adhesion, proliferation, migration, and differentiation and have the appropriate biomechanical properties [22]. The appropriate cell must survive, proliferate, and differentiate into a cell capable of allowing the passage of urine without stimulating the inflammatory pathways to cause strictureting.

In conclusion, the reconstructive strategy used by the urologist for the treatment of the anterior urethra will depend on the extent of the disease, underlying pathology, availability of autologous grafts, and the underlying wound bed. With further improvements in seeding acellular matrices, the clinical use of tissue-engineered materials is set to increase, especially in those most in need of it.

**Abbreviation**
SIS, small intestinal submucosa.
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