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**Abstract**

**Objective:** We studied the immune response of salivary secretory immunoglobulin A (sIg-A) from a pediatric tuberculosis (TB) group (scoring ≥6) and non-TB group (scoring <6) against recombinant Ag38 (Ag38-rec) from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Indonesian strain.

**Materials and Methods:** Seventy-eight children were divided into three groups; those with TB (n=26), those with suspected TB (n=26), and healthy children (n=26), their saliva was collected, and salivary sIg-A was challenged with purified Ag38-rec using the dot blot method. A change of color from white to dark blue indicated a positive reaction.

**Results:** The immune response of sIg-A of children with TB and those with suspected TB to Ag38-rec was not significantly different. In the TB group, Ag38-rec showed a higher sensitivity than protein purified derivative (PPD) (70.8% vs. 62.5%), but a lower specificity (26.9% vs. 34.62%). However, within both groups (scoring ≥6) as well as non-TB group (scoring <6) Ag38-rec was able to identify children with a positive TST (tuberculin skin test) better than PPD.

**Conclusion:** The antigen Ag38-rec could not distinguish between children with TB (scores ≥6 and <6). However, it demonstrated the potential of Ag38-rec for use in screening for TB infection among children with suspect TB (scores <6).
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Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) among children has been a serious threat in Indonesia, primarily due to a lack of accurate methods to diagnose the disease. Clinical examination, the acid-fast bacillus (AFB) test, and culture are the gold standard methods for the diagnosis of TB in adults. However, these methods have limited use for diagnosing TB in children in a clinical setting because collecting sputum samples from children is difficult. Moreover, children with TB do not exhibit the typical symptoms as TB observed in adults. The diagnosis of pediatric TB in Indonesia is determined according to the TB Scoring System\(^1\). This system is based on the clinical signs and symptoms found in suspected TB in children\(^1\). Children with a total score of \(\geq 6\) are considered to have TB and are treated for the disease. However, the accuracy of this scoring method is constrained due to the non-specificity of TB symptoms in children, and may result in over or under-diagnosis\(^2\). In consequence, a rapid, simple, and inexpensive method to confirm Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb) infection is required to reduce the spread of the disease. One such promising method, a serodiagnostic approach focusing on the detection of specific TB antibodies in patients, has been extensively studied\(^3\). A non-invasive diagnostic method like this would be highly valued, particularly with regards to pediatric TB patients.

Secretory immunoglobulin A (sIg-A) antibodies and presentations by antigen presenting cells (APC) are the first line of defense against bacterial invasion. These antibodies are a major class of immunoglobulin in external secretions and provide specific immunological protection in all mucosal surfaces that prevent the entry of bacteria\(^4\). Saliva contains a significant amount (85%) of sIg-A. This antibody is produced by B lymphocytes found near the salivary glands\(^5\). The 38-kDa protein from Mtb contains B-cell epitopes and has been shown to have high specificity for the Mycobacterium complex\(^6\). Previous study by Raras et al. demonstrated an immune response of salivary slgA against recombinant Ag38 (Ag38-rec) Indonesian strain in adult pulmonary TB patients with a sensitivity of up to 80%, but with a low specificity (38%)\(^7\). Another study investigating Mtb specific antibodies, including sIgA, in saliva from the Warao Amerindian tribes in Venezuela, reported significantly greater reactivity to the purified protein derivative (PPD) antigen with a sensitivity of 26.5% and specificity of 97%\(^8\). In this study, the saliva from children diagnosed with TB (those scoring \(\geq 6\) in the TB Scoring System) and from children with suspected TB (those scoring < 6) was tested against Ag38-rec produced in our laboratory. The term ‘Indonesian strain’ is used throughout this article to refer to M. tuberculosis that was isolated from an Indonesian patient who was suffering from severe pulmonary TB, from which the \(pab\) gene was amplified. We evaluated whether Ag38-rec from the Mtb Indonesian strain could be used to differentiate between children with pulmonary TB with scores of \(\geq 6\) and children with suspected TB with scores of < 6.

Methods

Purification of Ag38-rec

Production of Ag38-rec was conducted according to a previous study with slight modifications\(^9\). The \(pab\) gene coding for Ag38 was amplified via a PCR method using chromosomal DNA from M. tuberculosis which was isolated from a severe pulmonary TB patient in Malang, Indonesia. The fragment was inserted into a plasmid producing pMBhis. We do not know the strain of this Mtb, but alignment of the nucleotide sequence of the \(pab\) gene showed 95% homology to the \(pab\) gene from M. tuberculosis H37Rv. Escherichia coli BL21-(DE3) containing plasmid pMBhis was grown in Luria broth medium until \(O.D._{600}\) of 0.5 and induced with IPTG. After 3 h the cells were then harvested by centrifugation. The pellet was mixed with phosphate buffer containing protease inhibitor phenyl methyl sulphonyl fluoride (Sigma, USA) and was broken using a sonicator. Protein purification was performed using a Protino\(^{®}\)Ni-TED column according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Protino, Dueren, Germany). Following centrifugation for 15 min at 10,000 \(\times \) g the supernatant was loaded onto an Ni-TED 1000 column and washed twice with phosphate buffer containing 10 mM imidazole. The antigen Ag38-rec and other proteins that bound to the nickel column were eluted using phosphate buffer containing 250 mM imidazole. The eluted proteins were collected and loaded once more on the new Ni-TED column. The eluent was then dialyzed against the elution buffer in order to remove imidazole. The purity of Ag38-rec was analyzed using 12.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE).

Clinical specimens

A total of 78 children (n=78) were included in this study: 26 patients with recently diagnosed pulmonary TB (total scores of \(\geq 6\)), 26 patients with suspected TB (scores of < 6) from primary health care clinics in Malang City, Indonesia, and 26 healthy children to serve as negative controls. All of the participants were between the ages of 6 and 15 years, and their parents signed informed consent forms. The inclusion criteria for the healthy controls included absence of illness in the three weeks prior to the study and a lack of contact with adult TB patients. The study was initiated after being approved by the Ethical Commission from the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang Indonesia (No.341/EC/KEPK-OPDS/05/2014). Saliva samples were collected from June to October 2014. The diagnosis of TB was conducted by a pediatrician based on the eight parameters of the scoring system\(^1\), i.e., contact with TB patients; fever; cough; enlarged lymph nodes of the neck, groin, and armpits; nutritional status; bone and joint swelling; chest X-ray (CXR); and Mantoux tuberculin skin test (TST).

Serological test

The immunodot blot method was applied according to a previous experiment\(^9\). Before mounting onto the dot blot apparatus, a nitrocellulose membrane was pre-wetted using sterile \(H_2O\). A 20 \(\muL\) volume containing 1 g of antigen Ag38-rec in Tris-Cl buffer [pH 7.4] was dropped onto the membrane following overnight
incubation at 4°C with blocking buffer. PPD (protein purified derivative) from Mtb (Serum Staten Institute, Denmark) served as a positive control. The next day, the blocking buffer was removed and replaced with TBS and gently shaken for 10 min at 4°C. After the blocking agent was removed, 50 μL of primary antibody was applied to the membrane and incubated for 12 h at room temperature with gentle shaking. The solution was then discharged and the membrane was washed three times with 0.05% TBS-Tween-20 and subsequently shaken in Tris-Cl buffer containing secondary antibody (1:500) (Anti-human IgA (alpha-chain specific) alkaline phosphatase conjugate. SIGMA, USA.Product no. A.9669) at room temperature for 1 h, followed by three washing steps. Finally, a chromogenic substrate nitro-blue tetrazolium chloride and (5-bromo-4-chloro-3’-indolylphosphate p-toluidine salt (NBT-BCIP) (KPL.USA.Product no.50-81-18)) was applied to the membrane in the darkroom at room temperature for 30 min. The reaction was stopped by the addition of H2O. In order to optimize which concentration of antigen that produced the strongest color, we performed checker board with different concentration of antigen (500 ng, 250 ng and 125 ng) against salivary sIgA from TB and non TB patients. The Corel Draw graphic suite X4 (Corel, USA) program was used to interpret the color range of the blot(s). The gradation of color was quantified to numerical value using Corel Photopaint11. Positive and negative value was based on the cut-off of the median value of the positive control (PPD) after reaction with saliva of the TB group. A dot was regarded as positive when the value was below the cut-off point and as negative when the value was higher than the cut-off point. A positive result was defined as a dark blue or dark purple spot (>50%) on the blot.

Saliva collection

The procedure of saliva collection was conducted according to Chiappin et al.7. For patients with cough, saliva collection was preceded by induction with nebulized 3% NaCl to thin phlegm. Subjects were asked to transfer the collected saliva (a minimum amount of 5 ml) from the mouth to a falcon tube. Saliva samples were immediately deposited into a thermos of ice at 4°C for up to 2 h to protect the unstable analyte and prevent the growth of bacteria prior to long-term storage in a refrigerator at -40°C until use.

To avoid the possibility of contamination from substances in the saliva that may interfere with the immunooassay, the following precautions for participants were applied: avoid consumption of a meal 1 h before sample collection; avoid dairy products 20 min before sample collection; avoid foods with high acidity, high sugar, or caffeine immediately before sample collection; and rinse mouth with water to remove food residue before sample collection.

Statistical analysis

The sensitivity and specificity, of an immune response to Ag38-rec in children with a TB score of ≥ 6 and those with a score < 6 were determined and compared to those values for PPD. The statistical significance of the differences between the sensitivity and specificity of the immune response to Ag38-rec and to PPD was analyzed using the Student’s t-test. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All data were analyzed using SPSS version 15.

Results

Patient characteristics

In all three groups, the number of male patients was slightly higher than the number of female patients. The mean age of the subjects of all three groups was 8 years old. In the case of the TB group (score ≥ 6), most had had contact with an adult TB patient with a positive smear test. A positive TST result had been obtained from the majority of children from the TB group (22/26). In contrast, only a single positive TST result was found in the group of children with a suspected TB (score < 6). Persistent cough for more than 3 weeks dominated the patients in both groups (score of ≥ 6 and < 6). All subjects in the group with pulmonary TB had X-rays suggestive of pulmonary TB, while only 6 subjects (6/26) in the suspected TB group had X-rays suggestive of pulmonary TB.

Purification of protein Ag38-rek

The antigen Ag38-rec was purified using purification kit Protino® NI-TED. It is shown that from the first purification step some copurified protein still appeared (Figure 1). However after dialysis the bands that corresponds to proteins with MW < 20kDa could be removed. The purity of Ag38-rec reached 80%. The purified Ag38-rec was confirmed using Western blot against anti-Ag38. A single band could be detected in the range between 32 kDa and 52 kDa after incubation with chromogenic substrate (Figure 1.B).

Immune response of sIgA in saliva of children with TB (scoring ≥ 6) against Ag38-rec

The result of checker board showed that there is no significant difference between three concentration of Ag38-rec tested (Figure 2). A dark blue color indicates a reaction between Ag38-rec Mtb antigens with sIgA, while a pale color or white indicates no reaction. Therefore a minimal amount of 250 ng protein was used for the subsequent experiment.

To test the accuracy of anti Ag38-rec -sIgA in the diagnosis of active TB we compared the saliva of children with three different groups (TB. scores ≥ 6 ) and non TB (scores < 6) and healthy group. All samples from the three different groups were tested and the results of the dot blot are shown in Figure 3.

Comparison between response of sIg-A from TB group to Ag38-rec and the standard antigen PPD showed that sIg-A recognized PPD better than Ag38-rec (Table 1). Seventeen of 29 patients (65%) with active TB were positive for anti-Ag38-rec sIgA, which is higher than PPD (15/24, 62%). However among non-TB group as well as healthy group, Ag38-rec could also recognize sIgA (19/26, 73%) and (18/26, 69%) respectively.
Figure 1. A. Purification process of Ag38-rec using Protino® NI-TED kit: (1) protein marker; (2) flowthrough; (3) washing I; (4) washing II; (5) elution. B. The result of Western Blot of Ag38-rec against anti Ag38: Protein marker (1); Elution (2–4). Arrow points to the position of Ag38-rec.

Figure 2. Checker board to test best crossreaction between antigen Ag38-rec and sIgA using different concentration of Ag38-rec: 500ng, 250ng and 125ng on nitrocellulose discs of dot-blot assay. The positive tests for anti Ag38-rec – sIgA in saliva from TB group (1–3), and negative test with sIgA in saliva of healthy group (5 to 7) and PPD served as positive control (9).

The strength of Ag38-rec as an immunodiagnostic agent to detect sIgA was determined based on the sensitivity and specificity. It was found that the sensitivity of sIg-A antibody response in saliva against Ag38-rec Mtb is significantly higher than PPD (70.8% vs 62.5%) (p < 0.05), although with lower specificity (26.9% vs 34.62%) (p < 0.001).

Comparison of sIgA responses to Ag38-rec between the confirmed TB group (score ≥ 6) and the suspected TB group (score < 6) (70.8% vs 73%), suggested that Ag38-rec could be recognized among TB suspected children, although this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.861) (Table 2).

Immune response of salivary sIg-A from TB patients with positive Tuberculin Skin Test result against Ag38-rec

We then compared the response of sIgA towards Ag38-rec in both groups based on the TST result. It was clear that patients with a positive TST responded significantly better to Ag38-rec than did patients with a negative TST result (77.2% vs. 41%, p = 0.003) (Table 3).
Table 1. Comparison of positive response of salivary sIg-A to Ag38-rec and PPD in TB patients group (scores ≥ 6) and non TB group (scores < 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Ag38-rec</th>
<th>PPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB &amp; Non TB &amp; Healthy</td>
<td>17(65%)</td>
<td>15(62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB &amp; Non TB &amp; Healthy</td>
<td>9(34%)</td>
<td>15(57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Tested</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison of salivary sIg-A response from TB patient with score ≥ 6 and non TB with score < 6 against Ag38-rec.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Response</th>
<th>Patient’s Group</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scoring ≥ 6</td>
<td>Scoring &lt; 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage(+)</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Immune response of saliva sIg-A against Ag38-rec in children with tuberculin skin test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TST</th>
<th>Patient’s Group</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Response(+)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scoring ≥ 6</td>
<td>Scoring &lt; 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Tested</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. The response of salivary sIg-A of TB group scores ≥ 6 (A) non TB group scores < 6 (B) healthy children (C) against Ag 38-rek and PPD.
Discussion

The diagnosis of TB in children in Indonesia is conducted using a scoring system. This system is not a gold standard to diagnose TB in children, but rather a consensus by the WHO for areas that do not have the facilities for complete TB screening. Therefore, it may be beneficial to add another complementary parameter to support the scoring determination.

The objective of the current study was to observe whether the Mtb Ag38-rec antigen could be used to discriminate children that are diagnosed with TB (scoring ≥ 6) from the suspected TB (scoring < 6) based on their immune response in salivary sIgA. However, we found that the positive response of salivary sIgA against Ag38-rec was lower for children with pulmonary TB than for children with suspected TB, albeit this difference was not statistically significant (73% vs. 70.8%, p = 0.861). It could be that because the children with scores < 6 were recruited from Malang, Indonesia where TB is endemic; therefore these children may be infected with TB but still in the incubation stage of the disease, resulting in negative TST results. When we consider the potential of the Ag38-rec antigen as a serodiagnostic agent in pediatric TB compared to the PPD antigen, Ag38-rec has a significantly higher sensitivity than PPD (70.83% vs. 62.5%, p<0.001).

Although the 38-kDa Mtb antigen is the most widely studied antigen for sorogical purposes and is a major component in commercial TB tests, the serum antibodies showed high heterogeneity against Mtb antigens so that specific antibody response requires high purity of antigen. In this study, the purity of Ag38-rec was not very high (80%). Nevertheless, the sensitivity of Ag38-rec compared to PPD may indicate that the immunogenicity value of Ag38-rec is slightly better than that of PPD. A study conducted in children of the Warao Amerindian tribes in Venezuela against Mtb-specific antibodies, including sIgA in saliva, demonstrated that PPD and Ag38-rec had a higher reactivity than other antigens. This immunodominant antigen in patients with smear-positive TB is apparently specific for Mtb bacteria. A humoral immune response against this protein is often associated with active TB disease. Since our research participants were children that could not produce sputum, we could not confirm whether the low sensitivity of our Ag38-rec antigen was a result of negative sputum smears from the children. A previous study using the same antigen demonstrated that the response of sIgA from AFB-positive adult TB patients had a sensitivity of up to 80%, although, with a lower specificity (38%). This suggests that the immunogenicity of Ag38-rec is better than that of PPD. The explanation for this may be the fact that the salivary sIgA antibody is part of the mucosal immune response that belongs to the naive immune response. These immune responses are produced earlier in infection than the cellular immune response (the immune response of TST). When the naive immune response alone is sufficient to eradicate a pathogen, consequently the cellular immune response will not be developed. The route of TB infection is initiated via the mucosal immune response, followed by an adaptive immune response. If the Mtb bacteria have been eliminated by the mucosal immune response, there would be no cellular immune response produced.

With respect to the specificity of the sIgA response to Ag38-rec, it showed that it was significantly lower than that of PPD (26.9% vs. 34.6%, p < 0.001). Considering that all subjects in this study were recruited from TB endemic areas therefore it is possible that the healthy subjects may have had contact with TB patients without being infected and produced antibodies against Mtb. Interestingly the variation in specificity was also observed even when the study subjects came from non-endemic areas.

The positive control PPD also produced a low specificity despite PPD being a mixture of antigens secreted by the bacteria Mtb. This suggests that the problem of low specificity is not simply a property of Ag38-rec, but also was affected by sample population and variations in antibody levels. These results are consistent with a previous study using serum samples in which the largest proportion of positive antibody responses was detected from TB endemic areas.

The reactivity of antibodies against Mtb antigens in children can vary slightly due to an immature immune system, and severity of the disease. Therefore, their antibody response has a widely ranging sensitivity and specificity, from 14% to 85% and from 86% to 100%, respectively. Moreover, several factors such as the purity of the protein and its immunogenicity play an important role in antibody response. Patient characteristics, the severity of disease, the presence or absence of Mtb bacteria in sputum, and the type and origin of the antibody should be considered. Finally, last but not least is the process of taking the saliva sample. In previous experiments, whole saliva exhibited a greater antibody response than the supernatent or pellet. Although, Hagewald et al. found that centrifugation of saliva resulted in a greater sIgA antibody response in saliva supernatent. In this study, due to the limited volume of saliva in children, we used whole saliva. It is perhaps worthwhile to test saliva supernatants for comparison.

An interesting phenomenon occurred as we connected a positive immune response to the Ag38-rec antigen in children with suspected TB (score < 6) and a positive TST result. Considering that a positive TST indicates an infection with Mtb bacteria, the fact that sIgA in saliva showed a greater reactivity against Ag38-rec in children with a positive TST (77.2%) compared to children with a negative TST (41%) indicates that the Ag38-rec antigen is able to differentiate infected children within the group of suspected TB patients (score < 6). Similar results showed a significant difference between the levels of anti-Ag38-rec sIgA in individuals.
with a positive TST and a negative TST within the control group. However Arauzo et al., found that there was no significant difference in the antibody response between patients with a positive TST and a negative TST.

The specificity of Ag38-rec among healthy children compared to that of PPD suggests that Ag38 may potentially be used to identify TB infected children among children with suspected TB (scores < 6). Most of these children had a negative TST result (90%); however, 30% had a positive response to Ag38-rec.

This study has several limitations. The ideal method for saliva collection is passive drooling; however, we were unable to use this method because the children refused it. The criteria used for the determination of healthy child controls are also a limitation of this study. The control group was determined based on the criteria laid out in the scoring parameters for TB. They were children who did not meet the criteria for suspected TB and were very healthy.

Conclusion
The salivary sIgA from TB (scores ≥ 6) and non TB (scores < 6) patients demonstrated no significantly different immune response towards antigen Ag38-rec.
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In this revision the author only addressed one of the two major problems. They added a figure to show the purity of the their preparation. However, they still failed to provide the rationale for the method used for determining titers. The method is not understandable as presented. Furthermore, anti-IgA was not used at any point so it is not clear that they are testing for IgA.
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Tri yudani Mradining Raras, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Brawijaya University, Jl Veteran, Malang, Indonesia

Thank you for your comment. After I checked the serological test, I found a mistype concerning the secondary antibody I used in DotBlot method. Truly, instead of mouse anti-\textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis} Ag38 monoclonal antibody (AbD, Serotec, England, cat.no.0100-0519)\[T1]\ I used Anti-human IgA (alpha-chain specific) alkaline phosphatase conjugate (SIGMA, USA.Product.no. A.9669\[T2]).

I hope that this correction could make a rationale explanation for your question.
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Dorothy Yuan
Department of Pathology, UT Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, Dallas, TX, 75390, USA

In this submission the authors wish to evaluate the efficacy of using a protein (Ag38-rec) from \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis} to determine whether it can be used to differentiate between pediatric patients who have TB from those suspected of disease. The use of salivary IgA is a promising non-invasive approach for testing pediatric patients.

They conclude that the approach may be useful for identifying presumptive infected patients. Unfortunately the submission suffers from two major flaws that prevent any confirmation of these conclusions. Firstly, no data was presented to show the purity of the Ag38-rec produced in their laboratory. Secondly, the rationale and reliability of the method used to determine the titer of salivary IgA was not understandable to this reviewer such that it is impossible to evaluate their results. It is unclear why they did not utilize a more traditional method \(^1\) of using anti-human IgA antibodies in the Elisa.
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