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Abstract

Sirenomelia is a rare congenital malformative disorder characterized by fusion of the lower limbs giving a characteristic mermaid-like appearance to the affected foetus. We report a case of sirenomelia occurring in a 19 year old Cameroonian woman following premature rupture of membranes and associated cord prolapse. This is the first documented case in this country. We highlight some of the cultural myths associated with this disorder and discuss our findings relative to the present literature and related controversies on its etiopathogenesis.
Introduction
Sirenomelia is an extremely rare congenital malformative disorder, which is often fatal. Its incidence is estimated at about 1 in 100 000 pregnancies. The most prominent yet inconstant feature of this malformative disorder is the complete or partial fusion of the lower limbs. The resultant infant bears a resemblance to the mermaid of ancient Greek mythology. Hence this disorder has equally been referred to as symmelia, sympodia monopodia, symus, but most commonly as the ‘mermaid syndrome’ since the fusion of the lower limbs gives a characteristic mermaid-like appearance. In the African context, such mermaid-like babies are referred to as ‘mammy-water babies’, and bear an evil connotation associated with witchcraft and sorcery. This anomaly predominantly affects males (sex ratio 2.7:1), and is frequent among one of two monozygotic twins. It is usually incompatible with life, yet there are a number of reported cases of surviving infants with this condition in the English literature. Its ethiopathogenesis remains controversial.

We report here the first documented case of sirenomelia in Cameroon, and discuss our findings in relation to the present literature and related controversies of its etiopathogenesis.

Case report
Miss N.N, 19 years old G2P0010, a single student at 38 weeks gestation according to her last menstrual period was referred to the Yaoundé Central Maternity for the management of cord prolapse at term. Her history revealed premature rupture of membranes 4 days prior to her consultation, with continuous per vaginal flow of clear liquor. She declares that foetal movements had been present prior to membrane rupture. She eventually developed uterine contractions 4 days later with an associated cord prolapse visible at the introitus. This pushed her to consult at a health centre from where she was referred to our hospital.

In her past medical history, she was not diabetic nor did she bear any known chronic pathologies. There was no family history of diabetes nor malformations. Her first pregnancy two years earlier ended up in a clandestine voluntary termination of pregnancy by endo-uterine aspiration in the first trimester without any recorded complications. Her present pregnancy resulted from a non-consanguineous union with a 23 year old student. This pregnancy was very poorly followed up in a local health centre with two antenatal consultations. The few tests that were carried out did not reveal any anomalies, but no ultrasound was done. The evolution of the pregnancy so far had been uneventful without any history of teratogenic drug intake or traditional concoctions. On admission the patient was hemodynamically stable, afebrile, with a symphysis-fundal height of 26 cm, absent foetal heart tones, a foetus in cephalic presentation, and a non-pulsating third degree cord prolapse. The working diagnosis of a prolonged rupture of membranes complicated by third degree cord prolapse and intrauterine foetal death was made. The patient was induced using oxytocin and was placed on prophylactic parenteral antibiotics. Labour evolved normally and within 5 hours she expelled a dead first degree macerated foetus with the following morphological abnormalities: (see Figure 1–Figure 6).

- Distended abdomen
- umbilical cord with a single artery
- Undetermined sex (absent external genitalia with only a 2x3 cm tag marking the position of the genitalia
- Imperforate anus
- absence of a urinary meatus
- fused lower segment of the body below the pelvis into a single lower limb, with two feet fused posteriorly giving a single flipper-like foot with eleven toes spread out in a fan-like pattern (Mermaid-like or ‘mammy-water’). The foot was oriented anteriorly relative to the trunk, and external palpation gave the impression of probably two femurs and two tibias.

Figure 1. Complete morphological view showing distended abdomen, fused lower limbs and maceration around the neck.

Figure 2. Complete morphological view of sirenomelic foetus.
Figure 3. Posterior view showing fused lower limbs, imperforate anus.

Figure 4. Imperforate anus.

Figure 5. Photograph of the groin area showing the undetermined external genitalia, and absent urinary meatus.

Figure 6. Photograph showing the fused feet with 11 toes.
There was complete placental delivery and uterine revision was done removing membranous debris.

The birth weight of 2.3Kg at 38 weeks gestation reflected intrauterine growth restriction.

Traditional and cultural beliefs precluded autopsy, and the corpse was handed over to the family for burial. The patient was maintained on parenteral antibiotics for 48 hours then oral relay was done on day 2 post-partum. She received adequate post-partum counselling and was discharged on that day.

**Discussion**

Sirenomelia or mermaid syndrome is an abnormal development of the caudal region of the body involving varying degrees of fusion of the lower limbs with or without bony defects. It is usually associated with other visceral defects such as hypoplastic lungs, cardiac agenesis, absent genitalia, digestive defects, absent kidney and bladder, vertebral and central nervous system defects. The prognosis is usually poor with survival depending on the nature of the visceral anomalies. Death usually results from obstructive renal failure due to renal agenesis or dysgenesis, with survival depending on adequate kidney functioning and renal outflow. In our patient however we could not ascertain the nature of the full foetal anomalies given that an autopsy was never performed. Moreover, it was a poorly followed up pregnancy without any ultrasonographic evaluation. Furthermore, the third degree cord prolapse was an obvious cause of fetal death. The history was suggestive of foetal movements in the few days prior to membrane rupture, thus this foetus could have been alive prior to membrane rupture.

**Risk factors**

The etiology of sirenomelia is unknown and no teratogens have been found in humans. Certain risk factors however exist. The syndrome has been associated with maternal diabetes mellitus, which is considered to be the most important risk factor. There is a 200–250 reported relative risk of occurrence in diabetes. Davari et al. report that up to 22% of these foetuses would have diabetic mothers. However, other authors refute this association, with only about 0.5–3.7% of sirenomelia cases occur in diabetic mothers. Thus the association between maternal diabetes and sirenomelia has therefore been described as weak. Our patient was not known to be diabetic.

The syndrome is also reported to be associated with twins. Reports indicate a 100–150 times higher incidence in monozygotic twins relative to dizygotic twins or singletons. Moreover, about 20% of cases are derived from products of twin pregnancies. In our patient, this was not a twin gestation, and there was no family history of twinning. A major concern in this syndrome is the possibility of genetic transmission. Although Lynch et al. recognised an autosomal form of caudal dysgenesis, no chromosomal abnormalities are found in sirenomelia and it does not recur in families. This was a reassuring feature for our patient and should serve as a counseling feature for mothers bearing babies with this distressing anomaly. Yet genetic counseling should still be proposed given the reported risk of recurrence of 3–5%.

**Ethiopathogenesis**

The etiopathogenesis of this syndrome has been subject to a lot of debate over time. Numerous theories have been proposed to explain its origin.

From an embryological point of view, the sequence of events leading to sirenomelia (sirenomelia sequence) results from an ‘embryological insult’ involving the caudal mesoderm occurring between days 28–32 of foetal life. By this gestational age, the cloaca is already formed, the kidneys are found in the pelvis while the gonads are intra-abdominal. Hence any developmental abnormalities of the caudal extremity would affect equally the kidneys, the bladder, the terminal bowels, the pelvic bones as well as the genitalia (excluding the gonads which are intra-abdominal). In this sequence, there is renal agenesis, absent genital organs, anal imperforation, absent rectum and dysgenesis/agenesis of the sacrum. There could be vertebral dysgenesis, lower limb atrophy and inconstant lower limb fusion.

Stevenson et al. proposed the vascular steal theory to explain the development of abnormalities on the caudal extremity. This theory suggests that there is shunting of blood via an abnormal abdominal artery arising from high up in the aorta towards the placenta. Consequently there is hypoplasia of the vasculature distal to the artery leading to nutritional deficiency of the caudal half of the body. Hence there may be complete/incomplete agenesis of the caudal structures described above, except the gonads which are intra-abdominal. The single umbilical artery in our patient favours this theory. However, Jaiyessimi et al. reported a case of sirenomelia without this vitelline artery steal, indicating that factors other than vitelline artery steal could be responsible for sirenomelia in humans.

A third theory regards sirenomelia as part of the caudal regression syndrome (CRS). This is a rare congenital defect characterized by a broad spectrum of lumbosacral agenesis. This syndrome was described by Duhamel to include genitourinary and vertebral anomalies. Caudal regression syndrome is mainly characterized by sacral dysgenesis, altered spinal cord, urinary incontinence of variable intensity and misplaced lower limbs. Renal dysgenesis and imperforate anus are an inconstant feature. Some authors consider sirenomelia to be the most extreme form of this relentless condition. It is however worth noting that authors such as Pinette et al. still describe as speculative the distinction between caudal regression syndrome and sirenomelia.

A fourth theory syndrome described in the literature regards sirenomelia as part of the VACTERL syndrome. VACTERL syndrome involves vertebral, anal, cardiovascular, tracheal esophageal, renal and limb dysgenesis. There is a major overlap in the phenotypic manifestations of sirenomelia and VACTERL. In most cases, the distinction between sirenomelia sequence and VACTERL lies within the severity of the component defects, and the single lower limb in sirenomelia can be regarded as an indicator of other severe malformations, especially in the gastrointestinal and genito-urinary systems. In our patient the lack of an autopsy did not permit any assertions to be made as to the relationship with VACTERL.
A fifth theory is the pressure theory which stipulates that external forces acting on the caudal extremity of the embryo causes its hypoplasia\textsuperscript{13}. Attempts at supporting this theory were made by Gardner et al.\textsuperscript{24}, who stipulated that excessive rotation of the neural tube at its caudal end provoked a lateral rotation of the mesoderm causing fusion of the lower limbs, and closure of the primitive bowel and urethra. This theory is however not widely accepted\textsuperscript{13}. 

Other less pertinent theories also exist. Yet the overlap in these syndromes/theories waters the debate in the scientific world as to the uniqueness or diversities of these syndromes.

**Classification**

Stocker and Heifetz\textsuperscript{15} classified the sirenomelia sequence into 7 types as shown in Table 1.

We did not have any radiographs and could therefore not classify our patient into any of these categories with certainty even though external palpation was in favour of a type I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Characteristic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>All thigh and leg bones are present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Single fibula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Absent fibula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Partially fused femurs, fused fibulae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Partially fused femurs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Single femur, single tibia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>Single femur, absent tibia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Diagnosis**

The past medical history of the patient could identify patients at risk. Antenatal diagnosis is possible on ultrasound and x-ray. Ultrasound is however the predominant diagnostic tool. Moreover, there is no open defect which could cause abnormal increases in alpha fetoprotein levels, and strange as it may be, chromosomes are usually normal\textsuperscript{14}. The use of ultrasound in diagnosis is however not without difficulty. However, in sirenomelic foetuses, bilateral renal agenesis causes severe oligohydramnios thus limiting ultrasound evaluation of the limbs in the second and third trimesters\textsuperscript{24,25}. However, in earlier gestational ages, the amniotic fluid volume may be sufficient to detect abnormal lower limbs. In such cases, we may notice in addition to abnormal lower limbs, bilateral renal dysgenesis, absent bladder, undetermined external genitalia, anorectal agenesis and lumbosacral agenesis\textsuperscript{17}. Other abnormalities may touch the cardiovascular system and abdominal walls. Ultrasound features permitting confirmation of the diagnosis include lack of tibia/fibula, a single femur, convergent femoral bones, bilateral renal agenesis, polycystic kidneys/renal agenesis, obstructive uropathy and intra-uterine growth retardation\textsuperscript{11}. Antenatal confirmation of the diagnosis justifies a therapeutic termination of the pregnancy.

At delivery, clinical evaluation is usually sufficient to confirm the diagnosis. In our case, the diagnosis was obvious given the fused lower limbs, single umbilical artery, and imperforate anus. However radiographic images are important if we are to be able to classify the condition according to the Stocker and Heifer classification (Table 1)\textsuperscript{15}. An autopsy permits determination of the extent of the associated anomalies, but is usually of limited use in the African context where cultural norms and beliefs largely precludes its practice.

**Management and prognosis**

Sirenomelia carries with it a very poor prognosis. Survival is largely dependent on the extent of visceral anomalies, especially obstructive renal failure due to renal agenesis/dysgenesis\textsuperscript{12}. In the case of antenatal diagnosis, a voluntary termination of pregnancy is advisable in order to avoid the physical and psychological stress to parents and the family. This decision however depends on the gestational age of the pregnancy, the severity of the malformations and of course the desires of the parents\textsuperscript{11}. Recent reports indicate that about 50% of these infants are born alive after 8–9 months gestation\textsuperscript{11}. However most of them die within 5 days of life\textsuperscript{11}. The management of sirenomelia is difficult and expensive, and the outcome is unpredictable\textsuperscript{11}. The main therapeutic modalities are surgical and medical, aimed mainly at maintaining adequate renal function. Surgery to correct the anomaly and separate the fused limbs is usually not a priority as there is no guarantee of its success, and it carries with it an increased risk of compromising the life of an already delicate infant.

There have been reports of surviving sirenomelic foetuses\textsuperscript{13–19}. Pertinent amongst these is the case of the surviving infant with sirenomelia associated with absent bladder reported by Stanton et al.\textsuperscript{9}. This infant underwent 5 surgeries before the age of 4 years and continues to be bedridden and dependent. In the case described by Pinette et al.\textsuperscript{12}, the infant received a renal transplant using a cadaveric donor kidney. By publication time, this infant was 5 years old with normal renal and cognitive development for her age. However, separation of the lower limbs was indefinitely delayed due to concerns regarding disruption of blood supply to abdominal organs and the transplanted kidney.

These reflect the constraints, both financial and physical to conservatively manage sirenomelia and reiterate the importance of antenatal diagnosis and voluntary termination of pregnancy especially in resource limited settings like ours.

**Conclusion**

Sirenomelia remains a rare but peculiar syndrome. Its antenatal diagnosis is possible albeit difficult by ultrasound. Controversies on its etiopathogenesis persist even though it is increasingly believed to be distinct from the caudal regression syndrome as hitherto thought. The associated visceral anomalies are usually incompatible
with life. However surviving sirenomelic foetuses have been described with costly conservative management and mediocre results. In the African context, these mermaid-like foetuses are described as ‘mammy-water babies’. This carries with it the connotation of sorcery and witchcraft with which no family wishes to be identified. Therefore antenatal diagnosis and termination of pregnancy is advisable. Knowledge of this rare syndrome is important to dissipate cultural myths whenever it occurs, and free the family from stigmatization.

Consent
Written informed consent for publication of their clinical details and clinical images was obtained from the parent of the patient.
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