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Abstract

**Background:** Annual global death due to drowning accounts for 372,000 lives, 90% of which occur in low and middle income countries. Life in Bangladesh exposes adults and children to many water bodies for daily household needs, and as a result drowning is common. In Bangladesh, due to lack of systemic data collection, drowning among adults is unknown; most research is focused on childhood drowning. The aim of the present study was to explore the epidemiology of adulthood drowning deaths in Bangladesh.

**Methodology:** A nationwide cross-sectional survey was conducted from January to December in 2003 among 171,366 rural and urban households, with a sample of 819,429 individuals to determine the epidemiology of adulthood drowning in Bangladesh.

**Results:** Annual fatal drowning incidence among adults was 5.85/100,000 individuals. Of these, 71.4% were male and 28.6% were female (RR 2.39). In total, 90% of the fatalities were from rural areas. Rural populations were also found to have a 8.58 times higher risk of drowning than those in urban areas. About 95% of drowning occurred in natural water bodies. About 61.6% of the deaths occurred at the scene followed by 33.5% at the home. Of the drowning fatalities, 67% took place in water bodies within 100 meters of the household. Among the drowning fatalities 78.4% occurred in daylight between 7.00 and 18.00. Over 97% of the victims were from poor socioeconomic conditions with a monthly income tk. 6,000 ($94) or less. Only 25.5% of incidences were reported to the police station.

**Conclusions:** Every year a significant number of adults die due to drowning in Bangladesh. Populations living in rural areas, especially men, were the main victims of drowning. This survey finding might help policy makers and scientists to understand the drowning scenario among adults in Bangladesh.
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Introduction
Drowning is the process of experiencing respiratory impairment from submersion or immersion in liquid, and the outcomes are classified as death, morbidity and no morbidity. Drowning is an important but neglected public health issue that affects children and youths in many societies worldwide. Following road traffic and injury sustained from falls, drowning is the 3rd leading cause of injury death in the world, claiming 42 lives every hour and 372,000 lives a year, which is almost two thirds attributed to malnutrition and over half of malaria. Of all drowning deaths more than 90% occur in low and middle income countries where individuals are exposed to water during daily life. According to the WHO (2014), drowning contributes to 7% of all injury-related annual deaths worldwide. South-East Asian countries are considered the most affected region with 2.49 million disability adjusted life years as a result of death and disability from drowning.

Bangladesh is a low-lying, riverine country located in the subtropical region of South Asia and bordering with the Bay of Bengal. Its tropical monsoon climate is characterized by heavy rainfall and melting snow in the Himalayan territory, leading to large rivers, such as the Ganga, Brahmaputra and Meghna. The country has a landmass of 147,570 square kilometers and is one of the most densely inhabited countries in the world with a population of 160 million. Daily life in Bangladesh exposes people to water bodies, such as ponds, ditches, rivers, canals and the ocean, which are used for daily household needs, including agriculture, fishing and transportation. As a result, drowning effects all ages of the Bangladeshi population.

Most research on drowning conducted in Bangladesh has focused on childhood drowning. In Bangladesh, there is no established routine mortality registration system, which, combined with inadequacy of research, results in unknown drowning deaths among the adult population. To design an appropriate preventive measure for reducing adult drowning, it is important to determine the nationwide burden of drowning. Drowning mostly occurs among the rural populations, so community-based household survey data is important. The objective of this study was to estimate fatal adult drowning in Bangladesh and its variation by sex, place of residence, and seasonality using a nationally representative survey.

Methods
Data for this study was extracted from Bangladesh Health and Injury Survey (BHIS), which was conducted during January and December 2003. The following methodology details how the survey data were collected.

Study design
This was a nationwide community based cross-sectional study.

Study population
The study population were from 12 randomly selected districts, namely Thakurgaon, Serajgonj, Sherpur, Narsinghdi, Hobigonj, Comilla, Shariatpur, Jessore, Khulna, Pirojpur, Chittagong and Rangamati. The study also covered Dhaka Metropolitan City of Bangladesh. In total, 819,429 individuals were covered in this nationwide study. By using multi-stage cluster sampling technique, a total of 171,366 households were selected; 88,380 form rural areas, 45,183 from district towns and 37,803 from Dhaka Metropolitan city. There are several upazilas (sub districts) in each district. Populations covered in the upazila level was considered as rural population. From each district one upazila was randomly selected. An upazila comprises a number of unions, which is the lowest administrative unit of an upazila, comprising about 20,000 population. From each upazila, two unions were selected randomly and each union was considered as a cluster of this survey. All households in the selected unions were included in the survey. All 12 selected district headquarters and Dhaka Metropolitan City were considered as urban area. In the urban areas, mohalla served as cluster. Mohalla is the lowest part of the city corporation. Each mohalla constituted about 400–500 households. Systematic sampling method was applied to achieve the required number of households.

Case ascertainment
Individuals 18 years and above who drowned resulting in a fatality were included as a case.

Data collection and interview
Forty-eight full time data collectors were selected for the data collection and six supervisors were employed for the supervision and monitoring of the data collection process. All data was collected through face-to-face interviews. All selected data collectors and supervisors were trained in collecting data from individuals.

Due to the availability at the household level, mothers were preferred as primary respondent in this survey. However, if the mother was not available, the most knowledgeable members of the household were considered as respondents. Where possible, the head of household and as many members of the household as possible, were present to corroborate or add detail to the respondent’s interview answers. For the identification of any mortality or morbidity cases in the household, screening forms were used. A household member was defined as someone living in the same house, including domestic helpers or long-term guests who shared daily meals and participated in regular activities within the household. For mortality information, respondents were asked about any deaths over the period of last two years, and for morbidity information, respondents were asked about any illness that had occurred over the period of last 6 months. If any illnesses/deaths were identified, the interviewer proceeded with further clarification regarding the injuries. Structured questionnaires were used to identify drowning death, and drowning related data was extracted for further analysis. Distance between household of living and drowning site was determined by asking to the respondent, if the site is near to the household then data collector measured it visually. Repeat visits were made to the households where respondents were unavailable during the first visit. In spite of repeated attempts, 2.7% of households could not be interviewed. A total of 166,766 households completed participation in the study.
Statistical analysis
Data related to drowning death were extracted from the main data set. As the recall period was over the last two years, only data from the last year was taken for analysis. Standard descriptive statistics were used to analyze the characteristics of adulthood drowning. Mean, standard deviation (SD), and proportion were used where appropriate. Drowning deaths were presented by gender, age, seasonality and place of residence. Age was categorized into seven groups (Figure 1). Rates were calculated with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Relative risk (RR) was calculated to compare the drowning risks in different age groups, place of residence, and gender using open EPI-Info software (http://www.openepi.com/Menu/OE_Menu.htm). The methodology has been described elsewhere13–15.

Results
Incidence of drowning in Bangladesh
In this nationwide cross sectional survey, the annual incidence of drowning fatalities was found to be 5.85/100,000 (95% CI 4.14-8.14) in individuals aged 18 and over. Among the drowning fatalities, 71.40% were male and 28.60% were female. Males were found to be 2.39 times higher at risk than females (RR 2.39; 95% CI 1.04-5.49). Among the victims, 90% were from rural areas and 10% from urban areas. In addition, rural populations were found to have be at an 8.58 times higher risk of drowning than individuals living in the urban areas (RR 8.58; 95% CI 2.47-29.80). The mean age was 46.70 years (SD ± 21.90) ranging from 18 to 95 years. Populations aged over 60 years were found to be 3.60 times higher at risk of drowning compared with the combined populations with ages ranging from 18 to 60 years (RR 3.6; 95% CI 1.14 to 9.15) (Figure 1 and Table 1).

Place of drowning
Around 95% of the drowning occurred in natural water bodies, whereas only 5% of fatalities occurred in a place other than a natural water source. About 61.6% of the deaths occurred at the scene followed by 33.5% at the home and 5% in hospital following rescue from water.

Figure 1. Age wise annual incidence of fatal adult drowning in Bangladesh.

Table 1. Risk factors of fatal drowning among adults in Bangladesh.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Rate per 100,000 individuals/year</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>2.39 (1.04-5.49)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>8.52 (2.46-29.48)</td>
<td>0.000004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 + years</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18.12</td>
<td>3.6 (1.14-9.15)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-60 years</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Distance of the drowning site
Of the drowning fatalities, 67% of the incidences took place in water bodies within 100 meters of the household and about 33% of the drowning incidence occurred in water bodies that were over 100 meters of distance from the household.

Time of drowning
Among the drowning fatalities, 78.4% occurred among in daylight between 07:00 and 18:00, and 21.5% of drowning occurred between 18:00 and 06:00 (Table 2).

Swimming ability
Among the casualities, 62.8% could swim (Table 2). Swimming ability was defined by reference to “survival swimming” skills (ability to swim 25m)\(^6\).

Seasonality of drowning
The study findings revealed that drowning incidences were relatively low during the winter season (November to February). The incidence increased during March and September, which are considered as summer and monsoon season. The incidence peaked during March and April (Figure 2).

Others factors
Over 97% of the victims were from poor socio economic conditions with a monthly income of tk. 6,000 ($94) or less. Only 25.5% of the incidences were reported to the police station. Among the drowning fatalities, pre-diagnosed individuals with epilepsy and those that were mentally ill totaled 9.6% and 9.9%, respectively.

Discussion
In Bangladesh, natural and man-made water sources are commonly located in close proximity of households, especially in rural areas. People use these water sources for daily household needs, such as irrigation, fish farming, bathing, swimming, animal feeding and washing clothes. In addition to this, a large number of the population use water transport for regular travelling and goods carrying. As a result, regular exposure to water bodies is very high. Bangladeshi population are experiencing massive destructive natural disasters, such as floods and cyclones, frequently, which often cause a high number of unexpected drowning deaths (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Bangladesh_tropical_cyclones). In this study, the main three causes of death due to drowning were bathing, working and travelling.

The survey findings revealed that the annual drowning fatality among adults aged 18 years and above is 5.85/100,000 individuals, which means annually about 8,195 fatal drownings take place among the adult population of Bangladesh. Of these, 5,851 are male and 2,344 are female. Adult males were found to be 2.39 times higher at risk of drowning than females in this study. Our findings of higher risk among the male population are similar to other studies on drowning from other countries\(^3,7,8\).

Individuals aged over 60 years were found to be 3.5 times at a higher risk than those aged between 18 and 60 years. The reasons behind that could be due to lack of a water supply in rural areas;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Frequencies (n)</th>
<th>Percentages (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service (working on regular salary)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily laborer</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House wife</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of residence</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities prior drowning</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bathing</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working (washing clothes)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travelling (passenger)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of drowning</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midnight to 6 am</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 am to 12 noon</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noon to 6 pm</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 pm to midnight</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance of place of drowning from home</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – 5 meters</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – 10 meters</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 – 20 meters</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 – 50 meters</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 – 100 meters</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100+ meters</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Swimming ability</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>62.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of death</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On spot</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>61.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported to the police station</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Details of fatal drowning among adults in Bangladesh (n=28).
therefore, people use natural water bodies as a source of water for daily regular activities and older populations are not under supervision. Similar findings were also observed in a study conducted among US populations between 1999 and 2010\textsuperscript{19}.

Drowning is always sudden, unexpected and often fatalities occur at the scene of the water bodies. As a result, drowned individuals need emergency medical support on the site immediately when rescued from the water. Like most developing countries, emergency medical help is absent, particularly in rural areas, of Bangladesh\textsuperscript{20,21}. In this study, 61.5% of the drowning incidents ended with fatality at the scene of drowning. Findings in Finland suggested that around 24% causality ended with fatality at the scene\textsuperscript{22}. In addition, of those who were rescued alive (38.5%) from water bodies only 20% sought medical care from the hospital. This suggested that rural populations do not consider receiving medical care following drowning. The study findings show that among the drowning fatalities 56.1% took place in water bodies that were over 20 meters far the household, whereas the same survey finding shows that about 80% of child fatalities due to drowning took place within 20 meters of the household\textsuperscript{23}. In rural Bangladesh, households are located near water bodies so that getting water is easy for daily household needs. As a result exposure to water is very high for both adults and children.

As in most developing countries, injury incidences are poorly reported to the police station by the relatives of the victims\textsuperscript{24}. The survey findings identified that only 25% of cases were reported to the police station following drowning fatalities. Drowning is not a new event concerning injury, like road traffic or machine injury, instead it is an issue that has occurred for thousands of years among populations living near water sources. Rural populations consider drowning as a part of a natural death and pre-decided ‘God’s will’\textsuperscript{25}; as a result relatives of the drowning victims start the burial process immediately after fatal drowning occurs. Unless the drowning incident was intentional, relatives of the victim do not report the death to the police station or any other agencies to avoid further investigation about the death.

Many high income countries reduced drowning rates by introducing effective interventions\textsuperscript{1}. This paper describes the epidemiological situation of adulthood drowning in Bangladesh so as to explore people’s perceptions on drowning and to design effective interventions for the adult population further research is needed. In addition, this paper might draw the attention to the policy makers to design possible preventive measures.

**Conclusions**

Adult drowning is an important, but neglected, public health issue in Bangladesh, especially in populations living in the rural areas. Every year a significant number of unwanted and preventable adult drowning fatalities occur in Bangladesh. The current survey findings might help policy makers and scientists to understand the epidemiology and the risk factors leading to adult drowning in Bangladesh.

**Data availability**

BHIS data is stored at the Department of Public Health Science and Injury Prevention of CIPRB. Due to sensitivity of the data (contains identifying information), permission is required from the ethical committee for sharing data with a third party. Data can be requested from the Department of Public Health Science and Injury Prevention of CIPRB, who will contact the ethical review committee to gain approval to share the data. The conditions for gaining data access are a formal request with a clear objective and formal permission from the ethical committee. Please contact Dr Saidur Rahman Mashreky (mashreky@ciprb.org) in order to request the data.
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INTRODUCTION:
If we accept the mentioned issues that presented in the last paragraph of INTRODUCTION (line 1: In Bangladesh, there is no established routine mortality registration system ....), then the line 4 issue (Drowning mostly occurs among the rural populations) is in opposite / contrast with the paragraph starting issue.

1. Please delete the sentence of line 4 of last paragraph (Drowning mostly occurs among the rural populations).

METHODS:
Study Population:
If the population size of each UNION was 20,000 persons and the study sample was all of the eligible persons of the selected UNION, then almost 88,000 persons were included from 4-5 UNIONS.

2. Please clearly describe the sampling method and specify the numbers of Upzila and UNION (in total population) and the number of selected Upzila and UNION.

3. Please specify the sampling frame or list for including the selected households and selected eligible persons.

Data collection and interview:
The time-frame of this survey is unknown.

4. Please report the study (data collection) time-frame (from data collection starting point to the ending)

5. Why the investigators use 6-months period for assessing the drawing occurrence? As you know, we need the 12-months period for assessing the seasonality pattern.

Statistical analysis:
The investigators used Mixed sampling method (combining Cluster, Systematic and Simple random sampling methods) and this situation causes the estimator variance inflation (increasing) and the widening the 95% CIs for the prevalence, incidence, mean and relative risk indicators. It should be noted that cluster sampling method mainly leads to the phenomenon. In other hand, the common event in
community survey is the different distribution of main demographic variables such as gender and age groups.

The "Survey Data Analysis" (SDA) or "Complex Sampling Analysis" method was developed for correcting or adjusting the two essential pitfalls as well as the finite population problem and the stratified random sampling consideration.

Unfortunately, if the investigator(s) don’t perform SDA in the mixed sampling methods (similar to the above paper), the point and interval estimation (95% CIs) of incidence or prevalence measures are not valid and also the effect size measures (Risk Ratio, Rate Ratio, ...) may be inaccurate and imprecise.

6. I suggest that the authors/investigators indicate to Survey Data Analysis (SDA) method as the statistical method for estimating the valid and reliable INCIDENCE data (point and 95% interval estimation). Please specify the important components of SDA method (PSU or Primary Sampling Units, Stratum/strata, Sampling weights, ...).

7. It is obvious the investigators should be carried out the data re-analysis using SDA method (based on previous item) by the relevant statistical package such as STATA.

RESULTS:

8. As I mentioned, all of the study findings should be corrected based on the above suggestions (items no 6 and 7).

9. In reference to the item no 5 (Major limitation for assessing the incidence seasonality), please delete to the seasonality pattern. Of course, the investigators could report the time fluctuation of the incidence data based on the collected data and indicate to this limitation in DISCUSSION part.

DISCUSSION:

10. Unfortunately, the study limitations were not indicated. Please specify the study limitations.
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- In the Methods section: the author may consider providing reference of BHIS data from any previous published article. If no article published using this dataset, then the authors should be explaining the entire dataset in separate heading under the Methods section.

- It would be good and understandable if authors consider providing a flow chart of their population selection by strata.

- In the data collection and interview section: The authors mentioned that “Structured questionnaires were used to identify drowning death, and drowning related data was extracted for further analysis.” It is not clear how the authors confirmed that the reason of the death was due to drowning. However, it is possible that the primary respondents could confirm the death but it does not make a strong conclusion of the reason behind the death. Potential question could raise “how the primary respondents know that it was not suicide?”. Another clarification is required that in Bangladesh mostly the rural people - adults knows how to swim, particularly those live near the river bank. And also, those have ponds and/or shared ponds, they take baths and use for daily primary source of water for their household use. The author should mention if they use verbal autopsy in this case. If not, please mention it in the limitation.

- In the swimming ability skill - how did the authors collected that information. Is that the primary respondents responded on the died person?

- It's hard to believe that the person who knows how to swim died due to drowning? Please clarify in the discussion part. And also, it creates more confusion when the death occurs in the natural water bodies. What does the authors mean by natural water bodies? It would be also useful if the authors...
consider providing a brief context of Bangladeshi population using water bodies for their daily HH work. Map of Bangladesh water bodies would help other nationals to understand the context of natural water distribution of Bangladesh. It could make more sense.

- The authors failed to mention their limitation and strength of the study. I believe there are good number of limitation which authors skipped.

- Furthermore, discussion part was poorly written and the authors failed to discuss their finding elaborately in this part
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Very well composed article, very timely and it must appeal the decision makers and donors. The authors' contribution must be appreciated. As stated by the authors, this paper might draw the attention to the policy makers to design possible preventive measures. Therefore I would like to recommend for its publication. I would like to recommend the authors to look into following minor comments.
1. Introduction, second paragraph: it would be better to add - what proportion of the total area of the country is water i.e. roughly 7% of it is covered with water.
2. Introduction, third paragraph: Would it be possible to highlight the neglected importance of drowning research that the data collected in 2003 hasn't yet been utilised to uncover the problem of drowning among adult population. Keeping in mind, children related findings were already published with the support of Unicef and other children's agencies.

3. Methods: In the first paragraph, you can also refer previously published Methodological details.

4. Case ascertainment: Please make it clear that the "individuals 18 years and above who drowned resulting in a fatality were included as a case" is for this paper. However, BHIS might have collected much more.

5. Although case identification has been clearly described under "Data collection and interview", the 'mother' is the primary source of information. Therefore there are chances that this study under-reports the adult drowning rates (as compared to GBD estimates are nearly 4 times higher for the year 2003). This can be something that should be considered for adult injuries in future.

6. Discussion: the term 'Regular Travelling' should be replaced by 'Commuting' in the sentence - "a large number of the population use water transport for regular travelling"

7. Discussion: As indicated in #5 above, it is suspected that this study under-reports adult drowning mortality. But the estimates for children are closer to GBD estimates. Is it due to the fact - "In this study, the main three causes of death due to drowning were bathing, working and travelling." or the authors' intention was to say the disaster related drownings for adults were not included.
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I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.
The topic of the article:
This is a well written epidemiologically sound and statistically valid original research article on an important topic of public health, titled ‘Epidemiology of adulthood drowning deaths in Bangladesh: Findings from a nationwide health and injury survey’ highlighting several crucial issues pertaining to drowning epidemiology in the country.

The quality & merit of this paper:
This research paper is based on the analysis of a nationwide cross-sectional survey conducted in Bangladesh in 2003. Data analysis that this study attempted highlights several scientific potentials, public health implications and policy issues. These important findings add values in the current knowledge-base on fatal adult drowning not only in Bangladesh but also in global science - which has the potentiality of being replicated by other researchers/scientists from other countries.

Overall comment on this manuscript reviewed
I recommend this paper for publication in F1000Research but it would definitely carry more value if the authors consider in bringing certain minor changes, as I suggested below:

The title:
It would have sound better as ‘Epidemiology of fatal adult drowning in Bangladesh: Findings from a nationwide health and injury survey’ – it the authors consider only as fine.

The Methodology part in abstract (on page 1 of 7):
Right on the first line of the methodology section, it would have been more rationale to add… 'This updated paper based on a..' so as to read the first line of methodology as ‘This updated paper based on a nationwide cross-sectional survey was conducted… again only if the authors consider it as fine (Thus to make relevant corrections in methodology section on page 3, too)

The Introduction: (on page 3 of 7)
Even there is no big mistake or major flaw in the introduction section it might have sound more logical & lucid if the authors consider the 2nd and 3rd paragraph of 'Introduction' section to be framed (re-written) as shown below (first paragraph of introduction looks fine):

Bangladesh is a low-lying, riverine country located in the subtropical region of South Asia and bordering with the Bay of Bengal. The country has a landmass of 147,570 square kilometers being world’s 8th-most densely populated countries in the world with a population of 160 million people.

Having a tropical monsoon climate it is characterized by heavy rainfall and melted-snow from the Himalayan territory, leading to three large rivers: the Ganges, the Brahmaputra and the Meghna. Daily life in Bangladesh exposes people to water bodies, such as ponds, ditches, rivers, canals and the ocean- which serve the daily household needs, particularly in rural areas including agriculture, fishing and
transportation. In adjunct to country’s geographic & climatic phenomena drowning plausibly effects all ages of the Bangladeshi population compounded by round-the-year prevailing natural disasters like cyclone, flood, hurricane, tidal bore, etc.

Most of the research conducted in Bangladesh on drowning remains focused on childhood drowning 8–10. In Bangladesh, there is no established routine mortality registration system 11, that also may have contributed in the inadequacy of research 12, resulting in ‘unknown adult drowning deaths’ often among the adult population. To design an appropriate preventive measure in reducing adult drowning, it is imperative to determine the nationwide burden of drowning. Further, drowning mostly occurs among rural populations in Bangladesh 8, so robust data from community-based household survey remains crucial.

Based on the aforementioned facts & figures, this study was conducted with the objective of estimating gender-specific fatal adult drowning in Bangladesh including seasons and place of residence using the data of a nationally representative survey.

Data availability: (on page 6 of 7)
It is very well referenced, adequately explained and logically utilized (Available data). Only that this section may be taken to methodology section to insert right between 'Statistical analysis' and ‘Results’ sections (on page on page 4 of 7)

Final comment on the quality of this manuscript reviewed to be published:
I strongly recommend that this original article written well scientifically being very sound epidemiologically, pertinent methodologically and valid statistically to be published in F1000Research. This will add values in pertinent topic not only in national (Bangladesh) but also in global data-archiving system towards enhancing the current knowledge base on fatal adult drowning issues.
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William D. Ramos  
Department of Recreation, Park, and Tourism Studies, IU School of Public Health-Bloomington, Indiana University Bloomington, Bloomington, IN, USA

The article is timely and relevant. The authors are correct in stating that there is little attention given to adult drowning across the world. This type of epidemiological study is crucial as a first step to developing effective strategies for intervention.

I would like to see more explanation on how rural versus urban settings were determined as well. In regards to distance of incident to homes, it should be stated that since it was measured visually by data collectors there may be some issues with accuracy.

More clarification on self-reported swimming ability would also be helpful to better understand the validity of that variable.

I'm cautious about the use of the Wikipedia source cited in the discussion.

Overall the article is well developed and methodologically sound. Conclusion drawn appropriately from the data.
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