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Abstract

Background: The purposes of this pilot retrospective observational cohort study are to determine: 1) the safety and efficacy of corneal photovitrification (CPV), a corneal laser procedure, for vision improvement in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD) and other retinal disorders involving central vision loss, and 2) the correlation between post-treatment (post-treatment (Tx)) best-corrected distance visual acuity (BCDVA) and pre-Tx potential visual acuity (PVA).

Methods: BCDVA measurements using ETDRS charts and PVA measurements using Gonzalez-Markowitz PVA charts were analyzed for 72 eyes that received a single CPV treatment.

Results: Safety - No clinically significant complications or serious adverse events occurred. Efficacy – Mean BCDVA improved significantly from 20/303 (1.18 log of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR), 26 letters) at pre-Tx to 20/208 (1.02 logMAR, 34 letters) at 1m post-Tx (p = 0.000001) for a cohort of 57 eyes, improving to 20/198 (1.00 logMAR, 35 letters; 11.6 mean letters gained) at 12m (p = 0.0009) for a cohort of 29 eyes. Mean pre-Tx PVA gains correlated well with mean BCDVA improvements at 1m, 3m, 6m and 12m post-Tx.

Conclusions: Subject to a small sample size, the CPV corneal laser procedure is safe and efficacious for vision improvement in patients with nAMD and other retinal disorders involving central vision loss. The pre-Tx PVA correlates well with post-Tx BCDVA; the PVA test may be useful for screening of candidate eyes for CPV treatment and for managing expectations.
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Introduction
Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is the most common cause of blindness in developed countries. For the neovascular form of AMD (nAMD; aka “wet” AMD), the standard of care is the management of the disease by intravitreal injections of anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) drugs with a primary goal of reducing the progression of the disease. However, the magnitude of “real-life” vision improvement is typically small, leaving many patients still with significant vision impairment. Other retinal disorders, including non-neovascular AMD (aka “dry” AMD) and diabetic macular edema (DME) also lead to significant vision impairment. The purpose of the present study is to describe the corneal photovitrification (CPV) corneal laser procedures and outcomes of the procedure that provide additional and significant vision improvement in patients with nAMD and other retinal disorders involving central vision loss. All of these patients have received and continue to receive standard of care routine treatments such as anti-VEGF injections. A preliminary version of this work was presented, in part, at the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Annual Meeting on 1–7 May 2021.

Methods
Study design
This retrospective observational cohort study (registered with ClinicalTrials.gov NCT 04693702 on 5 January 2021) was completed in conformance with ethical principles of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol (Pro00048890) was approved on 16 February 2021 by an institutional review board (Advarra. Aurora, Ontario, Canada). Written informed consent, after explanation of the nature and possible consequences of the study and with a provision for release of medical records, was obtained from each patient. Treatments had been completed by one physician (Robert G. Devenyi, MD, FRCSC – a retinal specialist.)

The methods seen in the following section are based upon a previous study completed by the authors. However, the current study is concentrated on the treatment of nAMD rather than dry AMD which was the focus of the previous study.

Participants
Examinations were analyzed for 72 eyes (53 nAMD, 9 dry AMD, 3 diabetic macular edema (DME), 3 macular hole, 2 myopic macular degeneration (MMD), and 2 branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO)] of 54 patients [31F, 23M; mean ± standard deviation (SD) age: 79.1 (± 10.7) y] with retinal disorders with central vision loss that received one treatment in each eye using the same device and protocol. Eyes were either pseudophakic or phakic with no visually significant cataract. Exclusion criteria included previous corneal surgery and visually significant ocular disease other than AMD and other retinal disorders involving central vision loss.

Examinations on both treated and untreated eyes included slit-lamp biomicroscopy; subjective manifest refraction (SMR); BCDVA using early treatment diabetic retinopathy study (ETDRS) eye charts; and potential visual acuity (PVA) using Gonzalez-Markowitz charts (Precision Vision, Woodstock, IL) at 50 cm examination distance.

CPV treatments were completed using a Clear-K® Low Vision Aid System (Optimal Acuity Corporation, Austin, TX, USA) to deliver pulsed laser energy simultaneously to the cornea in 4 spots of 0.5 mm diameter arranged symmetrically 90° apart and located on a 6.0 mm diameter ring centered on the pupillary centroid. Laser parameters included 2 µm wavelength, 150 ms pulse duration and 48 to 50 mJ energy per spot. Laser light was transmitted from the console through an optical fiber array terminated by a handpiece that docks onto a sapphire applanation window/suction ring (SAWSR) assembly mounted on the eye. Laser energy was delivered through the SAWSR onto the eye in order to provide a fixed location of treatment spots with epithelial protection (by the sapphire window acting as a heat sink) from thermal damage. Patients were reclined to a supine position, given a drop of topical anesthetic in the eye to be treated, and then treated.

Statistical analysis
Statistical significances of paired outcomes were assessed by Wilcoxon signed rank tests. OD and OS logMAR values for correlated bilateral treatments were averaged for BCDVA at baseline and at each follow-up time (1 month, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months post-Tx) in order to calculate statistical significances of post- vs. pre-Tx differences. Statistical significances of the correlations between treatment efficacy and other variables were determined by shuffling the data and calculating the fraction of shuffled trials with correlation greater than the observed data. Microsoft Excel 365 (Microsoft, US; RRID: SCR_106137) and Igor Pro 8 (Wavemetrics, Lake Oswego, OR, USA)
functions were used for statistical analyses.

**Results**

**Safety**
No clinically significant complications or serious adverse events occurred.

**Efficacy**
Outcomes for treated and untreated eyes are shown in Figure 1 in terms of mean BCDVA letters of vision gained on ETDRS eye charts vs. follow-up time for three groups of eyes (nAMD, non-nAMD treated eyes and untreated eyes). Table 1 summarizes descriptive statistics of outcomes (BCDVA letters gained) for nAMD eyes [with bilateral treatments (calculated with inter-eye correlations)], with unilateral treatments, and with all treatments, for other (non-nAMD) treated eyes, for all treated eyes and for untreated eyes.

For treated nAMD eye groups, outcomes are statistically significant at the p<0.05 level for most post-Tx times with the exception of the bilateral Tx and unilateral Tx groups at 12m post-Tx; the bilateral Tx group at 12m post-Tx has too small a sample size (n=5) to calculate statistical significance and the unilateral Tx group at 12m post-Tx has near statistically significant improvement (with p = 0.066). The largest mean (±SD) gain of 14.1 ± 16.5 letters in BCDVA was achieved at 3m post-Tx for the nAMD Txs group. 34.9% (15 of 43) of treated nAMD eyes gained 15 or more letters (3 or more lines) of BCDVA by 1m post-Tx and this success percentage increased to 40% (8 of 20 eyes) at 12m post-Tx. Figure 2 shows the percentages of changes in lines of BCDVA at 12m post-Tx for treated nAMD eyes; 10% (2 of 20 eyes) lost three or more lines of BCDVA, 10% (2 of 20 eyes) were unchanged and 80% (16 of 20 eyes) gained one or more lines of BCDVA.

For treated non-nAMD eyes [i.e., eyes with dry AMD (n=9), DME (n=3), macular hole (n=3), MMD (n=2) and BRVO (n=2)] BCDVA improvement outcomes are statistically significant (p<0.05) at 1m and 3m post-Tx but not at 6m and 12m post-Tx, probably due to small sample sizes at later post-Tx times. The BCDVA improvements for the non-nAMD group were less than the improvements for the nAMD group at 1m through 6m post-Tx times. However, the 12m post-Tx outcome of 10.4 ± 13.5 letters improvement for the non-nAMD group was comparable to the nAMD group outcome. Outcomes at 6m (for n=5 eyes) and at 12m (for n=6 eyes) for the dry AMD group were similar to previous dry AMD outcomes at those post-Tx times.

Figure 3 shows mean 1m post-Tx BCDVA letters gained vs. mean pre-Tx BCDVA letters for all treated eyes. The data range for mean pre-Tx BCDVA letters in Figure 3 is from 5 letters (1.60 logMAR, 20/800 Snellen) to 60 letters (0.50 logMAR, 20/63 Snellen). The negative correlation in Figure 3 is statistically significant (p = 0.033). Table 2 lists percentages of treated eyes with 1m post-Tx outcomes of ≥10, ≥15 and ≥20 letters improvement for cohorts of pre-Tx BCDVA values. For all treated eyes with both 1m and 12m post-Tx exams (n=23), the mean (± SD) BCDVA gains increased from 2.0 (± 2.4) lines at

**Figure 1.** Mean best-corrected distance visual acuity (BCDVA) Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) chart letters gained for neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD) post treatment (post-tx) in treated eyes (top), other treated eyes (middle) and untreated eyes (bottom).
Figure 2. Best-corrected distance visual acuity (BCDVA) changes from baseline for neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD) treated eyes at 12m post-treatment (post-Tx). The histogram shows the percentage of eyes that lost lines of BCDVA, were unchanged, or gained 1, 2, or 3 or more lines of BCDVA.

Table 1. Top neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD), second from top (non-nAMD), third from top (all treated eyes), bottom (untreated): Best spectacle-corrected distance visual acuity Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study letters gained. Each entry contains the mean, standard deviation, sample size (n) and p-value. n/a: not applicable due to small sample size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1m</th>
<th>3m</th>
<th>6m</th>
<th>12m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treated nAMD Eyes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral treatments (Txs) with intereye correlation</td>
<td>10.5 ± 8.4 n=11 p=0.005</td>
<td>15.6 ± 14.5 n=6 p=0.03</td>
<td>8.4 ± 9.6 n=9 p=0.012</td>
<td>12.7 ± 15.7 n=5 p=n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral Txs</td>
<td>9.7 ± 15.7 n=21 p=0.005</td>
<td>12.8 ± 18.4 n=15 p=0.012</td>
<td>15.0 ± 7.8 n=13 p=0.005</td>
<td>13.6 ± 15.7 n=10 p=0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Txs without intereye correlation</td>
<td>10.1 ± 12.3 n=43 p=0.000014</td>
<td>14.1 ± 16.5 n=27 p=0.0001</td>
<td>11.2 ± 13.8 n=31 p=0.00003</td>
<td>12.4 ± 15.2 n=20 p=0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treated non-nAMD Eyes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Txs without intereye correlation</td>
<td>6.6 ± 11.5 n=14 p=0.046</td>
<td>5.1 ± 12.0 n=15 p=0.041</td>
<td>6.1 ± 13.1 n=7 p=0.50</td>
<td>10.4 ± 13.5 n=9 p=0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Treated Eyes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Txs without intereye correlation</td>
<td>9.3 ± 12.1 n=57 p=0.000001</td>
<td>11.1 ± 15.8 n=42 p=0.00005</td>
<td>10.2 ± 15.6 n=38 p=0.00013</td>
<td>11.6 ± 14.7 n=29 p=0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Untreated Eyes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Untreated Eyes</td>
<td>1.5 ± 3.8 n=25 p=0.32</td>
<td>-0.1 ± 8.7 n=20 p=0.55</td>
<td>2.1 ± 9.3 n=8 p=0.57</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 11.4 n=12 p=0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


1m to 2.6 (± 2.6) lines at 12m, without statistical significance (p=0.13). The outcomes were nearly constant over the 1m through 12m post-Tx period.

Untreated eyes gained 1.5, -0.1, 2.1 and -1.2 mean BCDVA letters at 1m, 3m, 6m and 12m post-Tx, respectively; no outcome was statistically significant.

Pre-Tx PVA measurements demonstrated variable improvements compared to pre-Tx BCDVA measurements, with a range of 0 to 45 letters improvement and a mean (± SD) improvement of 20.6 ± 10.6 letters. Figure 4 shows mean 1m post-Tx BCDVA improvements as a function of mean PVA gains (compared to pre-Tx BCDVAs) for three groups of treated eyes with three ranges of PVA gains: Group A with PVA gains between 0 to 17 letters, Group B with PVA gains between 20 to 31 letters and Group C with PVA gains of ≥35 letters. The positive correlation in Figure 4 is statistically significant (p = 0.0018). Overall, the mean 1m post-Tx BCDVA improvement is 0.45 times the mean PVA gain for the 57 treated eyes that had PVA measurements. The Pearson correlation coefficient (Pcc) for 1m post-Tx BCDVA improvements vs. PVA gains is 0.99. The corresponding Pccs for 3m, 6m and 12m post-Tx BCDVA improvements vs. PVA gains are 0.97, 0.99 and 0.89, respectively. Overall, the mean BCDVA improvements are 0.52, 0.51 and 0.50 times the mean PVA gains for the cohorts of treated eyes at 1m, 3m and 6m post-Tx, respectively. For Group A (PVA gains between 0 and 3.3 lines), the success percentages of obtaining 1 or more lines of BCDVA gain is 58.3% (14 of 24) at 1m and 62.5% (5 of 8) at 12m post-Tx. For Groups B+C (PVA gains of

---

**Figure 3.** Mean 1m post-treatment (post-Tx) best-corrected distance visual acuity (BCDVA) letters gained vs. mean pre-Tx BCDVA letters. A linear regression fit is shown. R² is the coefficient of determination for the fit.

**Table 2.** Percentages of treated eyes with 1 month (1m) best-corrected distance visual acuity (BCDVA) gains of ≥10, ≥15 and ≥20 letter for pre-treatment (pre-Tx) BCDVA cohorts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-Tx BCDVA logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (Snellen)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>≥ 10 letters gain</th>
<th>≥ 15 letters gain</th>
<th>≥ 20 letters gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.6 to 1.4 (20/800 to 20/500)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 to 1.1 (20/400 to 20/250)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 to 0.8 (20/200 to 20/125)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7 to 0.5 (20/100 to 20/63)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 or more lines), the success percentages improve to 78.8% (26 of 33) at 1m and 85.7% (18 of 21) at 12m post-Tx.

Discussion

Laser irradiation of the cornea by CPV treatment produces modifications of corneal shape and corneal refraction as described previously. Corneal refraction changes redistribute the pattern of light that irradiates the retina, a process termed retinal irradiance distribution modification (IDM).

The proposed mechanism of action has two components with different timescales (see Ref. 5 for more discussion):

1 – Optical (prompt) – corneal shape and refractive changes produce prompt retinal IDM that redistributes visible light, in part, from dysfunctional to functional regions of the retina. Visual acuity may also be improved, in part, by a change in preferred retinal locus and improved fixation stability initiated by retinal IDM.

2 – Neuroadaptation (progressive and long-term) – the visual cortex recognizes and processes new retinal information to achieve better perception and to improve eye movement strategy as a function of post-Tx time.

The laser is “eye safe” meaning that the laser light is completely absorbed in the cornea; none of the laser light propagates through the cornea to irradiate the lens or the retina. The cornea is intact after treatment – no corneal tissue is removed, cut or punctured and the corneal epithelium is protected from thermal damage. Indentation of corneal tissue in Tx spots is caused by reduction of water content as demonstrated by laser Raman spectromicroscopy measurements. The resulting tissue compaction in Tx spots produces CPV in which the modulus of corneal tissue is increased, changing treated anterior corneal stroma from a gel-like state to a more glass-like state, as demonstrated by atomic force microscopy measurements. The Tx spots had the same appearance as previously described.

Each eye received only a single treatment. There were no clinically significant safety problems seen in any of the patients, such as severe adverse events or clinically significant complications.

The “standard of care” for nAMD eyes is management by anti-VEGF intravitreal injections. Pivotal randomized clinical trials (RCTs) demonstrated the efficacy of ranibizumab (Lucentis®) and aflibercept (Eylea®) injections in reducing the progression of nAMD: at 12m post-Tx, only 4% to 5% of the treated eyes lost 15 or more ETDRS letters compared to ca. 38% of sham (untreated) eyes. However, BCDVA gains were modest: at 12m post-Tx, ≤ 33.8% and ≤ 37.5% of treated eyes gained 15 or more ETDRS letters with mean gains of ≤ 7.2 and ≤ 10.9 letters. “Real-life” outcomes of anti-VEGF therapy for nAMD eyes are significantly worse than RCT outcomes: in 2227 eyes at 1 and 2 years after initiation of anti-VEGF therapy, the mean gains were only 2.4 and 0.6 letters, respectively. Extrapolation of “real-life” outcomes to 5.8 years after initiation of anti-VEGF therapy (the mean time for nAMD eyes in this study) provides an estimate of net loss of ≥5 letters.

In contrast to those anti-VEGF monotherapy results, the present combination therapy (of anti-VEGF injections plus CPV Tx)
at 12m post-CPV Tx adds onto “real-life” outcomes: 40% of eyes gained 15 or more letters, with a mean gain of 12.4 letters. (The two cases that lost 15 or more ETDRS letters had gains at earlier post-Tx times; the causes of losses at 12m post-Tx are due to unknown, but probably not CPV Tx, reasons.) Combination therapy appears to be greatly beneficial to nAMD patients for vision improvement. BCDVA improvements for nAMD patients in this study are similar to previous BCDVA improvements for dry AMD patients at 12m post-Tx: 12.4 ± 15.2 (nAMD) vs. 11.1 ± 13.1 (dry AMD) mean BCDVA letters gained.

Treatment efficacy has a significant negative correlation with initial visual acuity (cf. Figure 3), such that patients who initially had poorer vision experienced a larger gain in acuity after treatment than patients who started out with better vision. The negative correlation may be due, in part, to a “ceiling effect” associated with the greatest visual acuity that can be achieved for a retina with central vision loss; the foveal and parafoveal regions of the retina provide the greatest visual acuity, so if these regions are dysfunctional, only reduced visual acuity functional regions are available.

PVA gain measurements for treated eyes in this pilot study correlate very well with post-Tx BCDVA improvements (cf. Figure 4). On average, the PVA test appears to be an excellent indicator of mean BCDVA improvements; it can be used as a screening test and as an input to both patient and physician expectations. However, individual PVA test measurements and their correlations with BCDVA gains may vary considerably from average values.

As in a previous study on dry AMD, CPV patients typically experienced rapid and comfortable Tx with no post-Tx requirements for new medications or visual rehabilitation training. The present CPV study involved both unilateral and bilateral Tx, depending on whether one or both eyes needed vision improvement.

This study demonstrated that patients benefit by combination therapy for nAMD eyes in which anti-VEGF injections reduce the progression of the disease and CPV Tx provide very significant vision improvements. “Real-life” rates of discontinuation of anti-VEGF treatments by patients within 2 years after initial injections have been reported to range from 15.7% to 71%1. There are many reasons for discontinuation, including treatment failure. Combination therapy involving CPV Tx may help to reduce treatment failure and hence treatment discontinuation.

Limitations of the present pilot study are:
1 – smaller than expected sample size (with reduced accountability due to COVID-19 lockdowns),
2 – retrospective analysis of outcomes,
3 – follow-up of only 12 months post-Tx and
4 – the eligibility criteria could lead to bias.

Conclusions
As in our previous study involving eyes with dry AMD, the availability of a corneal laser procedure for vision improvement in eyes with nAMD and other retinal disorders involving central vision loss offers a new modality to benefit patients. Although only a few cases of eyes with DME and other non-AMD disorders have similarly benefited by CPV treatment, it is likely that CPV treatment will be broadly useful. The PVA test may be useful for estimating BCDVA gains.
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