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Abstract

The Brassica Information Portal (BIP) is a centralised repository for Brassica phenotypic data. Trait data associated with Brassica research and breeding experiments conducted on Brassica crops, used as vegetables, for livestock fodder and biofuels, is hosted on the site, together with information on the experimental plant materials used, as well as trial design. BIP is an open access and open source project, built on the schema of CropStoreDB, and as such can provide trait data management strategies for any crop data. A new user interface and programmatic submission/retrieval system helps to simplify data access for scientists and breeders. BIP opens up the opportunity to apply big data analyses to data generated by the Brassica Research Community. Here, we present a short description of the current status of the repository.
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Introduction
Brassica is a diverse genus that includes a number of species cultivated as important crops, providing edible roots, leaves, stems, buds, flowers and seed\(^1\). Due to their wide adaptation and ability to thrive under varying agroclimatic conditions\(^2\), Brassica crops are grown all over the world for food, animal fodder and industrial applications.

Given the importance of Brassica species worldwide, integrated approaches to research and plant breeding for crop improvement are required to address future challenges, such as satisfying increased demand for higher quality or producing reliable yields in a more variable environment with sustainable, lower input cultivation systems. Researchers have quantified many aspects of Brassica phenotypic diversity, including the content of industrially important compounds, yield in response to fertiliser input or degree of resilience to fungal pathogens and pests, such as flea beetles, to aid in crop improvement. The ability to host such data generated from these experiments in an open and accessible database promotes the comparison of trait measurements and calculation of genotype-phenotype (G X E) associations across multiple studies in meta-analyses, potentially identifying underlying common mechanisms or previously unrecorded correlations. This is especially important for plant breeders and researchers involved in pre-breeding, leading to generation of new crop varieties. For example, meta-analysis across different populations or environments can help confirm the location of key areas of the genome identified from multiple studies or assist and help avoid potential trait trade-offs of beneficial or deleterious alleles due to linkage drag. The ability to associate phenotypic data with genomic regions, DNA markers, and genome and transcribed sequence provides the potential to expedite the integration of favorable alleles via marker-assisted or genomic selection. However, few plant phenotype databases exist, despite the importance of phenotypic data to render meaning to sequence data.

Maintenance of easily accessible and reusable plant phenotypic data is challenging because, in contrast to standardised approaches used in sequence analysis, traits can be measured with a variety of protocols whose methods are not captured automatically, and there is mostly no consensus on methodologies to record any given trait. Moreover, there is a lack of controlled vocabularies to describe phenotyping metadata adequately. One possible reason is that, as opposed to publishing sequencing data in databases widely used by the research community, such as ArrayExpress\(^3\), GEO (Gene Expression Omnibus)\(^4\), ENA (European Nucleotide Archive)\(^5\) and SRA (Sequence Read Archive)\(^6\), it is not a requirement to submit phenotyping data to a public repository to accompany peer-reviewed publications. There is, to date, no single plant phenotypic database recommended by Nature’s Scientific Data. Compared to sequence data, the formal description of trait and associated meta-data tends to be more complex and crop or species specific.

Data re-use and re-analysis can lead to new knowledge and enhancement in understanding. For example, readily available datasets retrieved from a database can serve 1) as evidence for new claims, 2) to explore existing knowledge and identify gaps, 3) to bridge between research domains or 4) to help with selecting research directions. Additionally, databases can serve as tools for collaboration across countries, fields or areas of expertise and link between research and industry.

Existing Brassica-related databases and analysis tools mostly focus on Brassica genomic information. For example, BRAD\(^7\) hosts genomic data on Brassica genomes. Other databases are projector institute-specific, such as PlabiDB\(^8\), a crop genomic platform that, among others, hosts genomic data from Brassica napus, and GnpIS\(^9\) that, besides genomic information, hosts some phenotype data that is not publicly available. While these databases are useful in their own context, they do not focus on setting or demonstrate the value of community-wide phenotypic data standards, and therefore do not encourage universal trait sharing and data re-use.

Resources, such as TAIR (arabidopsis.org)\(^8\), or Araport (araport.org)\(^10\) and the 1001genomes project\(^11\), provide data storage and analysis tools for the Arabidopsis Scientific community. Due to the close evolutionary and taxonomic relatedness of the model plant Arabidopsis in the Brassicaceae, Arabidopsis-related databases or platforms for genotype and phenotype information provide valuable insights into the underlying Brassica biology, and potential insights into the crop analysis tools and resources of the future. However, current Arabidopsis resources are not directly applicable to recording genotype-phenotype specific traits of Brassica crops.

CropStoreDB\(^12\), the first systematic Brassica phenotype database, was launched as part of InterStoreDB stemming from previous efforts such as Integrated Marker System for Oilseed Rape Breeding\(^13\), and Brassocita\(^14\). CropStore hosts Brassica trait data, connecting it with relevant sequences in SeqStore for genotype and phenotype data integration.

The Brassica Information Portal builds on the CropStoreDB schema, and provides the technologies to enable efficient storage, classification and management of phenotyping data. Its unique features are the universally applicable Brassica trait Ontology, a Digital Object Identifier (DOI) for each dataset, login via ORCiD (https://orcid.org/), cross-references to sequence information and primary data, user-friendly wizard-based submissions, and Application Programming Interface (API) based data submission and retrieval. In addition, submitted data can be kept private for a user-defined period of time. Extensions for visual data exploration and tools for associative analysis are intended in the future releases, to provide a desired set of features for the Brassica community.

Methods
To address the challenges facing a phenotypic trait database, the BIP has implemented rigorous use of ontologies and standards. One element is a dictionary of Brassica-specific traits created according to CropOntology\(^15\) for that purpose. The CropOntology phenotype annotation model is based on the combination of “trait method and scale”, as it is grounded in breeder’s dataset-formats\(^16\). This makes it especially suitable for describing pre-breeding material traits hosted in the portal. The level of detail in the trait definition makes it possible to select meaningful traits for analysis. Hence, the CropOntology encourages a way of defining
a trait to facilitate meta-analysis, integrated data analysis and data
discovery, some of the main aims of the repository besides data
storage.

Further incorporation of ontology includes plant anatomy terms
from Plant Ontology and taxonomical classification from
Gramene’s Taxonomy Ontology. Cultivar names are curated, and
submissions are checked against this curated list.

Initial minimal requirements for trial, population and trait
description have been identified and are made compulsory during
submission, to associate meaningful experimental meta-data with
the trait scores. BIP development is benefitting from discussions
on standard minimal requirements for plant phenotyping experi-
ment (MIAPPE) data currently ongoing as part of the Excelerate
project run by ELIXIR consortium. MIAPPE functions as a
checklist of attributes that can be used to describe each experimen-
tal unit and allows the representation of the most useful
information captured by researchers to allow comprehensive
data sharing and reuse. A similar approach has been applied
previously for other data types, including gene expression
microarrays (MIAME), sequencing (GSC), metabolomics (MSI),
and proteomics (MIAPE).

In BIP, we are focusing on information related to recording
Project, Trial, Sample, Location, Treatment and Trial Environ-
ment. Our contribution includes the definition of MIAPPE fields
and proposal of ontologies to ensure there is standardised content
to describe Brassica phenotyping experiments mapped to these
requirements. With regard to file types, the choice of standard input
and output file is the .csv format, as it is readable by most software
tools and humans alike. Further, the API allows for machine-read-
able .json output format.

The content of the database includes population data with
member lines and diversity sets with lines representing cul-
tivars or other ex-situ genetic resource material. Linked to
this hierarchical relationship of cultivars and lines are trait
scores with associated experimental and organisational
metadata. As this repository has inherited its structure and
content from CropStoreDB, it also hosts historical QTL and link-
age map data linked to experimental segregating populations and
trait data. For obvious reasons we do not intend to cater directly
for sequencing data, yet we continue to host genotyping data
generated through modern DNA, RNA sequencing or genotyping
arrays. The BIP currently hosts data from numerous pre-breeding
programmes that include 22 plant trials, with 139,000 trait scores
from 188 different varieties and 26,100 plant lines.

While the repository lays the foundation for more extensive integra-
tion of genotype and phenotype information and tools, it is already
integrated with TGAC Browser to allow cross-linking of single
nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of interest to lines/cultivars in
the BIP that may carry the corresponding SNP.

Implementation

There are three main building blocks that constitute the BIP.
The first element is the relational database, which stores and
manages all BIP data. It uses PostgreSQL RDBMS technology.
BIP’s initial data and the database schema were obtained from the
CropStoreDB system and then underwent several transformations,
including translation from the original MySQL format to the target
PostgreSQL format, i.e. introduction of formal foreign keys and
presence constraints, and a series of data curation procedures to
ensure referential integrity. The BIP database is the core of the
system, enacting all data management operations, issued both
through the web interface and the REST API.

The decision to transfer data to PostgreSQL was taken as it deliv-
ers more advanced, modern capabilities of data management than
MySQL, while still being an open source and a free-of-charge
solution. The overview of the database schema is presented in
Figure 1.

The second element is built on top of the database and is a modern
web application. Its purpose is threefold: (i) it delivers advanced
data browsing interfaces, which allow for data ordering, filtering
and export to CSV documents (available to all users without reg-
istration; see Figure 2) (ii) it implements data submission wizards,
explained in detail in the section below (for registered users only)
(iii) and it gives the possibility of managing data through the API,
which supports reading, loading, querying, searching, creating and
publishing data.

The web application was created using the Ruby on Rails
framework. All web requests are channelled through the Nginx web
server, which in turn routes them to a web application logic server
(a so-called reverse proxy setup). All traffic is secured with an
encrypted HTTPS protocol. User registration is implemented using
the third party authentication solution (orcid.org).

The third constituent element of the BIP is the data indexing and
full-text search engine. It analyzes all records in every table of the
database, including all new data being submitted by users, and
creates a search index. The BIP web search functionality (present
on the front page of the website; see Figure 2) and its API search
capability (available via programmatic access to registered users)
is integrated with the search engine in order to deliver the arbitrary
term search. For every submitted search term, the engine first looks
the term up in the index, and if found, responds with a set of data-
table records that include this term. This element of the BIP is cre-
ated using Elasticsearch technology.

All three elements are presented in Figure 3, together with respec-
tive data transfer channels between them. Deployment-wise, the
database system, the web application logic server, and the indexing
and search engine all reside within a single system. If, in the future,
the scale of the data managed by the BIP becomes too high for cur-
rent machine capabilities, it is possible to decouple these elements
in order to distribute the load on several physical servers.

Operation

BIP operates at bip.earlham.ac.uk/ and is publicly accessible at
this address, as a web resource. However, it is possible to use BIP
open source to deploy one’s own BIP instance. In such a case, BIP
will require a server, either physical or virtual, with at least two
contemporary CPU cores, and at least four gigabytes of operational memory. Due to its internal architecture, described in the previous section, two processor cores are required to achieve a smooth user experience along with simultaneous maintenance of fast database and indexing engine responses. Since the DB and the web application itself makes a heavy use of disk caching mechanisms to provide faster response to user queries, it is also beneficial, though not absolutely required, to equip the server with fast hard drives. The storage space needed depends on the amount of data one plans to deposit in the instance of BIP, but the application itself has no
Users initiate actions with either their web browsers or API clients (blue elements). All requests go through respective access control layers (these ensure e.g. that a given set of data is accessible to the user), before they contact the data management layer to retrieve (or commit) data from (to) the database. Access mode is read/write (solid arrows). Additionally the search engine could be required to search through gathered data (dashed arrows) - it's a read-only access mode. Finally the data layer informs the search engine about any new data to be indexed (the dotted arrow).

Submission and download of data
Experimental data is generally recorded in spreadsheet format. Therefore, both the web form wizard provided within the tool, and the available Ruby script client, use spreadsheet formats as templates to assist the user in uploading the data. Data are submitted in two separate logical batches. First, information about the experimental plant population needs to be submitted. Second, trait data from Trials performed on these plants are submitted during Trait Scoring Submission. This enables the submission of multiple trials per experimental Plant Population.

A wizard-based submission of Population and Trial Data data guides the user through all compulsory and optional field contents that can be submitted to the database. During Population Submission (Figure 5A), a four-step process asks the user to enter population related information, including the submission of the actual lines and associated metadata in a .csv template designed in step 3. The purpose of the template is to make it easier to appropriately format large data sets and avoids spelling mistakes in the uploaded file’s header. The standard template used during Population Submission is shown in Supplementary Figure 1B. Plant variety names are checked for their existence and correct spelling against the database. The final step is the submission of data provenance information. Compulsory metadata for Population Submissions is listed in Supplementary Table 1.

During Trial Submission (Figure 5B), the first steps involve entering trial related information, before the traits studied in the trial are selected or defined in step 2. To avoid duplicated traits, the interface suggests traits based on an elastic search using two or more letters typed by the user. If traits are unavailable, the user...
Wizards for Population Submission A) and Trait Scoring Submission B) comprise number of steps collecting required metadata, to generate customised templates for data collection and to finally submit complete data sets.

A Ruby submission client facilitates the automated submission of new experimental populations and trial data. It parses an input .csv file searching for the elements (input columns) to be submitted. These input columns need previously to have been mapped against the database fields by the registered user. For each line in the .csv, new entries are created in the database and this content is then submitted to the database using the BIP API. Using the Ruby client tool is not required - it is provided only as a convenient alternative to users who prefer scripting approach to data submission and analysis.

The registered user is entitled to upload data directly to the database, which may then become public within seconds of submission. However, to ensure confidentiality of data for an ongoing project, at user’s discretion, a submission embargo can keep data private for a defined period. Once public and older than the revocation period, datasets could be assigned (on user’s demand) with individual DOIs, which act as a reference in publications.
Conclusions
The Brassica Information Portal (BIP) has been designed as an open-access, open-source, phenotype data storage resource and will facilitate addition of other features in the future. The general motivation for the BIP was to develop technologies enabling efficient use of phenotyping data. The creation of the database and its standards lays the foundation for Brassica genotype-phenotype studies drawing phenotypic data from this repository.

Availability of a repository for integrative phenotype data should encourage the Brassica research community to store trait data in an open access repository, in a similar manner as for other data types, such as sequence, metabolomic and protein structure data, and share it with the research community. Ultimately, the Portal will facilitate understanding of Brassica diversity and trait variation for future research, breeding programmes and crop improvement.

With instant submission to the repository, it remains the primary responsibility of the submitter to guarantee the quality of the data. However, cross-linking with existing ontologies and spelling control holds errors in check prior to submission. Further, compulsory fields during submission ensure metadata minimal requirements are met for meaningful data interpretation in the future. Furthermore, each submission is granted a one week revocation period. During that time, the submitting author is asked to perform all important data quality checks, and in case of any discrepancies or inconsistencies are found, the author is able to revoke the publication, repair the submitted dataset, and publish it again. After a week has elapsed since the submission, the data are made read-only, and it can no longer be altered or removed. Moreover, it can then be accessed and quoted by other users as long as the embargo is lifted. The author may request a DOI number assigned to the submission, so it is possible to cite it in publications.

Future plans include the support of submission of imaging data to CyVerse, allowing BIP to serve as a single entry-point for multiple data types relevant to crop improvement studies. At the same time, visualisation tools will be developed to call and display the CyVerse-hosted images from within the BIP interface. This will include 1) images of single plant parts underlying definitions of specific traits; 2) time lapse images of single plants; and 3) time lapse images of field trials generated by high-throughput techniques, e.g. drone flights. Statistical analysis of phenotypic data will be performed with incorporated of R/Shiny applications run directly on the BIP server. For example, association studies could be performed with uploaded genotyping data matching selected plant lines with corresponding trait measurements available in the BIP. More computationally costly operations will be supported through development and incorporation of analytical workflows executed externally, e.g. using CyVerse infrastructure. Additionally, linkage markers recorded in the database will be cross-linked with their corresponding sequences within EnsemblPlants. This will make it possible to visualise the location of the markers in context with the genome sequence and genes in close proximity.

Finally, BIP API will be further refined by implementing additional content checks, e.g. by cross-linking to Global and European cultivar registers (Plant variety database - European Commission, Community Plant Variety Office, CPVO Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Variety List Query) and to comply with ELIXIR standards (currently under development) to facilitate common data exchange and communication with other bioinformatics resources.

Summary
We have introduced the Brassica Information Portal, a web repository for Brassica phenotype data. This article describes the current state of development and future plans. We describe the back end database schema and the front end web-interface. Also, we describe programmatic and wizard-based submission of Population and Trial data together with fields compulsory for submission to the repository. It was originally intended that this repository meet the needs of the Brassica Research Community in the UK for relevant experimental data. However, it is apparent from discussions within the Multinational Brassica Genome Project that it can now fulfill a broader community infrastructure requirements. We therefore hope that BIP encourages data sharing and re-use by helping to integrate and harmonise datasets generated worldwide.
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Supplementary Figure 1: Examples of .csv templates generated during (A) Trait Scoring Submission and (B) Population Submission. The latter can change substantially depending on the type of data submitted. In this case raw data is being submitted as the template asks for information on the trial design.

Click here to access the data.

Supplementary Table 1: List of fields that are compulsory during the submission of Populations and Trial (Trait Scoring) data.

Click here to access the data.
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BIP makes good use of the current standards for plant phenotyping data, in particular MIAPPE and Cropontology. By being implicated in the current evolutions of MIAPPE, the BIP team demonstrate its will to ensure interoperability of this system with international standards. This is important for long term sustainability.

The data submission process is well described. It shows that significant efforts have been made to ensure data quality and to ease data managers task. Data consistency between population, ontologies and trait datasets should be ensured with the chosen mechanisms. Data citation is well ensured by a DOI generation for datasets.
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**Is the rationale for developing the new software tool clearly explained?**
Yes

**Is the description of the software tool technically sound?**
Partly

**Are sufficient details of the code, methods and analysis (if applicable) provided to allow replication of the software development and its use by others?**
Yes

**Is sufficient information provided to allow interpretation of the expected output datasets and any results generated using the tool?**
Yes

**Are the conclusions about the tool and its performance adequately supported by the findings presented in the article?**
Yes

**Competing Interests:** Collaboration in the Elixir Plant use case.

**Referee Expertise:** Distributed information systems, computer science, phenotyping data management

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

---

**Author Response 20 Nov 2017**

**Wiktor Jurkowski, Earlham Institute, UK**

Dear Dr Pommier,

Thank you very much for your time and feedback! A new version of this manuscript has been published. I hope we were able to improve technical description of the resource.

Kind regards,

Wiktor Jurkowski

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.
Christopher J. Rawlings

Department of Computational and Analytical Sciences, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, UK

The background to the development of the Brassica Information Portal and its origins in the CropStore system are well described. This paper is an update on the work in progress to deliver an integrated resource linking phenotype to genotype data for Brassica species.

There are welcome new developments in the BIP including greater use of ontologies, in particular links with the MIAPPE initiative to capture phenotype in a systematic way and to adopt emerging metadata standards for crop phenotyping projects.

A summary of the contents demonstrates that the BIP already comprises a significant scale repository for Brassica pre-breeding data and where relevant this is linked to SNP data visible with a genome browser.

This paper is about the BIP as an information resource, rather than a publication of a new method. The paper provides a high level view of the technical implementation, reporting on adoption of the PostgreSQL relational database technology and the general use of Restful services as the basis for the data management operations in the BIP, including those that support improved interfaces for data loading and for browsing. A conceptual database schema is presented for the BIP database.

There are other areas of BIP that are adopting good practise in science data management, including the use of Orcid identifiers for user authentication and data search and retrieval using Elasticsearch technology.

The paper provides overviews of key data management tasks and gives more information in the Supplementary material provided with the manuscript. There are also links to the Open Source repository Github where the software for BIP is available and to the example code for querying the data programmatically.

Overall, this is an informative paper about the current state of the Brassica Information Portal. It is not a primary research paper, but rather a report of work in progress supporting the Brassica research community with a useful resource and software that could be re-used for other crops where there is a requirement for the management and dissemination of crop genotype-phenotype datasets.

While there has been good progress so far towards developing the software so that the system supports open access to the software and data, it remains unclear to what extent the FAIR principles of data stewardship are being embedded in the BIP software. (e.g. Wilkinson et al1). In particular, it would be helpful for the authors to describe their plans for exposing discoverability metadata and how they view the role of BIP as a “FAIRport” for Brassica data.
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