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Abstract
Intrathecal drug delivery is an effective pain management option for patients with chronic and cancer pain. The delivery of drugs into the intrathecal space provides superior analgesia with smaller doses of analgesics to minimize side effects while significantly improving quality of life. This article aims to provide a general overview of the use of intrathecal drug delivery to manage pain, dosing recommendations, potential risks and complications, and growing trends in the field.
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**Introduction**

Intrathecal drug delivery (ITDD or IDD) is a method which provides analgesia by a direct continuous infusion of medication into the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). The rationale is based on the concept that direct delivery into the CSF within the intrathecal space avoids crossing the blood-brain barrier and allows delivery of smaller doses than oral, intravenous, transdermal or epidural drug administration. The spinal cord is a key anatomical site for pain processing and more specifically the dorsal horn of the spinal cord within the intrathecal space. There are various receptor targets within the spinal column that can be utilized in relieving pain. These receptors include opioid (mu, kappa, and delta), GABA, alpha-2, dopaminergic, NMDA as well as sodium and calcium channels. The advantage of delivering the medication directly into the CSF in smaller dosages to target the specific receptors is that it may reduce the systemic side effects and the direct delivery to the site of action allows a more rapid and effective response. As a popular alternative for patients experiencing cancer or chronic pain, intrathecal analgesia has become a therapeutic option for patients who have exhausted all other treatment avenues as well as patients experiencing side effects from their current treatment options. The system uses a small pump that is surgically placed under the skin of the abdomen and delivers medication through a tunneled catheter directly into the intrathecal space. The pump is refilled periodically through a subcutaneous access port in an office setting utilizing a sterile technique. The pump can be programmed to be a fixed-rate, constant flow device or a variable rate pump with the option of boluses via patient controlled analgesia programming using a wireless radiofrequency transmitter given to the patient. In contrast to more conservative oral or intravenous delivery methods, ITDD is becoming increasingly popular for its efficacy in managing cancer and chronic pain. This review will specifically target ITDD uses for patients with cancer-related pain although its use has also been very popular in patients with chronic non-cancer pain.

**Cancer pain: epidemiology**

The incidence of deaths due to cancer has been estimated to be 6.6 million people globally each year. Pain is often considered as the most feared symptom amongst individuals living with cancer and it can occur at any point during the course of the illness. Pain is often also the first sign of malignancy. In contrast to more conservative oral or intravenous delivery methods, ITDD is becoming increasingly popular for its efficacy in managing cancer and chronic pain. This review will specifically target ITDD uses for patients with cancer-related pain although its use has also been very popular in patients with chronic non-cancer pain.

**Prevalence of pain related to cancer**

According to a systematic review of the past 40 years by van den Beuken et al. published in the Annals of Oncology in 2007, the range of reported prevalence of pain is highest for the following tumors:

- Head and neck (67–91%)
- Prostate (56–94%)
- Uterine (30–90%)
- Genitourinary (58–90%)
- Breast (40–89%)
- Pancreatic (72–85%)

**Treatment options**

The various treatment options for cancer pain generally depend on the location, character, duration, its origin, and the success or failure of previous and ongoing treatments. Treatment options for cancer-related pain include oral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, oral opioid analgesics, neuropathic pain medications, parietal opioids, peripheral nerve blocks, neurolysis such as celiac plexus blocks, local anesthetic injections, spinal cord stimulation, continuous epidural analgesia, and intrathecal pumps. In most cases, multiple forms of treatment are used for the most effective treatment combination. Opiates such as morphine, dilaudid, fentanyl, and sufentanil are most commonly administered via the intrathecal drug delivery system for cancer-related and non-malignant pain. There is increasing evidence that intrathecal opioids are superior to oral delivery in malignant pain, especially when narcotic dosage is limited by its side effects. It is believed that this advantage results from delivering extremely small doses on target receptors in the spinal column (see Table 1).

There are other agents also available to treat neuropathic pain, spasticity related pain, sympathetic pain, and visceral pain (see Table 2 for examples). In addition, ITDD systems can also be used to deliver chemotherapy agents such as fluorouridine and methorexate for the treatment of primary or metastatic cancer. Table 2 below lists the most commonly targeted receptors and the medications used for each receptor.

One of the most important clinical decisions a provider has to make is what agent to use in the intrathecal pump and in some cases, multiple forms of treatment are used for the most effective treatment combination. In this review, we looked at the recommendations of The Polyanalgesic Consensus Conference (PACC) 2012 which lists the algorithms for intrathecal therapies in both neuropathic and nociceptive pain (Table 3 and Table 4). The algorithm lists the medications that are arranged in a hierarchy on the basis of evidence of efficacy and safety. The approaches are listed from Line 1 (first line approach) to Line 5 (a more advanced approach if previous approaches are unsuccessful).

**Table 1. Conversion ratios of morphine. All other drugs are converted to Morphine Equivalent Dose (MED) to allow equianalgesic conversions.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route of administration</th>
<th>Conversion ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidural</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrathecal</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Non-malignant pain and other uses

A retrospective study involving 120 patients with non-malignant pain syndromes found that the patients benefited from the intrathecal opiate therapy and the average pain reduction was 67.4% after 6 months from implantation. Over ninety percent of the patients were satisfied with the therapy and 81% reported an improvement in their quality of life.

Besides opiates, other medications such as baclofen (GABA agonist) for spasticity related pain, ziconotide (calcium channel blocker) for severe chronic neuropathic pain, bupivacaine (amide local anesthetic), clonidine (alpha-2 agonist), and ketamine (NMDA antagonist) have also been used in various instances and in specific patient groups. In addition, ITDD systems can also be used to deliver chemotherapy agents such as floxuridine and methorexate for the treatment of primary or metastatic cancer.

Intrathecal drug delivery systems

One of the most common uses of the ITDD system is the delivery of baclofen for spasticity related pain. Baclofen is a GABA-A receptor agonist which can have prominent effect on the motor tone via direct hyperpolarization of the motor horn cells. It is most often utilized in patients suffering from spasticity, cerebral palsy, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Stiff-Pearson syndrome, and those suffering from brain and spinal cord injuries.

### Table 2. Receptor targets for pain medications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors targeted</th>
<th>Intrathecal drug delivery system medication(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opioid (mu, delta, kappa)</td>
<td>Morphine, hydromorphone, fentanyl, sufentanil, methadone, meperidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA</td>
<td>Baclofen, midazolam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Channel Receptors</td>
<td>Local anesthetics such as bupivacaine, levobupivacaine, ropivacaine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDA</td>
<td>Ketamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Channel Receptors</td>
<td>Ziconotide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-2 Receptors</td>
<td>Clonidine, dexmedetomidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other agents (rarely used)</td>
<td>Adenosine, gabapentin, ketorolac, neostigmine, octreotide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The only medications that are FDA approved for ITDD are: Morphine Sulfate, Baclofen, Ziconotide, Floxuridine, and Methotrexate.

### Table 3. 2012 polyanalgesic algorithm for intrathecal (IT) therapies in neuropathic pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line 1</th>
<th>Morphine</th>
<th>Ziconotide</th>
<th>Morphine + bupivacaine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Line 2</td>
<td>Hydromorphone</td>
<td>Hydromorphone + bupivacaine</td>
<td>Morphine + clonidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR Hydromorphone + clonidine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 3</td>
<td>Clonidine</td>
<td>Ziconotide + opioid</td>
<td>Fentanyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR Fentanyl + clonidine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 4</td>
<td>Opioid + clonidine + bupivacaine</td>
<td>Bupivacaine + clonidine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 5</td>
<td>Baclofen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Line 6- Experimental agents: Gabapentin, Octreotide, Conpeptide, Neostigmine, Adenosine, XEN 2174, AM 336, XEN, ZGX 160 (ongoing experiments in animal models).

### Table 4. 2012 polyanalgesic algorithm for intrathecal (IT) therapies in nociceptive pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line 1</th>
<th>Morphine</th>
<th>Hydromorphone</th>
<th>Ziconotide</th>
<th>Fentanyl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Line 2</td>
<td>Morphine + bupivacaine</td>
<td>Ziconotide + opioid</td>
<td>Hydromorphone + bupivacaine</td>
<td>Fentanyl + bupivacaine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 3</td>
<td>Opioid + clonidine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sufentanil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 4</td>
<td>Opioid + clonidine + bupivacaine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sufentanil + bupivacaine or clonidine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 5</td>
<td>Sufentanil + bupivacaine + clonidine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Line 6- Experimental agents: Gabapentin, Octreotide, Conpeptide, Neostigmine, Adenosine, XEN 2174, AM 336, XEN, ZGX 160 (ongoing experiments in animal models).
more direct route to the pain processing center in the spinal cord, the patient generally experiences superior analgesia with fewer side effects such as nausea, pruritis, erythema, flushing, constipation, etc. However, intrathecal delivery of medication also poses significant risks such as respiratory depression, infection, bleeding, epidural/spinal hematomas, spinal cord injury during initial catheter placement, wound dehiscence, pocket seromas, pump malfunction, and costs.

There have been various publications and consensus guidelines in regards to a screening trial before proceeding with pump implantation\textsuperscript{2,3,7}. Previously, trialing was considered to be the standard of care and critical in evaluation of a patient’s response and potential side effects from a certain agent. However, more recently, the PACC 2012 expert panel has called it debatable specifically in cancer-pain patients\textsuperscript{7}. The issues of opioid-induced hyperalgesia (OIH) or disease progression is difficult to assess from a single-shot trial or even with a brief 72–96 hour infusion. A trial may also lead to underestimation of the failure rate and potential side effects. Still, the rationale for performing trials is based on the intuitive basis that it best mimics the system that would eventually be implanted\textsuperscript{7}. Generally speaking, there is currently no solid base to either refute or adopt preimplantation trials but it is generally recommended although not required\textsuperscript{2}. The British Pain Society expert panel suggested that trials should always be performed before the implantation of an intrathecal drug delivery system\textsuperscript{7}.

There is a spectrum of intrathecal system options that range from a percutaneous catheter/external pump to a totally implanted system. Choice is dependent on a number of factors including, but not limited to, life expectancy, cost and availability of professional expertise and patient’s wishes and comfort level.

**Percutaneous**

In general, percutaneous refers to a medical procedure in which a catheter or a medical device is introduced into the body via needle-puncture of the skin. This approach is favored because the catheter is easy to place (fluoroscopy can be used to confirm the position of the catheter and to maneuver it into the desired location) and is suitable for patients with limited life expectancy. The catheter is then attached to an external pump which delivers the medication directly into the intrathecal space. However, it is generally considered only a temporary option due to limitations such as frequent monitoring for infection, catheter migration, and patient’s immobility. The risks from this procedure are similar to performing a spinal anesthetic which include bleeding, infection, headache, spinal cord damage, etc.

**Fully implanted**

Fully implanted drug delivery systems are suitable for long-term use. Mobility and functional activity are not particularly adversely affected by these systems. The implantation is performed by a skilled health care provider in an operating room under monitored anesthesia care with local anesthetic infiltration or general anesthesia. The patients require specialized care with a full multi professional infrastructure including regular follow-up appointments for pump refills. The pump can be programmed to be a fixed-rate, constant flow device or a variable rate pump with the option of boluses via patient controlled analgesia programming using a wireless radiofrequency transmitter given to the patient. Fixed rate delivery systems are less expensive than variable rate delivery systems but lack flexibility of medication delivery based on the need of a patient. These systems have a larger reservoir volume which allows for longer intervals between refills. In cases of suspected or actual medication overdose or implant malfunction, the pump’s drug reservoir has to be emptied and the device is interrogated by a designated health care professional.

**ITDD devices**

There are two systems available for implantable intrathecal devices—those that are programmable and those that are fixed-rate. In addition, some systems come with a bolus option that gives patients more control in managing their treatment. The needs of the patient

\textsuperscript{1} British Pain Society expert panel suggested that trials should always be performed before the implantation of an intrathecal drug delivery system\textsuperscript{7}.

\textsuperscript{2} The British Pain Society expert panel suggested that trials should always be performed before the implantation of an intrathecal drug delivery system\textsuperscript{7}.

\textsuperscript{7} There are two systems available for implantable intrathecal devices—those that are programmable and those that are fixed-rate. In addition, some systems come with a bolus option that gives patients more control in managing their treatment. The needs of the patient
as well as the suitability of the system for use with selected drugs need to be considered when deciding between the options.

**Programmable devices**
Programmable devices such as Medtronic’s SynchroMed system deliver a specific amount of medication intermittently (Figure 2 and Figure 3). These systems are preferred by providers because they allow drug dosages to be changed without invasive intervention as the disease progresses and/or the patient builds tolerance to the medication. In cases of suspected or actual medication overdose or implant malfunction, the pump can be interrogated and deactivated without having to empty the drug reservoir. However, since it is battery driven, the life of this pump is usually 5–8 years and a surgical revision is required to implant a new pump. In addition, regular attendance for refilling is required because the drug reservoir tends to be smaller in volume than those found in fixed-rate devices.

**Fixed-rate devices**
Constant flow systems such as Codman’s 3000 deliver a continuous amount of medication into the intrathecal space. These devices are favorable because they are generally less expensive, do not require a battery to operate and possess a larger drug reservoir that allows the drug to be delivered for a longer period of time before requiring a refill. However, constant rate devices are disadvantageous because they don’t give the flexibility to change the programming or a patient controlled bolus option for additional analgesia.

**Devices with bolus option**
The Medtronic Synchromed II infusion system comes with a Patient Therapy Manager (PTM) which is a trademark of the Medtronic Corporation11. It is a remote hand-held device which communicates with the implanted pump and allows the patient to self administer boluses based on the medication limits which are pre-programmed by the clinical provider. This system gives patients the ability to activate a bolus option when adequate pain relief is not achieved. The clinical care provider has the ability to set the number of boluses a patient may receive in a 24 hour period. There is a lockout system in place with the programmer that does not allow the patient to self activate the bolus option more than what is allowed based on the settings created by the clinical provider. This allows for flexibility for the patients who have more intimate needs to control their pain based on certain body positions, movement, time of the day, and/or progression of their underlying disease. There are several safety options built in to the device such as the maximum number of boluses the patient may self administer in a 24 hour period, maximum dosage of the total drug in a 24 hour period, lockout in-between bolus activation, and the duration of time during which a bolus is administered.

**Placment**
The placement of ITDD consists of two parts: 1) placement of the catheter in the intrathecal space surrounding the spinal cord and 2) placement of the pump/reservoir in the abdomen/flank region. The pump is implanted in the lower abdomen and is attached to the intrathecal catheter which is tunneled under the skin from the patient’s back towards the abdomen. The procedure requires two surgical incisions: 1) Lower back where the catheter is inserted into the intrathecal space and is secured to the fascia. 2) The lower abdominal wall to create a pocket for the pump. The procedure generally takes 3 to 4 hours from start to finish12.

**Preoperative preparation**
Prior to the procedure, a thorough evaluation is performed by the anesthesiologist to ensure patient’s ability to undergo surgery and anesthesia. Physical exam, blood tests, electrocardiogram, and chest x-rays may be requested by the provider. Current systemic use of analgesics is discontinued as well as anticoagulants and antiplatelet therapies. The position of the pump is proposed and agreed upon by the patient and provider keeping the patients’ comfort, activity, need for future procedures and rehabilitation matters in consideration. The choice of medication is dependent on the success of past and present medication choices and acceptable side effects. This is usually pre-determined from either previous efficacy of a particular medication or during a trial period.

**Operating room procedure**
Implantation of an ITDD system is a minor surgical procedure that is carried out in the operating room using aseptic precautions, including skin preparation, sterile draping, and the use of full surgical attire. The patient is positioned in a lateral decubitus position.
with the patient’s side for the pump pocket nondependent\(^1\). Real time fluoroscopy is used to identify the ideal location for placement of the catheter via a needle provided by the device manufacturer. A small skin incision is made in the middle of the back to expose the bony arch (lamina) of the vertebra and the catheter is placed in the subarachnoid, or intrathecal space and then anchored into the fascia to prevent migration.

Once the catheter is in place and secured, a tunneling device is used to pass the catheter under the skin from the spine to the abdomen where the pump will be implanted. A 4–6 inch skin incision is made in the side of the abdomen below the waistline. The surgeon then creates a pocket for the pump between the skin and muscle layers and the catheter is attached to the pump. Once the connector is attached to the pump, the pump is correctly positioned under the skin and secured to the thick fascia layer overlying the stomach muscles using suture loops. A space inside the pump called the reservoir is filled with the desired medication and the pump is programmed. The incisions in the back and abdomen are closed with sutures or staples and a dressing is applied. The patient is taken to the recovery area and is monitored per the standard requirements of the institution before being discharged.

**Post-operative**

Often antibiotics are prescribed to prevent complications and a one week follow-up is recommended. There may be temporary restriction on daily activities to allow for healing of the wound and pump to settle. Once healed, no special care is necessary.

**Dosing and titration**

As mentioned previously, ITDD delivers medicine directly into the cerebrospinal fluid, bypassing the route that oral medication takes through the body. Generally $1/100^{\text{th}}-300^{\text{th}}$ of the amount of medication is used with the pump delivery as compared with the amount when taken orally. A survey conducted among a small group of physicians highly-experienced in ITDD revealed that morphine was the more frequently used and prescribed choice of medication, both alone and in combination with other drugs\(^2\). The concentrations and daily dosages administered of medications varied from patient to patient. Providers often adjusted the dosages of drugs or drug combinations by a fixed percentage, between 10% and 20%, whether increasing or decreasing the dosage. An average of five to eight adjustments in dosage was completed before a different drug or drug combination was introduced in cases of inadequate analgesia\(^3\).

**Efficacy**

Studies have suggested that ITDD is effective in providing pain relief to 60–80% of patients experiencing chronic malignant pain\(^4\). Long-term intrathecal application of opioid medications in cases of carcinoma pain is substantially more effective than its systemic application. Intrathecally applied opioids exert a strong analgesic effect via spinal receptors, without significantly influencing motor, sensory, and sympathetic reflexes. It has been shown to improve patients’ overall mood and reduce the incidence of systemic side effects. As a result, patients have reported an improvement in quality of life and the ability to participate more fully in daily activities.

**Complications**

As with any surgical procedure, serious complications may arise post-implant that require immediate attention and perhaps the removal of the device.

**Drug related complications**

A retrospective study\(^5\) showed that the most common complication was patients’ adverse reaction to a drug. Pharmacological complications were the most common immediately post-implant and generally subsided as treatment continued. Serious complications include respiratory depression/arrest, anaphylaxis, and meningitis with introduction of contaminated solution. It was also found that certain opioids (the drugs of choice) were more prone to complications than others. For example, the incidence of tip catheter granulomas is higher with morphine and hydromorphone as compared to fentanyl which has been upgraded to a first-line drug in the algorithm due to that specific advantage\(^6\). Table 5 below lists the most common drug related adverse reactions and it is compiled based on the data presented in the PACC 2012.

**Device related complications**

Catheter and pump related malfunctions are one of the main sources of complications. In fact, one study\(^7\) found catheter related complications as the most common cause of repeat surgery. Despite suturing the catheter to the underlying fascia, coiling of the tube and leakage at the connection site remains a problem. A study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5. Drug related adverse reactions to medications delivered via ITDD(^2).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adverse reaction</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory depression/somnolence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granuloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperalgesia/tolerance/withdrawal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune suppression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis, suicidality, hallucinations, confusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary retention, weakness, hypotension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demyelination, necrotizing lesions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reviewing complications from long-term intrathecal drug therapy found that the annual rate for complications requiring surgical procedure was 10.5%, with 35% being pump related and 65% catheter related. Errors in pump programming have also been reported and resulted in incorrect flow rates. Device related complications have led to infections (meningitis), pocket abscesses, bleeding, pain and discomfort, and blood or fluid in the pump’s pocket. Table 6 lists the most common device related side effects.

**Table 6. Device related side effects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device related side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infection/meningitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-dural puncture headaches/CSF leak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter tip granuloma formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT catheter and pump malfunctions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocket site seroma formation, bleeding, pain and discomfort</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patient related complications

Although rare, patient induced complications generally involve infections at implant location. Immune cells form a mass, known as a granuloma, around the catheter tip to wall off foreign substances. However, the incidence of infections in all cases reviewed during a study was 0.7% per year, with all infections appearing within the first three months post-implant. Factors that increased the potential risk of complication included psychological problems, obstructive sleep apnea, immunosuppression, smoking, diabetes active infections, bleeding disorders, and concurrent anticoagulation therapy.

Compounding of medications and its considerations

The FDA and the United States Pharmacopoeia have issued standards on compounded sterile products that have clinical, legal, and practical significance. These standards apply to compounding of solutions by various routes, including intrathecal administration. There are strict provisions in place for considerations such as: training of personnel, a segregated sterile compounding area, air quality of the compounding area, certification and calibration of equipment, a cleaning and disinfection program, and a quality assurance program. Any violation of any of the provisions can result in a civil and criminal law suit in addition to the suspension of the privileges to dispense compounded medications. An outbreak of fungal meningitis resulted in 2012 when several lots of contaminated methylprednisolone vials were used for epidural steroid injections in various states which were obtained from a compounding pharmacy. Shortly following the outbreak, the device manufacturer Medtronic released an “Urgent Medical Device Safety Notification” in November 2012 discouraging the use of unapproved drugs with its delivery system.

Cost effectiveness

With estimates of pain care treatment costs exceeding $1 billion annually in the United States, cost and efficacy are important factors in clinical decision making. Although the initial cost of intrathecal drug delivery is substantially more, maintenance costs over time are significantly lower than conventional routes of administration. Cost analyses by the group concluded that intrathecal delivery is the most cost-effective route of opioid administration for patients who require long-term management of cancer (≥3–6 months) or nonmalignant pain (≥11–22 months).

An analysis by Kumar et al. showed that intrathecal therapy is a cost-effective method of treating chronic nonmalignant pain caused by failed back syndrome and that the break-even point occurs at 28 months, after which conventional pain therapy is the more expensive treatment option. A separate analysis by Scott Guillemette from Ingenix Consulting on patients suffering from failed back surgery syndrome concluded with similar results. Despite the higher upfront costs, patients utilizing intrathecal drug delivery returned to ‘normal living’ more quickly than those with conventional therapy and the break-even point between the two points occurred between months 19 and 20.

**Conclusion**

The treatment of pain poses an ongoing challenge to the healthcare field and better prevention, assessment and treatment of pain is needed. Intrathecal drug delivery can become a critical component of this transformation in treatment when more conservative forms of pain management have failed to provide adequate pain relief. In comparison to more conservative delivery methods such as drugs administered orally or intravenously, ITDD is more effective because the medication is directly introduced into the subarachnoid space. The spinal cord is a key anatomical site for pain processing and more specifically the dorsal horn of the spinal cord within the intrathecal space. The advantage of delivering the medication directly into the CSF in smaller dosages is that it may reduce the systemic side effects and the direct delivery to the site of action allows a more rapid and effective response. As a popular alternative for patients experiencing cancer or chronic pain, intrathecal analgesia has become a therapeutic option for patients who have exhausted all other treatment avenues as well as patients experiencing side effects from their current treatment options. However, there are limitations such as adequate patient selection, ability to tolerate the procedure, and costs. There are also potential complications related to the device, drug, and procedure that may require hospitalization and immediate removal/revision of the device. Despite numerous studies involving existing and novel drugs, only limited data is available to address the issue of medication safety, efficacy, stability and compatibility with the intrathecal drug delivery system. As this option becomes more popular with patients and physicians, future research should focus on cross-site studies that would provide a more accurate outlook on this type of delivery.
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**Author Response (Member of the F1000 Faculty) 10 Jun 2013**

Padma Gulur, Anesthesiology, Pain Medicine, UC Irvine Medical Center, USA

Thank you very much for your response.

**Page 3:** There can be a need for increasing doses of opioids as tolerance develops. We understand and agree with your comment; however, we are unsure as to where you feel this statement should be added within the article.

**Page 5:** There is a difference in thickness of the 20cc and 40cc pumps. We understand and agree with your comment; however, we are unsure as to where you feel this statement fits in the article.

**Page 6:** The lamina is not routinely exposed unless laminotomy leads are being placed.

6.2 Operating room procedure - We have changed the sentence to say that the incision is made to expose the fascia over the bony arch (lamina).

**Page 7:** Reference Smith TJ et al. (2002) J Clin Oncol. for efficacy. A discussion of this article has been added into the efficacy section to show that ITDD is a better system of pain management than comprehensive medical management for patients with cancer pain.

**Page 7:** Reference Follett K et al. (2004) Anesthesiology for complications. After reviewing this article, it has been added into our article that in order to further decrease the chances of infection, there must be an adherence to guidelines and recommendations regarding surgical site infections.

**Page 7:** (Table 5) – Ziconotide is not an opioid. Ziconotide has been taken out of the chart.
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