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Abstract

Background: In the last three years, chikungunya virus disease has been spreading, affecting particularly the Americas, producing more than two million cases. In this setting, not only new disease-related epidemiological patterns have been found, but also new clinical findings have been reported by different research groups. These include findings on the cardiovascular system, including clinical, electrocardiographic and echocardiographic alterations. No previous systemic reviews have been found in major databases about it.

Methods: We performed a systematic review looking for reports about cardiovascular compromise during chikungunya disease. Cardiac compromise is not so common in isolated episodes; but countries where chikungunya virus is an epidemic should be well informed about this condition. We used 6 bibliographical databases as resources: Medline/Pubmed, Embase, ScienceDirect, ClinicalKey, Ovid and SciELO. Dengue reports on cardiovascular compromise were included as well, to compare both arbovirus’ organic compromises. Articles that delved mainly into the rheumatic articular and cutaneous complications were not considered, as they were not in line with the purpose of this study. The type of articles included were reviews, meta-analyses, case-controls, cohort studies, case reports and case series. This systematic review does not reach or performed a meta-analysis.

Results: Originally based on 737 articles, our reviewed selected 40 articles with 54.2% at least mentioning CHIKV cardiovascular compromise within the systemic compromise. Cardiovascular manifestations can be considered common and have been reported in France, India, Sri Lanka, Malaysia, Colombia, Venezuela and USA, including mainly, but no limited to: hypotension, shock and circulatory collapse, Raynaud phenomenon, arrhythmias, murmurs, myocarditis, dilated cardiomyopathy, congestive...
insufficiency, heart failure and altered function profile (Troponins, CPK).

Conclusions: Physicians should be encouraged to keep divulging reports on the cardiovascular involvement of chikungunya virus disease, to raise awareness and ultimately encourage suitable diagnosis and intervention worldwide. More research about cardiovascular involvement and manifestations of systemic Chikungunya virus infection is urgently needed.
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Introduction

Rationale
Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) is an RNA-type arbovirus species that according to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) belongs to the Togaviridae family and Alphavirus genus, along with more than 30 other pathogens for vertebrates and humans, causing a very broad spectrum of disease. The word “Chikungunya” means “which contorts or bends up” in Makonde language from Tanzania and Mozambique, referring accurately to the difficulty in deambulation or walking of those affected. Despite CHIKV first being documented in 1954 in Tanzania, Africa and subsequently Asia, it was not until 2006 that CHIKV first alarmed the world for being a major public health concern. After an explosive epidemic outbreak in French island La Réunion, where 35% of the total population was infected over six months, CHIKV arrived to central France and extended to Germany, Italy, Norway, and Switzerland. Later on, the virus hit North, Central and South America and brought with it the concept of a “self-limited febrile illness”, a more benign type of infection with predominantly articular symptomatology.

Alphaviruses can be separated into two phylogenetic categories: “Old World” viruses and “New World” viruses. “Old World” viruses such as CHIKV are known for their articular tropism and exanthematos febrile syndrome; and the “New World” viruses such as the western equine encephalitis and Venezuelan equine encephalitis viruses have preference for nervous system stromal cells. CHIKV infection pathway in humans is shared with Dengue fever, and is caused by the biting of bornearthropods from the Aedes mosquito family. Aedes aegypti and most recently Aedes albopictus, the last one being essential to the wide geographic colonization process ever since a new mutation (A226V) in CHIKV has conferred the virus a better ability to replicate in this species. Ae. albopictus is more common in Asia, and has become worthy of mentioning in the Southeast of the United States and the Caribbean region. CHIKV currently circulating in America seems to no longer be related to the African lineage, but to strains documented in Asia and the Phillipines.

The transmission cycle, although originally merely sylvatic between primates and forest mosquitoes, has developed an alternate urban cycle involving humans. Aedes as vectors are capable of spreading the virus after biting a viremic human, after which CHIKV replicates in salivary glands of the female mosquito and then a new bite of a healthy host takes place. After the infectious bite, the incubation period of CHIKV ranges from 1–12 days before clinical onset of symptoms. The appearance of clinical manifestations of the febrile syndrome coincides with viremia settling in during a period of 5–7 days, when viral load can be as high as 10⁹ viral genome copies per milliliter. Most recently, cases of vertical transmission have been reported, but it is indeed rare, and transmission through nursing has not been proven.

Three stages of disease after the incubation period have been recognized:

- Acute (<3 weeks post-infection)
- Post-acute or subacute (3 to 12 weeks post-infection)
- Chronic (>12 weeks post-infection)

Not every patient develops the full three stages, and at least a 20% of the infected population will not develop any symptoms at all, despite serological confirmation. On the other hand, isolated cases have reported severe acute manifestations, far from the classic expected evolution of the disease, especially in areas with renowned late outbreaks such as India (2006), La Réunion and Mayotte (France, 2006), Malaysia (2008), Thailand (2008) and South America (Colombia, Venezuela and later Brazil, from 2014 until now). As a result, some authors have started to classify the clinical progression of CHIKV into either classical, severe or neurologic (neuro-chikungunya). The severe subtype of the disease contemplates an atypical systemic compromise, in which the liver, lungs, and even the eye are affected by the extra-articular intense inflammatory response. Similarly, the involvement of the heart has often been fatal and worth highlighting in some reports, but it has not been very largely discussed.

Characterizing potential systemic compromise due to CHIKV infection, especially cardiovascular, and characterizing manifestations and complications as a result, is essential in clinical practice. Here, identifying the febrile syndrome is particularly common on a daily basis and, coexists in a great proportion of patients with other morbidities and chronic conditions, that could easily trigger a more severe presentation and clinical picture of the disease. No previous systematic reviews have been found in major databases about cardiovascular involvement and cardiovascular manifestations of chikungunya virus infection, which is the main focus of this article.

Objectives
To systematically review published literature on the cardiovascular manifestations and involvement of systemic CHIKV infection;

- To explore which are the main clinical cardiovascular features of chikungunya infection
- To identify which are the main electrocardiographic findings of chikungunya infection

Methods
Protocol and registration
This protocol has followed PRISMA guidelines.
Eligibility criteria
Any original studies that report cases with cardiovascular manifestations (acute and/or chronic) related to Chikungunya. We included studies published in English and Spanish. Eligible study designs were case-control, cohort studies, case reports and series of cases.

Information sources
The systematic review was conducted using six bibliographical databases (Medline/Pubmed, Embase, Elsevier, ClinicalKey, Ovid and SciELO) as resources.

Search strategy. To explore the extent by which this topic is currently represented in medical literature, searches were initiated with “Chikungunya AND Systemic AND Manifestations”, “Chikungunya AND Heart” and “Chikungunya AND Cardiac”. Given the lack of studies, we explored other options (such as “Chikungunya AND cardiac involvement” “Chikungunya AND cardiac complication” or “Chikungunya AND cardiovascular involvement” “Chikungunya AND cardiovascular complications” and Chikungunya AND Atypical manifestation/complications”, among others), but to be more sensitive and to include all the possibly relevant studies related to our SR, we only included studies that had been found in our initial searches. Article language was limited to English and Spanish, and there was no limit set for time of publication, but searches concluded on November 1, 2016. Dengue reports on cardiovascular compromise were included as well, to compare between both arboviruses’ organic compromise. Articles that delved mainly into the rheumatic articular and cutaneous complications were not considered, as they were not in line with the purpose of this study.

Study selection
The type of articles included were reviews, meta-analyses, case-controls, cohort studies, case reports and case series.

Data collection
Data extraction from reports was done independently by two investigators. They checked for duplicates and were responsible for an initial quality screening of the studies.

Data items
During individual article assessment the variables for which data were sought included any cardiovascular manifestation associated with CHIKV infection, as well as any electrocardiographic, echocardiographic and related laboratory findings in patients during acute and/or chronic phases of Chikungunya disease.

Risk of bias in individual studies
Chikungunya is an emerging disease in the Americas and reemerging in the world, so there are a small number of studies addressing the cardiovascular manifestations (acute and/or chronic) related to Chikungunya. The risk of bias is discussed throughout the article. To assess the quality of eligible studies critical appraisals specific to study design were completed by two independent reviewers.

We have compiled and submitted a complete review of CHIKV that includes the main facts about characterization, origin and transmission of the virus, epidemiology, pathogenesis, and clinical features of the classic and severe/atypical disease. It provides a clear focus on the extra-articular and mainly cardiovascular manifestations of the CHIKV infection, diagnosis of CHIKV-induced cardiomyopathy, management, prognosis, and differences from what can be observed with Dengue virus (DENV) infection.

Results
Study selection
The research initially rendered a total of 737 articles: duplicates across the databases and articles about other viruses were eliminated, unless they focused solely on cardiac compromise (Supplementary File 2). Finally, 40 articles were selected based on their relevance and pertinence of the title or abstract to the systemic compromise that was being evaluated, with 54.2% at least mentioning CHIKV cardiovascular compromise within the systemic compromise (Supplementary File 2).

Study characteristics
The frequency at which the rest of the organs systems are affected is shown in Table 1. The information on the role of the cardiovascular system during CHIKV infection is very scarce indeed; only 21.4% of the resulting articles focused solely and exclusively on the cardiovascular findings; the first publication on the topic was by Obeyeskere et al. and dates to 1972. In relation to extra-articular compromise of other organ systems besides cardiovascular, the most published were the nervous system –both central and peripheral- and secondary skin complications.

According to the system that is compromised (e.g. osteoarticular, cardiovascular, neurological, etc) in the literature, the frequency of compromise of organs/systems was separated into six categories: extremely common (100-80%), very common (79-60%), common (59-40%), unusual (39-20%), rare (19-10%) and extremely rare if below 10%. Data were registered in Table 1, showing the countries of origin of reports describing such types of manifestations of CHIKV infection.

Clinical course. The acute stage extends from the first symptomatic day to the 21st day and is characterized by an end-of-incubation sudden high fever (often above 39°C), headaches, myalgia and the insidious onset of typical symmetric, bilateral polyarthalgia (most frequently of small distal joints – phalanges, wrists, ankles), along with a typical maculopapular evanescent rash. The location of the arthralgias tends to vary between individuals. There are rare descriptions in the literature of pain in the costochondral, hip and temporomandibular articulations, so it may not be advisable to dismiss a CHIKV diagnosis if these pains are present. Palmo-planar pruritus, photophobia, edema in the face and extremities and adenopathies have been also described, and benign and self-limited hemorrhagic manifestations are relatively common in children. Subsequently, by the end of the acute stage, asthenia and adynamia tends to appear.

In the post-acute stage, from the first to the third month, all symptoms described above tend to vanish, except for some residual arthralgia, and some residual fever and adynamia. Extra-articular rheumatisms such as tenosynovitis, bursitis, tenosynovitis, worsening of osteoarthritis and even tunnel syndrome and Raynaud
Table 1. Frequency at which different organ systems are affected during CHIKV infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected organs</th>
<th>Reporting articles</th>
<th>Frequency of compromise</th>
<th>Countries of origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Osteoarticular</td>
<td>97.1% (34)</td>
<td>Extremely common</td>
<td>• France, Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• India, Sri Lanka, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Colombia, Venezuela, Peru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>54.2% (19)</td>
<td>Common</td>
<td>• France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• India, Sri Lanka, Malaysia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Colombia, Peru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>37.1% (13)</td>
<td>Unusual</td>
<td>• France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• India, Singapore, Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Colombia, Venezuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Peru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin and mucous membranes complications</td>
<td>25.7% (9)</td>
<td>Unusual</td>
<td>• France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• India, Singapore, Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Colombia, Peru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Venezuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal</td>
<td>22.8% (8)</td>
<td>Unusual</td>
<td>• France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• India, Sri Lanka, Malaysia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Colombia, Peru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Venezuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal tract</td>
<td>20% (7)</td>
<td>Unusual</td>
<td>• France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Singapore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Colombia, Peru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic</td>
<td>20% (7)</td>
<td>Unusual</td>
<td>• France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• India, Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Singapore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematological</td>
<td>20% (7)</td>
<td>Unusual</td>
<td>• France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• India, Malaysia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocular</td>
<td>14.3% (5)</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>• France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• India, Sri Lanka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>14.3% (5)</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>• France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• India, Sri Lanka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine</td>
<td>5.7% (2)</td>
<td>Extremely rare</td>
<td>• France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• India</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reporting articles n (%) and % corresponded to the number of articles (out of the eligible n=40), that described the type of compromise (e.g. osteoarticular, cardiovascular, etc). The frequency of different organ-type of manifestations was classified as follows: extremely common (100-80%), very common (79-60%), common (59-40%), unusual (39-20%), rare (19-10%) and extremely rare if below 10%.

Phenomenon have been reported\(^9\). Not every patient develops this phase, and degrees of severity and functional limitation will depend on patients’ previous comorbidities, mainly musculoskeletal. Alternatively, other risk factors for being still symptomatic after the first month have been linked, for instance, to having poor rest during the acute phase, and females above the age of 40 are at major risk\(^1,9,11\).

Chronic CHIKV infection would be defined as a symptomatic period longer than three months and manifestations (continuous or episodic) that last for months, years or even a decade. Manifestations are the same as previously described in the post-acute phase, presenting as oscillating arthralgias over time with or without inflammatory signs until, according to natural history of the disease, the patient returns to the health state that they had before the infection. The degree of functional limitation may vary from little to moderate; leaving to a mean of 50% the most incapacitating and aggressive compromise\(^9\).

Atypical presentations. Atypical presentations of CHIKV infection can involve almost every organ system, as seen in Table 2. Even though the most common extra-articular manifestations reported in the literature involve the nervous system\(^25-27\) and the eye\(^17\); alterations in the gastrointestinal tract, liver\(^16\), kidney, muscles, mucous membranes and skin and hematologic cells have been evidenced, as well as in hemostasis and coagulation processes. Cardiovascular compromise is worthy of mentioning because of its usually fatal outcomes\(^10,24\). Infection can lead to cardiovascular manifestations, but in addition, patients with existing cardiovascular disease can deteriorate quickly, worsening the short-term prognosis; as it has been described with diabetes, lupus; or neurological, renal, pulmonary and cardiovascular insufficiency\(^1,11,23\).
### Table 2. Systemic extra-articular involvement of atypical CHIKV presentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected system</th>
<th>Clinical manifestations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neurological</strong></td>
<td>• Fulminant meningoencephalitis*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Myelopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Encephalopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Polynuropathy, optic neuritis and tunnel syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Dysautonomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Flaccid paralysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cerebral edema*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Confusion and other sensory alterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Seizures and psychomotor sequelae*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Guillain-Barre Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cerebellar syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sensorineural hearing loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skin and mucous membranes</strong></td>
<td>• Bullous dermatosis*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Skin dyschromia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hemorrhagic lesions (gingivorrhagia, epistaxis, cutaneous)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Oral and genital ulceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Conjunctivitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gastrointestinal tract</strong></td>
<td>• Pharyngitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Severe abdominal pain*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Diarrhea*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Vomit*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Internal bleeding (hematemesis and melena)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liver</strong></td>
<td>• Fulminant hepatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hepatomegaly*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ascites*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Altered function profile (ALAT, ASAT, bilirubin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hematological</strong></td>
<td>• Thromboembolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Intravascular coagulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Thrombocytopenia*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lymphopenia*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiovascular</strong></td>
<td>• Hypotension*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Shock and circulatory collapse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Raynaud phenomenon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Arrhythmias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Murmurs*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Myocarditis*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Dilated cardiomyopathy*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Congestive insufficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Altered function profile (Troponins, CPK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respiratory</strong></td>
<td>• Dyspnea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pulmonary edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pleural effusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Renal</strong></td>
<td>• Albuminuria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hematuria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Nephritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Acute renal failure*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Altered function profile (SCr, BUN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ocular</strong></td>
<td>• Uveitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Retinitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Iridocyclitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Epiescleritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Psychiatric</strong></td>
<td>• Delirium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Loss of memory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Seen also in children.
A common denominator of the 0.5% of patients who develop these systemic atypical patterns of disease is having some kind of predisposing condition, disease, or advanced age. In retrospective records of severe cases reported by Economonopoulou A., et al. from La Réunion, 89% had previous medical conditions, 78% took medication before the disease (14% NSAIDS) and 14% were alcoholic. Nevertheless, it is notable that risk of severe infection and compromise seems to increase in large outbreaks, as documented in India (2006), where only 25% of cases developed classical CHIKV; and 75% were severe cases where 60% of these had some degree of neurological compromise.

Synthesis of results

Cardiovascular involvement. La Réunion reported an overall outbreak mortality of 10%; heart failure was the attributed cause in 15% of the cases, myocarditis and pericarditis in 5% and acute myocardial infarction in 2%; leaving a remarkable total of 22% mortality due to cardiovascular compromise. Several similar past records raise concerns about a possible cardiac tropism of CHIKV, with clear evidence. The first description of clinical myocardial involvement of CHIKV infection was reported in 1972, when Obeyeskere et al presented a cohort of 10 patients who had a history of arbovirus-like syndrome, serological evidence of Dengue IgM antibodies or CHIKV haemagglutination inhibition (HI) antibodies test, and complement-fixation antibodies test in high titres, and now had clinical and electrocardiographic evidence of myocarditis. Apart from the classic acute febrile symptoms, patients manifested palpitations, chest pain, fatigue, dyspnea and vagal-stimulation symptoms; which by themselves could already indicate coronary syndrome.

Further studies have histopathologically identified and verified the presence of the virus in cardiac tissue of postmortem biopsies. Lemant et al reported the case of an elderly woman who had a history of arbovirus-like syndrome, serological evidence of Dengue IgM antibodies or CHIKV haemagglutination inhibition (HI) antibodies test, and complement-fixation antibodies test in high titres, and now had clinical and electrocardiographic evidence of myocarditis. Apart from the classic acute febrile symptoms, patients manifested palpitations, chest pain, fatigue, dyspnea and vagal-stimulation symptoms; which by themselves could already indicate coronary syndrome.

Physiopathology of CHIKV-induced cardiac compromise. Few authors have tried to determine the physiopathology behind the cardiac damage that CHIKV can potentially cause. Studying other viruses that share tropism for the heart is essential. A postmortem study, based on endomyocardial biopsies with PCR, in patients diagnosed with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy, evidenced a viral infiltration of myocytes in 66% of the cases. In that study the three most isolated viral agents were: parvovirus 19, herpes virus and showing that direct viral organ invasion is feasible, lethal and more frequent than expected for such viruses.

CHIKV penetrates the myocytes and generates direct damage to the muscle fibers, meanwhile inflammatory response and infiltrate grows, leading to secondary damage by a hypersensitivity reaction and necrosis, but usually with no typical signs of infarction. Furthermore, it has been proposed that these alterations are long-standing, and tend to make the cardiac tissue more vulnerable to recurrent damage from other microorganisms and favor transition from myocarditis to dilated cardiomyopathy. As has been mentioned, Obeyeskere et al in 1972 was the first group to make such reports and observed the CHIKV physiopathology at cardiovascular level.

Clinical cardiovascular progression pattern. A progression pattern has been identified and proposed, with three phases. Patients may follow the three phases strictly, or present a torpid evolution right to the last phase and skip the second one. Also, time of progression varies between individuals, depending on the severity of the initial cardiovascular injuries and previous comorbidities.

First is “pre-congestive or prodromal”; when isolated, not very specific electrocardiographic findings are detected (especially T wave abnormalities). Cardiomegaly can be detected with a simple thorax radiography or echocardiogram and gallop rhythm may be ausculted, but there are no visible cardiovascular symptoms. By this time (after 7 days), the initial viremia peak is over, but we are in front of an incipient heart failure.

The most documented electrocardiographic changes were T wave inversion in DII, III, aVF and V5–V6, and ST elevation. These are relatively nonspecific findings, which are encouraged to be interpreted within the whole clinical context so that other compatible differential diagnoses such as acute coronary syndrome, electrolyte disorder, or even digitalis intoxication, can be dismissed. In addition, echocardiograms mostly reveal biventricular hypertrophy and dyskinesia of wall movements; and these results are compatible with myocarditis. Ejection fraction may be mildly diminished and pericardial effusion is rare. Creatine Phospho-Kinase (CPK) levels may be increased after the first phase.

The second phase is known as the "arrhythmic phase". It starts when the recent myocardial injury can no longer permit an adequate functioning of the cardiac conduction system. Again, according to the severity, findings may range from premature auricular and ventricular extrasystoles to atrial fibrillation with high risk of thromboembolism; and in the worst-case scenario, ventricular fibrillation and sudden death. This wide spectrum directly correlates with the symptoms and hemodynamic state of the patient.

The patients after the acute and subacute phase that are most affected will invariably develop heart failure, displaying some a right side insufficiency with pulmonary and peripheral edema and hepatomegaly; but more frequently a left side insufficiency with low perfusion and shock clinic. Reduced peripheral blood flow can be responsible for many pathological events too, blurring the line between expected consequences of shock and the real direct organ damage of CHIKV. Kidneys are an example, as in Economonopoulou et al’s retrospective study, 20% of the patients with heart failure also presented with pre-renal failure, which suggests it is more of a consequence of shock in this instance. In contrast, lesions such as nephritis are more likely to be caused by the virus. Additionally, in this third stage, a constrictive syndrome has also been described, with extensive compromise and pericarditis, but it is indeed less common.
A summary of most the common clinical manifestations during CHIKV infection that suggest cardiac viral compromise is given in Table 3. Isolated signs and symptoms reported in single case reports that seemed to relate more to the pre-morbidities of the patient were excluded. Regarding blood pressure, there are significant variations in the reports, but recently hypotension was reported during acute CHIKV infection in patients with high blood pressure undergoing antihypertensive treatment. A pattern through the revised articles could not be identified, so having hypo or hypertension may be a poor predictor of cardiac compromise during CHIKV infection and seems more a product of the severity of the case and the numbers previously managed by the patient.

**Diagnosis and management of CHIKV infection with cardiac compromise.** Diagnosis of a CHIKV infection with cardiac compromise must be more epidemiological and clinical based rather than anything else. Specific CHIKV infection during acute phase could be diagnosed by molecular techniques such as PCR, but the phases that follow must be diagnosed by immunological/serological tests, particularly the detection of IgG anti-CHIKV. Once CHIKV infection is suspected, echocardiographic imaging, MRI and other paraclinical exams will only help in assessing the severity of the damage. There is an evident lack of studies on the topic and therefore, lack of data determining sensibility and specificity of the findings that are mentioned in Table 3. However,

### Table 3. Key clinical findings during CHIKV infection that suggest cardiac viral compromise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Cardiovascular clinical findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Data of symptoms in medical record | • Chest pain, more specifically substernal  
• Fatigue  
• Dyspnea  
• Palpitations  
• Intolerance to exercise  
• Vagal symptoms; diaphoresis, paleness, cough, nausea, lypotimia/syncope, dizziness  
• Maleolar edema                                                                                          |
| Physical examination      | • Tachycardia  
• Atrial and ventricular premature ectopic beats  
• Crepitation or rhonchi in pulmonary basis  
• Murmurs/third heart sound  
• Gallop rhythm  
• Irregular pulse  
• Jugular ingurgitation and raised jugular pressure (positive hepatojugular reflex)  
• Tachypnea                                                                                      |
| Electrocardiography       | • Tachycardia  
• T wave inversion in II, III, aVF and V5-V5 leads  
• Prolonged ST segment  
• Deep S in V2  
• Prominent R in V5  
• Extrasystoles  
• AV blocks  
• Atrial fibrillation                                                                                  |
| Laboratory                | Besides serologic IgM confirmation of CHIKV:  
• Increased troponins  
• High NTproBNP                                                                                           |
| Radiography               | • Augmented cardio-thoracic ratio  
• Pleural effusion                                                                                        |
| Echocardiogram            | • Diffuse hypokinesia, asynergy of wall movements  
• Ventricular hypertrophy (mostly left)  
• Dilated chambers  
• Ventricular ejection fraction may be preserved                                                           |
| Contrast-enhanced MRI     | • Intramyocardial and subepicardial foci with increased signal intensities suggestive of necrosis (not corresponding to coronary vascular expected distribution) |
Simon et al. mentioned and delimited specific and very valid diagnostic criteria for what is called CHIKV-induced myocarditis in their case report. They demonstrated clinical, biological and morphological evidence of myocarditis, with serologically documented CHIKV infection and no serological evidence of another recent infection, then linked the cardiovascular compromise to CHIKV. Results like these are very useful but it is always advisable to always look at these criteria in the context of the patients and their previous comorbidities.

Nevertheless, what is noticed is that diagnoses are rarely made, interventions tend to be delayed and insufficient, and outcome is often an imminent refractory heart failure. Management has mostly been ineffective in containing the damage, and death by cardiac arrest becomes inevitable. Cases as severe as a 63-year-old woman with a T wave inversion in V5–V6 and global progressive hypokinesia have been reported. She experienced cardiac arrest and died 4 hours after admission, where action time was so limited and management was not even mentioned. It is not possible to state the standard management process for cardiac compromise from CHIKV infection due to the low frequency of reports of this type of CHIKV disease, so the only possibility available is to analyse management given to past reported cases in the literature and compare outcomes.

On the other hand, the treatment given to a successful case in India who remained fully asymptomatic after follow ups consisted of inotropic support (dopamine and dobutamine) and levocarnitine used to relieve mitochondrial dysfunction. Additionally, a 19-year-old male who was previously healthy developed myocarditis but was discharged after 3 days with Acebutolol and Ramipril, and at follow-up, premature beats had disappeared. There is another case of a 21-year-old woman who returned from La Reunion and responded clinically to with high doses of aspirin, and her EKG changes reverted. Such good prognosis as seen in the aforementioned cases may not be representative of the true clinical progression, and may be biased due to the early age of the patients.

In summary, management of CHIKV disease is not established everywhere, remains very variable, and consists mainly in correcting the clinical features of the cardiac failure, but does not taking into consideration the root cause. Beta-adrenergic blockers, ACE-inhibitors and inotropic support during the crisis are commonly reported in order to maintain hemodynamic stability. Only one case reported the use of prednisolone, but without any other cardiac support drugs, and the outcome was equally poor. Studies on the impact of anti-inflammatory corticosteroids along with cardiovascular support drugs should be carried out, it seems to be a promising option considering the underlying severe systemic inflammatory response in these cases. A very similar substrate is seen in the eosinophilic myocarditis that can cause Toxocara canis, where early prednisolone in doses of 1mg/kg/day for the acute phase and 5–10mg/kg/day for maintenance has been recommended.

Prognosis and functional sequelae. The Indian child cited above showed general improvement within three days, with no relapses. A follow up echocardiogram reported only a mild mitral regurgitation, with intact left ventricle function. The 19 and 21 year old patients remained asymptomatic, but dilation persisted on imaging. By now, it is evident that there are three clear, different outcomes to CHIKV infection:

- Asymptomatic with no imaging sequelae;
- Asymptomatic with partial reversion of EKG and echocardiogram changes;
- Death

Changes seen on cardiac magnetic resonance imaging that persist for more than one year from disease onset will be permanent and affect the patients to some degree later in life. Simon et al thus proposes that in upcoming years, countries that suffered outbreaks of CHIKV since 2005, will see a long-term increase in dilated cardiomyopathy, reporting this as the most frequent sequelae, even in asymptomatic patients who had an apparently classic clinical picture involving arthralgia predominantly. This raises public health concerns and the risk of a noticeable limitation in quality of life for these patients in the future.

Similar reports and findings on Dengue fever: Arbovirus-induced cardiopathy. The cardiac tropism of CHIKV seems to be shared with DENV, with multiple cases in the literature displaying similar cardiovascular complications and often mimicking acute myocardial infarction as well. Myocarditis is reported similarly. However, arrhythmias and compromise of the electric conduction system of the heart have a higher incidence with DENV, including supraventricular arrhythmias such as atrial fibrillation, atrioventricular (AV) blockage and cases reporting refractory ventricular fibrillation as the ultimate cause of death. Acute pericardial and pulmonary edema are also described, but the outcome is rarely fatal. As a common denominator in the published literature, most reports of cardiac involvement are seen in patients with hemorrhagic fever manifestations of CHIKV infection.

Physiopathology

Even though the etiological agent is very similar, DENV-induced cardiomyopathy has a variant: the plasma leak syndrome and characteristically endothelial dysfunction of DENV that may result helpful to the extravasation process and chemotaxis of inflammatory cells to myocardial tissue, creating a highly cytokine rich environment, besides the already known tropism of DENV for the heart. This could explain why cardiovascular manifestations are much more common with DENV than with CHIKV. Host susceptibility and the virulence of the strain also play a role in the severity of the clinical picture.

Electrocardiography and echocardiography

Manifestations remain comparable, but electrocardiography disturbances are observed frequently in a wide range of 34–75%. Of the dengue cases. In the 2005 outbreak, Sri Lanka reported 62.5% of patients affected. Abnormalities basically consist of sinus bradycardia, T inversion, depression of ST segment in precordial leads and avF, AV blocks, (Mobitz type I second degree has been mentioned) bundle branch blocks and rarely, atrial fibrillation. All were reported as supposedly transient. Two cases of remaining atrial fibrillation after the resolution of disease have been reported, with reversion only achieved after antiarrhythmic treatment (Amiodarone).
Imaging is similar to what is reported in CHIKV echocardiography: global hypokinesia and important decrease in left ventricle ejection fraction (LVEF). A study reported a mean of 47.08% of LVEF in all DENV infected patients, and of 39.6% if shock syndrome was present. At follow up after three weeks, LVEF was superior to 50% in all cases and ECG changes had reverted\(^4\). From these findings, JP Wali et al proposed three diagnostic criteria for suspected cardiac compromise: ST-T changes in ECG, global hypokinesia and a decreased LVEF in imaging.

Although arboviral cardiovascular manifestations have been described for over 40 years\(^5\), few studies\(^6,13,40\) have documented in detail the specific cardiovascular and specific EKG patterns during acute disease\(^6\), especially in recent epidemics in Latin America. Initial reports of three fatal cases of chikungunya in Barranquilla, Colombia\(^13\), in which patients presented hypotension and tachycardia, raised red flags among physicians in the region. More recently in Sucre, Colombia, in 2016, a case series of 42 patients with chikungunya followed in detail found arrhythmias in EKG findings, such as repolarization disturbances, in more than 71% of those cases. Repolarization disturbances were the most frequent (21%)\(^1\). Preliminary unpublished data\(^1\) from a study in Caracas, Venezuela, reported in 2016, they provided similar findings in patients, although at a lower frequency. Indeed, evidence of patent or silent myocarditis was observed in a high percentage of patients prospectively evaluated in Venezuela. An unexpected finding was persistent symptomatic arterial hypotension observed in one third of these patients with prior stable hypertension on treatment, requiring the anti-hypertensive medication to be discontinued or reduced due to severe clinical manifestations\(^1\).

A study from Tolima, Colombia, carried out in 2016 provided consistent findings and information with regards to the spectrum of EKG alterations. Rhythm disturbances occurred in 10 patients out of 14 (71%)\(^13\). They included sinusal tachycardia (3/14 patients), hemiblocks (2/14), left ventricular hypertrophy (2/14) and ST segment depression (2/14), among others\(^13\).

Patients with chikungunya may present cardiovascular complications including myocarditis and pericarditis\(^13,40,41\). Thus, an accurate physical examination, including a detailed cardiovascular system assessment should be performed. This should include cardiac auscultation looking for sound alterations, which could be indicating premature ventricular contractions\(^8,20,40,41\). Besides that, all CHIKV infected patients with should have an EKG performed on them, given that it is an easy, cheap and quick assessment tool that could prevent potential deleterious cardiovascular outcomes\(^40\).

In light of any clinical or electrocardiographic abnormality, cardiac enzymes should also be measured (e.g. troponin)\(^35\). As suggested for over 40 years\(^35\) cardiac tropism and direct cytolytic effects of the virus remains a latent possibility\(^35\), yet to date has not been demonstrated at a tissue level. Further studies using novel molecular approaches for virus detection in endomyocardial biopsies of symptomatic CHIKV infected patients could confirm this possible role and establish the underlying physiopathological mechanisms of CHIKV myocarditis which then translate into the the spectrum of symptoms such as rhythm and conduction disturbances\(^5,40\).

Ongoing studies should focus on determining the potential chronic cardiovascular outcomes that could develop in patients infected with chikungunya, in order to provide an appropriate early clinical intervention strategy to avoid potential disabilities.

**Management**

Management of DENV is poorly reported and not established everywhere, as is the case with CHIKV. Early use of IV hydrocortisone resulted in full recovery in two cases of myocarditis in 12 year old patients\(^41\), and authors support that fatality is significantly reduced under opportune intervention during the first hours\(^42\). A more conservative attitude was adopted for the analyzed cohort from the Sri Lanka outbreak; with indications of strict bed rest, liquid maintenance, oxygen, close monitoring of vital signs and inotropic support when needed, and a clear avoidance of steroids and other empirical drugs\(^42\).

The importance of a rapid intervention (first hours) is exemplified by the case of a 25 year old Indian male, that presented with non-specific abdominal epigastric pain and vomiting. Exams revealed myocarditis. The patient died in a few hours when he developed pharmacological and electrical refractory ventricular tachycardia while evaluating a much more invasive treatment option: the possibility of implanting a left ventricular assistance device. Positive DENV serology results were known later\(^43\). It is clear at this point, that therapy needs to be standardized for arbovirus-induced cardiomyopathy, comparing efficacy of treatments that have already been proposed, as well as new treatment options.

**Discussion**

The key for a successful outcome of CHIKV-induced cardiomyopathy is recognizing signs and symptoms early on. It is certainly a condition that can be life-threatening, which is why patients should be referred for cardiac assessment as early as possible, after displaying any of the previously mentioned symptoms. Identifying comorbidities is recommended as well to distinguish CHIKV-induced cardiomyopathy from an exacerbation of previous heart disease.

For dengue virus infection, it is now known that the cardiovascular involvement is mostly characterized by rhythm abnormalities (bradycardia), with no symptoms or complications. However, in moderate or severe cases where there was a cardiovascular affection or complication, myocarditis has been an important issue. Myocarditis due to DENV infection may present several patterns such as “refractory shock”, “heart failure”, “arrhythmia”, etc and It would be important to consider this diagnosis. In the case of CHIKV infection and cardiac involvement, myocarditis should be also considered.

Cardiac compromise is not so common in isolated episodes; but countries where chikungunya virus is an epidemic should be alarmed and well informed about this condition. Physicians should be encouraged to keep divulgating reports on the cardiovascular involvement of chikungunya virus disease, to raise awareness and ultimately encourage suitable diagnosis and intervention.
worldwide. Questions are still raised about the real incidence, as every outbreak seems to follow a different pattern, but what is needed the most is further investigation on therapy for this specific condition and in different age groups.

A significant issue arises with the diagnosis of myocarditis by arboviruses such as DENV and CHIKV, because a myocardial biopsy or cardiac magnetic resonance imaging needs to be considered and performed. However, performing these, in tropical areas where these arboviruses are prevalent, is very hard and there are many restrictions. The management of myocarditis, regardless its etiology, should be focused in the therapeutics oriented to the agent (virus, bacteria, etc); the cardiovascular events support (controlling heart failure, cardiogenic shock, arrhythmia, etc) and the treatment of the inflammatory process. The last one is under discussion and needs more research, although in some severe cases due to DENV, the corticosteroids administration changed the evolution given their positive benefits.

CHIKV would induce not just cardiovascular compromise and cardiovascular manifestations during the acute phase, but also at subacute and chronic stages 43–56. Today it is known that compromise during chronic disease is not just limited to the rheumatological manifestations. Nevertheless, in CHIKV, the definition of systemic manifestation or extra-articular compromise has not well defined. But in the case of atypical conditions, this was defined by PAHO/WHO during the expert consultation meeting in Managua, Nicaragua, 2016, and later published by WHO43.

Limitations
Limitations will always be the sporadic nature of these cases, something we need to be prepared for in future outbreaks. Methodologically, it should also be considered that the inclusion of reviews may cause a bias, but in some cases, some would serve to locate some additional key articles useful for a novel topic such as the one of this systematic review. Additionally, many studies did not report detailed information about laboratory diagnoses (Troponin, BNP, CK-MB, etc), imaging studies (echocardiography, magnetic resonance), final diagnoses (myocarditis, etc), as well management (inotropics, corticosteroids, etc) and outcomes (survival, death). Additionally, articles published in French have been excluded. This could be a limitation as the largest series of cases and their complications came from France in relation to the outbreak on La Reunion Island, and many of these were published in French. Nevertheless, from these publications we did not identify, initially by the title or the abstract, any relating to cardiovascular complications.

Conclusions
Finally, these observations on DENV and CHIKV associated cardiovascular manifestations could be useful for management of Zika virus infections, which are currently causing epidemics in Latin America44–46. Cardiovascular compromise has already been described and reported in fatal cases47,48. In addition, cardiovascular complications might be underdiagnosed in clinical practice46. Future research needs to focus on the potential cardiovascular complications of Zika virus infection, with prompt cardiovascular screening in suspected cases45,49. Other emerging arboviruses such as Mayaro50–55, Oropouche52,53, Venezuelan Equine Encephalitis54,55 may be also causing cardiovascular compromise, or even be co-infecting. We are still learning about the multiple clinical implications56,57 of co-infection, including those affecting the cardiovascular system.
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In table 1. Put in the title that the frequency of affection of different organs corresponds to this particular report. It leads to confusion because the affection of cardiovascular compromise in this table is very high, because of the selection bias of the information. Cardiovascular compromise in chikungunya infection is low.

Following the methodology of systematic review there should be a table with the references, authors, years included, number of patients contributed, findings and outcomes. Mainly to differentiate it from the rest of the bibliography of this article and quick access to the information.

In supplement 1, on PRISMA check list, the mentioned pages of appearance of the topic treated do not correspond to the page in the article. In results it appears as having done the study selection and study characteristics, however, the presentation is poor and there is not as complete as it is established in the check list.

Supplement 2 flowchart, does not mention the reasons why certain articles were excluded.
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General comment
This is a very interesting article that compiles information relevant to an emerging and widely disseminated infection in the American continent whose complications are still under study and its impact still to be known.
Specifically, there are some aspects of the review that need to be considered:

Objectives:
- Clearly specified focusing on the cardiovascular involvement of Chikungunya virus infection, frequency of presentation, clinical manifestations and laboratory elements such as the electrocardiogram

Methodology
- Articles published in French have been left out, which could be a limitation considering that the largest series of cases and their complications came from France in relation to the big outbreak on La Reunion island, and many of them published in French.
- The search criteria are wide: Chikungunya AND systemic manifestations, heart, cardiac. However, with these criteria, you could lose some reports of severe disease that do not appear under these search criteria. Chikungunya AND mortality or Death could be included. Also, more specific criteria could be used in order to answer the question the authors propose to find cardiovascular involvement in Chikungunya infection
- The inclusion of dengue in the search and in the results escapes the objectives of this systematic review.

There is no reference to the use of PRISMA checklist in this review, if it was used, make it more explicit in each of the items.

Results
- It is confusing and difficult to follow what results are obtained from the systematic review (40 articles) and what results are from articles not included in the review.
- Table 1, which shows the frequency of the involvement of different organs in chikungunya can be absolutely biased since the inclusion criteria are articles with systemic involvement. According to the search criteria, the classical form of the disease has not been included overestimating the frequency of systemic involvement.
- It is not clear what criteria you used to classify an organ involvement as very common or extremely rare. According to the authors, an unusual manifestation occurs between 39-20%. This could be debatable.
- The clinical description of the disease in terms of acute, post-acute and chronic phase is irrelevant for the purposes of this review. Just mention the clinical aspects that are important for the
objectives. In this sense, clarify the terms used as atypical manifestations, extra-articular manifestations, systemic disease, severe disease. They are used sometimes as synonyms and sometimes with a different meaning. It is confusing. It is difficult to understand why in table 1, cardiovascular manifestations are as frequent as 54% but does not appear to be so in the text of atypical presentations.

- The entire section of cardiovascular manifestations in dengue goes beyond the purpose of the study and should not be mentioned in the results. Comparisons with the cardiovascular compromise in chikungunya, which is appreciated, can be presented in the discussion.
- At the end of results and before management, the paragraph of studies determining the cardiovascular outcome in patients with chikungunya should be included in conclusions.

Discussion
It is not clear the meaning of the phrase cardiac compromise is not so common in isolated episodes. The authors do not clearly indicate the home take messages for this study and its main contribution.

Limitations: authors do not mention the limitations of their study.
Conclusions: Do not correspond to purpose of the study or objectives.

References
In the references, it is not clear what are the articles included in the systematic review and what are included for discussion.
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**Competing Interests:** None.

Reviewer Report 18 April 2017

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.11949.r21382

© 2017 Vilcarromero S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Stalin Vilcarromero

1 Sociedad Científica de Estudiantes de Medicina de la Amazonía Peruana (SOCIEMAP), Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Nacional de la Amazonía Peruana, Iquitos, Peru
2 Naval Medical Research Unit № 6, Lima & Iquitos, Peru

In the manuscript entitled “Cardiovascular involvement and manifestations of systemic Chikungunya virus infection: A systematic review” authors have made a hard and interesting description of the cardiovascular effect by chikungunya virus infection. This analysis would be important to share with the scientific community; however it is necessary major changes in order to be ready for publication. Mainly, focus in the specific topic and put in order and properly the information in the paper.

**General comments:**

1. **Objective:**
   It s not clear, author start assessing that the objective focus among cardiovascular involvement and manifestation, however, other systemic manifestation or atypical manifestation also become relevant in the results section.
   1. **Methodology**
      1. The main objective of systematic reviews is to respond to a specific question. Initially authors seem to do that pointing on cardiovascular involvement, but then, when they write the introduction and results section, this main point seem to disappear or is not clear for the reader. However, in the discussion section, authors re-take the objectives again. Certainly, the big amount of information given in the introduction section and talking about the virus, history, classification, vector and cycles is very interesting, but it is not the purpose of this study. I suggest them shortening it and focussing on the cardiovascular involvement and complications. I also recommend displaying a flow (figure) of how papers were excluded and included.

2. What guideline do the authors follow up in order to assess the risk of bias (PRISMA or Cochrane)? It is possible you follow PRISMA approach (For example:
http://prisma-statement.org/PRISMAStatement/Checklist.aspx). Please give this information explaining the steps.

3. In the Methods section, the next key word “Chikungunya AND Systemic AND Manifestation” used by authors has probably given them no specific references or papers. Instead of that, why do not they also use specific “key words” such as: “Chikungunya AND cardiac involvement” “Chikungunya AND cardiac complication” or “Chikungunya AND cardiovascular involvement” “Chikungunya AND cardiovascular complications” or Chikungunya AND Atypical manifestation/complications”. The idea is to be more specific and less general.

4. According to the authors, the protocol was registered in PROSPERO; however it was not possible to view the registered protocol in the web (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/searchadvanced.php).

5. I wonder if the inclusion of reviews may cause a bias. Please clarify and if it is true, consider in the “limitations section”.

1. Results

   1. Authors use “cardiac affectation” and also “cardiovascular compromise”. I recommend to standardize the term in order to avoid creating confusion for the reader.
   2. Authors describe with detail the clinical features during the acute, post-acute and chronic stage of CHIKV infection. I recommend shortening and focusing on the topic.
   3. In “Atypical presentation” section, authors shows systemic manifestation considering the affectation in different organs such as neurological, cardiovascular, etc. Why did not the authors use also the key word “atypical” rather than “systemic manifestation” or “extra-articular” in the searching strategy and also in the analyses? It is confusing.
   4. In Table 2, the word “Systemic extra-articular involvement of atypical CHIKV” shows clinical manifestation, however, we do not know more information about “who (co-morbidities? Older? Young?)”, “how many”(number/percentage), type of paper(case report, case control, etc), etc. Are these clinical manifestations in outpatients or inpatients? Are these early clinical features or complications? So there are many questions around this information that would be useful in order to form a correct interpretation.

5. In Table 3, it is important to consider more information about the selected papers. I recommend a big table showing the different cardiovascular involvement/complication, for example: 1. the clinical diagnoses (heart failure, acute coronary syndrome, refractory shock, and rhythm abnormalities), relevant signs and symptoms, especially early signs/symptoms (chest pain, dyspnea, bradycardia, etc), laboratory diagnose (Troponin, BNP, CK-MB, etc), Imaging studies (echocardiography, Magnetic resonance), final diagnose (Myocarditis?), Management (inotropics, corticosteroid, etc) and outcome (survive, died). I consider, this data would be important to understand the impact of cardiovascular involvement due to CHIKV.

6. In dengue, now it is known that more about the cardiovascular involvement is mostly characterized by rhythm abnormalities (bradycardia) with no symptoms or complications. However, in moderate or severe cases where there was a cardiovascular affectation or complication, myocarditis has been an important cause. Myocarditis due to DENV infection may present several patterns such as “refractory shock”, “heart failure”, “arrhythmia”, etc and It would be important to consider this diagnose. In the comparison with CHIKV infection and cardiac involvement, myocarditis, should be discussed. It is not clear what do authors try to assess when they say: “The cardiac tropism of CHIKV seem to be shared with DENV”, it is important to clarify.

7. Diagnose myocarditis by those arbovirus, need to consider a myocardial biopsy or a cardiac magnetic resonance, however performing these in tropical areas where these arbovirus are prevalent, is very hard.
The management of myocarditis, whatever is the etiology, focus in the management of the agent (virus, bacteria, etc); management of the cardiovascular event (heart failure, cardiogénico shock, arrhythmia, etc) and the management of the inflammatory process. The last, is under discussion and needs more research, although in some severe cases by DENV, the corticosteroids administration changed the evolution.

2. Discussion
Authors say, “The key for a successful outcome of CHIKV-induced cardiomyopathy is recognizing signs and symptoms”. Here I recommend repeating the most important ones.

1. Limitations
This section would consider some of the bias common to this kind of study where there is no clinical trials included and where “case reports” were including. Bias such as “selection” or “publication” bias, etc.
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Alfonso Rodriguez-Morales, Universidad Tecnológica de Pereira, Pereira, Risaralda, Colombia

Dear Dr. Vilcarromero

First, thanks for your initial comments on our systematic review (SR) (without meta-analysis). In second place we want to clarify that making for the first time a SR about cardiovascular involvement and manifestations of systemic Chikungunya virus infection implies comparisons with dengue, unavoidably, as well, to introduce readers about the systemic manifestations of chikungunya, to better understand the cardiovascular involvement and manifestations in this arboviral disease. By the way, still on this specific topic, many aspects will become more detailed along with research in the near future. In which our group is specifically also contributing with studies, already published on the cardiovascular electrocardiographical alterations, as well ongoing on the ecochardiographical and cardiovascular biochemical ones.

Objective:
It's not clear, author start assessing that the objective focus among cardiovascular involvement and manifestation, however, other systemic manifestation or atypical manifestation also become relevant in the results section.

Our SR has as objectives, not just one:
- To systematically review published literature on the cardiovascular manifestations and involvement of systemic CHIKV infection;
- To explore which are the main clinical cardiovascular features of chikungunya infection?
- To identify which are the main electrocardiographical findings of chikungunya infection?

All of them, are clearly developed in the section Synthesis of Results, which is the main part of the SR.

Before that section, a brief introduction on other systemic manifestation or atypical manifestation of chikungunya infection is at Clinical Course, short section of just 3 paragraphs and atypical presentations, a section of just 2 paragraphs, which include, by the way, the transition to the cardiovascular aspects, developed in the section Synthesis of Results and later sections of the SR.

**Methodology**

The main objective of systematic reviews is to respond to a specific question.

In our case, to three specific questions, with the proper context to make it readable and understandable given the novelty of the topic, as mentioned, also with ongoing research in multiple aspects.

Initially authors seem to do that pointing on cardiovascular involvement, but then, when they write the introduction and results section, this main point seem to disappear or is not clear for the reader.

From the title it's clear that this SR is not only about the cardiovascular involvement, but also about cardiovascular manifestations of chikungunya. Nevertheless, we will make more clarifications on our Introduction regarding this.

However, in the discussion section, authors re-take the objectives again.

Ok. Thanks.

Certainly, the big amount of information given in the introduction section and talking about the virus, history, classification, vector and cycles is very interesting, but it is not the purpose of this study.

This is not properly a study, it is a SR, without meta-analysis. Then, we consider, given the still novelty of the topic, such aspects are necessary for the readers.

I suggest them shortening it and focussing on the cardiovascular involvement and complications.

We have done that at Synthesis of Results and later sections of the SR.

I also recommend displaying a flow (figure) of how papers were excluded and included.

With our submission we provided the PRISMA Flow Chart and Checklist. However, this was not published by the journal with our article. Considering its importance and agreeing with you about it, we will incorporate as a Figure 1 and including properly in the manuscript, and not as supplementary file of our submission.

What guideline do the authors follow up in order to assess the risk of bias (PRISMA or Cochrane? It is possible you follow PRISMA approach (For example:
http://prisma-statement.org/PRISMAStatement/Checklist.aspx). Please give this information explaining the steps.
We followed the PRISMA statement, as recommended by F1000 Research. We will clarify more on this in our revised manuscript.

In the Methods section, the next key word “Chikungunya AND Systemic AND Manifestation” used by authors has probably given them no specific references or papers. Instead of that, why do not they also use specific “key words” such as: “Chikungunya AND cardiac involvement” “Chikungunya AND cardiac complication” or “Chikungunya AND cardiovascular involvement” “Chikungunya AND cardiovascular complications” or Chikungunya AND Atypical manifestation/complications“. The idea is to be more specific and less general.

Given the lack of studies, we explore both options, finally trying to be more sensitive in order to include all the possibly relevant studies related to our SR.

According to the authors, the protocol was registered in PROSPERO; however it was not possible to view the registered protocol in the web (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/searchadvanced.php).

We agree with you. This protocol was not prospectively registered in PROSPERO. But this is not a mandatory aspect for publication at F1000 Research, then this was modified later, but when published appeared with that incorrect comment. This will be deleted in the revised version.

I wonder if the inclusion of reviews may cause a bias. Please clarify and if it is true, consider in the “limitations section”.
We will extend more on our Limitations.

Results
Authors use “cardiac affectation” and also “cardiovascular compromise”. I recommend to standardize the term in order to avoid creating confusion for the reader.
Agree, we will use only “compromise” and not “affectation”.

Authors describe with detail the clinical features during the acute, post-acute and chronic stage of CHIKV infection. I recommend shortening and focusing on the topic.
We will consider this in the revised version.

In “Atypical presentation” section, authors shows systemic manifestation considering the affection in different organs such as neurological, cardiovascular, etc. Why did not the authors use also the key word “atypical” rather than “systemic manifestation” or “extra-articular” in the searching strategy and also in the analyses? It is confusing.
In chikungunya, the definition of systemic manifestation or extra-articular has not well typified. Conversely, atypical case was defined by PAHO/WHO during the expert consultation meeting in Managua, Nicaragua, 2016, and later published at the Weekly Epidemiological Record of the WHO 14 august 2015, 90, 33, 409-420. We will clarify this in our revised version.

In Table 2, the word “Systemic extra-articular involvement of atypical CHIKV” shows clinical manifestation, however, we do not know more information about “who (co-morbidities? Older? Young?)”, “how many”(number/percentage), type of paper(case report, case control, etc), etc. Are these clinical manifestations in outpatients or inpatients? Are these early clinical features or complications? So there are many
questions around this information that would be useful in order to form a correct interpretation.
We will consider the improvement of this Table.

In Table 3, it is important to consider more information about the selected papers. I recommend a big table showing the different cardiovascular involvement/complication, for example: 1. the clinical diagnoses (heart failure, acute coronary syndrome, refractory shock, and rhythm abnormalities), relevant signs and symptoms, especially early signs/symptoms (chest pain, dyspnea, bradycardia, etc), laboratory diagnose (Troponin, BNP, CK-MB, etc), Imaging studies (echocardiography, Magnetic resonance), final diagnose (Myocarditis?), Management (inotropics, corticosteroid, etc) and outcome (survive, died). I consider, this data would be important to understand the impact of cardiovascular involvement due to CHIKV.
Unfortunately the number of papers as well the data available is limited to fully performed that, although was discussed by the group of authors of this SR. Nevertheless, we will consider your comment to improve this Table.

In dengue, now it is known that more about the cardiovascular involvement is mostly characterized by rhythm abnormalities (bradycardia) with no symptoms or complications. However, in moderate or severe cases where there was a cardiovascular affectation or complication, myocarditis has been an important cause. Myocarditis due to DENV infection may present several patterns such as “refractory shock”, “heart failure”, “arrhythmia”, etc and It would be important to consider this diagnose. In the comparison with CHIKV infection and cardiac involvement, myocarditis, should be discussed. It is not clear what do authors try to assess when they say: “The cardiac tropism of CHIKV seem to be shared with DENV”, it is important to clarify.
Thanks for this comment. We will use it in the revised version.

Diagnose myocarditis by those arbovirus, need to consider a myocardial biopsy or a cardiac magnetic resonance, however performing these in tropical areas where these arbovirus are prevalent, is very hard.

We fully agree with this.

The management of myocarditis, whatever is the etiology, focus in the management of the agent (virus, bacteria, etc); management of the cardiovascular event (heart failure, cardiogénico shock, arrhythmia, etc) and the management of the inflammatory process. The last, is under discussion and needs more research, although in some severe cases by DENV, the corticosteroids administration changed the evolution.
We fully agree with this.

Discussion
Authors say, “The key for a successful outcome of CHIKV-induced cardiomyopathy is recognizing signs and symptoms”. Here I recommend repeating the most important ones.
Ok. Agree.

Limitations
This section would consider some of the bias common to this kind of study where there is no clinical trials included and where “case reports” were including. Bias such as
“selection” or “publication” bias, etc.
We will comment more on that.

Competing Interests: None.

José Antonio Suárez
Unidad Clínica de Enfermedades Tropicales, Instituto Conmemorativo Gorgas de Estudios de la Salud, Panama, Panama

Cardiovascular involvement in CHIKV disease has been described in several publication highlighting a possible cardiac tropism of CHIKV. This findings have shown that arboviruses like CHIKV and Dengue can share with parvovirus 19, herpes virus and enterovirus30 and other viruses, the list of the viral causes of heart damage.

This study helps the understanding of cardiovascular manifestations and complications in all 3 stages of CHICK disease and it gives the physician the awareness of thinking in arbovirus-related diseases to make the accurate diagnosis and avoid fatalities. Once the physician thinks in CHIKV, the patient should have a cardiac assessment as early as possible, especially in countries where CHIKV is epidemic.

From study design, methods and analysis points of view, the authors complied with all PRISMA and PROSPERO criteria for a Systematic Review showing a robust data and good conclusions.

In Latin America where other arboviruses are co-circulating with CHIKV and Dengue, cardiovascular symptoms can be the first signal of a viral infection.

The content of this Systematic Review can help tropical medicine and travel medicine physicians to have a better approach in the assessment of patients with some arbovirus diseases.

Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated?
Partly

Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
Partly

Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
Partly

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review?
Partly
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Dear Dr. Suárez

Thanks for your assessment as well your positive comments on our review, which as can be appreciated after reviewing major bibliographical databases, such as Scopus, PubMed and/or Web of Science, is probably the first to address specifically the cardiovascular involvement and manifestations of systemic Chikungunya virus infection.
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