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Abstract

Background: Antibiotics for prophylaxis are widely used to reduce the risk of post-caesarean delivery infection. The dosage regimens are often inappropriate and may result in the appearance of drug-resistant organisms, which will increase the cost.

Objectives: A cross-sectional study was conducted to investigate the prescribing patterns of prophylactic antibiotics for elective caesarean delivery (CD) at Medani Hospital, Sudan.

Method: The medical records of women who underwent elective CD from April 2015 to June 2015 were reviewed retrospectively.

Results: The main reasons for CD among these women (n=202) were repeat CD, breech and antepartum haemorrhage. The mean (±SD) age of the women was 28.7 (±6.2) years. Ceftriaxone was the most commonly prescribed antibiotic, prescribed for 63.9% of women. It was used alone in 12.4% of cases, and in combination with gentamicin and metronidazole in 49.5% of cases. Cefuroxime was used in combination with gentamicin and metronidazole in 26.7% of women, and in combination with metronidazole only in 9.4% of women, making the overall percentage 36.1%. Antibiotics were administered for 5 days in 32.7% of cases. 91.1% of women received antibiotic prophylaxis after clamping of the cord. All women received oral antibiotic prophylaxis on discharge for five to seven days. Oral cefuroxime in combination with metronidazole was the most preferred regime (77.2%).

Conclusions: The current study shows overuse of antibiotics for elective CD. Injectable ceftriaxone in combination with gentamicin and metronidazole after cord clamping was the most commonly prescribed regime.
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Introduction

Cesarean delivery (CD) is a procedure mainly performed to save the lives of mother and child to ensure a healthy outcome when normal vaginal delivery is not possible. It is the most common major surgical procedure performed worldwide (Blanchette, 2011; DeFrances & Hall, 2007). With increasing CD rates, postpartum maternal infections are likely to become an increasing health and economic burden and their prevention remains a public health priority (Martin et al., 2010). Postpartum endometritis and abdominal wound infections are the most common infectious complications following childbirth, and their incidence has increased due to CD becoming a routine procedure (Chaim et al., 2000).

The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews has reported that antibiotic prophylaxis has reduced the risk of infectious morbidity of CD by 50% to 70% (Smaill & Grivell, 2014; Tita et al., 2009). The hospitals that used antibiotic prophylaxis for elective CD demonstrated high compliance and decreased rates of postpartum infectious complications (Skjeldstad et al., 2015). The desired antibiotic used for prophylaxis should have maximum efficacy against the organism at the surgical site, have a long duration of action and be delivered at an acceptable dose. (Burke, 2001).

Recent reports have shown that a single dose of antibiotic used for CD is equally as adequate as multiple doses or multiple antibiotics, reducing the cost without increasing the infection rate (Gidiri & Ziruma, 2014; Ijarotimi et al., 2013; Westen et al., 2015a). Prolonged use of prophylactic antibiotics can lead to emergence of resistant bacterial strains (Harbarth et al., 2000).

Administration of antibiotic prophylaxis prior to CD is as effective as when given after cord clamping in reducing the risk of infectious morbidity (Costantini et al., 2008; Lamont & Joergensen, 2014). Various epidemiological studies have been conducted in different countries to assess the use of prophylactic antibiotics in a clinical setting (Gouvêa et al., 2015; Huskins et al., 2001). In Sudan, almost two fifth of babies are being delivered by caesarean (Abbaker et al., 2013). In spite of this high rate, there are few published studies on the effects of antibiotic prophylaxis for CD in Sudan (Ahmed et al., 2004; Elbur et al., 2014; Osman et al., 2013). Therefore, we investigated the prescription patterns of prophylactic antibiotics for elective CD at Medani Hospital, Sudan.

Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted at Medani Hospital, Sudan by reviewing medical records of women who underwent elective CD at Medani Maternity Hospital, Sudan from April to June 2015. Medical records were taken from the Hospital Medical Archive system. The hospital owns the patient records that were accessed. The data were managed anonymously. Thus, the files were reviewed and patient consent was not being necessary. The Review Board of Medani Maternity Hospital Medical, Sudan approved the use of the data (# 2015/26). Data collected includes age, parity, indication for CD, type of anaesthesia given (general or spinal), time at which prophylactic antibiotics were administered (during induction of anaesthesia or after cord clamping), type and strength of injectable antibiotic prescribed, duration of treatment, and the regimen of oral antibiotic given after discharge from the hospital.

Statistical analysis

The sample size of 202 women was estimated according to the equation: \( n = \frac{(Z_{1-\alpha})^2 \times P(1-P)}{D^2} \).

SPSS for Windows version 20.0 was used for data analysis. Continuous and categorical data were expressed as mean (±SD) and as proportions, respectively.

Results

202 medical records were reviewed. The mean (±SD) of the age was 28.7 (6.2) years. The main indications for the operation were repeat CD, previous miscarriage and intrauterine fetal death (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Indications for elective caesarean delivery at the Medani Hospital, Sudan.
The mean (±SD) duration of antibiotic treatment was 6.4 (±1.3) days. Ceftriaxone was the most commonly prescribed antibiotic, at and overall rate of 63.9%. It was used alone in 12.4% of cases, and in combination with gentamicin and metronidazole infusion in 49.5% of cases. In 2% of the women, ceftriaxone was administered in combination with metronidazole alone. The second most common regimen involved cefuroxime. It was used in combination with gentamicin and metronidazole infusion in 26.7% of women, and it was administered with metronidazole only in 9.4% of women.

The majority (91.1%) of women received antibiotic prophylaxis after clamping of the cord. All patients received oral antibiotic prophylaxis after discharge, for five to seven days. Oral cefuroxime (zinoxamore) in combination with metronidazole was the most prescribed regimen (77.2%).

**Discussion**

**Antibiotic prophylaxis for caesarean delivery**

The main finding of the current study was that multiple regimens for antibiotic prophylaxis were being administered to women undergoing elective CD at the Medani Maternal Hospital. The use of antibiotic prophylaxis for CD has been shown to be effective in reducing postoperative morbidity, cost and duration of hospitalization (Clifford & Daley, 2012; Smaill & Grivell, 2014; Tita et al., 2009).

**Duration of antibiotic prophylaxis for caesarean delivery**

The duration of prophylactic treatment administered to the women at Medani Maternal Hospital was extended to an average of 6.4 days. This is inconsistent with international guidelines, where as a short duration of prophylaxis (usually < 24 hours) is recommended, and it gives the benefit of minimal toxicity and decreases the risk of antibiotic resistance (Dellinger et al., 1994; Giuliani et al., 1999). A number of studies have concluded that a single dose regimen was equally as effective as multiple dose regimens. (Bhattachan et al., 2013; Westen et al., 2015b; Zigos et al., 2010)

International and global clinical guidelines have been prepared by a number of advisory committees on the use of antibiotic prophylaxis for women undergoing C-sections. Evidence-based recommendations for the prevention of surgical site infections (Berrios-Torres et al., 2017; Review, 2017) state that antibiotic prophylaxis should be administered before skin incision, and no additional doses should have to be administered after the surgical incision is closed (Bhattachan et al., 2013; Zigos et al., 2010). The guidelines from the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP), recommend the use of a single dose of cefazolin administered before surgical incision (Bratzler et al., 2013). Clinical Practice Guidelines approved by the Executive and Council of the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada recommends the use of a single dose of a first-generation cephalosporin, 15 to 60 minutes prior to skin incision, with no additional doses (van Schalkwyk et al., 2010). A national clinical guideline developed by the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network for antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery recommends the use of a single standard dose of narrow-spectrum, more affordable antibiotics for prophylaxis (Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network, 2008).

Although many national and international guidelines recommend the use of a single dose of antibiotic for prophylaxis, in our study the average duration of prophylaxis is extended to five-seven days, which is of concern. Antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery is used for prevention of surgical site infections, with optimal use involving the use of the antibiotic agent at a dosage that ensures adequate serum and tissue concentrations during the period of potential contamination (Burke, 2001). The antibiotic should be administered for the shortest feasible period to minimize the risk of adverse effects, development of resistance, and costs. Therefore, there is no need for an extended duration for antibiotic use, as observed in this study.

Gurusamy and his colleagues have demonstrated that multiple prophylactic antibiotics or an increased duration of antibiotic prophylaxis is of no advantage to surgical patients with respect of reduction of MRSA infection (Gurusamy et al., 2013). The administration of single dose antibiotic prophylaxis also reduces the load on the staff and decreased the costs, which is a good for low-resource settings and should be adopted if the cost has to be reduced (Gidiri & Ziruma, 2014; Ijarotimi et al., 2013; Westen et al., 2015a).

The prolonged use of prophylactic antibiotics can lead to emergence of resistant bacterial strains (Harbarth et al., 2000). The indiscriminate use of antibiotic prophylaxis coupled with the great capacity of adaptation of microorganisms, enables the emergence of resistant strains, which requires, synthesis of increasingly expensive drugs, resulting in significant increases in healthcare costs.

Injectable ceftriaxone in combination with gentamicin and metronidazole after cord clamping was the most commonly prescribed regimen in our study. The second-generation cephalosporin cefuroxime in combination with metronidazole was used as the second most common regimen. In a similar study, Elbur and colleagues found great variation in prescribing patterns between different obstetrics units in Khartoum (Elbur et al., 2014).

The use of third generation cephalosporins, imidazole derivatives and second generation cephalosporins resemble the patterns seen in Asian countries where broad spectrum cephalosporin use is predominant (Al-Momany et al., 2009; Mahdaviazad et al., 2011) Inappropriate use of both prophylactic and therapeutic antibiotics in surgical procedures was observed in Malaysia (Lim et al., 2015). John J et al., also demonstrated inappropriate use of antibiotics in patients undergoing gynecologic surgery in Texas (Joyce et al., 2017). In spite of this, many developed countries prefer the use of first generation cephalosporins or a combination of penicillin and betalactamase-inhibitors (Durando et al., 2012; Hosoglu et al., 2009). The inappropriate use of antibiotics may result in
the development of drug-resistant organisms, which is concerning. (Dancer, 2004). The overuse of third-generation cephalosporin leads to the development of new strains of extended spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs), MRSA, vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE), and Clostridium difficile (Dancer, 2001).

Time of administration of antibiotic prophylaxis for caesarean delivery

Our study revealed that the majority (91.1%) of women received antibiotic prophylaxis after clamping of the cord. However, less surgical wound infections have been observed when antibiotics were administered prior to skin incision, and there was no increase in the adverse effects on the neonates. (Dlamini et al., 2015; Lamont & Joergensen, 2014; Tita et al., 2009).

Conclusions

The current study shows an overuse of antibiotics for elective CD. Injectable ceftizoxime in combination with gentamicin and metronidazole after cord clamping was the most commonly prescribed regime at Medani Hospital, Sudan.

Data availability

Dataset 1: Raw data collected as the basis for this study. DOI, 10.5256/f1000research.11919.d168395 (Adam et al., 2017).

Ethical approval

The study was approved by the Review Board of the Medani Maternity Hospital Medical, Sudan (# 2015/26).

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References


Publisher Full Text


Publisher Full Text


Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: {? ?}

© 2017 Sekikubo M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Musa Sekikubo
Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology (MTC), Division of Clinical Microbiology, Karolinska University Hospital, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

It is difficult to evaluate antibiotic overuse without reference to locally available guidelines on prophylaxis.

There are mixed thoughts on the timing of prophylactic antibiotics - 30 minutes prior to incision vs after clamping the cord - what do the local guidelines recommend? It is not clear what was done in patients with preterm rupture of membranes.

The design seemed more of a retrospective records review than a cross sectional study.

The results don't spur future practice as they can not be pegged against current guidelines.

Recommendations:

a. The study could benefit from additional information on local guidelines on antibiotic prophylaxis during Caesarean section to enable the reader to compare adherence to guidelines.

b. I have significant reservations about the study despite its importance in the era of increasing antibiotic resistance.
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The above research is a very relevant topic of concern as the authors have truly highlighted the advantages of prophylactic antibiotics in caesarean sections in the recent age. Prophylactic antibiotics have reduced the complications of post-operative wound infection, maternal infections, endometritis and pyrexia in caesarean delivery.

In this study various drugs as prophylaxis with different durations have been used. But there is no comparative study of prophylactic drug regimes and drug durations for which these drugs were used in the hospital.

The correct prophylactic regime accepted worldwide is a single second generation cephalosporin (cefazoline) used within 30 minutes to one hour prior to surgery which is helpful in avoiding postoperative infections.

The regimes used in the above study appear to be therapeutic rather than prophylactic. A comparative study highlighting the advantages versus disadvantages of the overuse of the various multiple drug regimes in post operative follow up of these cases would improve the study.

Patients who had postoperative complications if any has not been noted in the results, thereby making it an incomplete study.

**Recommendations =**
1. The study needs to be elaborated further.
2. Comparative study of all the drug regimes need to be done.
3. Complications observed in study group should be noted.
4. Graphic representation in the form of a table should be added after comparison of all regimes.
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