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Abstract
Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection and has been a global public health concern. We report co-infection of SARS-CoV-2 and 2009 H1N1 Influenza strain in a French patient with pneumonia leading to acute respiratory distress syndrome. The patient also had a medical history of pulmonary sarcoidosis with a restrictive ventilatory syndrome and obesity, which would be a supplementary risk to develop a poor outcomes. This case highlights the possible co-infection of two severe SARS-CoV-2 and influenza H1N1 viruses in comorbid patient, which presents a higher risk to extend the care duration. The overlapping clinical features of the two respiratory syndromes is a challenge, and awareness is required to recommend an early differential diagnosis and it’s necessary to adopt the vigilant preventive measures and therapeutic strategies to prevent a deleterious impacts in patients with comorbid factors.
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Introduction
Coinfections involving SARS-CoV-2 and respiratory viruses influenza viruses (A or B) have been rarely reported. One recent meta-analysis indicated that overall 1.2% of COVID-19 patients had influenza co-infection. However, there was no more evaluation of the infection effect in clinical outcomes and less in patients with other comorbidity factors. Our patient presented concurrent COVID-19 and Influenza infections with a history of pulmonary sarcoidosis.

Case report
A 41-year-old man presented to the hospital’s emergency unit with fever and cough that has been progressing for several days. The SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test carried on the 3 targets genes RdRp, N, E was positive on March 22th 2020, whereas the cough and fever had already been evolving for three to four days before. On March 24th 2020, the patient had developed a dyspnea aggravation and was taken care of by a medical unity at home. He has a medical history of pulmonary sarcoidosis with a restrictive ventilatory syndrome, which was being treated with Methotrexate (15mg per week) and folinic acid (0,4mg one tablet per day). He also had malaria in 2004 from a trip to Central Africa.

Physical examination on the 24th March revealed a respiratory rate of 41 breaths/minute (normal range (NR) 12–20 breaths/minute) and oxygen saturation SpO2 of 75% (reference range (R-R) 95–100%) on ambient air. The SpO2 became at 96% when given mask flow oxygen at a rate of 12l/minute. The patient was transferred to the emergency room with 97% SpO2, body temperature 37.2°C, and respiratory rate of 30 breaths per minute. The patient presented with superficial polynea, dyspnea with little effort, difficulty in speaking and bilateral “crackles”. Neurological and cardiovascular examinations were normal.

On supplemental oxygen (12l/min), arterial blood gas analysis revealed pH 7.50 (R-R 7.35–7.45), PCO2 35 mmHg (NR 35–45 mmHg), PO2 88 mmHg (NR 75–100 mmHg), HCO3- 27.3 mmol/l (R-R 22–26 mmol/l), and SaO2 94.4% (R-R 95–100%). The patient was then transferred to intensive care unit (ICU). Respiratory panel tests were negative for adenovirus (subtypes 2, 3, 6, 7.1 and 8), coronaviruses (229E, HKU1, NL63 and OC43), human metapneumovirus, rhinovirus, enterovirus, MERS-CoV, parainfluenza virus (1,2,3 and 4), respiratory syncytial virus, and Bordetella pertussis and parapertussis. However, influenza A, subtype influenza A-H1 variant 2009, was positive. The molecular and kinetic analysis of the 3 target genes (RdRp, N, E) of SARS-CoV-2 revealed the persistence of virus for 3 weeks.

A chest computed tomography scan revealed a predominant left interstitial lung condensation syndrome. Routine laboratory tests revealed higher parameters during patient hospitalization: creatine phosphokinase 2999 UI/l (R-R 30–200UI/l); gamma glutamyl transferase 119 Ui/l (R-R 12–64UI/l); D-Dimers, which has increased twice in one week, 3620 ng/ml (April 6th) to 7520 (April 15th) (R-R 40–500UI/l). Other parameters were also elevated: fibrinogen 8.58g/l (R-R 2–4g/l); aspartate-aminotransferase 55 UI/l (R-R 5–34UI/l); platelets 599x10e9/l (April 7th) (R-R 150–450x10e9/l); leucocytes 15.4x10e9/l (R-R 4–10x10e9/l) (Table 1).

Other parameters showed decreased values such as red cells, hemoglobin, hematocrits and mean corpuscular hemoglobin content (Table 1). The number of leucocytes and neutrophils underwent fluctuations with high rates between April 7th and 10th (Figure 1). In this period, bacteriological examination culture revealed infection by additional pathogens, with the presence of yeasts (Candida albicans) and bacteria (Klebsiella pneumoniae) in bronchial sampling, probably with nosocomial origin. Indeed, Klebsiella pneumoniae was isolated on the deep bronchial sample of March 28th, 2020, five days after his admission and mechanical ventilation. On the 14th day of admission (April 4th 2020), Klebsiella pneumoniae was found once again at 106 in a bronchoalveolar lavage which means the failure of treatment with imipenem, meropenem is then introduced. Also, Candidas albicans was found in the same bronchoalveolar lavage at 106.

The patient stayed at ICU for 26 days from March 24th to April 19th with orotracheal intubation and using Etomidate (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization. Enoxaparin (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization. Enoxaparin (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization. Enoxaparin (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization. Enoxaparin (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization. Enoxaparin (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization. Enoxaparin (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization. Enoxaparin (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization. Enoxaparin (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization. Enoxaparin (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization. Enoxaparin (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization. Enoxaparin (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization. Enoxaparin (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization. Enoxaparin (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization. Enoxaparin (40 mg intravenous dose) for sedation and 120mg of Celocurine for curarization.

The patient was discharged on April 23rd, receiving kinesitherapy treatment, and taking
Table 1. Laboratory findings in the patient with coinfection of SARS-CoV-2 and influenza H1N1. NA: data non available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory parameters (reference range)</th>
<th>March, 26</th>
<th>March, 26</th>
<th>March, 31</th>
<th>April, 03</th>
<th>April, 04</th>
<th>April, 07</th>
<th>April, 10</th>
<th>April, 11</th>
<th>April, 12</th>
<th>April, 13</th>
<th>April, 15</th>
<th>April, 17</th>
<th>April, 24</th>
<th>April, 30</th>
<th>May, 06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytes (4–10x10e9/l)</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red cells (4.50–6.50x10e12/l)</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>4.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobins (13–17 g/dl)</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit (40–54%)</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean corpuscular volume (80 um3)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (27–32 pg)</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red cell distribution width (12–16%)</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (150–450x10e9/l)</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>218</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total neutrophils (1.80–8x10e9/l)</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>11.23</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>12.04</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>11.32</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total lymphocytes (1–4x10e9/l)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total monocytes (0.20–1x10e9/l)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Dimers (40–500 ng/ml)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3620</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>5660</td>
<td>7520</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3070</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen (2–4 g/l)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood protein (64–83 g/l)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood creatinine (64–104 µmol/l)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (3.2–7.4 µmol/l)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine phosphokinase (30–200 UI/l)</td>
<td>2999</td>
<td>1473</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate aminotransferase (5–34 UI/l)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma glutamyl transpeptidase (12–64 UI/l)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin (5–21 UI/l)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Dynamic profile of laboratory findings in patient with coinfection including SARS-CoV-2 and influenza H1N1. RdRp: RNA-dependent RNA polymerases; N: envelope protein N; S: Spike protein; CpK: creatine phosphokinase; SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2; Mar: March; Apr: April; #: Total count. The values between parentheses '(' in the ordinate axis correspond to the reference range values.
a preventive anticoagulant therapy (Enoxaparine 4000 IU /0.4ml once daily by SC injection) for three weeks. The CT scan control on April 30th 2020 revealed reduction of affection to less than 25% with appearance of more or less symmetrical, predominantly peripheral, bilateral pulmonary ground glass areas. The patient was integrated into the post COVID-19 rehabilitation program.

Discussion

We report a case of coinfection with SARS-CoV-2 and seasonal influenza H1N1 in a French comorbid patient. The prolonged intensive care and detection of SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA on the bronchoalveolar sample for at least three weeks might be explained by the immunosuppression caused by lung polyinfection (viral, bacterial and fungal) and also by his medical history of pulmonary sarcoidosis with a restrictive ventilatory syndrome and obesity. Indeed, this disease was diagnosed in January 2019 and treated with corticosteroid therapy until December 2019, then in February 2020 under corticosteroids and methotrexate due to the relapse. The patient had a handicap of stage 2 exertional dyspnia according to Medical Research Cuncil (MRC). The patient presented obesity as an additional comorbidity factor.

Bacterial coinfections in COVID-19 patients have been reported in several studies with a rate varying from less than 4% to 8% of bacterial/fungal co-infection cases with some bacterial infections frequently occurred in patients with prolonged hospitalization11,12. Meanwhile, clinicians should be vigilant for nosocomial bacterial infections13. Different studies have reported co-infection with SARS-CoV-2 and influenza viruses (A or B)14. According to a systematic review and meta-analysis of 3070 COVID-19 patients and 79 patients with concurrent COVID-19 and Influenza infections, the frequency of influenza virus co-infection among patients with COVID-19 was 4.5% and the prevalence of influenza infection was 0.8% in patients confirmed with COVID-1911. The overall of COVID-19 patients who had influenza co-infection was 1.2%. One other meta-analysis study revealed that co-infection with SARS-CoV-2 and influenza showed a high heterogeneity for overall mortality15. However, the relationship with the severity of COVID19 disease in the case of co-infection associated with one or more comorbidity factors such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, malignancies, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and other comorbidities has been largely reported and could induce a life-threatening situation16-18. For example, the risk for ICU admissions in COVID-19 individuals with diabetic comorbidity is 14.2% higher than individuals without diabetes19. Cuadrado-Payne et al. reported that all COVID-19 patients studied with medical history of hypertension, end-stage kidney disease, or type 2 diabetes attended the emergency unit20.

The co-detection of SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza H1N1 in our case with comorbidity factor the pulmonary sarcoidosis and obesity demonstrates the challenge to screen in the onset of the respiratory illness for a panel of viruses, which have overlapping clinical patterns and might exacerbate clinical symptoms, increase morbidity and prolong ICU stay. Hence, this case highlights the higher risk and poor outcomes caused by co-infection and the importance to achieve a differential diagnosis of respiratory distress syndromes specially in comorbid patients, to recommend seasonal influenza vaccination in addition of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. It’s necessary to adopt the vigilant preventive measure and therapeutic strategies to prevent a deleterious impact and health service demand on infected and comorbid individuals.

Consent

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for the publication of this article and any associated images.

Data availability

All data underlying the results are available as part of the article and no additional source data are required.
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In this case report, Baala et al. describe the clinical course of a patient with a history of pulmonary sarcoidosis who was co-infected with SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A (H1N1) virus and developed acute respiratory distress syndrome, requiring a prolonged ICU stay. The case is generally well-described and a useful reminder that the clinical presentation of SARS-CoV2 and influenza infections may overlap, and of the importance of the timely recognition of co-infection of SARS-CoV2 with other respiratory pathogens. However, the authors may wish to consider the following points:

Abstract:
○ Please clarify the sentence: “Co-infection of SARS-CoV-2 and other respiratory syndrome has rarely been reported”. Do you mean: “Co-infection with SARS-CoV2 and other respiratory pathogens”?

○ Italicisation of ‘respiratory distress syndrome’ is not required (also italicised in the title

Introduction:
○ “To date, there is no published case with a co-infection between SARS-CoV-2 and influenza H1N1”. This can no longer be claimed as there are now several reports of co-infections with these viruses from several countries.

Case Report:
○ “The SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test was positive on March 22nd 2020.” Is there information on the date of onset of symptoms in relation to the timing of this test?

○ Did the patient receive the seasonal influenza vaccine prior to the 2019-2020 influenza season?

○ Would it be possible to present the chest CT-scan?

○ How many days had the patient been in ICU before Candida albicans and Klebsiella pneumoniae were first isolated?

○ The authors indicate that an infection with Enterococcus faecalis infection was also treated; what was believed to be the source of this infection?

Discussion:
○ As per the previous comment, this is no longer the first case report of a co-infection with SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A (H1N1). However, the fact that it occurred in a patient with a
history of pulmonary sarcoidosis is perhaps more original and may be worth emphasising more in the discussion (and perhaps also in the title?).

○ The effect of SARS-CoV-2 and influenza co-infection on prognosis could perhaps be considered in a little more detail in the Discussion. The studies cited (Ding et al., Cuadrado-Payán et al.) indicated that the clinical and analytic courses of their patients did not differ from patients infected only with SARS-CoV-2. However, other studies have suggested that co-infection may be associated with worse outcomes (e.g. see Stowe et al.\textsuperscript{1} and Hashemi et al.\textsuperscript{2}).

Overall, I believe the case study contributes to the literature on patients who are co-infected with SARS-CoV-2 and influenza as there are many uncertainties regarding these patients. I support indexing but would recommend the clarifications I have suggested are considered.
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Is the background of the case's history and progression described in sufficient detail?
Yes

Are enough details provided of any physical examination and diagnostic tests, treatment given and outcomes?
Yes

Is sufficient discussion included of the importance of the findings and their relevance to future understanding of disease processes, diagnosis or treatment?
Partly

Is the case presented with sufficient detail to be useful for other practitioners?
Partly
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I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.
Dear All,

I'm Dr. Lekbir BAALA, the author of this article. I thank Dr. Louise E. Lansbury for her comments and I'm pleased to give answers below in italics.

Abstract:
• Please clarify the sentence: “Co-infection of SARS-CoV-2 and other respiratory syndrome has rarely been reported”. Do you mean: "Co-infection with SARS-CoV2 and other respiratory pathogens”?
  ○ Yes, we mean co-infection with SARS-CoV2 and other respiratory pathogens. it's corrected.

• Italicisation of ‘respiratory distress syndrome’ is not required (also italicised in the title)
  ○ Ok, it was corrected.

Introduction:
• “To date, there is no published case with a co-infection between SARS-CoV-2 and influenza H1N1”. This can no longer be claimed as there are now several reports of co-infections with these viruses from several countries.
  ○ Yes, as we submitted our case report in December 2020, at that time no case with H1N1 and SARS-CoV-2 co-infection has been published in PUBMED, but now it is. We have changed the sentence.

Case Report:
• “The SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test was positive on March 22nd 2020.” Is there information on the date of onset of symptoms in relation to the timing of this test?
  ○ Yes, the PCR was positive on 22nd March 2020. The cough and fever had already been evolving for three to four days, which is what motivated screening (clinical investigation).

• Did the patient receive the seasonal influenza vaccine prior to the 2019-2020 influenza season?
  ○ Not known as vaccinated against influenza.

• Would it be possible to present the chest CT-scan?
  ○ We have obtained the chest CT Scan for the patient. The conclusion was: “Appearance of more or less symmetrical, predominantly peripheral, bilateral pulmonary ground glass areas compatible with Covid 19 type pneumopathy in the context of the epidemic...”.

• How many days had the patient been in ICU before Candida albicans and Klebsiella pneumoniae were first isolated?
  ○ Klebsiella pneumonia was isolated on the deep bronchial sample of March 28, 2020, so five days after his admission and mechanical ventilation, he was on C3G (ceftriaxone) empirically at that time.

  ○ On the 14th day of admission (06/04/2020), Klebsiella pneumonia was found once again at 10^6 in a bronchoalveolar lavage which means the failure of treatment with imipenem, meropenem is then introduced. Also found in this bronchoalveolar lavage candidas albicans 10^4.

• The authors indicate that an infection with Enterococcus faecalis infection was also
treated; what was believed to be the source of this infection?
  - It is probably the digestive tract, the patient had presented abdominal pain, diarrhea, and vomiting which were attributed to poor tolerance of enteral nutrition. There was no specific digestive exploration because the evolution of this disorder was rapidly favorable.

Discussion:
• As per the previous comment, this is no longer the first case report of a co-infection with SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A (H1N1). However, the fact that it occurred in a patient with a history of pulmonary sarcoidosis is perhaps more original and may be worth emphasising more in the discussion (and perhaps also in the title).
  - We take into account this relevant remark on the prevalence. One meta-analysis (June 2021) of prevalence studies revealed that the frequency of influenza virus (A/B) co-infection among patients with COVID-19 was 4.5% in Asia, and 0.4% from the American continent. This meta-analysis indicated that overall 1.2% of COVID-19 patients had influenza co-infection.
  - We will add to the discussion the notion of the vulnerability of the immunocompromised (in our case sarcoidosis under methotrexate)
• The effect of SARS-CoV-2 and influenza co-infection on prognosis could perhaps be considered in a little more detail in the Discussion. The studies cited (Ding et al., Cuadrado-Payán et al.) indicated that the clinical and analytic courses of their patients did not differ from patients infected only with SARS-CoV-2. However, other studies have suggested that co-infection may be associated with worse outcomes (e.g. see Stowe et al.1 and Hashemi et al.2).
  - Indeed the data in the literature are divergent, but a large series of patients are needed or a review of the literature is probably necessary to conclude. The recent review meta-analyses (June 2021) indicated that the outcomes of COVID-19-Influenza co-infection were reported in 11 studies with 2 of 29 patients who died (6.9%), and 17 out of 29 patients recovered (58.6%), but more studies are needed to evaluate the exact effect of SARS-CoV-2 and influenza co-infection on prognosis and clinical outcomes (Masoud Dadashi et al. 2021).
  - The impact of co-infection with SARS-CoV-2 and influenza (H1N1) have been recently developed in animal models. For example, the sequential infection with H1N1 and SARS-CoV-2 aggravated COVID-19 pathogenesis in a mammalian model (Linlin Bao Signal Transduct Target Ther 2021). They conclude that co-infection with H1N1 and SARS-CoV-2 extended the duration of clinical manifestation of COVID-19, and enhanced pulmonary damage.
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