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Abstract
RNA-seq analysis involves multiple steps from processing raw sequencing data to identifying, organizing, annotating, and reporting differentially expressed genes. bcbio is an open source, community-maintained framework providing automated and scalable RNA-seq methods for identifying gene abundance counts. We have developed bcbioRNASeq, a Bioconductor package that provides ready-to-render templates and wrapper functions to post-process bcbio output data. bcbioRNASeq automates the generation of high-level RNA-seq reports, including identification of differentially expressed genes, functional enrichment analysis and quality control analysis.
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Introduction

RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis seeks to identify differential expression among groups of samples, providing insights into the underlying biology of a system under study. Automating a full analysis from raw sequence data to functionally annotated gene results requires the coordination of multiple steps and tools. From the first data processing steps to quantify gene expression, to the data quality checks necessary for identification of differentially expressed genes and functionally enriched categories, RNA-seq analysis involves the repetition of commands using various tools. This is done on a per-sample basis, and each step can require varying degrees of user intervention. As a bioinformatics core facility that processes a large number of RNA-seq datasets, we have developed a Bioconductor (BioC) package called bcbioRNASeq to aggregate and automate the execution of tools for RNA-seq quality control (QC), differential expression and functional enrichment analysis as much as possible, while still retaining full, flexible control of critical parameters.

This package relies on the output of bcbio, a python framework that implements best-practice pipelines for fully automated high-throughput sequencing analysis (including RNA-seq, variant discovery, and ChIP-seq). bcbio is a community driven resource that handles the data processing component of sequencing analysis, providing researchers with more time to focus on the downstream biology. For RNA-seq data, bcbio generates QC and gene abundance information compatible with multiple downstream BioC packages. We briefly describe some of the tools included in the bcbio RNA-seq pipeline to help our users understand the outputs of bcbio that are used in the bcbioRNASeq package.

To ensure that the library generation and sequencing quality are suitable for further analysis, tools like FastQC can examine the raw reads for quality issues. Cutadapt can optionally be used to trim reads for adapter sequences, along with other contaminant sequences such as polyA tails and low quality sequences with PHRED quality scores less than five. Salmon generates abundance estimates for known splice isoforms. In parallel, STAR aligns the reads to the specified reference genome, and featureCounts generates counts associated with known genes. bcbio assesses the complexity and quality of the RNA-seq data by quantifying rRNA content and the genomic context of alignments in known transcripts and introns using a combination of custom tools and Qualimap. Finally, MultiQC generates an interactive HTML report in which the metrics from all tools used during the analysis are combined into a single dynamic file. bcbio handles these first stages of RNA-seq data processing with little user intervention.

The next stages of an RNA-seq analysis include assessing read and alignment qualities, identifying outlier samples, clustering samples, assessing model fit, choosing cutoffs and finally, identifying differentially expressed genes. These steps often occur in multiple iterations, and require more active analyst involvement to integrate multiple tools that accept input data with incompatible formats and properties (see Use Case section). For example, the featureCounts gene counts from STAR-based alignments (a simple matrix) are useful for quality control, providing many more quality metrics than the quasi-alignments from Salmon. However, the quasi-alignments from Salmon (which are imported by tximport into a list of matrices) have been shown to be more accurate when testing for differential gene expression. Managing these disparate data types and tools can make analyses unnecessarily time consuming, and increases the risk of inconsistency between analyses. Given the complexity of the analysis, it is essential to report the final parameters and associated results in a cohesive, reproducible manner.

bcbioRNASeq was developed to address these issues and ease the process of documentation and report generation. The package offers multiple R Markdown templates that are ready-to-render after configuration of a few parameters and include example text and code for quality control metrics, differential expression, and functional enrichment analyses. Although other packages have been developed to solve similar issues, bcbioRNASeq allows for tight integration with the bcbio framework, and provides a unified package with objects, functions and pre-made templates for fast and simple RNA-seq analysis and reporting.

Methods

Reading data

As noted, bcbio runs a number of tools to generate QC metrics and compute gene counts from RNA-seq data. Additional information on the bcbio RNA-seq pipeline is available on readthedocs. At the end of a bcbio run, the most important files are stored in a separate directory specified by the user in the bcbio configuration YAML file under the upload parameter; this directory is called “final” by default. Within this directory there is a dated project directory containing quality metrics, provenance information, and data derived from the analysis that have been aggregated across all samples, e.g. count files. In addition, there is a directory corresponding to each sample that contains the binary alignment map (BAM) files and Salmon count data for that sample.
The final upload directory generated by bcbio is used as the input for bcbioRNASeq. Once the bcbio run is complete, you can open an R session and load the bcbioRNASeq library (available from our GitHub repository). Use the loadRNASeq() function to create a structured S4 object (see below) that contains all of the necessary information for downstream analysis. The only required argument when creating this object is the full path to the final directory. We also recommend that you use the interestingGroups argument to indicate variables that are present in your metadata that are of interest for the analysis. Note that bcbioRNASeq will transform all metadata column headings to lowerCamelCase format without spaces, dashes, periods or underscores; therefore interestingGroups should be specified in the same format. Once the S4 object is created, use the saveData() function to save it as an RData (.rda) file.

```r
> library(bcbioRNASeq)
> bcb <- loadRNASeq(
+   file.path("bcbio_example_run", "final"),
+   interestingGroups = c("genotype", "treatment"))
> saveData(bcb)
```

This S4 object is unique to the bcbioRNASeq package, as it contains all of the necessary data from the bcbio run required for analysis. From here, you can use various functions in bcbioRNASeq to perform analysis, make figures, and generate data tables and results files as we describe in later sections. This object is also used as the input for the R Markdown templates for report generation. First, we begin by describing the object in more detail.

**Object description**

We have designed a new S4 class named bcbioRNASeq, which is an extension of SummarizedExperiment\(^1\). Our S4 class adds a slot to SummarizedExperiment named bcbio that facilitates the inclusion of additional objects related to the experiment that cannot be contained in a regular SummarizedExperiment. The bcbio slot allows the incorporation of three additional data structures: the Salmon quasi-alignment data for differential expression analyses from tximport\(^2\), an automatically generated DESeqDataSet to provide support for quality control plots, and alternative counts generated by featureCounts. To see all available slots in the bcbioRNASeq object listed by name, you can use slotNames(bcb). Each of the slots are described in more detail below:

- @assays: ShallowSimpleListAssays containing count matrices derived from Salmon quasi-aligned counts imported with tximport. This slot is accessible with assays().
  - raw: raw counts, generated by Salmon and imported with tximport. These are the primary counts and can be accessed with assay().
  - normalized: Normalized counts, with DESeq2 sizeFactors applied.
  - tpm: transcripts per million (TPM), calculated by tximport.
- tmm: trimmed mean of M-values, calculated by edgeR.
- rlog: regularized log transformation, calculated by DESeq2.
- vst: variance stabilizing transformation, calculated by DESeq2.

- @colData: DataFrame describing the columns (samples) of the count matrices slotted in assays().
  This slot is accessible with colData().

- @elementMetadata: DataFrame describing the rows (genes) of the count matrices slotted in assays().
  This slot is accessible with rowData().

- @NAMES: Ensembl gene identifiers; rownames of the matrices slotted in assays(), used in conjunction with rowData().

- @metadata: SimpleList containing any metadata relevant to the dataset and the information pertaining to/generated from previous steps in the workflow. This slot is accessible with metadata().
  - version: Version of bcbioRNASeq package used to generate the object.
  - uploadDir: Path to bcbio final upload directory.
  - sampleDirs: Paths of sample directories contained in bcbio upload.
  - projectDir: Path to project directory in bcbio upload.
  - template: Name of YAML file used to configure bcbio run.
  - runDate: Date of bcbio run completion.
  - interestingGroups: Groups of interest to use by default for quality control plot coloring.
  - organism: Latin species name (e.g. “Homo sapiens”).
  - genomeBuild: Genome build (e.g. “hg38” or “mm10”).
  - ensemblVersion: Ensembl annotation version (e.g. “Ensembl Genes 90”). Defaults to “current”.
  - annotable: Ensembl annotations obtained from AnnotationHub with ensembldb[16,17].
  - tx2gene: Transcript to gene identifier mappings.
  - lanes: Number of flow cell lanes used during sequencing.
  - yaml: bcbio run YAML containing summary statistics and sample metadata saved during configuration.
  - metrics: Sample quality metrics from bcbio analysis, generated from aligned counts produced by STAR and featureCounts.
  - sampleMetadataFile: Path to custom sample metadata file, used to override metadata saved in run YAML.
  - dataVersions: Genome versions used by bcbio.
  - programs: Program versions used by bcbio.
  - bcbioLog: bcbio run log.
  - bcbioCommandsLog: bcbio commands log.
  - allSamples: Whether the object contains all samples from the run.
  - date: Date the bcbio run was loaded into R with loadRNASeq().
  - wd: Working directory.
  - utilsSessionInfo: utils::sessionInfo() output.
  - devtoolsSessionInfo: devtools::sessionInfo() output.
  - unannotatedGenes: Character vector of gene identifiers present in the RNA-seq counts matrix (assays()) that are missing from the internal Ensembl annotations data.frame. This includes gene identifiers that are now deprecated on Ensembl or FASTA spike-ins.
- @bcbio: SimpleList used to store different R objects which are computed once and used as input for different plots or to other R packages functions. To access these secondary objects use `bcbio()`.
  - tximport: tximport list of Salmon counts, to be used in conjunction with DESeq2.
  - DESeqDataSet: DESeq2 dataset generated from featureCounts derived data using an empty design formula. Used for quality control report.
  - featureCounts: aligned counts generated by STAR aligner and featureCounts. Used to generate quality metrics summary.

Use case
To demonstrate the functionality and configuration of the package, we have taken an experiment from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) public repository of expression data to use as an example use case. The RNA-seq data is from a study of acute kidney injury in a mouse model (GSE65267). The study aims to identify differentially expressed genes in progressive kidney fibrosis and contains samples from mouse kidneys at several time points (n = 3, per time point) after folic acid treatment. From this dataset, we are using a subset of the samples for our use case: before folic acid treatment, and 1, 3, 7 days after treatment.

A pre-computed version of the example bcbioRNASeq object used in this workflow (bcb.rda) and the code for reproduction are available at the f1000v1 branch of our package repository. Alternatively, a minimal version of this example dataset is automatically loaded with the package library, and is accessible using `data(bcb)`.

First, load the bcbioRNASeq object and a few other libraries to demonstrate how to access the different types of information contained in the object.

```r
> library(bcbioRNASeq)
> library(DESeq2)
> library(DEGreport)
> load("bcb.rda")
```

The `counts()` function returns the abundance estimates generated by Salmon. Read counts for each sample in the dataset are aggregated into a matrix, in which columns correspond to samples and rows represent genes. Multiple normalized counts matrices are saved in the bcbioRNASeq object, and are accessible with `normalized` argument:

1. Raw counts (`normalized = FALSE`); default.
2. DESeq2 normalized counts (`normalized = TRUE`).
3. Transcripts per million (`normalized = "tpm"`).
4. Trimmed mean of M-values normalization method (`normalized = "tmm"`). Also accessible with `tpm()`.
5. Regularized log transformation (`normalized = "rlog"`).

Exporting quantified data
Functions exist to extract expression abundances in the various formats. Outlined below are the steps to save these counts external to the bcbioRNASeq object. These steps utilize functions from the DESeq2, edgeR and tximport packages both directly as well as within wrapper functions. For discussions on RNA-seq data normalization methods and count formats see 19; we typically save at least the DESeq2 normalized counts (library size adjusted) and transcripts per million counts (gene length adjusted) for further analyses.

```r
> raw <- counts(bcb, "raw")
> normalized <- counts(dds, "normalized")
> rlog <- counts(bcb, "rlog")
> tpm <- tpm(txi)
> saveData(raw, normalized, rlog, tpm)
> writeCounts()(raw, normalized, rlog, tpm)
```
Note, the raw counts generated by featureCounts are different from the Salmon-derived counts slotted in `assays()`, as described above. This alternative counts matrix will have a different number of rows, and is accessible using `bcbio(bcb, "featureCounts")`.

**Quality control steps**

A typical RNA-seq analysis requires multiple quality control assessments at the read, alignment, sample and model level. Most of the data required to make these assessments is automatically generated by bcbio; the bcbioRNASeq package makes it easier for users to access it. For instance, the Qualimap tool run as part of the bcbio pipeline generates various metrics that can be used to assess the quality of the data and consistency across samples. The output of Qualimap is stored in the `bcbioRNASeq` object, and the package has several functions to visualize this output in a graphical format. These plots can be used to check data quality. Visual thresholds appear in many plots to help the user to assess quality. For example, a vertical burgundy line is used as a warning threshold, indicating that any samples with values below that require close attention. A vertical black line threshold represents the optimal value. The default cutoffs for these thresholds can be easily changed using function arguments. Using `metrics(bcb)` allows the user to extract a `data.frame` with all metrics information used by the functions in the QC report. In this way, custom figures can also be easily created using the same data but with user-preferred packages.

Below, we provide several examples of recommended QC steps for RNA-seq data with a short explanation outlining their usefulness.

**Read statistics**

Total reads per sample and mapping rate are metrics that can help identify imbalances in sequencing depth or failures among the samples in a dataset (Figure 1A–B). Generally, we expect to see a similar sequencing depth across all samples in a dataset and mapping rates greater than 75%. Low genomic mapping rates are indicative of sample contamination, poor sequencing quality or other artifacts.

```r
> plotTotalReads(bcb)
> plotMappingRate(bcb)
```

**Genomic context**

For RNA-seq, the majority of reads should map to exons and not introns. Ideally, at least 60% of total reads should map to exons. High levels of intronic mapping may indicate high proportions of nuclear RNA or DNA contamination. Samples should also have ribosomal RNA (rRNA) contamination rates below 10% (not shown) (Figure 1C–D).

```r
> plotExonicMappingRate(bcb)
> plotIntronicMappingRate(bcb)
```

**Number of genes detected**

Determining how many genes are detected relative to the number of mapped reads is another good way to assess the sample quality (Figure 1E–F). Ideally, all samples will have similar numbers for genes detected, and samples with higher number of mapped reads will have more genes detected. Large differences in gene detection numbers between samples can introduce biases and should be monitored at later steps for potential influence on sample clustering.

```r
> plotGenesDetected(bcb)
> plotGeneSaturation(bcb)
```

**Counts per gene**

Comparing the distribution of normalized gene counts across samples is one way to assess sample similarity within a dataset. We would expect similar count distributions for all genes across the samples unless the library sizes or total RNA expression are different (Figure 2). The `plotCountsPerGene()` and `plotCountDensity()` functions provide two ways to visualize this comparison.

```r
> plotCountsPerGene(bcb)
> plotCountDensity(bcb, style = "line")
```
Figure 1. Read, alignment, genomic context, and gene detection statistics. Sample classes, as defined by the interestingGroups argument, are represented by the different colors as defined in the legend of each plot. Vertical black lines indicate optimal values while vertical burgundy lines indicate cutoffs for substandard values. The total reads plot (A) indicates the total number of reads sequenced per sample and the mapping rate plot (B) shows the percentage of reads mapping to the reference genome. Here, all samples are well within recommended ranges, having well over 25 million reads and almost 90% of reads mapping. The exonic and intronic mapping rate plots (C and D) indicate the percentage of reads mapping to exons or introns, respectively. Here, all samples are within recommended ranges, with samples from day 3 and day 7 showing higher proportions of reads mapping to intronic versus exonic regions as compared to the day 1 and normal sample classes. The genes detected plot (E) indicates the total number of genes for each sample with at least one mapped read. Optimal gene detection values will vary based on an organism’s transcriptome size. The gene detection saturation plot (F) shows the relationship between the number of reads mapped and the number of genes detected. If this trend is not linear, it indicates that the sequencing may have been saturated in terms of detecting gene expression.
Figure 2. Gene count distributions. Normalized count distributions are displayed as boxplots (A) and density plots (B). The log10 TMM-normalized counts per gene normalization method equates the overall expression levels of genes between samples under the assumption that a majority of them are not differentially expressed. Therefore, by normalizing for total RNA expression by sample, we expect the spread of the log10 TMM-normalized counts per gene to be similar for every sample. Sample classes (as set with the interestingGroups argument) are highlighted in different colors. Here, there is high similarity among the samples.

Model fitting
It is important to explore the fit of the model for a given dataset before performing differential expression analysis. The normalized and transformed data can be used to assess the variance-expression level relationship in the data, to identify which method is best at stabilizing the variance across the mean. The plotMeanSD() function wraps the output of different variance stabilizing methods (including the vst() and rlog() transformations from the DESeq2 package) and plots them with the vsn package’s meanSdplot() function (Figure 3).

> plotMeanSD(bcb)

Dispersion
Another plot that is important to evaluate when performing QC on RNA-seq data is the plot of dispersion versus the mean of normalized counts. For a good dataset, we expect the dispersion to decrease as the mean of normalized counts increases for each gene. The plotDispEsts() function provides easy access to model information stored in the bcbioRNASeq object, using the plotting code provided in the DESeq2 library (Figure 4).

> plotDispEsts(bcb)

Sample similarity within groups
The QC metrics assessed up to this point are performed to get a global assessment across the dataset and look for similar trends across all samples. However, often we have a dataset in which samples can be classified into groups and it is common to interrogate how similar replicates are to each other within those groups, and the relationship between groups. To this end, bcbioRNASeq provides functions to perform this level of QC with Inter-Correlation Analyses (ICA) and Principal Components Analyses (PCA) between samples. Furthermore, we can use the results of the PCA to identify covariates that correlate with principal components.

Since these analyses are based on variance measures, it is recommended that the variance stabilized rlog transformed counts be used. Using these transformed counts minimizes large differences in sequencing depth and helps normalize all samples to a similar dynamic range. Simple logarithmic transformations of normalized count values tend to generate a lot of noise for low expressor genes, which can consequently dominate the calculations in the similarity analysis. An rlog transformation will shrink the values of low counts towards the genes’ averages across samples, without affecting the high expression genes.
Inter-correlation analysis (ICA) and hierarchical clustering

Inter-Correlation analysis allows us to look at how closely samples are related to each other by first computing pair-wise correlations between expression profiles of all samples in the dataset and then clustering based on those correlation values. Samples that are similar to one another will be highly correlated and will cluster together. We expect samples from the same group to cluster together (Figure 5), although this is not always the case. We can also identify potential outlier samples using ICA, if there are samples that show low correlation with all other samples in the dataset. For more control over the graphing parameters of the ICA heatmap, other packages can be used to generate these plots by using the normalized data, accessed with counts (bcb, normalized = "rlog"), as input. One example is the pheatmap() function from the pheatmap package, which underlies this plot.

> plotCorrelationHeatmap(bcb)

Principal component analysis (PCA)

PCA is a multivariate technique that allows us to summarize the systematic patterns of variations in the data. PCA takes the expression levels for genes and transforms them in principal component space, reducing each sample to a single point. It allows us to separate samples by expression variation, and identify potential sample outliers. The PCA
plot is a great way to explore both inter- and intra-group clustering (Figure 6). As with the ICA plots, other packages can be used to generate these kinds of plots from the normalized data, which can be accessed with `counts(bcb, normalized = "rlog")`.

```r
> plotPCA(bcb, label = FALSE)
```

**Figure 5. Sample correlations.** All pairwise sample Pearson correlations are shown. Correlations are clustered by both row and column, with sample classes (as set with the `interestingGroups` argument) highlighted across the top of the heatmap. Here, the sample classes cluster well, with the normal and day 1 samples showing the highest intra-group correlations.

**Figure 6. Principal component analysis.** The first two principal components of the gene expression dataset are plotted here for each of the samples. Sample classes (as set with the `interestingGroups` argument) are highlighted in different colors. Alternatively, sample labels can be added with the `label = TRUE` argument (not shown) to identify individual samples, which is particularly useful for identifying outliers. Here, we see good clustering of the samples by group with no apparent outliers.
Correlation of covariates with PCs
When there are multiple factors that can influence the results of a given experiment, it is useful to assess which of them is responsible for the most variance as determined by PCA (Figure 7). The `plotPCACovariates()` function passes transformed count data and metadata from the bcbioRNASeq object to the `degCovariates()` function of the DEGreport package\(^2\). This method adapts the method described by Daily et al. for which they integrated a method to correlate covariates with principal components values to determine the importance of each factor\(^3\) (Figure 7).

\[\text{plotPCACovariates(bcb, fdr = 0.1)}\]

Differential expression analysis using DESeq2
Once the QC is complete and the dataset looks good, the next step is to identify differentially expressed genes (DEG). For this part of the workflow, we follow instructions and guidelines from the DESeq2 vignette, using Salmon-derived abundance estimates imported with tximport. As previously noted, a **Differential Expression** R Markdown template is available with the bcbioRNASeq package for these steps.

The first step is to define the factors to include in the statistical analysis as a design formula. We chose to study the difference between the normal group and the day 7 group in our dataset. In our example, we have only one variable of interest; however, DESeq2 is able to model additional covariates. When additional variables are included, the last

![Figure 7. Principal component covariate correlations.](image)

**Figure 7. Principal component covariate correlations.** PCA is performed on transformed counts (\texttt{rlog} by default; but \texttt{vst} is also supported) and correlations between principal components and the different metadata covariates are computed. Significant correlations (FDR < 0.1) are shaded from blue (anti-correlated) to orange (correlated), with non-significant correlations shaded in gray. Here, none of variables show a significant correlation with any principal component of the data. Asterisks indicates \(p\)-value < 0.05.
variable entered in the design formula should generally be the main condition of interest. More detailed instructions and examples are available in the DESeq2 vignette.

```r
> # DESeqDataSet
> dds <- DESeqDataSetFromTximport(
+   txi = txbio(bcb, "tximport"),
+   colData = colData(bcb),
+   design = formula(~group)
+ ) %>%
+   DESeq()
> saveData(dds)
```

**Alpha level (FDR) cutoffs**

The results from DESeq2 include a column for the \( P \) values associated with each gene/test as well as a column containing \( P \) values that have been corrected for multiple testing (i.e. false discovery rate values). The multiple test correction method performed by default is the Benjamini Hochberg (BH) method\(^{26}\). Since it can be difficult to arbitrarily select an adjusted \( P \) value cutoff, the `alphaSummary()` function is useful for summarizing results for multiple adjusted \( P \) value or FDR cutoff values (Table 1).

```r
> alphaSummary(dds,
+   contrast = c(
+     factor = "group",
+     numerator = "day7",
+     denominator = "normal")
)
```

**Differential expression analysis**

Use the `results()` function to generate a `DESeqResults` object containing the output of the differential expression analysis. The desired BH-adjusted \( P \) value cutoff value is specified here with the `alpha` argument (< 0.05 shown).

```r
> res <- results(dds,
+   contrast = c(
+     factor = "group",
+     numerator = "day7",
+     denominator = "normal"),
+   alpha = 0.05)
> saveData(res)
```

**Mean average (MA) plot**

The `plotMA()` function plots the mean of the normalized counts versus the log2 fold changes for all genes tested (Figure 8).

```r
> plotMA(res)
```

| Table 1. Differentially expressed genes at different adjusted \( P \) value cutoffs. |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|                                | 0.1    | 0.05   | 0.01   | 0.001  |
| LFC > 0 (up)                   | 2812, 8.6% | 2294, 7% | 1510, 4.6% | 973, 3% |
| LFC < 0 (down)                 | 2574, 7.9% | 1959, 6% | 1199, 3.7% | 709, 2.2% |
| outliers                       | 534, 1.6% | 534, 1.6% | 534, 1.6% | 534, 1.6% |
| low_counts                     | 9887, 30% | 11101, 34% | 11698, 36% | 12296, 38% |
| cutoff                          | (mean count < 3) | (mean count < 4) | (mean count < 5) |
**Figure 8. MA plot**. Each point represents one gene, with mean expression levels across all samples plotted on the x-axis and the log2 fold change observed in the contrast of interest on the y-axis. Significant differentially expressed genes (with an adjusted \( P \) value less than the adjusted cutoff \( P \) value we chose earlier) are colored red.

**Volcano plot**

The `plotVolcano()` function produces a volcano plot comparing significance (here the BH-adjusted \( P \) value) for each gene against the fold change (here on a log2 scale) observed in the contrast of interest (Figure 9).

```r
> plotVolcano(res)
```

**Gene Expression Heatmap**

The `plotDEGHeatmap()` function produces a gene expression heatmap useful for visualizing the expression of differentially expressed (DE) genes across samples. The heatmap shows only DE genes on a per-sample basis, using an additional log2 fold change cutoff. By default, this plot is scaled by row and uses the `ward.D2` method for clustering. The gene expression heatmap is a nice way to explore the consistency in expression across replicates or differences in expression between sample groups for each of the DE genes (Figure 10).

```r
> # DESeqResults, DESeqTransform
> plotDEGHeatmap(res, counts = assays(bcb)[["rlog")])
```
**Figure 9. Volcano plot.** This plot compares the amount of gene expression change (not strictly interpretable here as these fold changes were derived from an LRT test) to the significance of that change (here plotted as the $-\log_{10}$ transformation of the multiple test adjusted $P$ value), with each grey point representing a single gene. Options are available to highlight top gene candidates by shading (here, genes in the green shaded areas are bounded by a minimal fold change and $-\log_{10}$ adjusted $P$ value cutoffs) and by text labeling. The two (optional) marginal plots showing the distributions of the log2 fold changes and negative log10 adjusted $P$ values are useful in assessing cutoff choices and trade-offs.

**Specific genes plot**
In addition to looking at the overall results from the differential expression analysis, it is useful to plot the expression differences for a handful of the top differentially expressed genes. This helps to check the quality of the analysis, by validating the expression for genes that are identified as significant. It is also helpful to visualize trends in expression...
change across the various sample groups (Figure 11). As bcbioRNASeq is integrated with the DEGreport package\cite{24}, we can use DEGreport’s \texttt{degPlot()} function to view the expression of individual DE genes.

```r
> degPlot(
+     bcb,
+     res = res,
+     n = 3,
+     slot = "normalized",
+     # Use the ‘ann’ argument for gene to symbol mapping
+     ann = c("ensgene", "symbol"),
+     xs = "group")
```

**Detecting patterns**

The full set of example data is from a time course experiment, as described previously. Up to this point, we have only compared gene expression between two time points (normal and day 7), but we can also analyze the whole dataset to identify genes that show any change in expression across the different time points. As recommended by DESeq2, the best approach for this type of experimental design is to perform a likelihood ratio test (LRT) to test for differences in gene expression between any of the sample groups in the context of the time course. More information about time-course experiments and LRT is available in the DESeq2 vignette. This approach will yield a list of differentially expressed genes, but will not report how the expression is changing. Visualizing patterns of expression change amongst the significant genes is helpful in identifying groups of genes that have similar trends, which in turn can help determine a biological reason for the changes we observe (Figure 12). The DEGreport package includes the \texttt{degPatterns()} function, which is designed to extract and plot genes that have a similar trend across the various time points. More
Figure 11. Individual gene expression patterns. Gene expression patterns are shown for the top 3 (as selected in the function options) differentially expressed genes (by BH-adjusted $P$ value). Normalized, transformed counts are shown for each replicate from each sample group; groups are set within the function options. Interestingly, even though our analysis compared day 7 to normal, all 3 genes show their greatest increases in gene expression at day 3, with some leveling off or relative decreases in expression at day 7.

Figure 12. Clustered expression patterns. Clustered and scaled expression patterns for the top 500 differentially expressed genes. Each group represents a gene expression pattern shared among different DE genes, with the number of groups determined by the expression correlation patterns of the groups. Boxplots are shown for the expression patterns of each gene within the group to give a better idea of how well the groupings fit the expression data.
information about this function can be found in the DEGreport package\textsuperscript{4}. Note that this function works only with significant genes; `significants()` returns the significant genes based on `log2FoldChange` and `padj` values (0 and 0.05 respectively, by default).

```r
> ddsLRT <- DESeqDataSetFromTximport(
+  txi = bcbio(bcb, "tximport"),
+  colData = colData(bcb),
+  design = formula("group") \%\%
+  DESeq(test = "LRT", reduced = "1")
> resLRT <- results(ddsLRT)
> # This step is CPU intensive
> resPatterns <- degPatterns(  
+  counts(bcb, "rlog")\[significants(res), ],
+  metadata = colData(bcb),
+  time = "group",
+  col = NULL)
> saveData(ddsLRT, resLRT, resPatterns)
> resPatterns[["plot"]]
```

The output of the `degPatterns()` function is the plot, as well as a list object that contains a `data.frame` with two columns - the “genes” and the corresponding “cluster” number. To extract genes from a specific cluster for further analysis, the base function `subset()` can be utilized as follows to obtain the list of genes from cluster 8:

```r
> subset(resPatterns[["df"]], cluster == 8, select = "genes")
```

**Summarize analysis**

Finally, at the end of the analysis a results table can be extracted containing the DE genes at a specified log fold change threshold. These results can be written to files in the specified output folder. In addition to the DE genes, a detailed summary and description of results and the output files are generated along with the cutoffs used to identify the significant genes.

```r
> resTbl <- resultsTables(res, lfc = 1, write = TRUE, dir = deDir)
```

**Top tables**

Once the results table object is ready, the top up- and down-regulated genes (sorted by log2 fold change) can now be displayed. Here, we output the top 5 DE genes in each direction (Table 2).

```r
> topTables(resTbl, n = 5)
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ensgene</th>
<th>baseMean</th>
<th>log2FoldChange</th>
<th>padj</th>
<th>symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENSMUSG000000027199</td>
<td>6208</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>2.78e-47</td>
<td>Gatm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSMUSG00000076411</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>8.91e-43</td>
<td>Ass1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSMUSG00000026348</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>5.08e-40</td>
<td>Acmsd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSMUSG00000021750</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>1.70e-38</td>
<td>Fam107a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSMUSG00000049152</td>
<td>12393</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>9.41e-34</td>
<td>Ugt3a2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ensgene</th>
<th>baseMean</th>
<th>log2FoldChange</th>
<th>padj</th>
<th>symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENSMUSG00000024164</td>
<td>16530</td>
<td>-7.06</td>
<td>9.61e-116</td>
<td>C3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSMUSG00000040405</td>
<td>19899</td>
<td>-6.92</td>
<td>1.16e-91</td>
<td>Havcr1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSMUSG00000029304</td>
<td>192120</td>
<td>-6.85</td>
<td>4.27e-71</td>
<td>Spp1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSMUSG00000048612</td>
<td>1437</td>
<td>-3.01</td>
<td>1.34e-36</td>
<td>Myof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSMUSG00000022037</td>
<td>7523</td>
<td>-3.96</td>
<td>2.29e-35</td>
<td>Clu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
File outputs
Output files from this use case include the following gene counts files (output at the count normalization step):

- **normalized_counts.csv.gz**: Use to evaluate individual genes and/or generate plots. These counts are normalized for the variation in sequencing depth across samples.
- **tpm.csv.gz**: Transcripts per million, scaled by length and also suitable for plotting.
- **raw_counts.csv.gz**: Only use to perform a new differential expression analysis. These counts will vary across samples due to differences in sequencing depth, and have not been normalized. Do not use this file for plotting genes.

If desired, rlog variance stabilized counts can also be output for future use in variance based plotting methods such as PCA. DEG tables containing the differential expression results from the analysis summary step are sorted by BH-adjusted \( P \) value, and contain the following columns:

- **ensgene**: Ensembl gene identifier.
- **baseMean**: Mean of the normalized counts per gene for all samples.
- **log2FoldChange**: \( \log_2 \) fold change.
- **lfcSE**: \( \log_2 \) standard error.
- **stat**: Wald statistic.
- **pvalue**: Wald test \( P \) value.
- **padj**: BH-adjusted Wald test \( P \) value (corrected for multiple comparisons; false discovery rate).
- **symbol**: Ensembl gene name.
- **description**: Ensembl description.
- **biotype**: Ensembl biotype (e.g. protein_coding).
- **broadClass**: Broad class definition, based on the biotype (e.g. coding).

Functional analysis
To gain greater biological insight into the list of DE genes, it is helpful to perform functional analysis. We provide the Functional Analysis R Markdown template, which contains code from the clusterProfiler package\(^3\), to identify potentially enriched biological processes among the DE genes. We use this tool to take the significant gene list and the background gene list (all genes tested) as input to perform statistical enrichment analysis of gene ontology (GO) terms using hypergeometric testing. The template includes a table of GO terms that are significantly enriched among the DE genes and a variety of plots summarizing the significantly enriched GO processes. The plots included are:

- **dotplot**: shows the number of genes associated with the top 25 most enriched terms (size) and the p-adjusted values for these terms (color).
- **enrichMap**: shows the relationship between the top 25 most significantly enriched GO terms, by grouping similar terms together. The color represents the \( P \) values relative to the other displayed terms (brighter red is more significant) and the size of the terms represents the number of genes that are significant from the significant genes list.
- **cnetplot**: shows the relationships between the genes associated with the top five most significant GO terms and the fold changes of the significant genes associated with these terms (color). The size of the GO terms reflects the \( P \) values of the terms, with the more significant terms being larger.

**R Markdown Templates**
To facilitate analyses and compile results into a report format, we have created easy-to-use R Markdown templates that are accessible in RStudio\(^1,3\). Once the bcbioRNASeq package library has been installed, you can find these templates under **File -> New File -> R Markdown... -> From Template**. There are three main templates for
RNA-seq: (1) Quality Control, (2) Differential Expression, and (3) Functional Analysis. You may need to restart RStudio to see the templates. It is recommended that users run the reports in the order described above, as there may be functions that depend on data generated from the previous report. If you are not using RStudio, you can create new documents based on the templates using the \texttt{rmarkdown::draft()} function:

\begin{verbatim}
> rmarkdown::draft("quality_control.Rmd",
+    template = "quality_control",
+    package = "bcbioRNASeq")
> rmarkdown::draft("differential_expression.Rmd",
+    template = "differential_expression",
+    package = "bcbioRNASeq")
> rmarkdown::draft("functional_analysis.Rmd",
+    template = "functional_analysis",
+    package = "bcbioRNASeq")
\end{verbatim}

The instructions above will create an R Markdown (.Rmd) file from each of the templates. Each file begins with a YAML header, followed by sub-sections containing code chunks and some relevant text and/or a sub-heading to describe that step of the analysis. Each R Markdown file takes as input the bcbioRNASeq object, such that various functions from the package can be run on the data stored within the object to output figures, tables and carefully formatted results.

Note you can add more text, headings and code chunks to the body of the R Markdown files to customize the reports as desired. Before rendering the file into a report you will want to run the \texttt{prepareRNASeqTemplate()} function in order to obtain the accessory files necessary for a fully working template.

\begin{verbatim}
> library(bcbioRNASeq)
> prepareRNASeqTemplate()
\end{verbatim}

Finally, the main analysis parameters need to be specified in the YAML section on the top part of each document. For this example we assume R objects are stored in the folder \texttt{data}. Edit the following parameters in the Quality Control template:

\begin{verbatim}
params:
  bcbFile: "data/bcb.rda"
  outputDir: "."
\end{verbatim}

\texttt{bcb.rda} refers to the bcbioRNASeq object.

In the YAML section on the top of the Differential Expression template, modify the following parameters:

\begin{verbatim}
params:
  bcbFile: "data/bcb.rda"
  design: !r formula(~group)
  contrast: !r c("group", "day7", "normal")
  alpha: 0.05
  lfc: 0
  outputDir: "."
\end{verbatim}

In the YAML section on the top of the Functional Analysis template, modify the following parameters (\texttt{clusterProfiler} and \texttt{pathview} have to be installed previously using this report):

\begin{verbatim}
params:
  bcbFile: "data/bcb.rda"
  res: data/res.rda
  organism: "Mm"
  species: "mouse"
  goOnt: "BP"
  alpha: 0.05
  lfc: 0
  outputDir: "."
\end{verbatim}
res.rda refers to a DESeqResults object from DESeq2 package.

The downloaded accessory files will be saved to your current working directory and should be kept together with your main analysis R Markdown files that were generated from the templates. These accessory files include: a) output.yaml, to specify the R Markdown render format; b) _header.Rmd and c) _footer.Rmd, to add header/footer sections to the report; d) bibliography.bib, BibTex file for citations; and e) setup.R, to fill in some of the parameters related to figures and rendering format.

Conclusions
Here we describe bcbioRNASeq, a Bioconductor package that provides functionality for quality assessment and differential expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments. This package supplements the bcbio community project, as it takes the output from automated bcbio RNA-seq runs as input, allowing for the data to be stored in a structured S4 object that can easily be accessed for various steps of the RNA-seq workflow downstream of bcbio. Built as an open source project, bcbio is a well-supported and documented platform for effectively using current state-of-the-art RNA-seq methods. Taken together, bcbio and bcbioRNASeq provide a full framework for rapidly and accurately processing RNA-seq data. The package also provides a set of configurable templates to generate comprehensive HTML reports suitable for biological researchers. With the use of R Markdown, all steps of the analysis are fully configurable and traceable.

We provide a full set of instructions for using bcbioRNASeq, including an example use case that demonstrates all of the main functionality. Quality control (pre- and post-quantification), model fitting, differential expression, and functional analysis provide a comprehensive set of metrics for evaluating the robustness of the RNA-seq results. Methods such as hierarchical clustering, principal components analysis, and time point analysis allow for an interactive examination of the data’s structure. Collectively, the workflow we describe can help researchers to identify true biological signal from technical noise and batch effects when analyzing RNA-seq experiments.

Software and data availability
Current source code: https://github.com/hbc/bcbioRNASeq
Workflow code: https://github.com/hbc/bcbioRNASeq/tree/f1000v1
Archived source code (v0.1.1) as at time of publication: http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1037439
License: MIT
The data used in the use case can be accessed from NCBI GEO using accession GSE65267.

Competing interests
No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information
The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Acknowledgments
Thanks to Mike Love of the Department of Biostatistics and Department of Genetics at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill for providing advice regarding RNA-seq differential expression and the use of the DESeq2 and tximport packages.
References


Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status: 

Version 1

Referee Report 12 December 2017

doi:10.5256/f1000research.13084.r27759

Davide Risso
Division of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Department of Healthcare Policy and Research, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA

The authors present bcbioRNASeq, an R package for the QC and differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. The package takes as input the output of the bcbio software, not presented in this work.

bcbio is a community driven resource that handles the data processing of several high-throughput sequencing applications, ranging from variant calling to ChIP-seq and RNA-seq analyses. The bcbioRNASeq package focuses on RNA-seq.

Overall, I enjoyed the article and I think it represents a nice resource for practitioners looking to perform standardized RNA-seq analyses of several datasets and for bcbio users that want to perform high-level statistical analyses after RNA-seq preprocessing.

The article is well written and provides a reproducible example that walks the reader through the proposed pipelines. I am glad to report that (except for some minor issues reported below) I was able to fully reproduce the analysis. The following points will hopefully help the authors improve the manuscript.

1. Currently, the package does not appear to be available through Bioconductor, but only through the authors’ Github. Are the authors planning to submit it to Bioconductor? I definitely encourage them to do so, as a way to manage package versions and dependencies (see next point). If not, I would ask the authors to refer to bcbioRNASeq as an R package rather than a Bioconductor package.

2. The authors do not specify the versions of the packages needed for their workflow to work. Although the DESCRIPTION file of the package provides such information, adding it to the manuscript would allow readers to reproduce the workflow example. This would be automatically taken care of if the package was part of a Bioconductor release. In addition, at the beginning of the paper, the authors load the packages “DESeq2” and “DEGreport”. Aren’t these packages in the Import: field of the DESCRIPTION file of bcbioRNASeq?

3. S4 object. Is it really needed to store all the normalized data in the S4 object? This could lead to a huge object when the analysis is run on hundreds of samples. Since scaling normalization is very fast wouldn’t it be better to compute normalized data on the fly and only store the raw and tpm data computed by tximport and featureCounts? On a related note, wouldn’t it be better for the authors to store the featureCounts data in an additional element of the assays() slot and provide coercion methods from their object to the DESeqDataSet and tximport objects? Is it really needed to store both tximport results in the assays slot and in the bcbio slot? Overall, I have the feeling that the
object is needlessly big and this could lead to a big memory footprint.

4. Are the plots based on raw or normalized data? If the latter, which normalization / transformation is used by default? How does the user change it?

5. Interpretation of the plots. Although the authors describe the plots in generic terms, it would be useful to explain them more specifically referring to the actual example analysis. For instance, which of the three transformation of Figure 3 is best for the example data? Is Figure 1F a typical pattern or does it uncover something unusual with the data? Same for Figure 7.

6. A better metric to highlight the difference in distribution among samples (Figure 2) is the Relative Log Expression (RLE) plot. The authors might want to include such plot to their already excellent array of QC plots.

7. What to do if the data fail the QC step? The authors present all their QC plots but then move on by simply stating "Once the QC is complete and the dataset looks good [...]". What if the data do not look good? It would be good to advice on what to do (just as a discussion perhaps). E.g., there could be outlying samples to be removed or batch effects to be accounted for in the model.

Minor issues:
- The last sentence of the first paragraph of the Introduction seems to indicate that the R package actually runs the QC tools, while these are run in bcbio and the results are loaded in the R package for exploration.
- First chunk of R code (page 4 of the PDF version of the paper): at my first read I was wondering how to get the data to run this command. It should be made clearer that this is only meant to show the syntax and is not part of the runnable example.
- The link to the bcb.rda file is broken.
- `normalized <- counts(dds, "normalized")` This line doesn't work. Did the author mean `normalized=TRUE`?
- `tpm <- tpm(txi)` This line doesn't work. Did the author mean `tpm <- counts(dds, normalized="tpm")`?
- Please describe writeCounts().
- Please consider removing the '+' in the R chunks (e.g., at page 13 of the PDF) so that readers could run the code by copying and pasting into an R session.
- In statistics, ICA is often used to refer to Independent Component Analysis, so the authors may want to avoid this acronym for the correlation analysis to avoid confusion.
- In my RStudio session the plotPCACovariates() plot did not work (I couldn't see any points but just a gray background).
- YAML parameters for the Functional Analysis Rmarkdown: I believe that the line "res" should be "resFile".

Is the rationale for developing the new software tool clearly explained? Yes

Is the description of the software tool technically sound? Yes

Are sufficient details of the code, methods and analysis (if applicable) provided to allow replication of the software development and its use by others?
Is sufficient information provided to allow interpretation of the expected output datasets and any results generated using the tool?
Yes

Are the conclusions about the tool and its performance adequately supported by the findings presented in the article?
Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Charlotte Soneson
Institute of Molecular Life Sciences, University of Zurich (UZH), Zürich, Switzerland

This article describes bcbioRNASeq, an R package for analysis of RNA-seq data for which gene expression estimation and quality assessment have been done via the bcbio pipeline. The package contains functions for generating plots and performing downstream analysis as well as Rmarkdown templates for generating stand-alone reports of the quality control, differential expression analysis and functional analysis steps.

In general, I find the article well written and easy to follow. The included functionality covers the most important parts of a typical RNA-seq analysis, and the package is likely to be a useful tool for bcbio users. Also, the provided templates are easy to extend with additional analyses if necessary. Following are some suggestions for improvement of specific parts of the article.

1. It would be good to indicate any dependencies on particular versions of R/Bioconductor/specific packages, and preferably also give the session info for the session with which the manuscript was generated. I ran the code with R v3.4.2 (Bioconductor v3.6, DESeq2 v1.18.1, bcbioRNASeq v0.1.2), and while most of the code executes correctly, there are some lines that do not. In particular:
   > normalized <- counts(dds, "normalized")  ## dds doesn't exist
   > tpm <- tpm(txi)  ## txi doesn't exist
   > writeCounts()(raw, normalized, rlog, tpm)  ## formatting error
   > resTbl <- resultsTables(res, lfc = 1, write = TRUE, dir = deDir)  ## deDir doesn't exist

   Other lines only execute properly with a functional internet connection, which could perhaps be indicated in the text:
   > plotVolcano(res)
   > resTbl <- resultsTables(res, lfc = 1, write = TRUE, dir = ".")
Finally, in some places, executing the provided code does not seem to generate the same results as in the article. More precisely:

- `plotPCACovariates(bcb, fdr = 0.1)` does not generate Figure 7 (the asterisks are missing).
- `alphaSummary(dds, contrast = c(factor = "group", numerator = "day7", denominator = "normal"))` does not generate the numbers in Table 1.
- `resPatterns <- degPatterns(counts(bcb, "rlog")[significants(res, ), metadata = colData(bcb), time = "group", col = NULL)`
  does not generate Figure 12. As a consequence, subsetting to cluster 8 also doesn't work.
- `topTables(resTbl, n = 5)` does not generate the numbers in Table 2.

2. If I read correctly, the "tximport" slot of the bcbio object contains length-scaled TPMs, not aggregated transcript counts from tximport. This should be made clearer in the article. Is there an explicit choice in the bcbio pipeline that determines the type of count-scale abundances that are generated?

3. It would be useful to indicate in the beginning of the article where the metadata is stored in the output from bcbio. I.e., where should one look for the values available to supply to the "interestingGroups" argument of `loadRNASeq()`?

4. In the object description, it would be worth explaining a bit more clearly how the values contained in the slot "-tmm: trimmed mean of M-values, calculated by edgeR" were calculated.

5. In the object description, devtools::sessionInfo() should be devtools::session_info()

6. In the Use case, "Also accessible with tpm()" should presumably be under point 3.

7. Regarding the visual thresholds in the plots (warning thresholds and optimal values), how are the default values determined? Are they fixed, or do they depend on some characteristics of the data? And are they particularly suitable for data generated under specific conditions, in specific organisms or with particular protocols? I am also wondering whether the use of the word "optimal" to designate one threshold may cause confusion. For example, if the "optimal" total number of reads is ~20M, and the "optimal" mapping rate is ~90%, it may not be immediately clear how one should interpret values exceeding (and potentially far away from) these "optimal" values. Finally, is there a reason for only having one line in the exonic and intronic mapping rate plots, but both lines in the other plots?

8. In the "Model fitting" section, it is suggested that it is important to evaluate the variance stabilizing performance of different transformations before the differential expression analysis. However, the transformed data are never actually used for the DE analysis (which is performed with DESeq2). Thus, it should be clarified how the results obtained here are used to inform the downstream analysis.

9. For the QC and differential expression analysis, the article outlines the analysis steps in detail. However, the functional analysis is only described through the existence of an Rmarkdown template. It would be nice to have at least part of the functional analysis also explained and written out in the article.

10. In `resPatterns[["plot"]]`, the x-axis labels are not centered under the respective boxplots.

11. The package is referred to as a "Bioconductor package", but as far as I can see it is not (yet) in Bioconductor.
12. It seems that zero counts are excluded from the plots in Figure 2. This could be clarified in the text.

13. In some places, "library" is used in the place of "package".

14. For the degPatterns() call, it is indicated that it is "CPU intensive". It might be useful to indicate approximately "how" CPU/time intensive, since all other steps in the workflow execute quickly.

15. In the code blocks, it would be easier if non-code characters like > and + were removed, so that the code could be directly copied into an R session.

Is the rationale for developing the new software tool clearly explained?
Yes

Is the description of the software tool technically sound?
Yes

Are sufficient details of the code, methods and analysis (if applicable) provided to allow replication of the software development and its use by others?
Partly

Is sufficient information provided to allow interpretation of the expected output datasets and any results generated using the tool?
Partly

Are the conclusions about the tool and its performance adequately supported by the findings presented in the article?
Yes
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