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Abstract
Zika virus (ZIKV) was recently declared as a ‘Global Health Emergency’ by the World Health Organization. Various tissue reservoirs of ZIKV in infected humans and animals models have been observed, the implications of which are not known. Compared to other Flaviviruses, sexual transmission and persistence in the genitourinary tract seem to be unique to ZIKV. ZIKV persistence and shedding in bodily secretions (e.g. saliva, semen) is a concern for potential disease spread and could pose challenges in diagnosis, regulatory guidelines and drug/vaccine development. Murine and non-human primate models could be useful to study the role of tissue reservoirs in the development of prophylactic or therapeutic strategies. There is a need for meta-analysis of the ZIKV infection and virus shedding data from infected patients and ZIKV animal models, and additional research is needed to fully comprehend the long term implications of tissue reservoirs on ZIKV disease pathogenesis and biology.
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Introduction
After the recent outbreak of Zika virus (ZIKV) infection in Brazil and other countries, multiple studies have been published regarding various tissue reservoirs, including the eyes, nervous system, genitourinary tracts and placenta (Table 1). A recent report of ZIKV transmission in renal and liver transplant patients with abnormal graft functions poses a new challenge in screening organs intended for transplants and monitoring transplanted patients. Considering such new concerns for ZIKV, we have reviewed the existing literature about ZIKV tissue reservoirs in this article and provide our analysis of the potential impact of ZIKV reservoirs on transmission and challenges in development of medical countermeasures against ZIKV.

ZIKV tissue reservoirs
The role of immune-privileged sites (e.g. eyes, testes, fetal brain, and placenta) in harboring ZIKV has been previously reported, contributing to the viral persistence in these tissues. Successful infection and amplification of ZIKV in vitro in primary cell cultures derived from the placenta, kidney, nervous tissue, and amplification found in the brain of severely damaged fetus suggests the potential ability of these tissue reservoirs to support both infection and amplification of ZIKV. Furthermore, that the reservoir-derived virus is infectious has been confirmed by sexual- and or transplant-related transmission of infection from non-viremic partners or donors. These studies raise the possibility that individuals with ZIKV reservoirs could serve as a potential source of endemic and recurrent outbreaks of infection. In addition, the prevalence of such reservoirs in immune compromised patients is a major concern as the disease could spread to other organs rapidly and run a more aggressive course, in the absence of immune defenses of the body.

ZIKV in body secretions
Interestingly, besides tissue reservoirs, few reports have been successful in the detection of ZIKV in the bodily secretions of infected patients, such as saliva, urine, semen and vaginal secretions, breast milk and conjunctival fluid. semen and vaginal secretions are already reported to be involved in sexual transmission of ZIKV in humans. Despite a recent report about the persistence of ZIKV in the semen for more than 6 months, the duration of the persistence in other bodily secretions (urine, saliva etc) is unclear at this time. Careful evaluation of the duration of the ZIKV shedding and its role in non-sexual transmission needs to be conducted.

Table 1. ZIKV reservoirs reported in humans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>Confirmed in animal models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td>16,42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nervous Tissue</td>
<td>24, 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testes</td>
<td>13, 37, 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta</td>
<td>16, 22, 43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In vivo models for ZIKV tissue reservoirs
Animal models for ZIKV have further confirmed some of these tissue reservoirs. Tang et al. demonstrated the persistence of ZIKV in the vaginal washes as late as 10 days post-infection in lethal and sub-lethal mouse models after intravaginal inoculation of ZIKV. Ifnar1(-/-) mice showed sustained high viral loads in the brain, spinal cord and testes, confirming these tissue reservoirs. AG129 mice showed severe pathologies in the muscle and brain, confirming the presence of these reservoirs in mouse models.

ZIKV was shown to persist in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and lymph nodes (LN) of infected rhesus monkeys weeks after virus clearance from the peripheral blood, urine and mucosal secretions. Similar observation of longer persistence of ZIKV in the hemolymphatic tissue of non-pregnant rhesus macaques infected with 2015 Brazilian ZIKV isolate was observed by Coffey and colleagues. ZIKV was also observed in the lacrimal fluid and parotid glands of rhesus macaques after subcutaneous infection. ZIKV RNA was detectable in saliva, semen, urine of both rhesus and cynomolgus macaques. ZIKV infection in the pregnant rhesus macaques showed longer duration of persistence (57 days) compared to normal macaques (21 days), suggesting differential persistence depending upon the pregnancy status.

A recent study showed the importance of autophagy on the vertical transmission of ZIKV in pregnant mice. The authors demonstrated the activity of an autophagy inhibitor approved for use in pregnant women (hydroxychloroquine) in attenuating the placental and fetal ZIKV infection and ameliorated adverse placental and fetal outcomes. Thus animal models could prove useful to study the importance of tissue reservoirs in ZIKV biology and pathogenesis, as well as evaluation of experimental drugs and vaccines.

Relationship to other Flaviviruses
A review of the literature suggested similar tissue reservoirs observed for other Flaviviruses (Table 2). Tick-borne encephalitis, West Nile Virus and Japanese Encephalitis Virus have varying durations of persistence. However, unlike other Flaviviruses, sexual transmission is unique to ZIKV infections. This is not surprising due to ZIKV’s persistence in the genitourinary tract. The presence of ZIKV in semen is without apparent disease symptoms, and can be for a period of more than 6 months. This indicates a need for inclusion of ZIKV in the screening panel for semen donors in

Table 2. Tissue reservoirs for other Flaviviruses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>Tissue/Secretion</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Siberian Tick Borne encephalitis (TBEV)</td>
<td>Brain/Nervous system</td>
<td>10 years^44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese Encephalitis Virus (JEV)</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>&gt;3 weeks post-infection^45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Nile Virus (WNV)</td>
<td>Blood/organ transplants</td>
<td>&gt;40 days^47-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>6.5 years^51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
addition to the current viruses in the panel (i.e. hepatitis B, C and HIV, as per the guidelines of American Society for Reproductive Medicine and Food and Drug Administration).

Another potential concern of ZIKV tissue reservoirs (with low levels of ZIKV) is triggering of sub-neutralizing antibodies leading to antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) of subsequent Flavivirus infections and potential interplay with other mosquito born Flaviviruses. Recently, ADE of ZIKV by sub-neutralizing Dengue virus antibodies has been reported\(^{16,37}\). Considering the similar target organ affinity (e.g. nervous tissue) observed for other Flaviviruses (e.g. West Nile Virus, Dengue Virus)\(^{33}\), such a concern cannot be ruled out.

Challenges for the development of countermeasures against ZIKV

The presence of tissue reservoirs and detection of the virus for varying periods of duration, after initial infection, in the absence of the apparent symptoms, poses new challenges for screening of populations. In 2013 during the French Polynesian Zika outbreak, differential virus positivity was observed between the serum, saliva and urine samples collected from 182 patients. Saliva but not serum, from 35 patients was Zika positive. In contrast, serum but not saliva from 16 other patients was Zika positive\(^{37}\). Considering such variability in different biological samples from patients, paired serum and urine samples are considered to be of primary diagnostic importance (CDC guidance for US laboratories testing for Zika virus infections).

Interestingly, in animal studies, low levels of Zika were observed in the body secretions compared to the serum\(^{38}\). Such low levels of ZIKV in the body secretions near the detection limits and invasive processes needed to collect samples (eg. CSF, nervous tissue), suggest currently available detection assays may or may not be suitable to identify persistent ZIKV infections and quantify the tissue reservoirs. As per the CDC information, there are currently no FDA-authorized assays for Zika virus testing of tissue specimens, including fetal and placental tissue, suggesting the need for additional efforts towards such diagnostic assays.

FDA has issued Emergency Use authorization of several diagnostic tools for Zika virus. These include both antibody based and nucleic acid testing (NAT) kits, which are currently distributed to qualified laboratories by the CDC (Table 3).

Antibody based ZIKV detection methods are suggestive of past or recent exposure to Zika and may not necessarily indicate the presence of the virus in the body. In addition, antibody based methods are suitable only for serum, whereas NAT might be suitable for all types of samples including serum and bodily fluids. Due to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kit</th>
<th>Detection method</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DPP Zika IgM Assay System</td>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Chembio Diagnostic Systems, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVIA Centaur Zika test</td>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CII-ArboViroPlex rRT-PCR Assay</td>
<td>NAT</td>
<td>Columbia University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaqPath Zika Virus Kit</td>
<td>NAT</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiaISON XL Zika Capture IgM Assay</td>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>DiaSorin Incorporated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene-RADAR Zika Virus Test</td>
<td>NAT</td>
<td>Nanobiosym Diagnostics, Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zika ELiTe MGB Kit U.S.</td>
<td>NAT</td>
<td>ELITechGroup Inc. Molecular Diagnostics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbott RealTime Zika</td>
<td>NAT</td>
<td>Abbott Molecular Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zika Virus Detection by RT-PCR Test</td>
<td>NAT</td>
<td>ARUP Laboratories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentosa SA ZIKV RT-PCR Test</td>
<td>NAT</td>
<td>Vela Diagnostics USA, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIKV Detect™ IgM Capture ELISA</td>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>InBios International, Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xMAP® MultiFLEX™ Zika RNA Assay</td>
<td>NAT</td>
<td>Luminex Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERSANT® Zika RNA 1.0 Assay (kPCR) Kit</td>
<td>NAT</td>
<td>Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zika Virus Real-time RT-PCR Test</td>
<td>NAT</td>
<td>Viracor Eurofins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptima® Zika Virus Assay</td>
<td>NAT</td>
<td>Hologic, Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RealStar® Zika Virus RT-PCR Kit U.S.</td>
<td>NAT</td>
<td>Altona Diagnostics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zika Virus RNA Qualitative Real-Time RT-PCR</td>
<td>NAT</td>
<td>Quest Diagnostics Infectious Disease, Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zika MAC-ELISA</td>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>CDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triplex Real-time RT-PCR Assay</td>
<td>NAT</td>
<td>CDC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NAT: Nucleic Acid based detection Test
the direct detection of the virus, NAT-based detection kits might be well suited for the identification of persistent infections. A rapid and high-throughput method for detecting ZIKV RNA using transcription-mediated amplification technology, based Aptima Zika virus assay (Hologic, Marlborough, MA) is described to detect 11.5 to 17.5 genome copy equivalents in serum and urine. A recent report suggests the utility of isothermal amplification based point-of-care diagnostic technology for rapid detection of ZIKV in the saliva. The performance of such kits in the clinic remains to be seen. Additional research might shed some light on the acceptable standard for such an effort.

ZIKV tissue reservoirs bring in additional challenges for drug or vaccine development. According to the WHO vaccine pipeline tracker, there are many ZIKV vaccine candidates currently being tested. These include four DNA vaccines (one from GeneOne Life Sciences and three from NIAID), four inactivated whole virus vaccines (3 from NIAID and 1 from BIDMC), one peptide (NIH), one mRNA (Moderna), and one recombinant MV-Zika-101 (Themis Bioscience). Two of these candidates—VRC-ZKADNA090-00-VP (NIAID) and mRNA 1325 (Moderna) are currently recruiting patients for phase 2 trials.

To be effective, potential treatments for ZIKV might have to achieve appropriate tissue concentrations in these reservoirs. This might be limited by sufficient bioavailability of therapeutics in the tissue reservoirs and penetration of the blood brain barrier (BBB). Similar concerns for placental barrier and challenging anatomical locations (e.g. the eyes, kidney, and testes) create additional hurdles for elimination of viral reservoirs. Prophylactic vaccine strategies should be capable of not only preventing infection, but also prevent the establishment of viral reservoirs. In addition, potential vaccine candidates should be capable of inducing a potent neutralizing antibody response to mitigate the possibility of ADE mentioned above.

Concluding remarks
ZIKV animal models (mice, monkeys) could serve as valuable tools in evaluating the efficacy of therapeutic and prophylactic strategies in eliminating ZIKV tissue reservoirs and prevent shedding of the virus. Additional questions such as relative difference between ZIKV strains to persist in the tissue reservoirs, relative size of different tissue reservoirs (brain, eyes, testes), role of host factors in persistence (tissue reservoir formation), kinetics of virus persistence, and phenotypic and genotypic characterization of the virus in tissue reservoirs, will require additional studies. Formation of ZIKV task force by the Global Virus Networks is a welcome move that might be helpful in addressing some of these issues. There is a need for a publically available database (suitable for meta-analysis) to compare long-term kinetics of ZIKV infections and persistence in both animal models and clinical settings. Together with additional research, this might reveal the impact of ZIKV tissue reservoirs on future outbreaks, and drug and vaccine development.
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Kalkeri and Murthy offer a valuable brief review of tissue reservoirs and its implications for transmission and treatment of Zika infection. Since studies of persistence of Zika virus infection in tissue reservoirs are limited in humans and hence not well understood, insights from rhesus macaque and mouse models of Zika infection are important to characterize persistence and its relevance to transmission and pathogenesis, as well as addressing challenges in treatment and diagnosis.

Not mentioned in the review is the finding of persistence in whole blood, and in particular RBCs, after clearance from plasma (several publications) and the potential risk for transmission through bone marrow, cord blood and peripheral blood stem cell transplants. Transfusion transmission has been documented as another mode of transmission.

Co-circulation of related Flaviviruses in Zika endemic areas is a concern for ADE of subsequent antigenically similar viruses as mentioned in the review. However evidence for ADE in humans is lacking. ADE from previous Dengue virus exposure has been demonstrated in vitro and in mouse models, but not in rhesus macaques and is not confirmed by human epidemiological studies based on several recent publications. Conclusions about ADE should therefore be made with caution.

Briefly mentioned are issues with serological diagnostics, which are challenging due to cross reactivity and anamnestic response in serial infections by similar viruses as well as Arboviral vaccination. There are limitations with many existing assays, however newer assays have been more successful in differentiating Zika from Dengue. Access to samples from ZIKV/DENV infected macaques could allow for advances in this important area.

Reference 37 (ZIKV in saliva) is incorrectly placed in the context of ADE second paragraph of page 3.

Suggest adding references at the bottom.
References

Is the topic of the review discussed comprehensively in the context of the current literature?
Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?
Partly

Is the review written in accessible language?
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn appropriate in the context of the current research literature?
Yes

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**Reviewer Expertise:** Diagnostics and pathogenesis of viral and parasitic infections

We confirm that we have read this submission and believe that we have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 30 October 2017

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.13748.r27070

© 2017 Joshi S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Sunil K Joshi

Cellular Immunology Lab, Frank Reidy Research Center of Bioelectrics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA, USA

This report is very important in terms of ZIKA as reservoir. Authors have done excellent work in writing this unique review and will enhance our understanding of ZIKA transmission via reservoir infection. Recent reports indicating that detection of ZIKV in the bodily secretions of infected patients, such as saliva, urine, semen and vaginal secretions, breast milk and conjunctival fluid is an important public health concern, particularly reservoir ZIKV still can cause spread of the disease.
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