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Abstract

Background: This study aims to learn the demographic and surgical characteristics of patients who underwent no-scalpel vasectomy in Indonesia and to compare patient’s demographic characteristics before and after legal pronouncement/fatwa issued by Indonesia Ulama Council in July 2012.

Methods: This is a retrospective study that collected data from the vasectomy medical records of patients who underwent no-scalpel vasectomy between January 2010 and May 2017. A total of 1497 patients were included in this study.

Results: The study found that patients' age of 40-49 years old (42.8%), wives' age of ≥ 35 years old (65.0%), having three children (34.3%), being Moslem (85.8%), high school graduated for patients (32.3%) and junior high school graduated for patients' wives (25.7%), casual laborer (40.7%), and guided by family planning program officer (40.6%) were the most frequent characteristics found in patients undergoing no-scalpel vasectomy. There was a significant difference in certain characteristics before and after fatwa issuance, namely wives' age, number of children, religion, patients' and wives' educational background and the person who guided patients to undergo vasectomy procedure. All no-scalpel vasectomy procedures were done using "Dr. Li's three finger technique" with local infiltration anesthesia; the median length of procedure was 10 (7 –90) minutes. This study also found that surgeon felt that more than 95% patients were easy to perform no-scalpel vasectomy procedure and this procedure had low complication rate.

Conclusions: Even though there were significant proportional difference in some characteristics, this study considers that the fatwa was not the only factor that affected patients' choice of no-scalpel vasectomy.
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**Introduction**

Even though maternal mortality ratio has decreased since 1990, maternal death due to pregnancy- and childbirth-related complications remains a major problem, especially in developing regions. Through Sustainable Development Goals, a continuation of Millennium Development Goals, the United Nations attempted to develop a framework to fight global problems; one of them is maternal mortality.

Family planning programs, which were first intended to reduce the growth of the population, could reduce maternity death through contraceptive services to prevent unintended and high risk pregnancy, such as being too young or old, having too many pregnancies, or having too short an interval between pregnancies. There are several contraception methods that may involve men or women separately. In Indonesia, women still have the major role as contraception users; data from the Indonesian Health Profile 2014 showed that 49.67% of female users used hormonal injection as their contraception method.

Vasectomy, one contraception method, is considered the safest and most inexpensive option for male sterilization, and no-scalpel vasectomy is preferred due to its shorter operative time and lower complication rate compared to traditional surgery. However, only 0.21% prefer vasectomy to their contraception method in Indonesia. Indonesia, the largest Moslem country, is very influenced by Islam culture, and there are still controversies regarding the use of vasectomy as a contraception method among Moslems. In July 2012, Indonesia Ulema Council (Majelis Ulama Indonesia; MUI), an institution that accommodates ulema or Moslem scholars to guide and nurture Moslems in Indonesia, issued a legal pronouncement/fatwa regarding vasectomy from haram/forbidden into halal/permissible, partly due to success of recanalization/vasectomy reversal. Even though the fatwa still receives many controversies from other Moslem institutions in Indonesia, it might gradually change an individual's perception regarding vasectomy.

Currently, there is no data regarding demographic characteristics of patients that have undergone no-scalpel vasectomy in Indonesia. Such data could be helpful for the government to plan and evaluate family planning programs, specifically for vasectomy services. Therefore, this study aims to learn the demographic and surgical characteristics of patients who underwent no-scalpel vasectomy in Indonesia. This study also intends to investigate patient demographic characteristics before and after the fatwa issuance.

**Methods**

This study protocol received ethical approval from the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia Ethical Committee (290/UN2.F1/ETIK/2017). The data are owned by The Indonesian Association for Secure Contraception (Perkumpulan Kontrasepsi Mantap Indonesia; PKMI) and were approved for use in this study by PKMI.

Data collection

This is a retrospective observational study. The data was collected from Profamilia Clinic run by PKMI, which is based in Central Jakarta City, DKI Jakarta Province, Indonesia. PKMI is a private professional association focusing on secure contraception. Data are owned by PKMI and collected from patients under the knowledge that the data may be used for future research.

Variables studied in this study were as follows: Patients’ and their wives’ age; number of children; youngest child’s age; religion; patients’ and their wives’ educational background; patients’ occupation; person who guided patients to undergo vasectomy; patient’s payment method and patients’ surgical characteristics. All variables were collected from the vasectomy medical records of patients who underwent vasectomy between January 2010 and May 2017. The medical record was designed by PKMI. Patients’ age was classified according to Indonesia Demographic and Health Survey 2012: Male module (Survei Demografi dan Kesehatan 2012 Modul Pria). On the other hand, patients’ wife age was classified according to high risk maternal age. Indonesia vasectomy guidelines state that participants must have at least two living children or if patients have only two living children, both children must be older than two years old to undergo vasectomy. Therefore, this study also presented the number of patients who had two children with one of them still under two years of age. All other variables were classified based on vasectomy medical record.

Data analysis

The data were presented in a descriptive, analytical method. Categorical data were presented by absolute value and its frequency (percentage). Numerical data were presented the mean and standard deviation if the data had normal distribution, and the median and range if the data did not have normal distribution. Any missing data was accounted for and presented in this study. Analytical statistics was used to compare patients’ demographic characteristics before and after the fatwa issuance; Chi-squared or Fisher’s test was also utilized to compare qualitative variables. Data merging was done if the data did not meet Chi-squared requirements. P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All of analysis were done using SPSS v. 23.

**Results**

There were a total of 1,497 no scalpel vasectomy procedures conducted between January 2010 and May 2017. Demographic characteristics of patients could be seen in Table 1. Most of the patients (97.4%) were paid through the governmental program.

From 368 patients who had two children, only 25 patients had a child younger than 2 years old. Among the 1,497 patients undergoing no scalp vasectomy, there were only 989 (66.1%) procedure reports found. All patients had infiltration with 2% lidocaine as an anesthesia technique, without any premedication, and had “Dr. Li’s three finger technique” as a surgical technique to perform
Table 1. Demographic characteristics of patients undergoing no-scalpel vasectomy in Jakarta, between January 2010 and May 2017. July 2012 is when the fatwa was issued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Before July 2012</th>
<th>After July 2012</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td>1497</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>755</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient’s origin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Jakarta</td>
<td>517 (34.5)</td>
<td>213 (28.7)</td>
<td>304 (40.3)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Jakarta</td>
<td>285 (19)</td>
<td>244 (32.9)</td>
<td>41 (5.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Jakarta</td>
<td>365 (24.4)</td>
<td>151 (20.4)</td>
<td>214 (28.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Jakarta</td>
<td>96 (6.4)</td>
<td>48 (6.5)</td>
<td>48 (6.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Jakarta</td>
<td>206 (13.8)</td>
<td>68 (9.2)</td>
<td>138 (18.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kepulauan Seribu</td>
<td>2 (0.1)</td>
<td>2 (0.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Jakarta</td>
<td>24 (1.6)</td>
<td>14 (1.9)</td>
<td>10 (1.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients' age, years, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20*1</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>14 (1.9)</td>
<td>18 (2.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 29*1</td>
<td>31 (2.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 39</td>
<td>382 (25.5)</td>
<td>181 (24.4)</td>
<td>201 (26.6)</td>
<td>0.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 49</td>
<td>641 (42.8)</td>
<td>327 (44.1)</td>
<td>314 (41.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 59</td>
<td>367 (24.5)</td>
<td>176 (23.7)</td>
<td>191 (25.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 60</td>
<td>72 (4.8)</td>
<td>42 (5.7%)</td>
<td>30 (4.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3 (0.2)</td>
<td>2 (0.3)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wife’s age, years, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>4 (0.3)</td>
<td>2 (0.3)</td>
<td>2 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 34</td>
<td>317 (21.2)</td>
<td>163 (22.0)</td>
<td>154 (20.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥35</td>
<td>973 (65.0)</td>
<td>496 (66.8)</td>
<td>477 (63.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>203 (13.6)</td>
<td>81 (10.9)</td>
<td>122 (16.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of children, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24 (1.6)</td>
<td>12 (1.6)</td>
<td>12 (1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>368 (24.6)</td>
<td>144 (19.4)</td>
<td>224 (29.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>513 (34.3)</td>
<td>245 (33.0)</td>
<td>268 (35.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>294 (19.6)</td>
<td>155 (20.9)</td>
<td>139 (18.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>164 (11.0)</td>
<td>97 (13.1)</td>
<td>67 (8.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6</td>
<td>126 (8.4)</td>
<td>86 (11.6)</td>
<td>40 (5.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>8 (0.5)</td>
<td>3 (0.4)</td>
<td>5 (0.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Youngest child’s age, years, median (minimum – maximum)</strong></td>
<td>5 (0 – 36)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Religion, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islam</td>
<td>1284 (85.8)</td>
<td>648 (87.3)</td>
<td>636 (84.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>62 (4.1)</td>
<td>15 (2.0)</td>
<td>47 (6.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic</td>
<td>40 (2.7)</td>
<td>17 (2.3)</td>
<td>23 (3.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindu</td>
<td>3 (0.2)</td>
<td>2 (0.3)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddha</td>
<td>20 (1.3)</td>
<td>7 (0.9)</td>
<td>13 (1.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>88 (5.9)</td>
<td>53 (7.1)</td>
<td>35 (4.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient’s education background, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>67 (4.5%)</td>
<td>37 (5%)</td>
<td>30 (4.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary school</td>
<td>341 (22.8%)</td>
<td>188 (25.3%)</td>
<td>153 (20.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior high school</td>
<td>336 (22.4%)</td>
<td>155 (20.9%)</td>
<td>181 (24.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>484 (32.3%)</td>
<td>246 (33.2%)</td>
<td>238 (31.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>121 (8.1%)</td>
<td>44 (5.9%)</td>
<td>77 (12%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>148 (9.9%)</td>
<td>72 (9.7%)</td>
<td>76 (10.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dataset 1. Demographic and surgical characteristics of no-scalpel vasectomy patients
http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12748.d18566

Discussion
No-scalpel vasectomy is a vasectomy procedure that is widely used today, due to its advantages over scalpel vasectomy; it is considered the safest and most inexpensive method for male sterilization\(^4\). However, it only contributes to a small percentage of the contraceptive methods used in Indonesia. This might be because the majority of Indonesian men (70.4%) have never heard about men sterilization, and among men who were aware of male sterilization methods, 77.4% never considered to undergo sterilization\(^8\). There were many factors found to affect the selection of vasectomy as a contraception method, such as lack of knowledge and negative attitudes toward vasectomy among patients and providers, education level, age, occupation, number of children, spousal support and social norms\(^11,12\).

Indonesia is a country with the largest Moslem population in the world. There are still controversies regarding vasectomy procedures among Moslems in Indonesia. However, in July 2012, MUI declared a *fatwa* changing vasectomy from *haram* to *halal* in condition due to success recanalization/vasectomy reversal\(^7\). This study compared the demographic characteristics of patients who underwent no-scalpel vasectomy before and after MUI’s *fatwa* issuance. This study found no significant difference in patients’ age and patients’ occupation before and after *fatwa* issuance. However, there was a significant difference regarding spouses’ age, number of...
children, preligion, patients’ and spouses’ educational background, and the person who guided patients to undergo vasectomy procedure before and after fatwa issuance.

This study found that no-scalpel vasectomy procedure was most common in the age group of 40–49 years old (42.8%), followed by age group of 30–39 years old (25.5%) and 50–59 years old (24.5%). This finding is similar to other studies, which found that most vasectomy users underwent the procedure when they were over 30 years of age, but the largest proportion was at the age of 30–39 years old\textsuperscript{11,13-16}. Moreover, based on Indonesian Demographic and Health Survey 2012 (Survei Demografi dan Kesehatan 2012), among patients considering vasectomy, the largest proportion was found at the age of 30–39 years old, followed by 40–49 years old\textsuperscript{9}. Regarding the wife’s age, over 35 years old was the most common age of wife of patients undergoing no-scalpel vasectomy procedures. This is explained, since pregnancies over 35 years has a high risk for women. Other studies found the wife’s age of over 30 as the age for husbands to undergo vasectomy, while the mid- to late 30s was considered “typical” for Asian couples\textsuperscript{11,13,15,17}. There was significant difference in wife’s age before and after fatwa issuance. However, this is most likely due to larger missing data after fatwa issuance, which could be due to poor data collection.

Among no-scalpel vasectomy patients, most of them had three children (34.3%) when they underwent no-scalpel vasectomy procedure. There were variations regarding the number of children among the patients across geographical regions. Although in general, the vasectomy was performed when the number of children was four or more in some places, in other places two or more children were enough to encourage patients to undergo vasectomy, such in the USA or Iran\textsuperscript{11-13,15}. There were significant differences regarding the number of children before and after fatwa issuance. After July 2012, there were higher proportion of patients having two children and lower proportion of patients having three or more children compared to before July 2012. This could be a sign of the success of the family planning program. However, further study is needed to support this hypothesis.

Islam is the most stated religion among patients undergoing no-scalpel vasectomy in Jakarta. This is because Islam is the majority religion in Indonesia. This study found a significant difference in patients undergoing no-scalpel vasectomy in terms of religion before and after fatwa issuance. Interestingly, however, there were no increased participation of Moslems to undergo no-scalpel vasectomy. There was a higher proportion of Christian and Buddhist patients who underwent no-scalpel vasectomy after fatwa issuance compared to before fatwa issuance. However, an increase in the proportions of both religions could not be explained.

This study also found a high proportion of no-scalpel vasectomy patients and their spouses graduated from high school, junior high

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Length of procedure time, minutes, median (minimum – maximum)</th>
<th>Grasping Vas Deferens, n (%)</th>
<th>Scrotal skin puncture, n (%)</th>
<th>Spreading tissue, n (%)</th>
<th>Vas Extraction, n (%)</th>
<th>Type of suture material, n (%)</th>
<th>Fascia interposition, n (%)</th>
<th>Complication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Silk</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>989</td>
<td>10 (7–90)</td>
<td>938 (94.8)</td>
<td>955 (96.6)</td>
<td>967 (97.8%)</td>
<td>945 (95.6)</td>
<td>981 (99.2)</td>
<td>930 (94.0)</td>
<td>9 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35 (3.5)</td>
<td>18 (1.8)</td>
<td>6 (0.6%)</td>
<td>28 (2.8)</td>
<td>2 (0.2)</td>
<td>6 (0.6)</td>
<td>1423 (95.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16 (1.7)</td>
<td>16 (1.6)</td>
<td>16 (1.6%)</td>
<td>16 (1.6)</td>
<td>6 (0.6)</td>
<td>53 (5.4)</td>
<td>65 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
school, and elementary school (patients: 32.3%, 22.4% and 22.8%;
wives: 24.6%, 25.7% and 24.9%, respectively). Therefore, this study
found that the majority of patients who underwent vasectomy had
less than 12 years of education. Other studies showed varied edu-
cation levels across regions with the majority of patients and their
spouses illiterate or had low education levels in developing
countries. However, in developed countries, vasectomy was more preva-
lent in men with high education level16-18. There were significant
differences in education level for both patients and their spouses
before and after July 2012. This might be due to the increased of
education participation of Indonesian people, especially at junior
high school and college level. This is supported by the presence of
the same trend in both patient and wife education background data,
which show an increase in the proportion of junior high school and
college graduated. However, the government-level data regarding
Indonesia’s education participation rate are currently unavailable.

Most of the patients (40.6%) undergoing no-scalpel vasectomy
were suggested by family planning program officers to choose
no-scalpel vasectomy as their contraception method. Family plan-
ing program officers and their cadres have an important role in
helping patients to decide on vasectomy19. Data from Ghana
showed that most patients gained information regarding vasectomy
through media and healthcare workers, whereas only small amounts
of patients gained the information from family and friends20. There
was a significant difference before and after July 2012 regarding
source of information/guidance who encourages patients to undergo
no-scalpel vasectomy. However, this was probably due to a high
proportion of missing data.

All no-scalpel vasectomy procedures were performed using
“Dr. Li’s three finger technique”. This technique was developed by
Dr. Li Shunqiang and was performed using ringed clamp and dis-
secting forcep20,21. From the procedure report, this study found that
doing no-scalpel vasectomy only took a relatively short amount of
time (median 10 minutes; range 7–90 minutes). Other studies found
a similar short operative time for no-scalpel vasectomy14,22,23. The
wide range of operating times in this study might be influenced by
operator’s experience. One study found that high learning curve for
no-scalpel vasectomy procedure required 10–15 operations before
being able to perform the procedure perfectly23. All the procedures
performed on the patients in this study were performed using
infiltration as the anesthesia technique. There are several anesthetic
techniques beside infiltration, such as combination of infiltration
and spermatic cord block and no needle jet anesthesia. One study
found that combination of infiltration and spermatic cord block
was the best and the most effective method for reducing pain
during vasectomy4.

This study also found that “Dr. Li’s three finger technique” was an
easy procedure to conduct. Most operators felt easy in performing
the steps of “Dr. Li’s three finger technique” in no-scalpel vasec-
tomy procedure. All procedures used ligation and excision (LE)
without cautery. Cautery was associated with more rapid
progression to severe oligospermia and fewer early vasectomy
failure24,25. However, cautery has not been adapted yet into stand-
ard practice in Indonesia. Silk was the suture material mostly used
for vas ligation in this study. There were various suture materials
used, such as cotton and cat gut. One study showed that the usage
of vicryl increased vasectomy failure three times compared to
chronic cat gut. However, until today, there was no study com-
paring effectiveness of silk, cotton and cat gut26,27. Most of
procedures used fascial interposition (FI) as an additional step when
performing no-scalpel vasectomy in this study. FI can reduce the
risk of occlusive failure when performing LE and was associated
with decreased time to azoospermia, decreased time to severe
oligospermia and reduced failure based on semen analysis28,29.
The use of combination between LE and FI varied from one
country to another. There were several reasons why FI was not
performed in South Asian countries, such as technical difficulties,
time consuming as it adds 2–4 minutes, and national standard
practice didn’t include FI as a mandatory step29.

This study found only a few complications that occurred during
the no-scalpel vasectomy procedures. Another study also found
few complications after no-scalpel vasectomy procedures, with an
overall rate of 0.32%, consisting of hematoma, bleeding, foreign
body granuloma, scrotal pain, epididymitis and sinus formation30.
However, there was also a probability that patients didn’t report
their complication or came to another facility, due to long
distance, in this study. This study also found that no semen
analysis was done after the vasectomy procedures. Based on the
standard practice guideline, semen analysis should be done in
the first month until the third month after vasectomy has been
performed30. However, low compliance might be caused by long
distance and cost. Other studies reported compliance under 30%,
except for one center, in Nepal for semen analysis30.

This is the first study that which describes the characteristics of
patients undergoing no-scalpel vasectomy in Indonesia. Data in
this study could be useful to encourage further studies, to plan and
to evaluate programs related to contraception, specifically male
sterilization. However, there are also limitations in this study
regarding missing data. Some variables had high missing data,
such as the data regarding wife’s age and sources of information/
guidance. This should encourage health care providers to pay more
attention to completeness of data. Such data could be useful for a
variety of goals, such as program evaluation.

Conclusion
Despite its limitations, this study provides a depiction of the
characteristics of patients undergoing no-scalpel vasectomy in
Jakarta. Even though there were significant proportional difference
in some characteristics, this study considers that the fatwa was
not the only factor that affects a patient’s choice of no-scalpel
vasectomy. This study also found that no-scalpel vasectomy was
considered an easy procedure to perform and caused minimal
complications.
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