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**Abstract**

**Background:** The objective of the present study was to evaluate the acid tolerance response and pH adaptation when *Enterococcus faecalis* interacted with extract of lime (*Citrus aurantiifolia*).

**Methods:** We used *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 and lime extract from Aceh, Indonesia. Both materials were analyzed for their pH adaptation, acid tolerance response, adhesion assay, and mass profiles using a light microscope with a magnification of x1000. Further, statistical tests were performed to analyze both correlation and significance of the acid tolerance and pH adaptation also the interaction activity.

**Results:** *E. faecalis* was able to adapt to a very acidic environment (pH 2.9), which was characterized by an increase in its pH (reaching 4.2) at all concentrations of the lime extract (p < 0.05). *E. faecalis* was also able to provide acid tolerance response to lime extract based on spectrophotometric data (595 nm) (p < 0.05). Also, the interaction activity of *E. faecalis* and the lime extract was relatively stable within 6 up to 12 hours (p < 0.05), but it became unstable within 24–72 hours (p > 0.05) based on the mass profiles of its interaction activity.

**Conclusions:** *E. faecalis* can adapt to acidic environments (pH 2.9–4.2); it is also able to tolerate acid generated by *Citrus aurantiifolia* extract, revealing a stable interaction in the first 6–12 hours.
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Introduction

*Enterococcus faecalis* is a significant agent in the pathogenesis of root canal infections, especially in post-endodontic treatment, with a prevalence of 24–77% in these infections. E. faecalis is very difficult to eliminate because the pathogen can survive in poor nutrient conditions. It can adapt to acidic conditions, including living in the dentin tubule of a closed root canal with a smear layer. It can also express the dominant biofilm protein to maintain its attachment to host cells.

*E. faecalis* has been shown to tolerate acidic environments as well as to adapt to pH changes, which are the essential virulence factors in maintaining antibacterial balance. Fisher reported that *E. faecalis* could survive in environments with high NaCl concentrations at extreme temperatures of 5–65°C with a pH of 4.5–10.0. Stuart et al. reported that *E. faecalis* are less sensitive, with a pH of 5.0 at 25°C after it has been incubated for 10 h. The author also found that it has an excellent growth capability at pH 8.5 and low adhesion at pH 7.1 in a medium coated with bovine serum albumin (BSA).

*E. faecalis* is resistant to medication materials such as calcium hydroxide and chlorhexidine (CHX). The long-term use of both medication materials can lead to parachloroaniline (PAC), causing blockage of the dentinal tubules and eventually becoming toxic. Fosfomycin may also interfere with acid tolerance systems and pH changes of *E. faecalis* in tooth root canals by inhibiting phosphoenolpyruvate synthetase.

Indonesia, especially in Aceh has a tropical climate with a variety of plants that can be utilized in medical treatment, including lime extract (*Citrus aurantiifolia*). It contains phenols, flavonoids, hydrogen peroxide, tannins, alkaloids, and saponins that have antibacterial, antioxidant, antifungal, analgesic, and anti-inflammatory properties. Nwankwo reported that lime extract helped to prevent Klebsiella pneumonia, Salmonella, and Escherichia coli. Here, the acid in lime extract influenced the bacterial development and cell metabolism. The present study evaluates the acid tolerance response and pH adaptation of *E. faecalis* when it interacts with lime extract.

Methods

Materials

The lime extract and *E. faecalis* (ATCC-29212) were used in this study. The extractions were prepared at the Laboratory of Microbiology at the Faculty of Veterinary, University of Syiah Kuala, Darussalam, Banda Aceh, Indonesia. Both materials were made *in vitro* to analyze the pH adaptation, acid tolerance response, and interaction activity between *E. faecalis* and lime extracts.

Lime extraction

Lime peel was separated from the flesh then dried using a dehydrator until the water content reduced to 10%. Dried lime peel was grinded into powders. The powder was put into glass container and masserated with ethanol 70% for two days and then strained using a gauze. Filtrate was evaporated using a rotary evaporator at 80°C to obtain the pure lime extracts.

Culture of *E. faecalis* bacteria

*E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 taken from glycerol stock was cultured on a Mueller-Hinton Agar (MHA) medium at a temperature of 80°C (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Paisley, UK). The culture was incubated in anaerobic conditions at 37°C for 48 hours using Anaerogen TM GasPack (Oxoid, Basingstoke UK), and incubator (Memmert, Germany). A colony of *E. faecalis* bacteria was subsequently re-cultured in 5 ml of Mueller-Hinton Broth (MHB) medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Paisley, UK) in anaerobic conditions at a temperature of 37°C for 48 hours. Afterward, the *E. faecalis* grown on the liquid medium was synchronized further with McFarland 0.5 (1 x 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) (TM50, Dalynn Biological Inc., Calgary, Canada). The Accurate of the density of McFarland standart can be checked using a spectrophotometer with an absorbance reading of 0.08 to 0.1 at 625 nm.

Adaptation to pH assay

A total of 50 ml of lime extracts in several different concentrations (100%, 75%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, and 6.25%) was placed into different beaker glasses. Then, 5 ml of *E. faecalis* in MHB (1:10) were added to each of the beakers. The initial pH of the mixture was measured (0 hours) before incubation. Next, each beaker was incubated at 37°C for 6 hours, 12, hours, 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours in an anaerobic atmosphere using Anaerogen TM GasPack (Oxoid, Basing stoke UK), at each of these times, the beakers’ pH was measured using a pH meter (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Paisley, UK). Various changes in pH from 0 hours to the specified time can be used as an indicator of whether *E. faecalis* has a tolerance response to the acidic environment and can adapt to changing pH.

Acid tolerance assay

The cultures of the pH measurements were used to measure the acid tolerance response of *E. faecalis* to lime extract utilizing the principle of spectrophotometry. The analysis was performed based on the incubation time that had been determined following the measurement of pH shaken at 500 rpm. Here, 96 wells of the triple microplate series were coated with 50 μl of MHB (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Paisley, UK) for 15 minutes and then were vacuumed. After that, 100 μl of the test materials (*E. faecalis* + lime extract) derived from the incubation processes at 6 h, 12 h, 24 h, 48 h, and 72 hours were added to each well. Each well was incubated for 15 minutes to analyze the adaptation of the test materials. Then bio-tolerant activity was measured using the Elisa Reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) at a wavelength of 595 nm.

Adhesion assay

Adhesion assay was analyzed based on the principles of Gram-staining. The standard protein concentration of the *E. faecalis* and the active component concentration of the lime extract were measured via the Bradford method (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, U.S.A.) using Bovine serum albumin (Merck, Germany).
Darmstadt, Germany) as the standard protein. Spectrophotometry detected the interaction of *E. faecalis* with lime extract at a wavelength of 595 nm.\(^{13,15}\)

The principle of incubation time-based interaction activity on the microplate 96 wells series used in this research based on Gamble’s working principle;\(^{16}\) it was modified using violet crystalline and safranin staining. First, 96 wells of the triple microplate series were coated with 50 μL of MHB (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Paisley, UK), settled for 15 minutes, and then aspirated. Second, 50 μL of *E. faecalis* was added and then incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature. Third, 100 mL of the lime extract was added and incubated for 6 hours, 12 hours, 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours (as adapted from research conducted by Bachtiar).\(^{17}\) All of the residues of the test materials (*E. faecalis* + lime extract) in the microplate wells were aspirated and then settled for 10 minutes at room temperature. Then, 50 μL of 2% violet crystalline were added to each well for 5 minutes; the wells were washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) two times (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

A total of 100 μL of Lugol solution was added for 1 minute and then washed with PBS. The rest of the cell metabolism that was not bacterial cells was dissolved in 96% alcohol for 20 seconds until the dye completely removed. 50 μL of safranin solution was added for 2 minutes and then washed again with PBS.\(^{18}\) The interaction activity between the lime extract and the *E. faecalis* bacteria in the microplate wells was assessed via an Elisa reader using a spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) at a wavelength of 595 nm.\(^{13}\)

**Observation of adhesion mass**

The anti-adhesion mass of lime extract against *E. faecalis* that formed on each base of the microplate wells was prepared by adding 100 μL of glycerol for 24 hours to maintain moisture. Visualization was produced by adding 10 μL of immersive oil to each microplate well to be observed under a light microscope at ×1000 magnification (Olympus CX21 FS 1, Japan) supported by Optilab Viewer software V 2.2 (Miconus Transdata Nusantara, Jakarta, Indonesia) adapted from a study conducted by Gani.\(^{19}\)

**Statistical analysis**

*E. faecalis* acid tolerance and adhesion to lime extract were calculated to determine average values and standard deviations for each concentration. Two-ways analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed with significance set at p < 0.05. The analysis was performed using SPSS ver. 20.0 software.

**Results**

The experiment was conducted in three replicates. The ANOVA test showed that lime extracts and exposed time gave the significant effect on the pH, optical density of acid tolerance respond of *E. faecalis* in lime extract and optical density of adhesion of interaction activity between *E. faecalis* and lime extract on biofilm (p<0.05). In addition, the interaction between lime extract and exposed time also gave the significant effect on the pH, optical density of acid tolerance respond of *E. faecalis* in lime extract and optical density of adhesion of interaction activity between *E. faecalis* and lime extract on biofilm (p<0.05). The results showed that *E. faecalis* possessed the ability to adapt to

---

**Figure 1.** The pH adaptation response of *E. faecalis* to lime extract at different concentration and exposed time.
acidic (pH <7) and alkaline circumstances (pH 7>) (Figure 1). Also, *E. faecalis* is tolerant in the acid of lime extract with different intensities at each concentration (Figure 2). Figure 3 shows that the interaction activity of *E. faecalis* in lime extract influenced by time and concentration were significant (p<0.01) with strong correlation (0.98). The results showed that the interaction activities of *E. faecalis* in Lime extract will decrease from 6, 12, 24, and 72 hours.

---

**Figure 2.** The optical density of the acid tolerance response of *E. faecalis* to lime extract at different concentration and exposed time.

**Figure 3.** Optical density of adhesion of the interaction between *E. faecalis* and lime extract at different concentration and exposed time.
E. faecalis did not express an ability to adapt to the acidic pH of lime extract after interacting with fosfomycin (as a positive control) (Figure 1), although it still expressed acid tolerance response (Figure 2). Its interaction activity was robust (Figure 3). The mass profile also indicated interaction activity between lime extract and E. faecalis. Antibiotics are capable of forming a covalent bond to activate the cysteine residue of a bacterial cell, triggering UDP-N-acetylglycosamine to form hydrogen bonds. It inhibits the synthesis of peptidoglycan as an antibacterial defense.

In general, the interaction activity between E. faecalis and lime extract at all concentrations was lower than the interaction activity between E. faecalis and fosfomycin. Due to its size, the lime extract was considered to be stable based on its treatment concentration (Figure 3). Based on the incubation time, the interaction activity between E. faecalis and lime extract at all concentrations within 6–12 hours at the temperature of 37°C was also relatively stable (Figure 3). Varoni et al.28 reported that anti-adhesion activity between plant polyphenol-rich extract and Streptococcus mutans bacteria was at its maximum within 24 hours, while within 6, 7, and 8 hours, the activity was stable but not yet maximal.

Figure 3 shows there was a significant increase in the average concentration bar (p > 0.05), with a relatively high error bar (standard deviation value) within 24–72 hours. It indicates that from 24–72 hours, E. faecalis begins to adapt and tolerate the temperature and pH of the environment. The mechanism utilized by bacteria to survive heat and low-pH of the environment operate in many different ways. The most successful means of surviving low-pH stress is the complete avoidance of extremely acidic environments. However, none more critical than the sensing of mild acidification to prevent the potentially lethal consequences of the inappropriate production of potentially antigenic proteins. Bacteria that are forewarned by mild acidification can prepare through the induction of a wide range of protective measures. It can alter the composition of the cell membrane, extrude protons, protect macromolecules, alter metabolic pathways, and generate alkaline29.

The interaction activity between lime extract and E. faecalis can be assumed to be the antibacterial activity, because there was a decrease in the interaction activity between E. faecalis and the biological components of lime extract within 6–24 hours and again within 48–72 hours. The interaction activity is related to the activity of the active ingredients contained in the lime extract, such as flavonoids (polyethoxylated flavones and flavanones), coumarin, and terpenoids, all of which act as antibacterials30,31.

### Discussion

According to Sitanggang et al.20, the lime extract has a highly acidic pH ranges (1.7–3.1). The acidity is generated by citric acid and amino acids, while the essential oils contribute to maintaining its acidic pH31. Citric acid is reported to play a crucial role as a natural material to maintain pH balance and possesses antibacterial activity22. Figure 1 shows an acidic pH of 2.9 on the extracted bar (without E. faecalis). After E. faecalis was added at various concentrations, there was a significant change (increase) in the acidic pH of lime extract (as a negative control) (p < 0.05). The increased acidic pH of lime extract from 6.25% (μg/ml) to 100% (μg/ml) (see Figure 1) indicates that E. faecalis can adapt to environments with an acidic pH (2.9–4.2) at a temperature of 37°C. Morandi reported that E. faecalis could adapt to situations with a low pH and temperature, although they become less sensitive; however, when adjusted to a pH of 5.0 at 25°C, they display increased sensitivity within 10 hours23,24.

E. faecalis had an acid tolerance response to lime extract that significantly increased as the concentration of the lime extract increased (Figure 2) (p < 0.05). The increased acid tolerance response correlates with the characteristics of Enterococcal strains producing lipoteichoic acids that contribute to biofilm formation and have resistance to antibacterial agents, including tolerance to acidic environmental change25. Molecularly, the acid tolerance response of E. faecalis is influenced by the EfCitH gene, which encodes the citrate transporter protein on the surface of the cell membrane that acts to maintain the balance of the effects of citric acid generated from the environment26. Sarantinopoulos found that enterococcal strains have metabolic potential against the citrate metabolism; this supports their acid tolerance response to environmental influences such as aroma and fermentation products27. In this research, Fosfomycin with a pH of 7.2 (Figure 1) could still slightly tolerate the acidic effects. The acid tolerance response is related to the ability of E. faecalis to grow in environments with an alkaline pH (9.5–12) within 48–72 hours12.
within 6–12 hours at a temperature of 37°C. Therefore, the lime extract can be used to inhibit the *E. faecalis* growth.
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