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Abstract

Background: Drowning is a serious and mostly preventable injury-related cause of death. Low-and-middle income countries represent 90% of total drowning deaths worldwide. There is lack of epidemiological studies of drowning in Nepal. The aim of this paper is to describe the status of drowning in Nepal.

Methods: Cases of drowning, occurring between January 2013 and December 2015 were extracted from the Daily Incident Recording System of Nepal Police. Variables on age, sex of the deceased, types of water bodies, places, season when drowning occurred and activities of deceased were extracted and descriptive analysis was done.

Results: A total of 1,507 drowning cases were recorded over a 3 year period. The rate of drowning was 1.9 per 100,000 (2.95 for males and 0.92 for females). Majority of drowning occurred among males (76%) and more than half were (53%) under 20 years of age. Mostly drowning occurred in rivers (natural water bodies). The findings provide strong indication that drowning occurs throughout the year in Nepal. Children were highly vulnerable to drowning. The magnitude of drowning was found to be lower than estimated by global burden of disease (GBD) study.

Conclusion: The burden of drowning in Nepal is considerable, but mostly unknown to the public. Despite only having access to a limited data source, this study provides useful evidence that comprehensive research in Nepal is needed urgently.
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Introduction

Drowning is gradually being recognized as a leading cause of death in the low-and-middle-income countries (LMIC) yet it remains a neglected problem in many countries in the absence of adequate data (Peden et al., 2008; Rahman et al., 2009). The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated 372,000 deaths every year occur due to drowning, making it the world’s third leading cause of fatal unintentional injuries (WHO Global Report on Drowning). About 91% of the drowning deaths occur in low- and middle-income countries (Peden et al., 2008). Similarly, 40% of the world’s total drowning deaths occur in children below 15 years and most of these occurred in low- and middle-income countries; 29% in South-East Asia region alone (IHME, 2016). A study from Bangladesh found the fatal drowning rate to be as high as 15.8 per 100,000 (Rahman et al., 2017).

A systematic review on epidemiology of drowning in LMICs has found that most studies on drowning were only from some countries in Asia: Bangladesh, China, India, Iran, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Thailand and Vietnam (Tyler et al., 2017). Nepal being a mountainous country; drowning does not come instantly to mind when considering the main causes of deaths. In Nepal, the summer monsoon season accounts for 80% of the annual rainfall, and the winter monsoon accounts for the remaining 20% (DoHM, 2017). Flash floods, ditches, irrigation canals, and open wells are the chief hazards for drowning. Nepal has the poor coverage of vital event registration (Gautam, 2016). According to the GBD estimates, an average of 1,300 people died in 2016 from drowning in Nepal with a mortality rate of 4.0 (95% UI 3.2 – 5.6) per 100,000 population (IHME, 2016).

Detailed community-based studies on drowning have not been conducted in Nepal; however, there are some small-scale hospital-based post-mortem reports which present the cases of drowning. Drowning was the cause of death for 5% of total reported autopsy cases in a study of external causes of death reported in the Autopsy Centre in Kathmandu (Luneita et al., 2004; Sharma et al., 2006). Another hospital based study found drowning as a cause of death for 144 cases out of total 205 post-mortem cases in Kathmandu during 2005 to 2010. This study also found that 39% of the drowning victims were below 18 years of age and 24% below 11 years during 2005–2010 (Wasti et al., 2010). However, these studies only included autopsy cases referred to hospital by the police as ‘unnatural deaths,’ which included deaths caused by drowning (Sharma et al., 2006; Wasti et al., 2010).

Similarly, an analysis of media reports on drowning deaths conducted for April 2010 to April 2011 showed that over 200 cases of drowning deaths in Nepal were reported to media, with more than half of the total drowning deaths were children (Pant et al., 2011). This study describes the situation of drowning in Nepal utilizing media report as secondary source of data.

Methods

This study utilised drowning cases reported to Nepal Police during January 2013 to December 2015. Family members or community people must report the incidents of drowning to the Daily Incidence Reporting Center of Nepal Police in each village development committee (VDC) level. Polices’ database records the facts and evidence pertinent to an incident.

Police records of drowning deaths is the only national source of drowning deaths recorded in Nepal. Drowning deaths are recorded in the form of event records (narrative) including the details of the place of the residence, age, date, sex, place of drowning, type of water body, intent (intentional or accidental drowning) and activity during drowning. The described variables included; location/ geographical region, water bodies, months of drowning were also extracted, entered and analysed using SPSS version 16.0.

Descriptive study was performed in order to show the distribution of fatal drowning by age, gender, type of water body, months of the year, geographical location, and activity of the victim before the drowning incident. Possible core victim identifiers i.e. age, gender, date, activity and location of the incident and describing variables i.e. water bodies, months of drowning, and activity before drowning were also analysed and described. Information about the ‘time’, ‘distance’ from the victim’s home and the companion were not available in the database.

Ethics

Ethical approval was not required for this study, because it did not involve human participants. This study used secondary data registered by police. To access the data the request latter on behalf of Tribhuvan University, Padma Kanya Campus was submitted to the Crime Investigation Department. As per the request, the police department granted accesses to the police record. In this study, personal identifiers or confidential information of deceased were not disclosed.

Results

This study identified 1,507 cases of drowning deaths in three years (2013–2015). Among these drowning cases highest deaths were in Terai (low land) 700 (46.4%). Drowning deaths were found to vary between geographical regions (Table 1). Most of the drowning deaths in Nepal occurred in the open water. The highest number of drowning deaths were observed in the Plains followed by the Hills.

The proportion of males that died from drowning was higher than females. Table 2 shows the sex differentials in drowning deaths. Younger people (<20 years) comprised over half of the drowning deaths, making up 52.7% of all drowning deaths. Similarly, age specific drowning deaths were higher for 10–19 years closely followed by 0–9 years and then declined as the age increases. The most common drowning age was 10–19 years for males and 0–9 years for females. Age reporting was missing for 27 cases.

This study also identified a seasonal pattern of drowning, which showed high incidents of drowning during monsoon season, with peaks in July, with the winters (December–January) being relatively low for drowning. The monsoon season (June –August) claimed about 43% of the total drowning deaths.
Table 1. Drowning deaths by geographical regions for the period 2013–2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographical region</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mountain</td>
<td>228 (15.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill</td>
<td>579 (38.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terai</td>
<td>700 (46.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,480 (100.0)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Drowning deaths compared by age and sex for the period 2013–2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male Number (%)</td>
<td>Female Number (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–9</td>
<td>211 (18.8%)</td>
<td>117 (32.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–19</td>
<td>351 (31.3%)</td>
<td>100 (28.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>196 (17.5%)</td>
<td>40 (11.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>109 (9.7%)</td>
<td>40 (11.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>100 (8.9%)</td>
<td>22 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>66 (5.9%)</td>
<td>18 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>53 (4.7%)</td>
<td>12 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–79</td>
<td>29 (2.6%)</td>
<td>5 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–89</td>
<td>8 (0.6%)</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90–99</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,123 (100%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>357 (100%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

§Age was not recorded for 27 cases

and over 15% drownings occurred in the summer season i.e. during April-May (Figure 1).

More than 88% of the drowning deaths occurred in natural bodies of water. Rivers, ponds and lakes were most common places for drowning in Nepal (Table 3). A smaller proportion of drowning also occurred in man-made water containing bodies like canals, water-filled pits, safety tanks, water tanks, and wells.

Swimming, bathing, and crossing the river were the mostly reported activities before drowning, and occurring mainly in the summer season. In Nepal, whether people go near the river, bathe by the bank or go into the water for swimming depends upon age, gender and location of the river. Activity before drowning for 181 (12%) cases were not mentioned at all (Table 4).

Discussions
A systemic review on fatal river drownings showed that river drowning deaths are an issue in many regions and countries around the world (Peden et al., 2016; Rahman et al., 2009). However, there has been limited research that has explored drowning in locations other than beaches and swimming pools. Natural water bodies such as rivers, streams and lakes regularly account for large proportions of drowning deaths (Peden et al., 2016; Rahman et al., 2017). Drowning, along with other injuries is neglected in Nepal. Most of the drowning occur in the natural water bodies such as rivers, canals, streams, lakes. In the plains, the number of drowning deaths were high although it was proportional to the population size. Nature of water bodies

Dataset 1. Data file containing drowning data gathered from police records
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Figure 1. Percentage distribution of drowning cases by month (n=1507).
and landscape are different in three (Mountain, Hill and Terai) geographical regions, same as that the risk factors vary by geographical regions. Similarly, more than half of the drowning deaths occur in Hilly and Mountain regions.

A study by Pant and colleagues (Pant et al., 2011) has a number of limitations; even though that demonstrated a similar type of results reported in this paper. Nepal is mountainous country, rivers are the major natural water body and rivers accounted for the largest number of fatal drownings (80%) for the period between 2013 and 2015. This was unlike other studies from LMICs (Hyder et al., 2009; Rahman et al., 2009; Soko, 2012) where most of the drownings occurred in wells, water storage facilities or reservoirs, ditches and drains.

The monsoon season in Nepal takes place during the months of June–September when 80% of the yearly rainfall occurs throughout the country. During the months of April – June (summer), it is very warm during the day and more people use rivers, streams or other water bodies for swimming and bathing. Drownings occur while performing these activities. However, it was found drowning deaths occur throughout the year.

In the absence of household pipe water supplies and bridges, people are forced to be in contact with these unprotected water bodies every day. Data revealed that drowning often occurs as a result of the activities associated with daily life i.e. bathing, crossing river and fishing in the river. Surprisingly, no cases were reported to have drowned while fetching water which implies the need for more in-depth research.

Drowning varies greatly with age (Pant et al., 2011; Quan & Cummings, 2003). This study also revealed that drowning is more common in children rather than older age groups. A similar finding has also been highlighted in several global (World Health Organization, 2014), regional (Quan & Cummings, 2003; World Health Organization, 2014) and country level studies (Pant et al., 2011; Rahman et al., 2017). Drowning due to accidental falls mostly occurred in 0–4 year old children. These findings were similar to the study by Royal Life Saving Society in Australia (RLSSA, 2016). This study also found that drowning claimed more lives of children below 18 years than adults.

Similarly, the proportion of drowning deaths were higher among males in comparison to females in all age groups. Nearly three quarters of the deaths from drowning occurred in males, which is similar to the findings of WHO’s Global Report on Drowning (Rahman et al., 2017; Soko, 2012; World Health Organization, 2014; World Health Organization, 2014). This might be due to increased exposure to water and riskier behaviour. Findings show that female drowning was common in early ages (less than 9 years) and for the male it was high during the age 10–19 years. Overall, males of all ages outnumbered females for drowning.

These patterns of drowning in terms of age, gender, places of drowning, activity before drowning and seasonal patterns are consistent with findings of studies elsewhere (IHME, 2016; Pant et al., 2011; Peden et al., 2016). Further study is needed to identify the tendency to exposure and age-related drowning by activity before drowning.

**Strengths**

The strength of the study is that nationally recorded information from the Nepal Police was obtained. To our knowledge, this is the first study that attempted to study about drowning throughout the country which is able to present the patterns of drowning by district, age, gender and place of occurrence.

**Limitations**

Information was limited to those drowning cases reported within 24 hours of occurrence through the daily incident reporting.

---

### Table 3. Distribution by place of drowning for the period 2013–2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of drowning</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td>1195 (79.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond</td>
<td>114 (7.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>82 (5.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>19 (1.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-filled pits</td>
<td>66 (4.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety tank</td>
<td>5 (0.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water tank</td>
<td>7 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells</td>
<td>14 (0.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>5 (0.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1507 (100%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Distribution of deaths by activity before drowning for the period 2013–2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bathing</td>
<td>222 (14.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>269 (17.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossing river</td>
<td>244 (16.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidentally falling</td>
<td>211 (14.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishing/boating</td>
<td>110 (7.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide</td>
<td>109 (7.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playing in the water source</td>
<td>101 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falling from bridge</td>
<td>45 (2.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-filled pits/pond/dam</td>
<td>15 (1.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others†</td>
<td>181 (12.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1507 (100%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Others were the cases where activity before drowning were not clearly mentioned.

---
system of Nepal Police. This information doesn’t take into account those who have gone missing into water. There is a lack of information on distance from home, time of the event, person accompanying the victims, and intent and influence of substances or illness responsible for the drowning incidents, which can be very helpful for designing drowning prevention interventions.

The data collected by the police was not for the purpose of drowning prevention rather was for a forensic investigation or criminality. Some of the reported variables were incomplete (i.e. age of the person, location of drowning etc.). The data used in this manuscript has very limited information for every component of: a) victim information, b) scene information, c) any emergency medical services provided, and d) any Hospital care received by the victim.

**Conclusion**

The findings of this study suggest that drowning occurs in many parts of Nepal and not necessarily only in the plains; and children are highly vulnerable to drowning. Rivers were the most common place of drowning in Nepal and the rate of drowning increased in rainy season. There is a need for better understanding of people’s contact with rivers by gender and age to inform prevention.
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1. The paper is an important and useful contribution, as there is very little in the peer-reviewed literature about drowning in Nepal.
2. The authors should go into more detail about the police data source they used - particularly whether there are estimates of the number of deaths that occur but which are not reported to this source, whether there are biases etc.
3. The presentation of results seems somewhat haphazard - the authors could benefit from taking one step back from their data and deciding what are the 3-5 main findings we have come across here that are important and deserve to be amplified and framed clearly for the reader.
4. There is little in the way of serious follow up discussion on some aspects that would seem to invite more critical thinking - the disparity between this study's findings and GBD estimates for example.
5. There are numerous spelling and grammatical errors in the text, so this should be edited prior to publication.

Specific comments
1. Results section - drop "the open water" - which could be confused as meaning waters far from any coastline - and replace with either natural water bodies or rivers.
2. Proportion of males is cited as being higher than females - it is simple enough to give this as "roughly 3 times as many deaths were reported in males versus females", or words to that effect. It communicates with greater precision one of the findings of interest.
3. I take the seasonality pattern but believe there is somewhat muddled writing about the effect of seasons here. Clearly there are some drownings that occur year round, but the authors should be clear on drawing attention to the surge during the monsoon season as this has implications for prevention programmes.
4. The references appear incomplete - for example, the Global Report on Drowning from the WHO is referenced within the body of the text but does not appear within the references, although a WHO regional report does.
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For someone unfamiliar with the geography of Nepal, I suggest adding a map as an additional figure that depicts the regions in Table 1 (Terai, Plains and Hills) geographically.

**Author’s response:** Thank you! We found your comment extremely helpful and have revised accordingly.

You need to clarify somewhere in the methods (and abstract) that this is all drowning, both intentional and unintentional.

**Author’s Response:** Both the intentional and unintentional drowning deaths were analysed in this study. So, in this revised paper, it is clearly mentioned that the study included both the intentional and unintentional drowning deaths.

I also have some specific comments for the authors as follows:

Abstract - Methods – can you specify the dates of the study – e.g. 1 January 2013 and 31 December 2015

**Author’s Response:** We also realised it is better to mention the study date in such way and have
revised accordingly.

Abstract – Methods – can you confirm what places means? Its not aquatic locations so is it geographical location within Nepal?

**Author’s Response**: In the study, the places means the geographical locations i.e. Mountain, Hill and Terai. Instead of places, the term geographical location is used in the revised paper.

Abstract – Methods – suggest replacing ‘done’ with ‘undertaken’ or ‘conducted’

**Author’s Response**: We really appreciated your comments and since terminology would be more accurate and precise, we have taken your advice.

Main manuscript – Introduction – this study needs a clearer aim – the sentence that currently ends the introduction may be about the study referenced before. A clearer aim e.g. this study aims to …

**Author’s Response**: The study used police report as the main source of data. Objectives of the study was mentioned in the abstract. We also noticed that the objective of the study was missing in the introduction section of the main text. At the end of the introduction of the main text, the objective of the study is stated in the revised article.

Methods – how many don’t report to police? Add to limitations.

**Author’s Response**: Review of literature showed that larger number of unnatural deaths were not reported in the police. As Nepal is a less developed country, there is the high probability of people not reporting the unnatural deaths to the police. So, it is challenging to discuss on the missing cases. In case of drowning deaths, Nepal Police’s record is the only one source information.

Methods – again confirm what place of drowning means if not type of body of water

**Author’s Response**: The word drowning place was mistakenly written in the main text so it is deleted.

Ethics – usually even though the participants are deceased, this research would be considered as including human participants and ethics would be required. Is it possible to get ethics approval retrospectively? It is definitely helpful that you specify that the data received was de-identified.

**Author’s Response**: Ethical approval was obtained from University Review Committee. We used secondary data which was completely de-identified right from Data Extraction which was done on the Police Office premises. No identifiable data for the deceased person was transferred by any means.
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**Author’s Response**: Thank you for this excellent observation. Both of the reviewers commented on this word ‘open water’. So the word replace with natural water bodies.

Discussion – ‘systemic’ should be ‘systematic’.
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Discussion – please also cite dedicated epidemiological study of rivers that have been conducted in Australia1. These further support the statement that natural water bodies such as rivers regularly account for large proportions of drowning deaths.

**Author’s Response**: We agree that it will be better if it was included in the discussion. We have added the suggested reference in the discussion section.
Discussion – when discussing riskier behaviour in males – you might want to note the involvement of alcohol (see Peden et al. (2017)2). I'm not sure whether alcohol is a big issue in Nepal, and I note there was no toxicological data from police supporting or disproving alcohol involvement but it might be worth mentioning as an additional area of research for drowning in Nepal, especially among males.

**Author's Response:** In the police record, the use alcohol use was not clearly mentioned. However, there is the high prevalence of drinking behaviour among the male. So we are grateful to know about this article and it was realised that the adding of the suggested reference would validate our result. So the suggested reference has been included in the revised manuscript.

Limitations – are there ever cases of people not reporting a drowning at all or not reporting it within 24 hours? If so this study likely underreports drowning in Nepal and this would be worth mentioning.

**Author's Response:** There is high possibility of not reporting all deaths within 24 hrs. The information recorded in the Nepal Police through daily incidence reporting system was analysed in this study. It is likely to observe underreporting of the drowning deaths. In the revised manuscript this issue is clearly mentioned in the limitation section.

**Competing Interests:** No competing interest