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Abstract
Recent studies have used mainstream consumer devices (Fitbit) to assess sleep objectively and test the well documented association between sleep and body mass index (BMI). In order to further investigate the applicability of Fitbit data for biomedical research across the globe, we analysed openly available Fitbit data from a largely Chinese population. We found that after adjusting for age, gender, race, and average number of steps taken per day, average hours of sleep per day was negatively associated with BMI (p=0.02), further demonstrating the significant potential for wearables in international scientific research.
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Introduction
The association between sleep and body mass index (BMI) is well known\(^1\). Recently Xu and colleagues\(^2\) showed that shorter sleep duration, as measured by a Fitbit wristband, was associated with a higher average BMI\(^2\). These results importantly show the potential value of mainstream consumer devices for scientific research by providing objective sleep and physical activity data. A limitation of the Xu et al. study however, as noted by the authors\(^2\), is the lack of diversity of ethnicity in their study population, with the majority of participants being of European descent. In order to assess the utility of wearables for global research we used data from a recently published study\(^3\) to investigate the relationship between sleep and BMI in a largely Chinese population.

Methods
Data was obtained from the study by Lim and colleagues\(^3\). In brief, this study generated Fitbit Charge heart rate (HR) data from a cohort of volunteers tracked for a median duration of 4 days\(^3\). The volunteers underwent comprehensive profiling including activity tracking (step count and sleep tracking) using the Fitbit Charge HR wearable sensor and BMI measurement at day of recruitment. From the total cohort of 233 individuals contributing data\(^3\), association analyses were conducted on subjects who had valid measurements for all metric types and who had more than one day of sleep data.

To test the association between average hours of sleep and BMI multiple linear regression analyses were conducted using the ‘statsmodels’ package in python.

Results
Useable data was available for 212 individuals; the summary of their clinical and demographic characteristics are shown in Table 1.

A linear regression analysis showed that after adjusting for age, gender, race, and average number of steps taken per day, average hours of sleep per day was negatively associated with BMI (p=0.02): an hour increase in sleep per day was associated with approximately a 0.5 point decrease in BMI (Table 2, Figure 1).

### Table 1. Cohort clinical and demographic characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Age in Years (Standard Deviation, SD)</td>
<td>46.6 (12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Females (%)</td>
<td>123 (58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Chinese (%)</td>
<td>195 (92%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Hours of Sleep (SD)</td>
<td>6.5 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (SD)</td>
<td>23.6 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean daily steps (SD)</td>
<td>10826 (3865)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: Body mass index

### Table 2. Multivariable linear regression analysis results for body mass index (BMI).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>29.21</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (per year increase)</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Male vs Female)</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (Chinese vs other)</td>
<td>-3.35</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steps (per 1000 steps increase)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Sleep (per hour increase)</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions
In summary, we found that the findings of Xu and colleagues are consistent in a population of different ancestry. More generally, previous work\(^2,3\) and that described here demonstrates the significant potential for wearables in global biomedical research and further, as we used openly available data, this analysis shows the benefits of sharing observational data\(^4\).

Data availability
All data used in this study is available from the article by Lim \textit{et al.} [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2004285](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2004285)
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Figure 1. Relationship between body mass index (BMI) and average hours of sleep.
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