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Abstract

Introduction: Sarcoidosis is a granulomatous disease with unknown cause that can vary from an asymptomatic condition. Almost half of the patients with sarcoidosis have no symptoms. In this article, we describe a sarcoidosis patient with lung and liver engagement; it may be confused with metastasis.

Case report: A 39-year-old man, with known as hypothyroidism who had come to the emergency ward with dyspnea and coughing after exposure to detergents in a closed environment. The patient smoked for 10 years (3 pack/year). No other findings were found in clinical examinations except for wheezing in the right lung. The patient's chest radiography was shown a mass. For further investigation, spiral CT scan was performed. Large lymph nodes on the right side of the trachea, measuring about 23 mm and a mass of 70 × 77 mm in the vicinity of the right lung hilum and a hypodense nodule in the posterior part of the liver with malignancy suspicious were reported. After several biopsy results was shown chronic granulomatous inflammation, the most important differential diagnosis is tuberculosis (TB) and sarcoidosis. Sputum smear, culture, and PCR were performed for tuberculosis. Also, the level of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) was measured for sarcoidosis. The results ruled out TB and shown a higher level of ACE (ACE = 88 IU/L). After diagnosis treatment started with prednisolone. Now, the patient is in the follow-up.

Conclusion: In hilar lymphadenopathy of lung sarcoidosis is the importance differential diagnosis that should be considered.
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Introduction
Sarcoidosis is a granulomatous disease with unknown cause that can vary from an asymptomatic condition to being life-threatening. Almost half of the patients with sarcoidosis have no symptoms. In some cases, with the help of chest radiography findings looking for other pathologies, it is diagnosed. Since the lungs are often involved, patients usually come to the clinic with lung complaints (such as shortness of breath, cough). Some of the clinical manifestations of sarcoidosis have a poor prognosis, including treatment-resistant lung sarcoidosis (pulmonary fibrosis, pulmonary hypertension), cardiac sarcoidosis, neurosarcoidosis, and multiple organ sarcoidosis. These clinical manifestations are often not diagnosed until the end stage of the disease, and their response to treatment is low. The incidence of severe sarcoidosis is the most common cause of death. On time diagnosis, treatment and follow-up of patients reduces mortality. In this article, we describe a sarcoidosis patient with lung and liver involvement, which may be misdiagnosed as cancer metastasis.

Case report
A 39-year-old man, taxi driver, known to have hypothyroidism (being treated with levothyroxine) presented to the emergency ward with dyspnea and coughing after exposure to detergents in a closed environment. The patient was smoker (3 pack/year). The only in clinical examinations except for wheezing in the right side of chest. The patient’s chest radiography identified a mass. For further investigation, a spiral computerized tomography (CT) scan was performed. Lymph nodes were enlarged on the right side of the trachea, measuring about 23 mm with a mass of 70 × 77 mm, in the vicinity of the right lung hilum. A hypodense nodule in the posterior part of the liver, suspected to be malignant, was also reported (Figure 1). The lesions were suspected to be metastatic tumors, therefore, a biopsy of the mass was performed via bronchoscopy. The biopsy results were reported as chronic inflammation and mucosal hyperplasia without malignancy (Figure 3), which did not conform to the CT report. The CT has repeated again, and confirmed the previous CT report. A CT guided mass biopsy was performed for pathological evaluation. The result showed chronic granulomatous inflammation, the two most likely causes being tuberculosis (TB) and sarcoidosis. Sputum smear, culture, and PCR were performed to test for TB, and angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) levels were measured for sarcoidosis. The results ruled out TB and showed high levels of ACE (ACE = 88 IU/L (normal 8-53)). In report of bronchoscopy, Vocal cord, trachea was normal. Carina was edematous that lead to narrowing of lumen of right bronchus. Two months after the first visit, sarcoidosis was diagnosed and treatment started with prednisolone. Ophthalmology test for eye evaluation, echocardiography for cardiac evaluation and EMG/NCV (Electromyogram test and nerve conduction study) for evaluation of the nervous system were also performed to determine if there was any extra-pulmonary sarcoidosis, however, no lesions were found. After treatment by corticosteroid the symptoms of the patient subsided. Now the patient is on follow-up. Figure 2 show the CT scan of patient after treatment.

Discussion
Sarcoidosis occurs mainly in people aged 20 – 40 years and more common in females. Diagnosis is based on medical history, granuloma manifestations in at least two different structures, staining and negative culture for acid-fast bacilli, a lack of occupational and internal exposure to toxins and absence of drug-related illnesses. It is difficult to determine the prevalence and incidence of sarcoidosis since the number of patients who have sarcoidosis but do not have symptoms is unknown. In countries where Mycobacterium tuberculosis is common, sarcoidosis may not be detected. The first evaluation of patients suspected of sarcoidosis include; cell blood count, serum biochemistry including creatinine, calcium, liver enzymes, alkaline phosphates, urine

Figure 1. CT scan in-patient with sarcoidosis-(A) Pulmonary lymphadenopathy and (B) granulomatous lesion in Liver involvement.
analysis, serum protein electrophoresis, inflammatory markers, lactate dehydrogenase, level of enzyme (ACE), and Complete Pulmonary Function Tests that should be performed in patients with respiratory symptoms or abnormalities of the lung parenchyma. In all cases, Mycobacterium and fungal disease should be considered, as it has a similar history (chronic cough) and clinical image (pulmonary lymphadenopathy). A few clinical case report has shown that sarcoidosis is less common in smokers,9,10. Sarcoidosis has many clinical manifestations and affects all organs of the body. The lung is involved in at least 90% of sarcoidosis patients. Skin, eyes, liver and peripheral lymph nodes, with a frequency of 10 to 30% for other involved organs10. Cardiac involvement occurs in 25% of cases, but only causes clinical problems in 5% of cases, although it may suddenly be fatal, so it is important to examine all patients for cardiac sarcoidosis11,12. All patients should also be screened for eye involvement as it can cause visual impairment11. Sarcoidosis, like drugs, poisons, viral infections, and flukes induce liver dysfunction14,15. Up to 35% patients have abnormal liver function tests that are not related to the degree of disease16,17. Serum levels of ACE are increased in 60% of patients and have been shown to correlate with the level of disease activity. This test is non-invasive and is highly effective because the enzyme is produced by epithelial granuloma cells and its serum level reflecting the entire granulomatous activity in the body18. Fatigue was reported in over 50% of patients, which has a major effect on the quality of life. Pain was reported in 70% of patients. Arthralgia is the most common type of pain; a headache and chest pain also being reported19. Sarcoidosis treatment, such as rheumatologic diseases and vasculitis, is based on corticosteroid. In terms of treatment, Corticosteroids are a selective therapeutic drug, and methotrexate and hydroxychloroquine are often alternative drugs20-23. Our patient had no abnormal blood counts, liver test dysfunction, and non-specific inflammatory markers abnormalities, only an increased titer of ACE with addition liver symptoms that included itching, jaundice, fever and abdominal pain.

**Conclusion**

In hilar lymphadenopathy of lung, sarcoidosis is an importance differential diagnosis that should be considered and prior to biopsy of lymph nodes and any invasive procedures, ACE enzyme levels should be measured.
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The authors present a case of pulmonary sarcoidosis arising in a country where tuberculosis may be more common.

There is an error in the Abstract where a sentence is repeated "A 39-year-old man, known as hypothyroidism who had come to the emergency ward with dyspnea and coughing after exposure to detergents in a closed environment" A 39-year-old man, known as hypothyroidism who had come to the emergency ward with dyspnea and coughing after exposure to detergents in a closed environment."

In the abstract, the authors should revise the sentence "A 39-year-old man, known as hypothyroidism..." this probably should be revised to "A 39-year-old man with known hypothyroidism..."

On page 3, please revise the sentence "The incidence of severe sarcoidosis is the most common cause of death."
On page 3, the authors should provide information from the bronchoscopy procedure report as to whether there was airway narrowing visualized during the bronchoscopy. This is of particular interest since the patient's main radiographic abnormality is enlarged thoracic lymph nodes without any notable lung infiltrates (stage I chest x-ray).

On Page 4, please consider revising "It is difficult to determine the prevalence and incidence of sarcoidosis without clinical symptoms." Perhaps rephrase to clarify that "It is difficult to determine the prevalence and incidence of sarcoidosis since the number of patients who have sarcoidosis but do not have symptoms is unknown."
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I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.
This case study presents a man with cough and dyspnea following exposure with irritant agent. His chest imaging showed a right hilar mass. Although this is not an unusual presentation of sarcoidosis but confirms that not all mass is malignant.

My comments:
1. What do you mean by “The incidence of severe sarcoidosis is the most common cause of death”? As Swigris et al. had published, did you mean "The underlying cause of death in most patients with sarcoidosis was the disease itself."

2. Would you please clarify and rephrase this sentence: “The only in clinical examinations except for wheezing in the right side of chest”

3. The authors please add the bronchoscopic findings; was there any mass or narrowing there.

4. Please add the coronal view of chest CT; considering chest physical finding, wheeze, the reader would like to see if there is any narrowing in right main and/or intermediate bronchus.

5. Please add the unit of measurement of ACE level.

6. Please add the histologic slide of biopsy to the manuscript.
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