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Abstract
Background: Low Birth Weight (LBW) is a worldwide public health problem, which subsequently may affect the health status of the child. Lao PDR has high incidence of LBW. Antenatal care (ANC) is provided to improve maternal and child health outcomes. The aim of this study was to identify the effect ANC on LBW prevention in Lao PDR.
Methods: This case control study was conducted in tertiary hospitals of Lao PDR. The ratio of case: control was 1:3, of which there were 52 cases and 156 controls that passed the inclusion criteria included in the study. In our analysis information on pregnancy and ANC including height of mother, maternal weight gain during pregnancy, maternal gestational age at delivery, type of delivery, supplementary vitamins, and other covariates including age, marital status, educational attainment, occupation, family income, health insurance, family size and living condition were described and determine their association with LBW using multiple logistic regression analysis.
Results: There were only 32.69 % of complete ANC among cases and 57.69% in control. Incomplete ANC (<4 times) were significant increased the odds of having LBW (adj. OR=2.97; 95%CI: 1.48 to 5.93; p-value =0.002). Other covariates which also influenced LBW were having maternal weight gain during pregnancy less than 10 kg. (adj.OR=2.28; 95%CI: 1.16 to 4.49; p-value = 0.017), maternal gestation age at delivery less than 40 weeks (adj. OR=3.33; 95%CI: 1.52 to 7.32; p-value =0.003).
Conclusion: Complete ANC could help both mother and child in term of weight gain and full term delivery which may effect on LBW reduction.
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Introduction

Low birth weight (LBW) refers to a baby who has a birth weight of less than 2,500 grams. LBW may cause birth asphyxia, amniotic fluid aspiration, hypoglycemia and hyponatremia. An infant weight of 1,500–2,500 grams has been shown to have 5–10 times higher mortality rate than normal infants. During 2005–2010, LBW incidences in countries of the Association of Southeast Asian nations ASEAN were 21.0% in Philippines, 11.0% in Malaysia, Cambodia and Lao PDR, 9.0% in Indonesia, 7.0% in Thailand and 5.0% in Vietnam. The incidence of LBW reflects a country’s socio-economic development. Mortality of LBW babies is as high as 1% when compared with 0.2% among normal children. At the 2005 World Summit a plan was announced to improve quality of life called Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), covered goals to be achieved worldwide by the year 2015.

Maternal and child health problems have been considered as indicators of the health service performances. In Southeast Asia, 28% of all deaths of 1 month-old infants were from infection, and 20% from preterm birth and LBW. LBW is commonly used as an indicator of health status and is important for national health policy development. Important factors associated with LBW are maternal factors, such as socioeconomic status, food consumption behaviors, calorie intake, urinary tract infection and prenatal care, smoking, genital infections, maternal health and stress.

Antenatal care (ANC) is care provided to pregnant women by health personal. Care includes risk identification, prevention and management of pregnancy-related or concurrent disease, and health education and health promotion. The World Health Organization’s ANC model, also known as focused or basic ANC is a goal-orientated approach to delivering evidence-based interventions at four critical times for ANC during pregnancy, therefore completed ANC in this study is having ANC for at least 4 times.

High incidence of LBW and high mortality of both mothers and children in Lao PDR has been hypothesized as being caused by various influencing factors, such as low socio-economic status and limited access to qualified health services related to pregnancy. However, there are limited studies identifying the role of ANC in reducing LBW incidence in Lao PDR. Therefore this study aimed to determine whether having ANC at least four times during pregnancy could help reduce LBW when controlling for other covariates related to socioeconomic and pregnancy factors. The results could be used to develop appropriate measures for prevention of LBW and obtaining better maternal and child health statuses.

Methods

Participants

Postpartum mothers who had delivered babies and came for regular checkup after delivery in four tertiary hospitals in Vientiane, Lao PDR between July and December 2016 were included in this study. The four hospitals were Mahosot Hospital, Sethathirat Hospital, Mothers and Child Center Hospital, and Mittraphab Hospital.

The sample size was calculated using the formula for the analysis of a relationship in a case-control study. The formula indicated that the sample size of the study group (cases) should be 52 participants. The control group was 3 times the size of the study group (case:control ratio of 1:3). Therefore, the control group included 156 participants, which made a final total of 208 participants. Cases and controls were not matched for demographics.

Inclusion criteria were mothers who had delivered babies in the four hospitals during the study period, who were 18 – 49 years of age. This age range was chosen as the reproductive age of women is 15–49 years old. However, those aged below 18 are considered as a vulnerable group, therefore we selected 18–49 year-olds. The exclusion criteria were mothers who delivered twins, did not live in the study area and who were not willing to participate. The samples in this study were divided into two groups:

1) Case group: mothers of babies whose birth weight was <2,500 grams (LBW);
2) Control group: mothers of babies whose births weight was ≥2,500 grams who were born during the same period as the cases.

Data collection

The tool for data collection was a structured questionnaire interview that consisted of seven parts (Supplementary File 1): Part I, general information; Part II, sociodemographic characteristics; Part III, knowledge of health care during pregnancy; Part IV, maternal factors and pregnancy status; Part V, environment factors and support for ANC; Part VI, prenatal distress (as assessed using the Edinburgh Prenatal Depression Scale); Part VII, obstetric information at delivery (gathered from the mother’s medical records).

The questionnaire was content validity tested by five experts in terms of theory and understanding. Unclear questions were edited and some information that was missing was added. Reliability was tested among 30 mothers (from Xaythany and Sisattanak Hospitals), indicating the high reliability with the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of 0.84.

Data was collected by four physicians who were trained in using the questionnaire. These interviewers were blinded for the infant status of normal or LBW. The interview of the participants took place in a postpartum patient room or nursing room within 5 days of agreement to participate.

Statistical analysis

STATA version 10.0 was used to analyze data. Descriptive statistics frequency, percentage, means, standard deviation, minimum, maximum were used to present data on the following characteristics: characteristics of mothers, knowledge on health care during pregnancy of the mother, pregnancy status, environment factors and support for ANC, prenatal distress and obstetric information at delivery.
Simple logistic regression was used to determine factors associated with LBW. The factors which had association with LBW (p-value <0.25) were analyzed using multiple logistic regression. Multiple logistic regression was applied to identify the association of ANC and LBW when controlling for other covariates, presenting adjusted OR, 95% confidence interval (95% CI) with the levels of significance at 0.0513.

**Ethical statement**

We submitted the proposal and questionnaire to the Ethical Committee of Khon Kaen University, Thailand (reference No. HE 592087) and the Ethical Committee of University of Health Sciences, Lao PDR (reference No.13/16) for approval. Both committees granted approval of this study, including the reliability test of the questionnaire. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Results**

The study involved a total of 208 mothers, of which 52 were cases and 156 were controls. There were a higher proportion of younger mothers (<20 years) among cases than controls (17.31% and 11.54%, respectively). Controls had higher educational attainment of upper secondary (43.59%) compared to cases (28.85%). More controls lived in urban areas than cases (60.26% and 51.92%, respectively), and 21.15% of cases had average monthly family income ≤1,000,000 (Kips) which was 9.62% among controls (see Table 1).

Only 32.69% of cases completed ANC (≥4 times) check-ups while 57.69% controls completed these. The bivariate analysis of ANC, socioeconomic and maternal factors with LBW showed that going to ANC check-ups <4 times had higher odds of having LBW than those who went to at least 4 times (OR=2.80; 95% CI: 1.44 to 5.43; p-value = 0.002).

In the bivariate analysis, which considers the association of one independent variable with the outcome (LBW), we selected factors with a p-value <0.25 to proceed to the multivariable analysis. These factors were height of mother (cm), taking any supplementary vitamins, prenatal depression, maternal weight gain during pregnancy (kg), maternal gestational age during delivery (weeks), and type of delivery (see Table 2).

The multivariate analysis of maternal risk factors of LBW show that after adjusting for the effect of covariates there was significant association between ANC check-ups <4 times and LBW (adjusted OR = 2.97; 95% CI: 1.48 to 5.93; p-value = 0.002). Other covariates that were also significantly associated with LBW were maternal weight gain during pregnancy <10 kg (adjusted OR = 2.28; 95% CI: 1.16 to 4.49; p-value = 0.017), maternal gestation at delivery <40 weeks (adjusted OR= 3.33; 95% CI: 1.52 to 7.32; p-value = 0.003) (see Table 3).

**Discussion**

Our study demonstrated that inadequate ANC, poor maternal weight gain during pregnancy and maternal gestational age at delivery were significant independent determinants of LBW in Lao PDR. Only about one third of the mothers with LBW babies (cases) had completed ANC (≥4 times), whereas about half of the mothers with normal weight babies (control) had completed ANC. This finding supports the results of other studies16–17, which indicated that ANC (times) were found to be significant maternal risk factors for LBW babies. In this study, the mothers who attended ANC fewer than 4 times had almost 3 times higher odd of having LBW. Previous studies in general hospitals have indicated that ANC visits <4 times were LBW risk factors18–21. Antenatal visits of the pregnant mothers are very important as they provide chances for monitoring the fetal wellbeing and allow timely intervention for feto-maternal protection. Little ANC could increase prenatal fetor-maternal complications22. In the present study, among mothers who did not receive ANC, there was 1.122 times higher changes than those who received ANC23 and ANC < 4 visits OR was 1.41 (95% CI: 1.02 to 1.94). These are similar findings to results found in Thailand24–26.

Maternal age in some studies has no significant association with LBW24; however other studies had different results27–29. For example, Fariha et al. found that maternal age was found significantly associated with LBW infants30, and some studies reported that the older the mother, the higher the risk of having LBW infants31. LBW babies among older mothers, whose age is 35 years and above, was 23.89%. It was significantly higher than the percentage of LBW babies for mothers in other age categories (p = 0.004)26–32. For maternal weight gain during pregnancy it was found that weight gain <10 kg were risk factors for LBW in the present study, which is similar to the result of a study in Indonesia among others26,32–34. Low maternal weight gain reflects poor child growth, which puts both mother and child at risk for morbidity and mortality35,36.

Maternal gestational age at delivery of <40 weeks was another associated factor for LBW in the present study, which is similar to a result found in Malaysia37. Some studies showed that the risk factor of LBW infants was a gestational ages of <37 weeks24–38. When the mother delivers a baby before the baby is at full term, the baby is not fully grown. Therefore the babies are more likely to be small (LBW) and have higher risk for mortality since some organs such as lung is not fully functioning.

One limitation in this case-control study could be data collection bias due to interviewer prejudices. However, we minimized this by blinding the interviewers; therefore the manner in which they asked the questions were the same in both case and control groups.

**Conclusion**

This hospital-based case-control study was conducted in Vientiane, Lao PDR and indicated that ANC checkups at least 4 times could help reduce LBW of babies. Consequently, policy should improve coverage and quality of ANC of at least 4 times for all pregnant women in this population.
Table 1. Demographic and socioeconomic status of mothers (n = 208).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Cases (n=52)</th>
<th>Controls (n=156)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–34</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>73.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>26.55 (5.97)</td>
<td>26.79 (5.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (Min: Max)</td>
<td>26.5 (16:37)</td>
<td>27 (17:40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>51.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>48.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not married</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower secondary</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper secondary</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle diploma or greater</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation (mother)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government officer</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private/enterprise</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House wife</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income (Kips)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤1,000,000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000,001-1,500,000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,500,001-2,000,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2,000,001</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>38.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>2,207,692 (1,140,943)</td>
<td>2,616,667 (1,856,978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (Min: Max)</td>
<td>2,000,000 (500,000:5,900,000)</td>
<td>2,000,000 (550,000:6,000,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of pocket payment</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>78.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family size (persons)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – 3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – 5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>59.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – 7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.13 (1.88)</td>
<td>4.89 (1.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (Min: Max)</td>
<td>5 (2: 10)</td>
<td>5 (2: 13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Bivariable analysis of factors associated with low birth weight (LBW) in Lao PDR (n = 208).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>LBW Case (n=52)</th>
<th>Control (n=156)</th>
<th>Crude OR (95%CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal care check-ups (number of times)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (≥ 4)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>32.69</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (&lt;4)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67.31</td>
<td>2.80 (1.44 to 5.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking any supplementary vitamins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>88.46</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>1.46 (0.51 to 3.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of mother (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 161</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-160</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>36.54</td>
<td>2.71 (0.72 to 10.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 155</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>57.69</td>
<td>2.44 (0.68 to 8.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenatal depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No depression</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>82.69</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>17.31</td>
<td>0.52 (0.24 to 1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal weight gain during pregnancy (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>48.08</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td>2.50 (1.32 to 4.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal gestational age during delivery (weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>80.77</td>
<td>3.25 (1.5 to 6.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>90.38</td>
<td>2.43 (0.89 to 6.59)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Multivariable analysis factors associated with low birth weight (LBW) in Lao PDR (n = 208).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>LBW Case (n=52)</th>
<th>Control (n=156)</th>
<th>Crude OR (95%CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95%CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal care check-ups (number of times)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (≥ 4)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>32.69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (&lt;4)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67.31</td>
<td>2.80 (1.44 to 5.43)</td>
<td>2.97 (1.48 to 5.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal weight gain during pregnancy (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>48.08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td>2.50 (1.32 to 4.76)</td>
<td>2.28 (1.16 to 4.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal gestational age at delivery (weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>80.77</td>
<td>3.25 (1.52 to 6.63)</td>
<td>3.33 (1.52 to 7.32)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary material
Supplementary File 1: Questionnaire used in the study in English.
Click here to access the data.
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This article is a case control study, aims to study the association between the complete antenatal care (ANC ≥ 4 times) and the low birth weight (LBW) in Lao PDR. It demonstrated the significance of ANC on the prevention of neonatal complication however there are a number of issues with the methods and analysis section that need to be clarified with some of the conclusion are not correspond with the results. The detail of comments by section is below:

Abstract:
The conclusion that complete ANC help mother and child in term of weight gain and full term delivery is not from the analysis of the results. The multiple logistic regression from this study only showed that antenatal care and maternal weight gain and GA at delivery had effect to the LBW.

Introduction:
- Page 3, Paragraph 1– second sentence birthweight of le< 2500 grams, the reference about LBW incidence in ASEAN was in 2004 that should be update. The last sentence about MDGs in 2015 is out of date and irrelevant which should be removed.
- Paragraph 2- some sentences are repeat of first paragraph
- Paragraph 3- four critical times of ANC was recommended from WHO in 2002, the update of recommendation from WHO is in 2016 which can be found in
the new guideline recommend minimal 8 times of antenatal care to reduce perinatal mortality (page xvi)

- Paragraph 4- the authors mentioned there are limited studies identifying the role of ANC in reducing LBW incidence in Lao PDR, please give the detail, reference and gap of knowledge.

Methods:
The method was well described, however some points should be clarify
- The study period was 6 months in four tertiary hospitals in capital city which mean a lot of patients, with the only 52 cases of LBW and 156 control cases, the authors should mentioned about the selection method especially the control cases to avoid the selection bias.
- Some pregnancy factors that affect the LBW such as associated maternal diseases, complication during pregnancy, gravida and preterm birth should be added.

Results:
- The subjects were caesarean delivery in 90.38% of cases and 79.49% of control, 19.23% of cases and 13.46% of controls were government officer with only 1.92% of cases and 3.21% of controls were farmer. This might not be the good representative of Lao PDR pregnant women and the inferential of this study to general population might be limited.
- Paragraph 1-The control showed higher education, urban and higher income. This might cause from the convenience selection of control group and cause the better health status, more times ANC attend and higher birthweight of control group. Please clarify the method of control selection.
- Us dollar might be better than Kips for the understanding of the reader.
- Total birth, total LBW, total preterm birth and total cesarean deliveries in the study period should be added.
- Table 1- Maternal age should be 18-20 instead of <20 (<18 was excluded from this study), Famer should be changed to farmer. Only mean or median should be selected depend on the distribution of data. If median was selected, the min-max should be changed to interquartile range. Maternal medical and obstetrics complications should be added because it was an important factor for LBW.
- Table 2-The gestational age normally divided to <37, 37-<42, ≥ 42 instead of 40. The BMI should be used instead of maternal height and why 10 kg was used instead of the recommended weight gain during pregnancy which depends on maternal BMI. Very high cesarean section in both groups was shown, please add the reasons or indications.
- The continuous data such as age, income should be analyzed in continuous form, especially income which was statistical difference between two groups if analyzed in continuous form and should be analyzed in multiple logistic regression analysis. The downgrade of continuous data to ordinal data should be avoided.
- Normally, the premature birth is the birth before 37 weeks GA and is the most important cause of LBW. This should be used instead of 40 weeks.

Conclusion: As mentioned above, the new WHO recommendation in 2016 recommend at least 8 times of antenatal care to reduce perinatal mortality.
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