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**Abstract**

The presence of H3K27me3 has been demonstrated to correlate with the CpG content. In this work, we tested whether H3K27ac has similar sequence preferences. We performed a translocation of DNA sequences with various properties into a beta-globin locus to control for the local chromatin environment. Our results suggest that in contrast to H3K27me3, H3K27ac gain is unlikely affected by the CpG content of the underlying DNA sequence, while extremely high GC-content might contribute to the gain of the H3K27ac.
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Introduction
Modification of histone proteins is a key mechanism of epigenetic regulation. Histone modifications vary between cells in some genomic locations but not others. This observation raises the question to what extent histone modifications depend on the underlying nucleotide sequences. It has been reported that the attraction of PRC2 complex and consequent H3K27me3 is positively correlated with the local density of CpG dinucleotides. More complex sequence patterns, such as transcription factor (TF) binding sites (TFBS), also affect the presence of histone modifications. For example, SUZ12, a member of the PRC2 complex, binds DNA in a sequence-specific manner and NRCF and ZBTB33 recruit histone deacetylase. The ENCODE project demonstrated a specific histone modification profile around binding sites of many TFs.

Since the same lysine residue cannot be both methylated and acetylated, the presence of H3K27ac is negatively correlated with the presence of H3K27me3. Although there are several pieces of evidence showing that H3K27me3 is established at least partially in a sequence-specific manner, it is unclear if H3K27ac — an antagonistic activator to a repressive H3K27me3 — shares any sequence specific patterns. A computational approach predicted some TFBS to be linked to H3K27ac, yet the results were inconsistent and dependent on the a background set. In this work, we perform a direct experiment to test whether a specific genomic sequence is capable of recovering H3K27ac.

Methods
Cas9 target selection and plasmid design
We selected a site for Cas9 in the intergenic region of human beta-globin locus using services CCTop (hg38) and Off-Spotter (hg38). The chosen targeting sequence was CTTGTCCCTGCA GGTTATTA. Then we designed targeting oligonucleotides (Table 2) for pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro (pX459 plasmid (Addgene), a gift from Egor Prokhorchouck, E.P.). Oligonucleotides Bgl1Cas_F and Bgl1Cas_R were diluted to 10 μM each with annealing buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA), heated for 5 minutes to 95°C and then slowly cooled down to room temperature. 500ng of pX459 was digested with 5U of BpiI for 5 minutes to 95°C and then slowly cooled down to room temperature. 500ng of pX459 was digested with 5U of BpiI (Thermo Scientific) for 1h at 37°C, heat inactivated, run on temperature. 500ng of pX459 was digested with 5U of BpiI. Then we designed targeting oligonucleotides Bgl1Cas_F and Bgl1Cas_R. The ligation product was purified and ligated with pX459 for 1h at 37°C, heat inactivated, run on temperature. 500ng of pX459 was digested with 5U of BpiI, digested with NheI (Thermo Scientific), gel purified and ligated with hybridized AdaptUp(+) AdaptUp(-) duplex. A successful insert was verified by digestion of newly introduced restriction sites: SalI and BamHI. We refer to this plasmid as pAdaptUp-HSVtk-T2A-NeoR. This plasmid was digested with NotI (Thermo Scientific), treated with FastAP (Thermo Scientific), gel purified and ligated with pAdaptDown(+) AdaptDown(-) duplex to introduce a 2xBpiI site that generates half-sites for BciI and XhoI after cleavage. The resulting plasmid was transformed into E. coli Top-10 cells. We refer to this plasmid as pAdaptUp-HSVtk-T2A-NeoR-AdaptDown. To obtain LoxP-flanked sequences, we used a pBK-CMV-derived plasmid with a modified multiple cloning site containing restriction sites for BciI, NheI, and XhoI. This plasmid was transformed into E. coli JM110 to eradicate Dam methylation, double digested with BciI and NheI, dephosphorylated, gel purified and ligated with LoxP(+) LoxP(-) duplex, treated with T4 PNK. This plasmid was transformed into E. coli Top10 cells and referred to as pLoxP. To obtain homology regions that flank Cas9 cleavage site we PCR amplified them from Caki1 gDNA, using primer pairs Bgl1Up_F and Bgl1Up_R for an upstream fragment and Bgl1 Down_F and Bgl1Down_R for a downstream fragment. These PCR fragments were double digested with NheI and XhoI and ligated with pLoxP(+)- LoxP(-) duplex, treated with T4 PNK. This plasmid was transformed into E. coli JM110 and purified plasmids were digested with BciI and XhoI to yield upstream and downstream fragments of DNA bearing LoxP site on its end. The upstream fragment was ligated with pAdaptUp-HSVtk-T2A-NeoR-AdaptDown double digested with SalI and BamHI to give pUp1L-HSVTK-T2A-NeoR-AdaptDown. The downstream fragment was ligated with pUp1L-HSVTK-T2A-NeoR-AdaptDown treated with BpiI and dephosphorylated. We refer to this plasmid as pUp1L-HSVTK-T2A-NeoR-L1Down.

Plasmids design
Selection cassette design (HSV thymidine kinase, T2A peptide, NeoR in one frame) was performed with Ugene. HSVtk and NeoR sequences were PCR amplified with Phusion polymerase (Thermo Scientific) from pBS246-neo/Tk (a gift from E.P., construction of this plasmid is described elsewhere) with primers HSVtk_F, HSVtk_R, and G418_F, G418_R, corresponding. T2A peptide coding sequence, corresponding to amino acid sequence GSGEGRGSLLTCGDVEENPGP, was synthesized by hybridization of oligonucleotides T2A(+)- and T2A(-) in annealing buffer and consequent treatment of hybridized duplex with T4 polymerase (Thermo Scientific) and gel purified. The NeoR fragment was digested with XbaI (Thermo Scientific), the T2A fragment was digested with NheI (Thermo Scientific), then these fragments were ligated and the fragment of expected size (881bp) was gel purified. This T2A-NeoR fragment was digested with XhoI (Thermo Scientific), HSVtk fragment was digested with Sall (Thermo Scientific), fragments were ligated and the fragment of predicted length was gel purified again. This HSVtk-T2A-NeoR fragment was double digested with EcoRI (Thermo Scientific) and BshTI (Thermo Scientific) and ligated with pX459-b1 double-digested with EcoRI and BshTI. This step gave the plasmid with full-length selection cassette. Below we refer to this plasmid as pHSVtk-T2A-NeoR. Then the pHSVtk-T2A-NeoR plasmid was double-digested with XbaI and Acc65I (Thermo Scientific), gel purified and ligated with hybridized AdaptUp(+) AdaptUp(-) duplex. A successful insert was verified by digestion of newly introduced restriction sites: SalI and BamHI. We refer to this plasmid as pAdaptUp-HSVtk-T2A-NeoR. This plasmid was digested with NotI (Thermo Scientific), treated with FastAP (Thermo Scientific), gel purified and ligated with pAdaptDown(+) AdaptDown(-) duplex to introduce a 2xBpiI site that generates half-sites for BciI and XhoI after cleavage. The resulting plasmid was transformed into E. coli Top-10 cells. We refer to this plasmid as pAdaptUp-HSVtk-T2A-NeoR-AdaptDown. To obtain LoxP-flanked sequences, we used a pBK-CMV-derived plasmid with a modified multiple cloning site containing restriction sites for BciI, NheI, and XhoI. This plasmid was transformed into E. coli JM110 to eradicate Dam methylation, double digested with BciI and NheI, dephosphorylated, gel purified and ligated with LoxP(+)- LoxP(-) duplex, treated with T4 PNK. This plasmid was transformed into E. coli Top10 cells and referred to as pLoxP. To obtain homology regions that flank Cas9 cleavage site we PCR amplified them from Caki1 gDNA, using primer pairs Bgl1Up_F and Bgl1Up_R for an upstream fragment and Bgl1 Down_F and Bgl1Down_R for a downstream fragment. These PCR fragments were double digested with NheI and XhoI and ligated with pLoxP(+)- LoxP(-) duplex, treated with T4 PNK. This plasmid was transformed into E. coli JM110, and purified plasmids were digested with BciI and XhoI to yield upstream and downstream fragments of DNA bearing LoxP site on its end. The upstream fragment was ligated with pAdaptUp-HSVtk-T2A-NeoR-AdaptDown double digested with SalI and BamHI to give pUp1L-HSVTK-T2A-NeoR-AdaptDown. The downstream fragment was ligated with pUp1L-HSVTK-T2A-NeoR-AdaptDown treated with BpiI and dephosphorylated. We refer to this plasmid as pUp1L-HSVTK-T2A-NeoR-L1Down.

Stably transfected cell line generation
We co-transfected Caki1 cells with the plasmids pX459-b1 and pUp1L-HSVTK-T2A-NeoR-L1Down using Lipofectamine 3000
Table 1. Sequences inserted to foreign genomic context and their properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Genome location, hg38</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Length, bp</th>
<th>GC, %</th>
<th>CpGs</th>
<th>H3K27Ac, native location</th>
<th>H3K27ac, foreign location</th>
<th>H3K27Me3, native location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>chr1:62435851-62436130</td>
<td>USP1</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>chr1:77979434-77979693</td>
<td>FUBP1</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>chr1:86913854-86914119</td>
<td>HS2ST1</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>chr20:63473349-63473556</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>chr12:49033153-49033412</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>chr17:81127213-81127450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>chr2:227381301-227381590</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>chr1:108505575-108505854</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>chr19:50816997-50817284</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes (only GM12878)</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes (in some cells)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>chrX:48988617-48988801</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Oligonucleotides used in this article.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bgl1Cas_F</td>
<td>CACCGCTTGTCCCTGCAGGGTTATTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bgl1Cas_R</td>
<td>AAACTAATACCCTGCAGGGACAAAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>HSVtk_F</td>
<td>AATTACCAGCTATGGCTTGCAGCCCTGTCGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HSVtk_R</td>
<td>AATTGTGCAGCTTATGGCTCAGTCCCCCATTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>T2A(+)</td>
<td>AAAGCTCGAGGGCAGTGGAGAGGGCAGATGCCTGCTAACATGCGGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>T2A(-)</td>
<td>ATTCGCTAGCTGGCCAGGGATTCAAGGTACGTGCAAGAGACTGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>G418R_F</td>
<td>ATATCTCGAATTGAACAGATGGATTGACCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>G418R_R</td>
<td>TAAATGTATCTCGAAGGAAACTCTGTCAGGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AdaptUp(+)+</td>
<td>CTAGCAGTCAGCTTAAGGATCCAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AdaptUp(-)+</td>
<td>GTACATGGATCTTTAAGGTACGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AdaptDown(+)</td>
<td>GGGCCGATCTGTCTTCAAGAGACCTTCGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>AdaptDown(-)</td>
<td>GGGCCGCTCAGAGGTCTCTTGAAGACGGGATGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>LoxP(+)</td>
<td>CTAGCATAATTCAGTGATAATGATGCTGATGTTATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>LoxP(-)</td>
<td>GATCATAAATTCAGTGATGACATCATATTGCAAAGATTGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Bgl1Up_F</td>
<td>TCCACTCGAGACCTGGAAACCCATGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Bgl1Up_R</td>
<td>GAATGCTAGCTCCTCGAGTTACACTTCAGTCAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Bgl1Down_F</td>
<td>CATCGCTAGCCAGGTACCTAAGG CCCAGGCAAAATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Bgl1_Down_R</td>
<td>TGCTCTCGAGAAATGCAACCTATGGGCCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>BGL1pcr_F</td>
<td>TGGCTCAGATCATCCATCTCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>BGL1pcr_R</td>
<td>GTGAATAGAGCCGTGCAGCTGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Bait(+)</td>
<td>GATCACACTTCCTGAAAGTGAGAGACCTCCTCCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Bait(-)</td>
<td>GATCAACAGTCTGAGAGTCAGAAGACTGGGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Bait-seq_F</td>
<td>CACACTCCTCGAAGTGGAGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Bait-seq_R</td>
<td>CCAAGAGAGGGAAAGATCCAGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>01_Hi_Ac_FUBP1_F</td>
<td>CCGCTATGGGATCTTCTCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>01_Hi_Ac_FUBP1_R</td>
<td>ATTCACAACCCCAACCTCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>02_Hi_Ac_USP1_F</td>
<td>GGCTGAAGCGATAACTGTCTAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>02_Hi_Ac_USP1_R</td>
<td>GGGGAATGCTGTCAGAGTCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>03_Hi_Ac_HS2ST1_F</td>
<td>GGGGAAAGGAGGAAGGATCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>03_Hi_Ac_HS2ST1_R</td>
<td>TGCGGAAAATGGTAGCGATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>04_No_Ac_GC66_F</td>
<td>GGCGTAGAGGCCACCCCTAGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>04_No_Ac_GC66_R</td>
<td>GCTAACTAGTCTCAGGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>05_No_Ac_GC67_F</td>
<td>CTGTGCTCCTAAGGGCCCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>05_No_Ac_GC67_R</td>
<td>ACAAAACAGCTGCTGGGCCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>06_No_Ac_GC68_F</td>
<td>CCCCATGGGCAAAGACAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>06_No_Ac_GC68_R</td>
<td>CCCAAGCATCCCTCCAGGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>07_No_Ac_GC28_F</td>
<td>CTATCAACACACCAACACACAAACACAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>07_No_Ac_GC28_R</td>
<td>AGGTAAGTAACTACCACCTCCC AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>08_No_Ac_GC39_F</td>
<td>AAATCTCTTTTCTACACCCCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>08_No_Ac_GC39_R</td>
<td>TTTAAGAAGAATAGGTAGGGTTATGCTGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>09_No_Ac_GC51_F</td>
<td>TGAGGTTATCTGTGGGGTTAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>09_No_Ac_GC51_R</td>
<td>ACAAACCACAGATCTGGGCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>10_No_Ac_GC53_F</td>
<td>TTCGAACATCCATCTCCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>10_No_Ac_GC53_R</td>
<td>GTTAACTGGGTCTGAAGATCCT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The native location may be due to the presence of the antagonistic mark H3K27me3 in Caki1 cells, which is lost after translocation to a foreign environment. Yet, for the sequence 5, H3K27me3 is absent in all cell types reported in ENCODE. Our results suggest that in contrast to H2K27me3, H3K27ac gain is unlikely affected by the CpG content of the underlying DNA sequence, while extremely high GC-content might contribute to the gain of the H3K27ac.

Dataset 1. Gel image of amplification of target sequences from native genomic loci compared with amplification from beta-globin locus with or without ChIP on H3K27ac

http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.13441.d19277
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**Q:** The study is focused on question of relation of primary genomic sequences and H3K27 acetylation preferences. Original method, based on the translocation of the different CG% and CpG-based sequences to the same genomic locus, followed by H3K27ac analysis was employed. The results suggest that that the context is more important than GC or CpG properties of the genomic sequence itself. The results of certain interest for the wide pool of researcher working with epigenetic aspects.

**A:** We thank the reviewer for a careful reading of the manuscript and useful comments. Although we have to disagree that our results show the context to be more important than GC or CpG content of the sequence. In fact, our results suggest that GC content may contribute to the establishing of H3K27ac. To avoid further confusions we modified the abstract and the results accordingly to make this statement more clear.

“**Our results suggest that in contrast to H2K27me3, H3K27ac gain is unlikely affected by the CpG content of the underlying DNA sequence, while extremely high GC-content might contribute to the gain of the H3K27ac**”

**Q:** At the same time, I believe that involvement of more techniques, such as Chip-Seq would be suggested to confirm the results.

**A:** We agree with the reviewer that a ChIP-seq may provide a more unbiased approach. Yet, a regular ChIP-seq experiment after the insertion seems to produce a lot of waste since only one region is expected to be changed. On the other hand a modified version of ChIP-seq experiment with multiple insertions into the same location may indeed be more unbiased and will hopefully increase the number of tested sequences by the order of magnitude making the conclusions more solid. We are working on this protocol now but we believe that this much more complicated experimental setup is beyond the scope of the current pilot paper.

**Q:** Also, it is not clear from the text how many replicates were taken and how general is the conclusion, if, for example, different genomic loci (or different genome, for example extremely GC or AT-rich one) will be used.

**A:** The insertion of 10 target sequences was performed done in two independent biological replicates, then every replicateate was CHiP’d and resulting DNA was pooled before nested PCR step. To avoid any further confusions the corresponding text was added to the Methods.

Section “Construction of a recombination target”
“**This step was performed in two independent replicates.”** was added to the Section “Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)”
“**Biological replicates of targeted insertion of DNA sequences were processed independently.”**
Section “Nested PCR”
“the DNA was pooled” was added to section “Nested PCR”
The beta-globin locus was chosen based on its lack of the chromatin modifications in the most of the adult cell types so the surrounding chromatin does not interfere with the inserted sequence and the gain of the modifications in the inserted fragment is determined by its sequence. We agree that additional loci lacking chromatin marks might be also tested, yet for now we focus on the modified ChIP-seq protocol to test many more inserted sequences.
At this stage we are not generalizing our conclusions to other genomes with the extreme AT- or GC-content of the genome since apart of these changes these organisms may also have a quite different H3K27ac-attracting machinery.
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**Vasily N Aushev**

Department of Environmental Medicine and Public Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York City, NY, USA

This study aimed to test whether H3K27 acetylation depends on the properties of the target sequence itself, or rather defined by the surrounding genomic context. To assess this question, authors translocated sequences with different CG% and CpG content, to the same beta globin locus, and then tested their H3K27ac in the new location.

As three tested highGC-highCpG sequences lost their H3K27ac in the new location, authors conclude that the context is more important that GC or CpG properties of the sequence itself. At the same time, two other sequences actually acquire H3K27ac in the same new location, and only one of those can be possibly explained by the antagonistic H3K27me3 mark.

Authors describe their methods with all the details which should be sufficient for reproducibility of their main results. I had, however, some minor technical questions regarding their plasmids construction procedure. For example: 1) From the sequence of T2A oligos they provide, peptide sequence seems to be PSPLSPCLLLTCDVEENPGP, not GSGEGRGSLLTCDVEENPGP. The latter one would be present in the original pX459 plasmid, but not in the constructed one. 2) To my understanding, annealing of T2A(+) and T2A(-) oligos will produce a hybrid with only 18bp double-stranded overlap - for further digestion with NheI, its single-stranded ends need to be built up with some polymerase; I don’t see this step in the Methods. 3) I don’t see XhoI site in the T2A-NeoR fragment, and don’t see any other potential site compatible with SalI of HSVtk fragment. In addition, it is recommended that all the source plasmids used should be properly referred - either
by their original publication, or by the sequence reference in any available repository (Genbank, Addgene, etc); referring as "pHSVTK-Neo (a gift from E.P.) " is not sufficient if the plasmid's sequence cannot be explicitly found by that name. Finally, authors should double-check their text for typos in the names of all constructs and genes (for ex., GAPGH), be sure to use all the titles exactly as they are originally defined (for ex., PX459 instead of pX-459, etc). All these issues, however, are minor and do not distort the main content of the paper.

From the technical point of view, the only question I had is about the final readout for H3K27ac: authors perform nested PCR followed by visualization of the PCR products on the gel. I am wondering this method was chosen and not qPCR (as more quantitative) or ChIP-seq (as more unbiased).

One of my main concerns is about the reproducibility of the findings: authors do not report any biological or technical replicates which makes the results potentially questionable.

Talking about the interpretation of the results, I am not sure how strong can be the conclusion based on only 10 tested sequences, and even more so, only one genomic context. Even with this limited number of tests, some results cannot be easily explained (for example, acquired H3K27ac for sample #5), so in future it would be necessary to expand the panel of both sequences and contexts.

Besides above-mentioned questions (hopefully authors can address at least some of them in the revised version), I think the paper is clearly of scientific interest and adds important piece of knowledge to its field.
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Yes
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We would like to thank the reviewer for the thorough reading of our paper and very useful comments.

Q: From the sequence of T2A oligos they provide, peptide sequence seems to be PSPLPSCLLTCDGEENPGP, not GSGEGRGSLLTCDGEENPGP. The latter one would be present in the original pX459 plasmid, but not in the constructed one.
A: We have to politely disagree with the reviewer on this point. According to https://eu.idtdna.com/calc/analyzer in hetero-dimer mode, the annealed T2A(+)/T2A(-) duplex with minimal dG value looks as follows:

```
AAAGCTCGAGGGCAGTGAGGGCAGAGGAGTCTGCTAACATGCGGTGACGTCGAGGAGAATCCTGGCCACGCTAGCGAAT
```

For a double-stranded DNA synthesized with the T4 polymerase, the (+) strand looks as follows:

```
AAAGCTCGAG
GGCAGTGAGGGCAGAGGAGTCTGCTAACATGCGGTGACGTCGAGGAGAATCCTGGCCACGCTAGCGAAT
```

This sequence contains two restriction sites (XhoI and NheI). The sequence between restriction sites is translated as GSGEGRGSLLTCDGEENPGP in 5'->3' direction, frame 1 (https://web.expasy.org/cgi-bin/translate/dna2aa.cgi).

Q: To my understanding, annealing of T2A(+) and T2A(-) oligos will produce a hybrid with only 18bp double-stranded overlap - for further digestion with NheI, its single-stranded ends need to be built up with some polymerase; I don't see this step in the Methods.
A: We thank the reviewer for pointing out this mistake. The typo in Methods section is corrected and enzyme name is changed from T4 PNK to T4 Polymerase. We modified the Methods section and added the following text:

"T2A peptide coding sequence corresponding to amino acid sequence GSGEGRGSLLTCDGEENPGP was synthesized by hybridization of oligonucleotides T2A(+) and T2A(-) in annealing buffer and consequent treatment of hybridized duplex with T4 polymerase (Thermo Scientific) followed by gel purification."

Q: I don't see XhoI site in the T2A-NeoR fragment, and don't see any other potential site compatible with SalI of HSVtk fragment.
A: The T2A oligonucleotide duplex contains an XhoI site (CTCGAG) in a 5' region AAAGCTCGAG

```
GGCAGTGAGGGCAGAGGAGTCTGCTAACATGCGGTGACGTCGAGGAGAATCCTGGCCACGCTAGCGAAT
```

and a primer HSVtk_R contains a SalI restriction site (GTCGAC) AATTGTCGAC

```
GTTAGCCTCCCCTATCTCC
```

compatible with the XhoI site.
Q: In addition, it is recommended that all the source plasmids used should be properly referred - either by their original publication, or by the sequence reference in any available repository (Genbank, Addgene, etc); referring as "pHSVTK-Neo (a gift from E.P.)" is not sufficient if the plasmid's sequence cannot be explicitly found by that name. Finally, authors should double-check their text for typos in the names of all constructs and genes (for ex., GAPGH), be sure to use all the titles exactly as they are originally defined (for ex., PX459 instead of pX-459, etc). All these issues, however, are minor and do not distort the main content of the paper.

A: We carefully checked all the names of the genes and plasmids as well as the rest of the text for typos. The plasmid name pHSVTK-Neo is internal; this plasmid is referred as pBS246-neo/tk in the following paper: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1317619/. The reference describing a the plasmid pBS246-neo/tk is added to the main text: "HSVtk and NeoR sequences were PCR amplified with Phusion polymerase (Thermo Scientific) from plasmid pBS246-neo/Tk (a gift from E.P.), construction of this plasmid is described elsewhere: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1317619/"

Q: From the technical point of view, the only question I had is about the final readout for H3K27ac: authors perform nested PCR followed by visualization of the PCR products on the gel. I am wondering this method was chosen and not qPCR (as more quantitative) or ChIP-seq (as more unbiased).

A: For a pilot study we decided to focus on making sure that the insertion of the selected fragments into a foreign environment (beta-globin locus) did take place as a proof of principle therefore we have chosen to perform nested PCR. In this regards qPCR will not make the conclusions more reliable. Performing a regular ChIP-seq experiment after the insertion seems like a bit of overkill since histone mark only in one region is expected to be changed. On the other hand a modified version of ChIP-seq experiment with multiple insertions may indeed be more unbiased. Yet, we believe that this much more complicated experimental setup is beyond the scope of the current paper.

Q: One of my main concerns is about the reproducibility of the findings: authors do not report any biological or technical replicates which makes the results potentially questionable.

A: The insertion of 10 target sequences was performed in two independent biological replicates, then every replicate was ChIP'd independently and resulting DNA was pooled before nested PCR step. To avoid any further confusions the corresponding text was added to the Methods:

Section “Construction of a recombination target”
“This step was performed in two independent replicates.” was added to the
Section “Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)”
“Biological replicates of targeted insertion of DNA sequences were processed independently”
Section “Nested PCR”
“the DNA was pooled”

Q: Talking about the interpretation of the results, I am not sure how strong can be the conclusion based on only 10 tested sequences, and even more so, only one genomic context. Even with this limited number of tests, some results cannot be easily explained (for
example, acquired H3K27ac for sample #5), so in future it would be necessary to expand the panel of both sequences and contexts.
A: We agree with the reviewer that some of the results (seq #5) are puzzling although reproducible since we performed all the tests in two biological replicates. At this stage we decided to report the results of the pilot project and focus on the performing a more complex experiment based on ChIP-seq as mentioned above which hopefully will increase the number of tested sequences by the order of magnitude.

Q: Besides above-mentioned questions (hopefully authors can address at least some of them in the revised version), I think the paper is clearly of scientific interest and adds important piece of knowledge to its field.
A: Again, we are grateful to the reviewer for the high evaluation of our work, careful reading of the manuscript and useful comments.
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