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Abstract
Despite advances in bioinformatics, custom scripts remain a source of difficulty, slowing workflow development and hampering reproducibility. Here, we introduce Vectools, a command-line tool-suite to reduce reliance on custom scripts and improve reproducibility by offering a wide range of common easy-to-use functions for table and vector manipulation. Vectools also offers a number of vector related functions to speed up workflow development, such as simple machine learning and common statistics functions.
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Introduction
Although the importance of computational analyses in biological research is increasingly appreciated, many analyses are time consuming to implement and remain complicated, as well as being difficult to reproduce.1 Workflow-managers [e.g., Snakemake] have greatly simplified many aspects needed for reproducibility. However, custom scripts (i.e., software not intended for use by a wider audience) remain a problem. Custom scripts are often needed to further process data generated by high-use programs (i.e., programs intended for a wide user base). At the most basic level, analysis pipelines requiring custom scripts simply take more time to implement as additional code needs to be written. However, writing custom scripts also increases the chance of software bugs, which is concerning as even small bugs have led to retractions, such as mislabeling metadata2 or a sign change3. Furthermore, analyses using custom scripts also hamper reproducibility as the scripts may be publicly unavailable, lack documentation, or does not work on certain operation systems. To reduce the impact of these problems, we introduce Vectools, a command-line tool for working with vectors, matrices, and tables. Vectools reduces the need for custom scripts by offering an easy-to-use command-line tool with a wide range of functionalities for manipulating tables, one of the most commonly used formats in bioinformatics. Further, Vectools incorporates a number of other useful vector-related functions, such as statistics and machine learning. Altogether, Vectools helps to speed up workflow development and improves reproducibility by offering a wide range of useful functions.

Methods
Implementation
Vectools can be run via command-line by simply typing “vectools”, which will print the main help menu. Vectools contains over 45 operations organized by headings. These are analysis, descriptors, manipulation, math, normalization, supervised learning, and unsupervised learning. A list of all headings and functions is available in (Supplementary File 1). To run an operation, simply type “vectools” followed by the operation name. If the “—help” argument is added after an operation name, a help menu with usage instructions and examples will be printed.

Operation
A standard laptop computer with a recent version of Python3 will handle most applications.

Use cases
When manipulating data in tables, Core Utilities (Coreutils) programs (e.g., awk, grep, sed, and join) can be used instead of custom scripts. Using Coreutils helps to solve problems with availability as they are common to Unix-based systems. Here, we compared the usage of Vectools to Coreutils. Methods and output can be found in the archived data. One downside of Coreutils programs is that they can be complex and difficult to understand. For example, joining multiple tables requires a Bash script using Coreutils-join, whereas this can be done with a single line with Vectools (Figure 1A). Furthermore, while common in Unix systems, the behavior of Coreutils programs may differ depending on the operating system. These differences can potentially cause errors or unexpected behavior, such as aggregating Gene Ontology (GO) terms by gene accession numbers with sed (Figure 1B). Instead of aggregating values on MacOS or other Berkeley Software Distribution (BSD) Unix systems, the Coreutils function prints the original input data. These errors can be caused by multiple reasons, such as BSD-sed not interpreting ANSI-C escape sequences (e.g., \n for newline, \t for tab) and differences in how regular expressions are evaluated. These problems can be overcome with Vectools.

Figure 1. Comparison of Vectools and Coreutils. (A) Joining more than two files requires a single command using Vectools. The same operation using Coreutils requires a custom script. (B) Aggregating Gene Ontology terms by gene accession numbers with Coreutils can be done with a single command. The same operation using Coreutils requires a complex regular expression. Further, the regular expression does not work properly on MacOS. (C) Vectools also includes many operations unavailable in Coreutils, such as machine learning. Here, in five commands, we use supervised-learning for homology-independent prediction of enzyme function. Using Vectools we generated a support-vector machine model capable of predicting carbonic anhydrases with an estimated 99% accuracy and predict 15,018 of 1,223,287 uncharacterized proteins as potential carbonic anhydrases. Methods and output can be found in the archived data and analysis pipelines.
with only one line of command. Vectools offers many functions that are currently unavailable in Coreutils, such as basic machine learning. Here, we show a simple example of using a support-vector machine to find potential novel carbonic anhydrases independent of sequence homology (Figure 1C). Carbonic anhydrases were chosen as they have multiple distinct classes, which arose via convergent evolution1. Vectools significantly simplifies a number of steps needed for this task. For example, the “svmtrain” operation handles hyper-parameter tuning via grid search, k-fold testing, and independent set testing. This significantly simplifies implementing machine learning in analysis pipelines.

Discussion
Here, we show that Vectools reduces the need for custom scripts and is simpler to use than Coreutils. While Coreutils is faster and uses less memory, this is a minor issue given the increasing power and decreasing cost of computational resources. Vectools also offers various other functionalities, such as allowing easy incorporation of machine learning into analysis pipelines. Furthermore, Vectools helps to increase reproducibility by making analysis pipelines easier to share and reducing bugs. Users may also be interested in comparison with R. While certainly suited to the same tasks: 1) integrating R into a pipeline requires custom scripts; and 2) the use-cases for R and Vectools are different. R offers a large variety of functions at the cost of package dependency issues. Conversely, Vectools emphasizes ease-of-use by hosting a curated list of common functions. Thus, one common use-case of Vectools when combined with a workflow-manager is to replace work done in spreadsheets. This use-case offers a number of benefits. For example, it is in line with a recent technology feature in Nature, which argues that the concept of reproducibility extends to creating easy-to-update analysis pipelines2. With Vectools, these easy-to-update pipelines will also be easy to share, making valuable tool for bioinformatics research.

Data availability
All data used in the paper are archived in Zenodo3.

Software availability
Source code available from: https://vectools.bitbucket.io/.


License: The software, and data and analysis pipelines are available under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) license.
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This article describes Vectools, a command-line tool that can do various kinds of matrix operations for tsv-like data with simple one-liner programs. Vectools is similar to sed and awk commands in Unix Coreutils but has more functionalities, thereby reducing the cost for implementing custom scripts for daily data analyses. The authors claim this will improve the reproducibility problem in recent bioinformatics studies.

As a general comment, I think Vectools is useful and will be of interest for bioinformaticians who work in practical data analyses. Although I do not feel the tool has a theoretical novelty, its practical usefulness is worth post-publication evaluation by future users.

I have several comments as follows:

1:
   - Vectools is also similar to "groupby" function in Bedtools.
   - Some functionalities of Bedtools groupby are not included in Vectools, and vice versa.
   - The authors should refer to Bedtools, and if any, other command-line tools similar to Vectools.

2:
   - For each analysis in Figure 1, please provide the size of input data (#rows, #columns, #sequences, etc.).
   - Especially, I get the impression that SVM consumes a large memory.
   - Although I partly agree with the authors’ statement that the computational cost is a minor issue, it is still important to provide the information of memory usage along with data size.

3 (minor points):
   - (Top left in page 2) However --> In addition (?)
   - (Top right in page 3) valuable tool --> valuable tools
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Is the rationale for developing the new software tool clearly explained?
Partly

Is the description of the software tool technically sound?
Yes

Are sufficient details of the code, methods and analysis (if applicable) provided to allow replication of the software development and its use by others?
Yes

Is sufficient information provided to allow interpretation of the expected output datasets and any results generated using the tool?
Partly

Are the conclusions about the tool and its performance adequately supported by the findings presented in the article?
Yes
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Author Response 19 Oct 2018
Shizuka Uchida,

We would like to thank the reviewer for valuable comments. The followings are our point-by-point responses:

> Comment #1: I have several comments as follows:
  ● Vectools is also similar to "groupby" function in Bedtools.
  ● Some functionalities of Bedtools groupby are not included in Vectools, and vice versa.
  ● The authors should refer to Bedtools, and if any, other command-line tools similar to Vectools.

> Our response: Thank you very much for raising this point. We now clearly cite Bedtools in the Discussion section. To address the functionality issue, we have implemented two additional operations in Vectools, which are: 1) “mode” for calculating mode/antimode in Vectools; and 2) “colmerge” for combining or splitting columns based on a delimiter. We have also added the “--group” option to relevant operations (e.g., mean, mode, stdev). For cases in which the operation names or functionality do not match exactly, we list the equivalences between Bedtools Groupby and Vectools below:

Groupby - Vectools
count– shape | slice
collapse– aggregate
distinct– unique
count_distinct– unique | sum
sstdev– vrep | stdev
freqasc/ freqdesc– unique | slice | colmerge | aggregate
first/ last– chop

> Comment #2:
  For each analysis in Figure 1, please provide the size of input data (#rows, #columns, #sequences, etc.).
  Especially, I get the impression that SVM consumes a large memory.
  Although I partly agree with the authors' statement that the computational cost is a minor issue, it is still important to provide the information of memory usage along with data size.
> Our response: We have updated the figure by adding the file sizes for the SVM example. The first two examples display the entire file. Thus, we did not add file sizes in those examples. We have updated the figure legend to make this clearer. Further, all data used is assessable in the archived data. We have also updated the figure legend to make this more apparent. Finally, we fixed two typos in the figure.

> Comment #3 (minor points):
  (Top left in page 2) However --> In addition (?)
  (Top right in page 3) valuable tool --> valuable tools
> Our response: Thank you very much for reading our manuscript carefully. We have corrected the above grammatical errors as well as others.
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