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**Abstract**

**Background:** The maintenance of oral health can be achieved mainly by mechanical and chemical means. Among chemical agents, mouthwashes are widely used for personal oral hygiene because of their ability to inhibit dental plaque. The antibacterial effects of essential oils (EOs) and chlorhexidine (CHX) are well documented; however, the reaction of host tissue to these substances has a poor documentation. Until now studies have not examined the effect of EOs with sodium fluoride (EOF) on fibroblast cell lines. The aim of this study was to examine the effect of mouth rinse EOs, EOF and CHX on the apoptosis of fibroblast cell line.

**Methods:** BHK-21 fibroblast cell line was cultured and incubated in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium containing EOs, EOF and CHX mouthwashes with different doses (15% or 25%) and various exposure times. Cell apoptosis was assayed using RT-PCR.

**Results:** EOs, EOF and CHX induce apoptotic effects on fibroblasts in a dose and time dependent manner.

**Conclusion:** CHX is the most cytotoxic mouthwash to fibroblasts as compared to mouthwashes containing EOs and EOF.
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**Introduction**

Fibroblasts are the common cell type in connective tissue and plays a major role in normal function and in pathologic changes of oral tissues. Various antiseptic agents are available in the form of mouthwashes, among them are essential oils (EOs), EOs with sodium fluoride (EOF) and chlorhexidine (CHX). The antibacterial action of these agents had been widely examined.

In fibroblasts, EOs can induce depolarization of the mitochondrial membranes by decreasing the membrane potential, affecting ionic Ca++ cycling. They change the permeability of membranes resulting in liberation of cytochrome, leading to apoptosis. Fluoride inhibits protein synthesis and thus it influences certain signalling pathways included in apoptosis such as the P53 pathway.

CHX inhibits protein and DNA synthesis, resulting in cell apoptosis. Moreover, CHX can damage mitochondrial membranes resulting in leakage of apoptosis-inducing proteins.

Many previous studies have compared the effect of both CHX and EOs mouthwashes on cultured fibroblasts. However, to the best of our knowledge, the combined effect of EOs and EOF hasn’t been studied yet. In this study, we investigated the effect of different doses and different exposure periods of mouthwashes containing EOs, EOF and CHX on a fibroblast cell line.

**Methods**

**Laboratory procedures**

This study was performed on BHK-21 cell line in (Vacsera Co., Egypt). It was cultured according to a previous protocol. Briefly, BHK-21 cells were cultured in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). They were sub-cultured into 96-well plates (ATCC, USA).

Commercial mouthwashes (3 total) were diluted to 15% and 25% using distilled water. Mouthwashes used were composed as follows:

- EO mouthwash: containing thymol (0.0060%), eucalyptol (0.09%), menthol (0.042%) and methyl-salicylate (0.064%) in a 26.9% hydroalcoholic vehicle;
- EOF mouthwash: containing EOs as before and 0.2% sodium fluoride;
- CHX mouthwash: containing 0.12% chlorhexidine hydrochloric acid.

Cells were incubated with mouthwashes for 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 10 minutes in the 96-well plates at 37°C.

**Assessment of apoptosis**

After cell culturing, RNA extraction and quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed as follows:

1. Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen, Germany) and RNA concentration was measured spectrophotometrically using Nanodrop ND-1000.

2. Reverse transcription was performed on 600 ng of total RNA using oligo dT primers and MMLV Reverse Transcriptase in a final volume of 20 mL (Invitrogen, CA, USA) for 5 minutes at 65°C, followed by one hour at 37°C.

3. Samples were subsequently heated for 15 minutes at 70°C to terminate the reverse transcription reaction.

4. Real-time quantitative PCR was performed on the cDNA samples using an Applied Biosystem Real-Time Detection System. Annexin V was the target gene for apoptosis. Primer sequences for Annexin V: sense primer, 5’ CAGTCTAGGTCAGCTGCCG 3’ and antisense primer, 5’ GGTGAAGCAGGACCAGTGTT 3’. The following primer sequences were used for GAPDH (housekeeping gene): sense primer, 5’ ATG GCC TTC CGT GTT CCT AC 3’ and antisense primer, 5’ GCC TGC TTC ACC AC C TTC TT 3’

5. Real-time PCR was conducted by amplifying the cDNA with iQ SYBR Green Universal Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA).

6. Melting curve analysis of amplification products was performed at the end of the PCR reaction to confirm that a single PCR product was detected. For every PCR reaction, GAPDH was used as the internal control.

7. A relative quantification method 2−ΔΔCT method was used. The relative quantitation value of target was normalized to the internal control (GAPDH).

**Statistical methods**

Data was analysed using IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), version 21 (SPSS Inc., IL, USA). Numerical data was described as mean and standard deviation and comparisons between these were performed using ANOVA and a post-hoc Tukey test.

**Results**

**Microscopic examination**

Microscopic examination of fibroblast cell line after application of different mouthwashes at different concentrations and for different time durations is shown in Figure 1.

**Effect of concentration of EOs, EOF and CHX mouthwashes on apoptosis induction in fibroblast cell line**

In all mouthwashes 25% concentration showed a statistically significant increase in apoptosis compared with 15% and the untreated control (Table 1 and Figure 2).

**Effect of duration of application of EOs, EOF and CHX mouthwashes on apoptosis induction in fibroblast cell line**

In the EO mouthwash, values for apoptosis continued to significantly increase after 2, 3, 5 and 10 minutes for the 25% concentration (Table 2 and Figure 3).

In the EOF mouthwash, at 25% concentration, a significant increase in apoptosis values was detected after 5 and 10 minutes (Table 3 and Figure 4).
Figure 1. Microscopic examination of fibroblast BHK-21 cells treated with various mouthwashes at different concentrations and for different durations. From L-R (A) Untreated fibroblast cell line demonstrated confluent growth of elongated cells. Some cells appeared bipolar and some were multipolar. (B–E) Essential oil mouthwash: (B) 15% for 1 minute showed many viable spindle shaped fibroblasts; (C) 15% for 10 minutes showed decreased spindle shaped fibroblasts; (D) 25% for 1 minute showed few viable spindle shaped fibroblasts; (E) 25% for 10 minutes showed obvious cell free areas. (F–I) Sodium fluoride mouthwash: (F) 15% for 1 minute showed many viable spindle shaped fibroblasts and few apoptotic cells; (G) 15% for 10 minutes showed destroyed fibroblasts; (H) 25% for 1 minute showed large cell free areas; (I) 25% for 10 minutes showed more obvious large cell free areas. (J–M) Chlorhexidine mouthwash: (J) 15% for 1 and (K) 10 minutes many viable fibroblasts were detected; (L) 25% for 1 minute showed massive reduction in cell viability, many dead or destroyed fibroblasts surrounded by large cell free areas; (M) 25% for 10 minutes, only few remnants of dead fibroblasts were obvious.

Table 1. Apoptosis induction in BHK-21 fibroblast cell line by EO, EOF and CHX mouthwashes compared to an untreated group (n=3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mouthwash</th>
<th>Untreated</th>
<th>15% mouthwash dilution</th>
<th>25% mouthwash dilution</th>
<th>P-value**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EO</td>
<td>1.01±0.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.34±0.470&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.97±1.90&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOF</td>
<td>1.01±0.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.77±0.710&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.30±1.93&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHX</td>
<td>1.01±0.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.97±0.52&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.3±2.61&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Groups with different letters are statistically significantly different. **ANOVA. EO, essential oil; EOF, sodium fluoride; CHX, chlorhexidine.
Table 2. Effect of duration of application and percentage of an essential oil mouthwash on apoptosis induction in BHK-21 fibroblast cell line.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mouthwash</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>P-value**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.01±1.5a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.15±0.18a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.17±0.21a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.22±0.46a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5±0.502a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.74±0.65a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.01±1.5a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.66±1.28b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.26±1.58b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.99±1.64b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.57±1.65c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.78±2.3c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Groups with different letters are statistically significantly different. **ANOVA

In the CHX mouthwash, at 25% concentration, a significant increase in apoptosis values started after 1 minute and continued to increase by time (Table 4 and Figure 5).

Discussion

The effectiveness of CHX and EOs mouthwashes in controlling the formation of plaque and gingivitis has been demonstrated. However, there are concerns that these products are harmful to oral cells. CHX is toxic, even in low concentrations, for different cell types including fibroblasts in culture. Topical application of CHX can result in its penetration through the epithelial barrier leading to tissue damage. In our study, and increase in concentration for EOs, EOF and CHX mouthwashes resulted...
in an increase in apoptosis. This was also observed by Faria et al., who reported that CHX induced apoptosis of cultured fibroblasts in a concentration dependent manner. Values for apoptosis at 15% and 25% concentrations of EOF were slightly higher than EOs alone in our study, demonstrating the ability of fluoride to enhance apoptosis induction, as previously described.

A significant increase in apoptosis induction was seen at 15% concentration CHX and at 25% concentration EOs and EOF. This suggests that CHX is more effective than EOs and EOF in apoptosis induction. This result was in agreement with Tsourounakis et al., who reported that there was a significant reduction in cell survival that occurred at concentrations of 15% CHX and 25% EOs.

**Figure 3.** Apoptosis induction in BHK-21 fibroblast cell line after application of different concentrations of essential oil containing mouthwash for different time durations (n=3).

| Table 3. Effect of duration of application and percentage of a sodium fluoride mouthwash on apoptosis induction in BHK-21 fibroblast cell line. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mouthwash</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>P-value**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1.01±1.5*</td>
<td>1.19±0.15*</td>
<td>1.24±0.18*</td>
<td>1.42±0.34*</td>
<td>2.13±0.31*</td>
<td>2.74±0.25*</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>1.01±1.5*</td>
<td>4.82±2.06*</td>
<td>4.99±0.95*</td>
<td>5.38±1.36*</td>
<td>6.02±2.7b</td>
<td>7±1.96b</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Groups with different letters are statistically significantly different. **ANOVA
Table 4. Effect of duration of application and percentage of a chlorhexidine mouthwash on apoptosis induction in BHK-21 fibroblast cell line.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mouthwash</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>P-value**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.01±1.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.86±0.71a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.87±0.36a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.94±0.69a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.06±0.25a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.38±0.45a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.01±1.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.34±1.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.6±3.4ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.1±1.96ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.3±0.83a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.4±1.5a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Groups with different letters are statistically significantly different. **ANOVA

The increase in duration of application of EOs, EOF and CHX didn’t significantly increase apoptosis at the low concentration of 15% in the present study. However, at 25% CHX, increase in the duration of treatment significantly enhanced apoptosis, which was significant obvious after 1 minute. This means that CHX is more efficient than EOs and EOF in apoptosis induction at lower concentrations. This was in accordance with Flemingson et al. who stated that the apoptotic effect of CHX on fibroblasts occurs early, after 1 minute exposure, and added that CHX had the maximum cytotoxicity followed by EOs.
Figure 5. Apoptosis induction in BHK-21 fibroblast cell line after application of different concentrations of chlorhexidine containing mouthwash for different time durations (n=3).

Conclusion
CHX mouthwash is the most cytotoxic to fibroblasts compared to EOs and EOF containing mouthwashes. Adding fluoride to EOs in low concentrations didn’t worsen the adverse effects of EOs as shown by the combination mouthwash containing EOs and fluoride.
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