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Abstract
Chikungunya fever is an emerging disease and a significant public health problem in tropical countries. Recently reported outbreaks in Brazil in 2015 drew attention to the need to develop prevention and treatment options, as no antiviral chemotherapy or vaccines are currently available for this disease. Two strategies have been proved to accelerate the discovery of new anti-infectives: phenotypic screening and drug repurposing. Phenotypic screening can support the fast interrogation of compounds without the need for a pre-validated drug target, which is not available for the chikungunya virus (CHIKV) and has the additional advantage of facilitating the discovery of antiviral with novel mechanism of action. Drug repurposing can save time and resources in drug development by enabling secondary uses for drugs that are already approved for human treatment, thus precluding the need for several of the mandatory preclinical and clinical studies necessary for drug approval. A phenotypic screening assay was developed by infecting the human hepatoma Huh-7 cells with CHIKV 181/25 and quantifying infection through indirect immunofluorescence. The compound 6-azauridine was used as a positive control drug. The screening assay was validated by testing a commercial library of 1,280 compounds, including FDA-approved drugs, and used to screen a panel of broad-spectrum antiviral compounds for anti-CHIKV activity. A high content assay was set up in Huh-7 cells-infected with CHIKV. The maximum rate of infection peaked at 48 hours post-infection, after which the host cell number was greatly reduced due to a strong cytopathic effect. Assay robustness was confirmed with Z′-factor values >0.8 and high correlation coefficient between independent runs, demonstrating that the assay is reliable, consistent and reproducible. Among tested compounds, sofosbuvir, an anti-hepatitis C virus drug, exhibited good selectivity against CHIKV with an EC₅₀ of 11 µM, suggesting it is a promising candidate for repurposing.
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Introduction
Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) is an arthropod-borne virus that belongs to the Alphavirus genus of the Togaviridae family. Alphaviruses are positive-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses that can produce severe encephalitis, such as in the infections caused by Ross River virus (RRV), Western- (WEE), Eastern- (EEE) and Venezuelan-equine encephalitis (VEE) virus. Alphaviruses can also be arthritogenic, such as in the case of CHIKV, Mayaro virus (MAYV), and O’nyong’nyong virus (ONNV). CHIKV was responsible for several recent (re)emerging outbreaks in humans. Nowadays, approximately one billion people around the globe, especially in the tropics, are estimated to live in risk areas of CHIKV outbreaks. In the Americas, CHIKV was first detected in 2013, in St. Martin, an island in the Caribbean, and quickly spread to other countries, including Brazil. CHIKV produces an acute disease with high fever, headache, nausea, vomiting and conjunctivitis. Patients also develop severe joint pain, which eventually evolves into an arthritogenic syndrome that can last from weeks to years. Recently, CHIKV infection has also been associated with neurological complications. There are no antiviral drugs or vaccines available for CHIKV, and the supportive care treatment aims at reducing symptoms and include analgesics, anti-inflammatory and antipyretic drugs.

Some anti-CHIKV molecules have been discovered as a result of antiviral screening campaigns, such as a harringtonine, a plant alkaloid that reduced CHIKV replication by interfering with protein translation in vitro; D-N4-hydroxycytidine (NHC), a nucleoside analogue, that inhibits RNA synthesis by targeting replication complex; and barbein, abamectin and ivermectin, which all also reduce viral RNA synthesis. Most assays were based on replicon systems, a classic way to evaluate drugs that interfere with the viral replication phase, but which cannot account for drugs that might inhibit other steps of the viral cycle, such as cell entry or virion assembly and release. Thus, alternative assays that deploy infectious viral particles, such as those that are based on measurement of cellular infection by high-content screening (HCS), enable the investigation of compounds that may interact with different stages of infection and lead to the discovery of new classes of antivirals.

Methods
Cells
Huh-7 hepatocellular carcinoma cells were cultivated in DMEM F-12 medium (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Life Technologies), 100 units/ml Penicillin (GIBCO) and 100 µg/ml Streptomycin (GIBCO) at 37°C, 5% CO₂. The Vero cell line derived from the kidney of an African green monkey were cultivated in DMEM high glucose, and 0.2 µl from each virus dilution was added to infect Vero cells. After 1 hour in 37°C, 5% CO₂, inoculum was removed and cells were washed twice with Dulbecco’s Phosphate-buffer saline (DPBS pH 7.4, Sigma-Aldrich). An overlay was added with High-glucose DMEM (GIBCO), 10% FBS (Life Technologies) and 3.5% carboxymethylcellulose (CMC, Sigma-Aldrich) prepared in distilled water. At 3 days after, the overlay was removed. Then, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) diluted in DPBS and stained with 0.5% crystal violet to enable plaque visualization and counting. Virus titers were expressed as plaque forming units (PFU) per milliliter.

Production of mouse hyperimmune sera (MHS)
Mouse hyperimmune sera was obtained from previously prepared stocks. Briefly, to prepare these stocks mice (Mus musculus) received 4 weekly inoculations of 0.2 ml of brain macerate suspensions from newborn mice infected with CHIKV in PBS, by the intraperitoneal route. At 5 days after the last immunization the animals were anesthetized and underwent intracardiac puncture for blood collection. CHIKV-MHS was obtained from this blood.

Compounds and commercial library
The compounds 6-azauridine (CAS #54251), interferon α2A (CAS #H6041), bafilomycin A1 (CAS # 8899552), chloroquine (CAS #50635), 5-fluorouracil (CAS #51218) and the Library of Pharmacologically Active Compounds (LOPAC), containing 1,280 compounds, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Sofosbuvir and daclatasvir were kindly provided by Microbiológica Química e Farmacêutica (Brazil) and ledispavir was donated by MedChemExpress (USA).

Assay development
Huh-7 cells were seeded in black polystyrene 384-well assay plates (Greiner Bio-One) at 3,000 cells/well in 40 µl DMEM-F12 supplemented with 10% FBS and incubated overnight. Cells were infected with 10 µl of inoculum of CHIKV 181/25 at different multiplicities of infection (MOIs) of 0.5, 0.05 and 0.01. Plates were fixed at different periods of time (36, 48 and 72 hours) and submitted to the immunofluorescence assay (described below) and images are acquired using an InCell Analyzer 2200 (GE Life Sciences).

Primary screening and assay validation
A library stock plate containing the aforementioned compounds at 2 mM in DMSO was used to prepare the intermediate plate by a 16.6-fold dilution in DPBS, to a concentration of 60 µM and 3% DMSO. Then, 10 µl of the intermediate plate content was transferred onto the cell-containing plate. The final concentration of library compounds in the assay plate was 10 µM, with 0.5% DMSO. Controls were placed in lateral columns in all plates. Positive controls were infected cells treated with 50 µM of 6-azauridine as well as non-infected cells treated with vehicle of the University of Texas Medical Branch. The viral stock was propagated in Vero cells. Supernatant of infected tissue cultures was harvested and titrated by plaque assay. Briefly, Vero cells were seeded in 24-well plate and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 24 hours. Virus suspension was diluted 10-fold in DEMEn high glucose, and 0.2 µl from each virus dilution was added to infect Vero cells. After 1 hour in 37°C, 5% CO₂, inoculum was removed and cells were washed twice with Dulbecco’s Phosphate-buffer saline (DPBS pH 7.4, Sigma-Aldrich). An overlay was added with High-glucose DMEM (GIBCO), 10% FBS (Life Technologies) and 3.5% carboxymethylcellulose (CMC, Sigma-Aldrich) prepared in distilled water. At 3 days after, the overlay was removed. Then, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) diluted in DPBS and stained with 0.5% crystal violet to enable plaque visualization and counting. Virus titers were expressed as plaque forming units (PFU) per milliliter.
(0.5% DMSO in DPBS). Negative controls were infected cells treated with vehicle. Cells were infected by 10 µl of CHIKV 181/25 at MOI 0.05. Plates were incubated for 48 h at 37°C, 5% CO₂ under humidified atmosphere, and then fixed with 4% (w/v) PFA for 15 min at room temperature and washed twice with DPBS. Then, plates were incubated with CHIKV-MHS (mouse hyperimmune sera) diluted 1:1500 (v/v) prepared in blocking buffer (DPBS containing 5% FBS) for 30 min. Each plate was washed twice with DPBS, followed by incubation at room temperature for 30 min with the AlexaFlour488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Cat No. A-11001, Thermo-Scientific) diluted 1:2000 (v/v), and 5 µg/ml of 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Sigma-Aldrich) in DPBS. Each plate was washed twice with DPBS. All plates were filled up with 50 µl of PBS/well.

Images were acquired using a confocal microscope High-Content System InCell Analyzer 2200 (GE Life Sciences) and processed by InCell Investigator v.1.6.1 software (GE, USA). Four different images were acquired from each well at x20 magnification. Automated image analysis was performed cell-by-cell through a defined mask based on fluorescence signal measurement and cell morphology. Cell segmentation parameters defined the analysis performed by the Investigator software. The nuclei were segmented from the DAPI staining images and each nucleus was determined as a minimum area of 50 µM. Total cells were filtered from the AlexaFlour488 channel and were defined as minimum area of 100 µM. The mean AlexaFlour488 fluorescence signal of infected cells were defined from the cytoplasm mask, with values based on means signal from fluorescence of wells from infected cells showing a six-times higher value compared to the mean of Alexa488 fluorescence signal of non-infected cells. Images were treated using image analysis program ImageJ v1.51 to set up colors and merge image channels to final visualization. The validation of screening was conducted in two independent experiment.

Data normalization
Infection ratio (IR) was defined as the ratio between (i) the total number of infected cells, and (ii) the total number of cells. Data were normalized with the negative (DMSO-treated, infected cells) and positive (infected cells treated with 50 µM 6-azauridine) controls. Normalized activity was calculated as described by Pascoalino et al. Cell survival was expressed as the percentage of the total cell number from test sample divided by the average total cell number from the positive control wells: Cell number test sample/Avg. cell number of positive control) x 100. Normalized activity and cell survival values were processed with the GraphPad Prism software version 7. Representative graphs of sample distribution were obtained by plot data at TIBCO Spotfire 7.0 software. Plates were also submitted to quality control measurement of Z’-factor as described by Zhang et al.

Dose-response assay
For dose-response curves, drugs were prepared as described. The initial test concentrations were 100 nM for IFN-α2A, 50 nM for bafilomycin, 120 µM for chloroquine and mycophenolic acid, and 100 µM for sofosbuvir, daclatasvir, ledipasvir and 5-fluorouracil. Dose response assay were calculated based on percentage of normalized activity and cells survival for each concentration tested. Data were plotted with GraphPad Prism software version 7. The sigmodal dose-response curve (variable slope) function were used to calculate the effective concentration that inhibited 50% of infection (EC₅₀), and the concentration of compound that presented a 50% reduction in cell number in comparison to the controls (CC₅₀). The ratio between CC₅₀ and EC₅₀ determines the selective index (SI).

Statistical analysis
Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Sidak’s test, a multiple comparison test, was conducted to calculate statistical significance (P < 0.05) of cell numbers from non-infected and infected CHIKV 181/25 at different multiplicity of infection and incubation time experiment. The coefficient of determination (R²) test were using to determine statically coefficient of variation between screening replicates from normalize activity data. All data were plotted using GraphPad Prism Software version 7.

Results

Assay development
A high-content screening assay was developed to evaluate compounds activity against CHIKV infection in vitro. The first step consisted on defining the cell model to support viral infection. A range of cell lines has been reported as being susceptible to CHIKV infection, such as Vero, human fetal lung fibroblast (MRC-5), baby hamster kidney (BHK), human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK-239T) and Huh-7. The Huh-7 cell line was selected as it has desirable features for high content imaging, such as adherent monolayer growth, and is human cell line, meaning it is a more representative in vitro model than would be cells of another species. The second step was determining the optimal multiplicity of infection (MOI) and the necessary period of time for the efficient viral infection in 384-well plates. Cells were infected at three different MOI (0.5, 0.05 and 0.01) and incubated for different periods of time (36, 48 and 72 hours). The total cell number and the IR were determined. When cells were plated and infected concomitantly, even the lowest MOI tested showed high cytopathic effect (data not shown). Thus, cells were plated 24 h before infection (Figure 1A). For the highest MOI, CHIKV infection decreased cell number by 70% at 48 hours and by almost 100% at 72 hours compared with non-infected cells. There was no significant difference in cell number between non-infected cells and infected cells for both 0.05 and 0.01 MOIs at 36 hours. Compared to non-infected cells, a decrease in cell number by 42% and 22% at MOIs 0.05 and 0.01, respectively, was observed at 48 hours (Figure 1A). After 36 hours of incubation, the IR showed an association with the MOI: 0.95±0.04 (MOI 0.5), 0.40±0.19 (MOI 0.05) and 0.12±0.20 (MOI 0.01) (Figure 1A), demonstrating that the assay endpoint was within the dynamic range of the infection. For 48 hours of incubation, the IR reached 0.99 for all MOIs, but the lowest MOI gave high variation in IR between replicate wells. Therefore, with the aim of testing drugs, a 0.05 MOI at 48 hours of incubation was selected for further experiments to achieve the longer time of exposure to drug treatment possible under these conditions, a high ratio of infection with minor variability,
Figure 1. Development of CHIKV high content assay. (A) Huh-7 cells were infected with different MOIs (0.5, 0.05 and 0.01) of CHIKV. The cell number and the infection ratio (defined as the ratio between total cell number and number of infected cells per sample), were evaluated after 36, 48 and 72 hours of infection. Error bars represent the standard deviation of 48 wells. Quantification of total cell number was comparable between non-infected and CHIKV 181/25-infected cells (p < 0.05). (B) General scheme of CHIKV high content assay. On day 1, Huh-7 cells were plated onto 384-well plates at 3000 cells/well. Then, after 24 hours (day 2), compounds were added, followed by addition of virus diluted at MOI 0.05. The plates were incubated for 48 hours up to immunofluorescence assay and high content analysis on day 4.

Assay validation

To validate the assay, high-content screening was run using a commercial library of compounds. Cell infection was determined by indirect CHIKV immunofluorescence detection. Figure 2A shows a raw image and software segmentation analysis of the same image. The 6-azauridine compound was previously reported to have activity against CHIKV20, and was chosen as the reference compound in this assay. The activity of 6-azauridine was assessed using a dose-response curve (Figure 2B). The EC50 of 0.65 µM 6-azauridine and EC100 of 50 µM 6-azauridine were determined against CHIKV. In order to validate the assay reproducibility and robustness, a commercial library composed of 1,280 compounds was tested at a single concentration (10 µM). A good window between positive and negative controls was observed.
As a result, the mean for all plates Z’-factor values were 0.86±0.09, indicating that the established assay is reliable. Additionally, there was a high correlation coefficient between runs (Coefficient of determination $R^2$: 0.86), which was determined using normalized activity of each single well between the first (R1) and the second (R2) screens, including compounds and controls (Figure 2D).

**Evaluation of known antivirals against CHIKV**

A set of compounds with known antiviral activity were evaluated against CHIKV. A total of 9 compounds were tested in dose-response curves. Figure 3 lists the name, molecular structures and dose-response curve plots for all compounds. Interferon α2A (IFN-α2A) and mycophenolic acid have reported activity against CHIKV. The HCS assay confirmed their reported activity, demonstrated by EC$_{50}$ values of 0.7 nM and 0.8 µM and high SI of >14 and 8.25, for IFN-α2A and mycophenolic acid, respectively. In order to evaluate compounds with previously reported activity against CHIKV, bafilomycin A1 and chloroquine were tested, giving an EC$_{50}$ of 0.01 µM and 21 µM, respectively; however, they were cytotoxic in Huh-7 cells, with low SI values (5 and 3, respectively). The antiviral activity of daclatasvir, an anti-hepatitis C virus (HCV) drug, against CHIKV was associated with high cytotoxicity, and it had a low SI value of 1.3. Ledipasvir, also an anti-HCV compound, and 5-fluorouracil, which has reported activity against ZIKV, did not present anti-CHIKV activity in the HCS assay. Sofosbuvir is an FDA-approved compound against HCV, and has been recently described as an active compound against other flaviviruses, including dengue and Zika. Sofosbuvir demonstrated dose-dependent activity against CHIKV (EC$_{50}$ 11 µM) with no cytotoxicity in Huh-7 cells. Representative images of sofosbuvir activity, alongside 6-azauridine, are displayed in Figure 4.

**Dataset 1. All raw data from the present study**

https://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.16498.d221905

Raw data are separated according to the figure in which they are presented; a guide to the data is available as a .docx file.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Dose Response Curve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interferon α2A</td>
<td>Protein</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Dose Response Curve" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sofosbuvir</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Dose Response Curve" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daclatasvir</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Dose Response Curve" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ledispavir</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Dose Response Curve" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bafilomycin A1</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Dose Response Curve" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Dose Response Curve" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-fluorouracil</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Dose Response Curve" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycophenolic Acid</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Dose Response Curve" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3. Dose response and molecular-structure of antiviral drug.** Dose response curves of interferon α2A, sofosbuvir, daclatasvir, ledispavir, bafilomycin A1, chloroquine, 5-fluorouracil and mycophenolic acid as anti-CHIKV activity (black) or the effect on Huh-7 survival (Red). Values of EC$_{50}$ means effective concentration of 50% infection inhibition, and SI means selective index (SI) based on CC$_{50}$ concentration of compounds of 50% cytotoxicity (not showed). ND, non-determined values.
Discussion

Currently, most assays available for drug screening against CHIKV are based on cell viability methodologies, which evaluate the compounds capacity to prevent cell lysis\textsuperscript{27-30}. Such approaches have the advantage of being of lower in cost and higher-throughput than image-based phenotypic assays. However, background noise interference in quality and usage of counter-screening assays to assess compound cytotoxicity and support conclusions should be considered. Conversely, HCS assays provide multi-parametric evaluation of both viral infection and cytotoxicity in same assay\textsuperscript{28,31}. Therefore, in the present study we propose the development of a reproducible, phenotypic HCS for CHIKV, in order to trial drugs with antiviral activity.

Different approaches have been described to assess drugs in a high-throughput screening (HTS) format against CHIKV, including measurement of cell viability using a resazurin assay\textsuperscript{27}, replicon-based assay using a Renilla luciferase reporter\textsuperscript{30,31,32,33}, which targets only replication-process-interfering compounds, or a HCS assay using BHK cells\textsuperscript{9}. In this study, we opted for the Huh-7 cell line as this has been used for HCS for antiviral discovery by our group and others for hepatitis C\textsuperscript{34}, dengue\textsuperscript{13} and Zika\textsuperscript{12,35}. Moreover, it is reported that Huh-7 cells are permissive to CHIKV infection. The CHIKV viral cycle usually happens in a short period of time, between 8 and 16 hours, following high cytopathic effect\textsuperscript{36}. In this manner, we opted to use a relatively low MOI (0.05) to prevent high cell lysis (Figure 1A), and it can be expected that multiple infection cycles happen during the assay duration (48 h). Thus, all potential targets during the whole viral cycle can be exposed to the compounds. The developed assay also proved to be robust and reproducible.

IFN-2α, bafilomycin A1, chloroquine and mycophenolic acid had all been previously reported as active against CHIKV in vitro\textsuperscript{21,22,27}, and their antiviral activity was confirmed under the conditions used in this study. However, bafilomycin A1 and chloroquine were cytotoxic, resulting in low SIs (<5). Bafilomycin A1, an inhibitor of mammalian vacuolar-type H(+)-ATPase, prevents the acidification of the endosomal compartment, where the low pH allows the fusion of viral capsid followed by the entrance in the cytoplasm, thus preventing a crucial early step of the CHIKV virus cycle\textsuperscript{38}. The same inhibition mechanism was observed in vitro during for the infection of sindbis virus, a prototype alphavirus\textsuperscript{39}. Previous studies have reported the cytotoxicity of bafilomycin A1 in HEK123 cells\textsuperscript{21}, as was also observed here in Huh-7 (Figure 3). The activity of chloroquine, an antimalarial compound, was extensively investigated against CHIKV, although studies in vivo with infected mice showed inefficient activity\textsuperscript{22,40}. In addition, clinical trials comparing double-blinded placebo groups and patients with CHIKV infection group did not present convincing data regarding chloroquine treatment efficacy\textsuperscript{41}. Studies in CHIKV-infected Vero cells suggested that chloroquine exerts antiviral activity by preventing CHIKV internalization. The chloroquine EC\textsubscript{50} values observed in this study (21 µM) in Huh-7-infected cells are in accordance with values previously reported for Vero cells (17 µM)\textsuperscript{22}. However, the cytotoxicity of chloroquine seems to vary depending on the cell type or assay conditions, as chloroquine showed greater cytotoxicity in Huh-7 cells (with values of CC\textsubscript{50} >100 µM)\textsuperscript{22} than Vero cells (CC\textsubscript{50} = 56 µM)\textsuperscript{22}. Mycophenolic acid inhibits inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPDH), an essential enzyme in de novo biosynthesis of guanine, and has been reported to have antiviral activity for both single strand RNA negative and positive viruses, for instance, against influenza virus\textsuperscript{42}.

**Figure 4. Sofosbuvir activity against CHIKV.** Panel of representative images. First line of images shows nuclei staining with DAPI. Second line of images shows immunofluorescence against CHIKV (AlexaFluor488). Third line of images is the merge between the lines one and two. First column displays non-infected cells, followed by infected cells treated with 0.5% DMSO, 50 µM 6-azauridine, 12.5 µM sofosbuvir and 20 µM sofosbuvir.
dengue virus\textsuperscript{31}, and the alphavirus VEEV\textsuperscript{34}. CHIKV activity was previously reported to have EC\textsubscript{50} values of 0.1 µM in Vero cells\textsuperscript{17}, and here was observed in Huh-7 EC\textsubscript{50} of 0.8 µM, confirming values in similar levels (Figure 3) albeit with lower selectivity. Nevertheless, a human cell model, such as the Huh-7 cell line, should be preferred to screen antiviral candidates to promote a more representative values of activity and cytotoxicity, which may diverge when compared to non-human cells lines\textsuperscript{15}.

Sofosbuvir, 5-fluorouracil, daclatasvir and ledipasvir are all FDA-approved drugs with reported activity against flaviviruses. The nucleoside analog 5-fluorouracil is used to treat neoplastic disease\textsuperscript{46}, and we have recently shown its antiviral activity \textit{in vitro} against ZIKV infection\textsuperscript{15}. However, 5-fluorouracil presented no activity against CHIKV in our assay, suggesting selectivity for flaviviruses. Comparable results were obtained for ledipasvir, which did not show inhibition against CHIKV, even at the highest concentration tested. Ledipasvir, daclatasvir and sofosbuvir are direct-acting antiviral agents and have been successfully used to treat HCV-infected subjects. Those compounds target NS5A and NS5B, two HCV non-structural proteins\textsuperscript{37}. NS5A presents three domains, which are responsible for genome replication, virus assembly through production of infection virus particles, and regulation of viral genome replication, from direct interaction of NS5A domain II with NS5B. NS5B is an RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase (RdRp) that directs the RNA synthesis in the HCV replication cycle\textsuperscript{48}. Ledipasvir and daclatasvir are NS5A inhibitors, while sofosbuvir, an uridine nucleoside analog that targets NS5B that is usually administered in combination with daclatasvir\textsuperscript{37}. Besides HCV, recent studies have demonstrated that sofosbuvir can inhibit infection by other flavivirus \textit{in vitro} and in mice\textsuperscript{32,35}. Our results demonstrated sofosbuvir elicited a concentration-dependent inhibition of CHIKV infection (Figure 3 and Figure 4), suggesting that this drug might have a broader antiviral spectrum than previously known.

Differently from HCV, which the genome organization consisted in five non-structural proteins (NS2, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5A\textsuperscript{37}), CHIKV possess four non-structural protein (nsP1, nsP2, nsP3 and nsP4), being the RdRp domain localized at nsP4. Alignment sequence of RdRp has demonstrated highly conserved regions between CHIKV and other flaviviruses. More specifically, the motif B region, which is a functional domain of viral RdRp coding region, and the R1 motif, which has a role in nucleoside triphosphate binding during viral RNA synthesis, are highly conserved. Besides, CHIKV RdRp forms similar structures to the RdRp of other RNA viruses\textsuperscript{37}. The search for direct-target compounds against CHIKV have focused on nsP2, due to its multifunctioning domains, which acts as helicases to form RNA secondary structures, as triphosphates responsible for RNA capping enzyme and removing terminal phosphate from new RNA template, and as proteases responsible for processing non-structural polyproteins\textsuperscript{32}. In addition, its well-known structure makes nsP2 a suitable target for drug design\textsuperscript{15}. However, few studies have focused on the search for compounds that target RdRp for CHIKV\textsuperscript{43,55}. A compound that targets RdRp would be attractive, as RdRp acts on a viral process, is essential for replication of the viral genome and does not affect host cells\textsuperscript{35}.

In conclusion, the phenotypic high content analysis established herein revealed that sofosbuvir is a promising candidate for use against CHIKV infection. Further studies should be performed in order to elucidate the exact mechanism related to CHIKV RdRp inhibition by sofosbuvir.
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The manuscript entitled “Evaluation of broad-spectrum antiviral compounds against chikungunya infection using a phenotypic screening strategy” by Bonotto and colleagues evaluated an image-based phenotypic assay for high-throughput screening of anti-CHIKV compounds. The screening assay was validated by testing a commercial library of 1,280 compounds, including FDA-approved drugs. The research topic is interesting and has potential significance. However, there are major gaps in the depth of the information reported in this manuscript that make publication of the findings in its current form problematic. Moreover, results in this manuscript are poorly presented and inadequate information is provided.

In this manuscript, the screening assay was validated by testing a commercial library of 1,280 compounds. Where is the data on these compounds? Any anti-CHIKV activity by new compound? Not sure why these compounds were screened.

It is not clear what are the advantages of using this image-based assay over other established assays for drug screening. IFA is expensive and time-consuming. Discussion is more about efficacy of anti-viral compounds tested rather than use of IFA-based screening strategy.

The anti-CHIKV activity of Sofosbuvir must be validated by using another gold-standard assay such as plaque-assay.

Is the rationale for developing the new method (or application) clearly explained?
Partly

Is the description of the method technically sound?
Partly

Are sufficient details provided to allow replication of the method development and its use by others?
Partly

If any results are presented, are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
Yes
Are the conclusions about the method and its performance adequately supported by the findings presented in the article?  
Partly

*Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

---

Rana Abdelnabi  
Department of Microbiology and Immunology, KU Leuven - University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

The article describe the optimization of a high throughput screening assay for CHIKV compounds and its validation using a commercial library of 12800 compounds. The assay was developed in Huh7 cells and the endpoint was detected using indirect immunofluorescence staining with CHIKV specific antibodies. The author showed that the assay is working and they confirmed that using a couple of known CHIKV inhibitors. However, there are some concerns regarding the used assay:

1. The readout of this assay depends on IFAs which: i) require several steps of washing, fixation and staining and ii) are expensive compared to the ordinary colorimetric methods (e.g. MTS/PMS) because of the use of AlexaFlour antibody. Therefore, the use of this assay for high throughput screening would be time and money consuming. It will be more beneficial and technically sound to optimize high throughput assays using reporter CHIKV. This will eliminate the need for IFAs, which is the main drawback of this assay.  
2. It is not addressed clearly in the manuscript what is the added value of the developed assay over the already established CHIKV assays.  
3. Furthermore, the authors did not mention whether they found any new hits in the screened library (12800 compounds) using their developed assay. Were all the tested compounds inactive or there are some new hits? This part needs clarification.

**Is the rationale for developing the new method (or application) clearly explained?**  
Partly

**Is the description of the method technically sound?**  
No

**Are sufficient details provided to allow replication of the method development and its use by others?**  
Yes

**If any results are presented, are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?**  
No source data required
Are the conclusions about the method and its performance adequately supported by the findings presented in the article?
No

*Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to state that I do not consider it to be of an acceptable scientific standard, for reasons outlined above.

---
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