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Abstract
One of the factors causing rice production disturbance in Indonesia is the lack of knowledge of farmers on early symptoms of rice plant diseases. These diseases are increasingly rampant because of the lack of experts. This study aimed to overcome this problem by providing an Expert System that helps farmers to make early diagnosis of rice plant diseases.

Data of rice plant pests and diseases in 2016 were taken from Samarinda, East Kalimantan, Indonesia using an in-depth survey, and rice experts from the Department of Food Crops and Horticulture of East Kalimantan Province were recruited for the project. The Expert System for Rice Plant Disease Diagnosis, ESforRPD2, was developed based on the pest and disease experiences of the rice experts, and uses a Waterfall Paradigm and Unified Modelling Language. This Expert System can detect 48 symptoms and 8 types of diseases of rice plants from 16 data tests with an accuracy of 87.5%.

ESforRPD2 is available in Indonesian at: http://esforrpd2.blog.unmul.ac.id
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Introduction
Correct diagnosis of symptoms in rice plant diseases, caused by bacteria, nematodes, fungi, phytoplasmal and viruses, is very critical in supporting the productivity of rice plants. However, many regions in Indonesia have a huge problem because of a limited number of rice plant pathologists. The large plantation area of rice plants is also a problem due to logistical issues when visiting these sites, leading to difficulty obtaining disease evidence.

Along with other rapid technological developments, a technology known as Expert System (ES) has been developed to solve health, education, and business, including agriculture, problems. ES is usually designed for a specific condition, i.e. variables of climate in cases of agriculture. This article proposes a new software based on ES for the diagnosis of disease in rice plants in the Samarinda region, Indonesia. Waterfall Paradigm applied in designing this ES. The prototype, Expert System for Rice Plant Disease Diagnosis (ESforRPD2) is available at: http://esforrpd2.blog.unmul.ac.id.

Methods
Data collection and ES development
The ES of rice plant disease diagnosis was designed to help farmers and agricultural officials to diagnose rice plant diseases occurring in the Samarinda region, East Kalimantan province, Indonesia. Rice plant experts were recruited from the Seed Technology Development Division at the Department of Food Crops and Horticulture of East Kalimantan Province and from the Department of Agro-eco-technology of Agricultural Faculty of Mulawarman University (one expert from each). The experts were the primary source for information on rice plant symptoms and diseases. The two rice plant experts have experience in diagnosing rice plant disease in the region of East Kalimantan Province for 20 years. Symptoms, diseases and their relationships (and their ranked importance) were derived from the experts by questionnaire (Supplementary File 1). This information was then used to construct the knowledge base for building the ES software.

The ES software was developed using the Waterfall paradigm as recommended by Sommerville using five stages, i.e. (i) planning and requirement, (ii) analysis and software design, (iii) implementation and unit testing, (iv) integration and (v) system testing and operation and maintenance. ES architecture consists of three parts, namely the user interface, the inference engine and the knowledge base as proposed by Lucas and van der Gaag. The user interface is used as a consulting interface in order to obtain knowledge and advice from the ES, which would be like consulting an expert. In this ES, the inference engine works as a consultation system in processing input data to build a diagnosis based on the knowledge base developed.

Implementation
The implementation of the ESforRPD2 application is based on Unified Modelling Language (Figure 1) as proposed by Sommerville, which consists of use case diagrams, activity diagrams, and class diagrams.

We constructed two types of “Use case diagram”, namely “Use case for user” consisting of four cases (Article, Consulting, Choose Symptoms and Consulting Result); and “Use case for expert” consisting of three cases (Symptoms, Diseases, and Relation). The use case describes the functions of the ES interacting with user and expert. The activity diagram illustrates the flow of various activities being designed in the ES, i.e. how

Figure 1. a. Use case diagram of user. b. Use case diagram of expert.
the flow starts, the decision that might occur, and the flow end. The activity diagram also describes parallel processes that might occur in some executions. In this ES, we build four data stores (Expert, Symptoms, Relation, and Diagnosis) in the class diagram. The ESforRPD2 application uses four datasets, namely disease- and symptoms-data, knowledge base, and symptoms-disease-weight relationships table (Dataset 2). The construction of decision trees and forward-chaining tracing for diagnosing of rice plant diseases in the ES is shown in Figure 2.

ESforRPD2 is the first version of ES (only in Indonesian) to make it user-friendly for Indonesian users. Users use a consultation page to choose the symptoms of the rice plant. The ES performs the calculation process to obtain the trust level using the Dempster-Shafer method\textsuperscript{18}. The user page (Figure 3a) is the main web page for users without logging in. In the user page, there is also a home menu that displays articles about ES, rice plant diseases, and the Dempster-Shafer method. The consultation page starts the user consultation about the disease of rice plants (Figure 3b). The ES will provide an output as a display showing the symptoms, diagnosis of disease and the confidence level (Figure 3c).

**Operation**

The ESforRPD2 application is developed using CPU with specifications of Intel Core i3, 4GB RAM, and 300GB HDD. The same specification of CPU is needed to operate this application.
Figure 1d. Class diagram of ESforRPD2 system application.

**Uses case**
The ESforRPD2 application was tested applying symptom-data inputs by clicking the symptoms selected (Figure 5b). In a single test using the case of four symptom-data inputs selected, namely (i) Spots on leaf midrib, (ii) Little spots are dark brown or slightly purple rounded shape, (iii) Spots on oval-shaped leaves and evenly distributed on the leaf surface, (iv) The size of spots is 2–10 mm long and 1 mm wide, a display of diagnosis page (Figure 3c) will appear following clicking of the “submit diagnose” button. The diagnosis page shows the confidence level. In this case test, the ES gave the accuracy of disease type detection of 91%.

16 tests in row were conducted using randomly selected symptoms by user in the ES. The results were approved by the two experts. In total, 14 diagnosis (87.5%) of the 16 results showed by the ES were justified by the two experts (Table 1).

**Discussion**
The ESforRPD2 application is showing good reliability. By applying 16 tests, the ESforRPD2 showed a level of performance of 87.5% (Table 1) following justification to two rice plants diseases experts. The performance of the ESforRPD2 during validation was the expected high-performance level of plant diseases diagnosis by the expert system. This performance is much higher than the performance of ES for Chili pepper pest diagnosis invented by Agus et al.16. However other Expert System could show excellent performance of 98.38%19, this evidence advice that the performance of ESforRPD2 could be improved in the next study.

Currently, ESforRPD2 has only been tested with data from the Samarinda region. In a future study, we will use data from other regions of East Kalimantan, which have the same climate (tropical rainforest) and soil character as the Samarinda region.
Figure 2. Decision tree and forward chaining tracing.
Figure 3a. User main page.

Figure 3b. Consultation page.
Figure 3c. Diagnosis results page.

Table 1. System testing with expert justification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No</th>
<th>Experts Justification (English/Indonesian)</th>
<th>Results Diagnosis of ESforRPD2 (English/Indonesian)</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Blast/Blas</td>
<td>Blas/Blas</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Brown Spot (Bercak Coklat)</td>
<td>Brown Spot (Bercak Coklat)</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Narrow Brown Spot (Bercak Coklat Sempit)</td>
<td>Narrow Brown Spot (Bercak Coklat Sempit)</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sheath Bligh (Hawar Pelepah)</td>
<td>Sheath Bligh (Hawar Pelepah)</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>False Smut (Noda Palsu/Gosong Palsu)</td>
<td>False Smut (Noda Palsu/Gosong Palsu)</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Grassy Stunt (Kerdil Rumput)</td>
<td>Grassy Stunt (Kerdil Rumput)</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Bacterial leaf blight (BLB-Kresek Hawar Daun)</td>
<td>Bacterial leaf blight (BLB-Kresek Hawar Daun)</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tungro (Tungro)</td>
<td>Tungro (Tungro)</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Blast (Blas)</td>
<td>Blast (Blas)</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Brown Spot (Bercak Coklat)</td>
<td>Brown Spot (Bercak Coklat)</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Narrow Brown Spot (Bercak Coklat Sempit)</td>
<td>Narrow Brown Spot (Bercak Coklat Sempit)</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Sheath Bligh (Hawar Pelepah)</td>
<td>Blast/Blas</td>
<td>Unsuitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>False Smut (Noda Palsu/Gosong Palsu)</td>
<td>False Smut (Noda Palsu/Gosong Palsu)</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Grassy Stunt (Kerdil Rumput)</td>
<td>Grassy Stunt (Kerdil Rumput)</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Bacterial leaf blight (BLB-Kresek Hawar Daun)</td>
<td>Bacterial leaf blight (BLB-Kresek Hawar Daun)</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Tungro (Tungro)</td>
<td>Tungro (Tungro)</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In addition, we will test data from other regions in Indonesia, which have a different climate. Newbery et al.21 showed that different climate conditions affect symptoms of arable crop disease; therefore, the ESforRPD2 will need continuous evaluation because climate change effects21.

Consent
Written informed consent was obtained from the two experts for participation in the study.

Software availability
Software application is available from: http://esforrpd2.blog.unmul.ac.id.
Source code: https://github.com/fahrulagus/paper.
Archived source code as at time of publication: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.149064122
License: GNU GPL v3.0
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The author applied Expert System (ES) for rice plant disease and diagnosis; the background information and introduction is sufficient and well organized. The entire manuscript is also presented well. However, the author used the word “accuracy” which is not quite a scientific term. If “accuracy” is defined as sensitivity of the method, how about the specificity of the method? If a method has low specificity, it may not be able to solve the problem from false positive. For other comments, please see below:

- Page 1: Change “is the lack of knowledge of farmers on early symptoms...” to “is that farmers lack of knowledge of early symptoms...”.

- Page 1: “accuracy of 87.5%” accuracy is not a scientific terminology, do you refer to sensitivity or specificity?

- Page 3: change “… education, and business, including agriculture, problems” to “…education, business, and agriculture problems.”

- Page 3: please change to “Waterfall Paradigm was applied in designing this ES.”

- Page 5: “In this case test, the ES gave the accuracy of disease type detection of 91%”. Do you refer to sensitivity? Please also try to apply this comment to the other “accuracy” you mentioned in the manuscript.

**Is the rationale for developing the new software tool clearly explained?**
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replication of the software development and its use by others?
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Is sufficient information provided to allow interpretation of the expected output datasets and any results generated using the tool?
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Are the conclusions about the tool and its performance adequately supported by the findings presented in the article?
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