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Abstract

Background: Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) causes less postoperative pain than thoracotomy; however, adequate analgesia remains vital. As part of a multi-modal postoperative analgesia, a continuous surgeon-placed extrapleural block catheter is an option. The aim of this retrospective study was to evaluate the analgesic efficacy of a continuous extrapleural block as part of a multimodal analgesic regimen after VATS in general, and VATS lobectomy and wedge resection in particular.

Methods: Case records for patients having undergone VATS surgery and been provided a multi-level continuous extrapleural block with an elastomeric pump infusing levobupivacaine 2.7 mg/ml at a rate of 5 ml/h during 2015 and 2016 were reviewed. Pain (Numeric Rating Scale) at rest and mobilisation as well as opioid requirement (daily, postoperative days 0-3, as well as accumulated) were analysed.

Results: In all, 454 records were reviewed: 150 wedge resections, 264 lobectomies and 40 miscellaneous cases. At rest, pain was mild median NRS rated 3-3-1-1 for postoperative day (POD) 0 to 3, during movement, pain was rated moderate during POD 0 and 1 and mild the remaining days (median NRS 4-4-3-3 for POD 0-3). The proportion of patients exhibiting mild pain at rest increased from 55% on POD 0 to 81 % on POD 3. The percentage of patients experiencing severe pain at rest decreased from 15% to 6%. Median oxycodone consumption was 10 mg per day for POD 1-3. Pain after VATS wedge resection was significantly lower at POD 1 and 3 compared to pain after VATS lobectomy.

Conclusion: We found a continuous surgeon-placed extrapleural catheter block to be a valuable and seemingly safe addition to our multimodal procedure
specific analgesia after VATS. Whether the efficacy of the block can be improved by increasing local anaesthetic and/or adding adjuncts warrants further investigation.
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Introduction

Minimally invasive thoracic surgery by means of video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) has been shown to cause less postoperative pain than thoracotomy. Even though it is not as painful, VATS still requires adequate postoperative analgesia. As part of a multimodal analgesic regimen, different techniques using local anaesthetics can be used to block pain impulses from the surgical area. Epidural, paravertebral and intercostal blocks are all possible modalities. Compared to epidural block, using continuous extrapleural, paravertebral or intercostal block is thought to offer a more limited thoracic block, with possibly fewer side-effects.

For thoracotomy, several prior studies, including a Cochrane systematic review from 2016, all could not provide any firm recommendation. No firm conclusion could be drawn assessing available evidence around thoracic epidural, multilevel and single paravertebral, paravertebral catheter, intercostal catheter, interpleural infusion and long thoracic nerve block. The most recent study comparing epidural and percutaneous paravertebral block by Kosinski et al. showed that the paravertebral continuous block technique was a feasible and safe alternative to epidural analgesia. Hutchins et al. found ultrasound-guided continuous paravertebral catheter to provide prolonged pain control and superior patient satisfaction compared with single-shot intercostal block after VATS.

In 2014, continuous extrapleural block after VATS was implemented as a standard technique for pain management following VATS surgery at Karolinska University Hospital. The aim of the study was to assess the quality of pain treatment after VATS with the routine use of a multi-level continuous extrapleural block as part of a multimodal analgesic strategy.

Methods

Study background

This is a retrospective patient chart study. The study protocol was approved by the regional Human Research Ethics Committee, Stockholm, Sweden (Dnr. 2017/500-31). The need for informed consent was waived by the Ethics Committee. All patients who underwent VATS and received a continuous extrapleural block were included. Records for patients who received an extrapleural block between January 2015 and December 2016 were reviewed. Patient demographics, type of surgery, occurrence of post-operative nausea and vomiting (PONV) or other adverse events, and the postoperative pain course were reviewed and compiled. Data on other analgesics including opioids were also collected. If needed, opioid dose was converted to oral oxycodone equivalents (10 mg oral morphine considered equivalent to 5 mg oral oxycodone).

Extrapleural catheter

During surgery, a multiply perforated 19-cm extrapleural catheter was inserted by the surgeon, under thorascopic control, in a posterolateral position parallel to the spine, thereby covering multiple intercostal spaces. An initial bolus of 75 mg levobupivacaine was followed by an additional bolus of mepivacaine 100 mg at the end of surgery. An elastomeric pump infusing levobupivacaine 2.7 mg/ml at a rate of 5 ml/h (13.5 mg/h) was connected to the catheter and subsequently continued for up to 3 days.

Additional analgesia

Patients received oral slow-release paracetamol (665 mg, 2 tablets three times daily) and NSAID (naproxen, 250-500 mg twice daily) if tolerated. Patients received oral slow-release oxycodone twice daily with rescue short-acting oxycodone if needed.

Pain score

Pain was assessed by Numeric Rating Scale (NRS). From the charts, we extracted the NRS score describing the most intense pain at rest and during ambulation for each day from the day of operation (POD 0) to postoperative day 3 POD3.

For analysis, surgeries were categorised into two groups. In this report, we considered single and multiple wedge resections procedures causing similar trauma and postoperative pain. Similarly, lobectomy, bi-lobectomy and segment resection were considered as comparable procedures. Consequently, surgical procedures are reported as either a) wedge resection or b) lobectomy.

Statistics

Demographics are presented as median and range. Pain is presented as median and range and further classified into categories; mild pain as NRS <4, moderate pain as NRS 4-7 and severe pain as NRS >7. For statistical analysis categorized pain was compared as mild versus non-mild (moderate and severe). After calculating the median opioid requirement, we categorized postoperative opioid need as either no opioid if none, low opioid dose if lower than the calculated median opioid requirement or high opioid dose if higher than the calculated median opioid requirement. Categorical data is presented as frequency and percent.

Chi-square test was used for test of significant differences between pain categories, age groups, sex and procedure. Mann-Whitney U-test was used for test of significant differences in cumulative opioid dose for different age groups and procedure.

Results

A total of 510 patients received an extrapleural catheter during the period studied.

A thoracotomy was performed as the primary procedure in 26 patients. The remaining 484 patients were scheduled for VATS procedures. In 30 cases VATS was converted to thoracotomy. Neither patients that underwent primary thoracotomy...
nor patients converted to thoracotomy are included in our analysis. Of 454 cases analysed, 150 procedures were classified as wedge resection, 264 cases as lobectomy and the remaining 40 cases as miscellaneous (thymus resection, extirpation of a nodulus, lymph node(s), cyst or hamartoma and decortication with or without wedge resection) (Figure 1).

Baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1. All patients were followed in-hospital from day of operation (POD 0) until POD 3, except for two patients discharged on POD 1, and 37 patients discharged on POD 2, reducing the total number of pain assessments. Missing data occurred where we could not retrieve a pain score or opioid dose, either due to patient discharge or lacking data in the charts. We lack NRS scores with increasing frequency from POD 0 to POD 3.

Pain at rest and during movement after VATS
At rest, pain was rated as mild, with median NRS rated 3-3-1-1 for POD 0 to 3. Assessed during movement, pain was rated moderate during POD 0 and 1 and mild the remaining

Table 1. Demographics for all video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) patients 2015–16, and for VATS wedge resection and lobectomy only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Wedge resection</th>
<th>Lobectomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients, n (%</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>150 (33%)</td>
<td>264 (58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)†</td>
<td>68 (14-85)</td>
<td>66 (18-85)</td>
<td>69 (27-84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (f:m), n</td>
<td>271:183</td>
<td>85:56</td>
<td>167:97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)†</td>
<td>73 (36-129)</td>
<td>74 (45-125)</td>
<td>72 (36 - 129)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest drain (days)†</td>
<td>1 (0 -17)</td>
<td>1 (0.5 - 6)</td>
<td>1 (0 - 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest drain removed POD 1, %†</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data given as median (range). †Percentage of patients where chest drain was removed by postoperative day (POD) 1.
days (median NRS 4-4-3-3 for POD 0 to 3). The proportion of patients exhibiting mild pain at rest increased from 55% on POD 0 to 81% on POD 3. The percentage of patients experiencing severe pain at rest decreased from 15% to 6% (Figure 2).

**Pain after VATS wedge resection compared to VATS lobectomy**

Pain at rest after VATS wedge resection was reported as median NRS 2-2-1-1 compared to pain after lobectomy with median NRS 3-3-2-2 for POD 0 to 3. At rest, more patients were experiencing mild pain and fewer patients were experiencing severe pain after wedge resection than lobectomy all postoperative days except POD 2 where an equal percentage of patients were experiencing mild, moderate and severe pain (Figure 3a, b). The pain pattern, mild pain versus non-mild pain, showed a difference in pain at rest for wedge resection vs. lobectomy at POD 1 (p = 0.03) and POD 3 (p = 0.006), respectively.

For POD 0 to 3 median NRS reported in movement was 3-4-3-2 after wedge resection and NRS 5-5-3-3 after lobectomy (p = 0.05 for POD 0).

**Opioid consumption**

Median oxycodone consumption was 10 mg per day for POD 1 to 3 (range: 0 to 210 mg, one patient with preoperative high dose opioid treatment). Median cumulative oxycodone consumption for POD 1 to 3 was 35 mg (range: 0 to 600 mg).

After VATS, opioid treatment was not required by 25% of patients at POD 1, 28% at POD 2 and 20% at POD 3. During the first three postoperative days in total, 15% of patients did not require oxycodone at all (Figure 4).

Comparing opioid consumption after wedge resection and lobectomy

The percentage of patients not requiring opioid POD 1 to 3 was minimally higher after VATS wedge resection. Conversely, the percentage of patients with a high opioid dose was larger for all postoperative days after lobectomy (Figure 5a, b). Patients not needing any opioid during any of POD 1–3 was 14% for both wedge resection and lobectomy. We did not find a significant difference in oxycodone dose after wedge resection and lobectomy for POD 1 to 3 or cumulative for POD 1 to 3.

**Self-reported pain when taking age and gender into account**

Older patients (>65 years of age) reported significantly less pain (mild pain vs more than mild pain) at rest (p = 0.01) and in movement (p = 0.002) at the day of surgery. Patients older than 65 had a significantly lower cumulative opioid dose during POD 1 to 3 than younger patients (median 30 vs 45 mg, p < 0.001).

Pain at rest after VATS overall was similar for women and men. POD 1, women experienced more often more than mild pain in movement after VATS (p = 0.04) and at rest after wedge resection (p = 0.04). We found no difference between sexes in cumulative oxycodone dose for any procedure.

**Adverse events**

Adverse effects were rarely documented in the patient records. For 16% of the patients, symptoms of PONV were reported. No major complications related to the procedure (extrapleural catheter) were reported during the 2-year period this audit covers.

**Dataset 1. Raw patient data assessed in the present study**

https://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.16857.d224364

Data include pain scores at postoperative days (POD) 0–3 at rest and with movement, alongside basic demographic information.

**Discussion**

In this retrospective study we found that a surgeon placing an extrapleural catheter with continuous infusion of levobupivacaine 2.7 mg/ml at a rate of 5 ml/h was a valuable part of our multimodal pain treatment. Pain was well controlled, pain was at rest overall reported as mild and rated moderate initially and mild from POD 2 during movement. We found VATS lobectomy to be more painful than VATS wedge resection.

To achieve this level of analgesia, a median dose of only 10 mg oxycodone per day was needed. However, only 15% of our patients did not need any oxycodone at all and 47% needed more than a low dose of oxycodone during the postoperative course. This indicates that there is a potential to further improve the non-opioid part of our multimodal analgesic strategy. We did, however, see a relatively low incidence of opioid-related adverse effects, PONV was experienced in only 16% of patients.

It should be acknowledged that we used a fixed continuous infusion of 13.5 mg levobupivacaine per hour after an initial bolus of 75 mg regardless of age, body weight and surgery. To our knowledge, the optimal dose for a continuous extrapleural (or paravertebral) block is not known. A higher dose of local anaesthetic, either through higher concentration or higher rate of infusion, might offer superior analgesia. Simultaneously, the risk for adverse events due to toxicity might increase. This dose was chosen taking recommended maximal daily doses into account. Single-shot paravertebral with ropivacaine and adrenaline has been shown to decrease the systemic uptake of ropivacaine[6]. Addition of adrenaline might allow for more local anaesthetics to be infused. Kosiński et al.[11] observed that better analgesia was achieved using a mixture of bupivacaine and adrenaline when infused through a continuous paravertebral block rather than continuous epidural, even implying an additive effect of adrenaline itself. Future trials should address systemic absorption and toxicity for continuous blocks, measuring plasma concentrations of the local anaesthetic used.

Another possibility to increase the analgesic effect of our extrapleural catheter could be to add adjuncts other than adrenaline to the local anaesthetic infused. In a prospective
Figure 2. Pain after VATS for day of operation through postoperative day (POD) 3, categorized in mild, moderate and severe pain. Pain at rest (a) and in movement (b). Percentage of patients with NRS scores available. Absolute numbers are shown in the centre of bars. Patient discharge and missing data cause diminishing absolute numbers during progression of postoperative course.
Figure 3. Pain at rest after VATS wedge resection (a) and lobectomy (b) for day of operation through postoperative day (POD) 3, categorized in mild, moderate and severe pain. Percentage of patients with NRS scores available. Absolute numbers are shown in the centre of bars.
Figure 4. Number and percentage of patients requiring no opioid, low-dose opioid and high-dose opioid for postoperative day (POD) 1 to 3 and for total cumulative duration of POD 1 to 3. Absolute number shown inside bars. Categorized data, for definition of low and high opioid dose, see the “Statistics” section of the Methods. Cumulative opioid dose is calculated only where data is complete for all days POD 1 to 3.

study, Xu et al.\textsuperscript{16} showed that adding dexmedetomidine to a single-shot paravertebral block with ropivacaine resulted in better analgesia from 8 to 48 hours after VATS. For patients with unilateral multiple rib fractures, Mohta et al.\textsuperscript{17} showed equal pain relief with a lower dose of ropivacaine when a continuous paravertebral block contained a low dose of fentanyl in addition to ropivacaine and adrenaline. At the same time, Bauer et al.\textsuperscript{18} did not show an additional analgesic effect of sufentanil added to ropivacaine in a continuous paravertebral block. Whether a surgical extrapleural continuous block in VATS combining local anaesthetics, adrenaline and a short-acting opioid is superior to a block with only local anaesthetics is, to our knowledge, unknown.

We used levobupivacaine for our block. It is possible that alternative local anaesthetics offer better analgesia. Lidocaine may be an alternative having both a local block effect as well as systemic anti-inflammatory and possibly analgesic properties\textsuperscript{19–21}.

This study shows also that different procedures with a difference in trauma applied cause a varying intensity in pain. However, we did not find a significant difference in opioid requirement for different procedures, even though fewer patients needed a high oxycodone dose after VATS wedge resection. Still, tailored analgesia with different types of regional analgesia or different contents infused may be the way to offer patients the optimal balance between analgesia and side-effects, which may improve postoperative recovery.

There are weaknesses in our study. This is a retrospective patient-record-based study, and all patients operated during the period were included. The wide range of oxycodone dose (0-210 mg/day) may be a result of our retrospective approach. Patients with a preoperative history of chronic pain and/or ongoing opioid treatment were not specifically identified. We used 5-mg increments in oral oxycodone dose. Using a patient-controlled analgesia regimen might have shown a more accurate opioid requirement. It should also be acknowledged that several patients were discharged before POD 3. It is not unlikely that patients discharged earlier and lost to follow-up could have experienced the least pain and least need for opioid treatment. This might skew our results towards higher median pain and higher opioid requirement.
Figure 5. Number and percentage of patients requiring no opioid, low dose opioid and high dose opioid for postoperative day (POD) 1 to 3 and for total cumulative duration of POD 1 to 3 after video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery wedge resection (a) respectively lobectomy (b). Absolute number inside bars. Categorized data, for definition of low and high opioid dose see text under “statistics”. Cumulative opioid dose is calculated only where data is complete for all days POD 1 to 3.
Conclusion
We found that a continuous surgeon-placed extrapleural catheter block to be a valuable and seemingly safe addition to our multimodal procedure specific analgesia after VATS. Whether the efficacy of the block can be improved by increasing local anaesthetic and/or adding adjuncts warrants further investigation.

Data availability
Dataset 1. Raw patient data assessed in the present study. Data include pain scores at postoperative days (POD) 0–3 at rest and with movement, alongside basic demographic information. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.16857.d224364[22].
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The authors have conducted a retrospective review of a large sample of patients undergoing VATS with surgeon placed extrapleural catheters. The study is well done and well reported. They found that most patients had mild pain only, and only 15% had severe pain, which diminished to 6% by day 3 – suggesting good analgesic efficacy of the technique.

I have no major problems with the methods of the paper, and it is well written.

They did find a difference between VATS lobectomy compared to other VATS, but the authors have not offered any potential reason for this. It is possible that the surgeons place more intercostal catheters in lobectomy patients, which could increase stimulation beyond the analgesic boundary of the extrapleural catheter?

Further in the VATS group, how many patients were included who had a pleurodesis? It is possible that the inflammation produced by pleurodesis could cause stimulation outside of the extrapleural block, and therefore requires additional pain relief.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?  
Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?  
Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?  
Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?  
Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?  
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?  
Yes
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Expertise: cardiovascular anaesthesia, quality of recovery, echocardiography

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com