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Abstract
Pasak Bumi is a local name for a medicinal plant in Kalimantan, Indonesia. It is a famous medicinal plant and commonly used in traditional medicine as an aphrodisiac, as well as in the treatment of malaria. Pasak Bumi is a commercial name for *Eurycoma longifolia* (Simaroubaceae) plant species. Besides *Eurycoma longifolia* there are two other plant species also known locally as Pasak Bumi, *Rennelia elliptica* (Rubiaceae) and *Trivalvaria macrophylla* (Annonaceae). This study was performed to investigate the antimicrobial activities of the different species of Pasak Bumi and its total phenol contents. The antimicrobial activity of the ethanol extract was determined using the Agar Well Diffusion method at various concentrations while the phenol content was determined by the Folin - Ciocalteu method. The results of the ethanol extract from the different root showed that the *T. macrophylla* had the highest phenol content, and the highest activity index (AI) was found in the *E. longifolia* (0.96 at 1000 µg concentration). The results of this study show that the three different Pasak Bumi have potential as antimicrobials against oral pathogen; 1 yeast: *Candida albicans*, and 3 bacteria: *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus mutans*, and *Streptococcus sobrinus*.
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Introduction
Pasak Bumi is a plant used in traditional medicinal that grows in the tropical forests of Kalimantan of Indonesia. It is used by the local people as an aphrodisiac, for postpartum treatment, fever, and malaria\(^1\). In Central Kalimantan, there are three different plant species on Pasak Bumi; Yellow Pasak Bumi (Eurycoma longifolia Jack., Simaroubaceae), Red Pasak Bumi (Rennelia elliptica, Rubiaceae) and Black Pasak Bumi (Trivalvaria macrophylla, Rubiaceae)\(^3\). Previous research of Pasak Bumi (E. longifolia Jack) from different regions has shown activity in inhibiting the growth of microbes, however, research on the other species of Pasak Bumi such as Rennelia elliptica and Trivalvaria macrophylla are still limited. From the above information, the research aim is to compare the inhibition activities of the three different plants against one yeast: Candida albicans, and three bacterias: Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus mutans, and Streptococcus sobrinus. The research was also designed to extend our knowledge and help us explore the antimicrobial activities of the three different plant species.

Methods
Preparation of plant extracts
One kilogram of each plant was excavated and harvested from Katingan district, Central Kalimantan. The root was chopped and separated from its stem and leaves. The roots were sliced into small sections with a knife and allowed to dry under shade. The dried samples were crushed into powder using an electric blender. Once crushed, 50 grams of each powder of the plant root was weighed using a digital balance (Mettler Toledo, Mettler-Tokyo Group). Furthermore, the powder was extracted using successive maceration with the following solvents: \(n\)-hexane, ethyl acetate, and 96% ethanol. The ethanol filtrate was evaporated under a vacuum rotary evaporator (Eyela, N-N series) at 35°C until dry and used for the present study (Figure 1).

Total phenol content
The total phenolic content was determined spectrophotometrically (UV Mini 1240 Shimadzu) in accordance to the Folin-Ciocalteu method\(^4\). The sample solution was prepared by dissolving the dry extracts (2 mg) in 100 μl DMSO and 900 μl of distilled water. The reaction mixture was made by mixing 200 μl of the extract from sample solution (200 μg/mL), 300 μl of distilled water, 250 μl of 10% Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (Merck Millipore, CAS No. 109001) and 1250 μl of 7.5% sodium carbonate. After a 90 minutes incubation at room temperature, the absorbance was determined spectrophotometrically at 760 nm. Gallic acid (Wako, CAS No. 5995-86-8) was used as a reference standard for plotting a calibration curve (concentration range: 2 to 10 μg/mL). The total phenolic content was expressed as a Gallic Acid Equivalent (GAE)/mg extract, using a standard calibration graph.

Antimicrobial activity
Four pathogenic microbial strains; C. albicans (CA), S. aureus (SA), S. mutans (SM) and S. sobrinus (SS) from the Forest Product Chemistry Laboratory’s culture collections, were used for the present study. The in vitro activity was screened using the agar well diffusion method in Nutrient Agar medium\(^5,6\). The extracts of each plant at a concentration of 10 mg/ml in 40% ethanol were prepared, and an aliquot of the test solution was put in to get a final concentration of 100, 250, 500, and 1000 μg/well. It was then placed on the inoculated nutrient agar plates and incubated for ±18–24 h at 37°C. Ten μg/well of chloramphenicol (PT. Indofarma, Tbk., Indonesia) and 40% ethanol were employed as a positive and negative control. After incubation, the diameter of the inhibition zones was measured by a ruler. The experiment was performed in triplicate. The antimicrobial index (AI) was calculated using the formula\(^6\): Activity index (AI) = Inhibition Zone of the sample/Inhibition Zone of chloramphenicol.

Statistical analysis
All experiments were conducted three times. Regression analysis was used to make a calibration curve and calculate the total
phenol content. All statistical analyses used Microsoft Excel 2010 software.

Results
The total phenolic contents were calculated using the following linear equation based on the calibration curve of gallic acid: \( y = 0.0667x + 0.009 \); \( R^2 = 0.9948 \), where \( y \) is absorbance and \( x \) is amount of gallic acid in \( \mu g \) (Table 1). *Trivalvaria macrophylla* root extract obtaining higher total phenolic content in comparison to *E. longifolia* and *R. elliptica*. The extracts exhibited dose-dependent antimicrobial activities (Figure 2), and the results indicated that the *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of the *T. macrophylla*, *E. longifolia*, and *R. elliptica* extracts were ranked in the following order; SS>SM>SA>CA; SA>SM>SS>CA; and SS>SA>CA>SM, respectively. The highest activity was found in *E. longifolia* against *S. aureus*, with a maximum AI value (0.96) at 1000 \( \mu g \)/well concentration while the lowest activity at all concentration was found in *R. elliptica* extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Local name</th>
<th>Calibration curve regression</th>
<th>Total Phenol (( \mu g/mg ) extract)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Trivalvaria macrophylla</em> (Blume) miq.</td>
<td>Black Pasak Bumi</td>
<td>( y = 0.0667x + 0.009 ); ( R^2 = 0.9948 )</td>
<td>41.85 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Eurycoma longifolia</em> Jack.</td>
<td>Yellow Pasak Bumi</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.74 ± 2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Rennelia elliptica</em> Korth.</td>
<td>Red Pasak Bumi</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.37 ± 0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 1. Total phenolic content from three different Pasak Bumi.](image)

**Figure 2.** Antimicrobial activity Index of the three different Pasak Bumi.
Discussion
Plant extracts with a high AI value indicates that the extracts have good antimicrobial activity against the selected pathogens. The inhibitory activity of *E. longifolia* root extracts was in agreement with previous literature, it could inhibit *S. aureus* and *C. albicans*. *R. elliptica* was found to be able to inhibit the growth of *C. albicans* and *S. aureus*, which is contrary to a previous study where it was found to be inactive; however, there was no information about the extraction method for *R. elliptica* and the concentration used on that study. So far there have been no reports of the *T. macrophylla* being antimicrobial, but in this study *T. macrophylla* has proven to be an inhibitor for the growth of *S. aureus*, *S. mutans*, *S. sobrinus* and *C. albicans*. This is believed to be the first report to explore and compare the antimicrobial potentials of the three different Pasak Bumi plants. The antimicrobial activity may be attributed to the high content of the phenols present. Phenolic compound such as gallic acid can causes irreversible changes (such as charge, intra and extracellular permeability, and physicochemical properties) in the properties of microbial membranes, with consequent leakage of essential intracellular constituents. *E. longifolia* possess a higher antimicrobial activity than *T. macrophylla*, but its phenolic content was lower than *T. macrophylla*. *E. longifolia* extract might contain more non-phenolic compounds, or possess phenolic compounds that contain a higher number of active groups than the other extract. The interactions between chemical compounds (phenolic and non-phenolic compounds) might also be responsible for the antimicrobial effects.

Conclusions
The present study performed *in vitro* studies of antimicrobial properties of three different Pasak Bumi (*E. longifolia* Jack, *R. elliptica* and *T. macrophylla*) on oral pathogens which gave positive results and different degree of activity.
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The manuscript presents an interesting study in which the antimicrobial activity of three plant species *Eurycoma longifolia* Jack., *Rennelia elliptica* Korth. and *Trivalvaria macrophylla* miq. was investigated.

Weakness of the manuscript

**Introduction**

1. Introduction part is very poor. The rationale of the need of comparative antimicrobial study of these plant species should have been elaborated.

2. No literature about the microbial infections has been cited. Authors needs to add some information about the microbial infections and about the role of herbal plants in treating microbial infections.

3. Authors must include the literature about the chief constituents present in these plant species.

4. Authors reported that Pasak Bumi is a local plant in Kalimantan, Indonesia. Authors must include other geographical regions where this plant grows.

5. Authors must include some information that why only *Candida albicans* yeast and *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus mutans*, and *Streptococcus sobrinus* bacterial strains were taken for the study?

**Methods**

1. For identification of the phytoconstituents present in these plant species authors must have included the preliminary phytochemical screening, (a qualitative identification) or must have reported the literature on the phytochemical screening of these plants.

2. Why 40% ethanol was used to prepare different concentrations of the plant extracts?
3. In figure 1 authors have reported that ±50 gram of sample powder was taken for further maceration with n-hexane. Is there any variations in the initial weight of the powder? Why symbol ± is added? Is there any standard deviation or SEM?

**Results**
The activity index (Fig. 2) shows very little difference in concentration 500 and 1000 µg/well. As the concentration 1000 µg/well is double of the 500 µg/well so the difference in activity index should have been more. This suggests that something is wrong with the assays and/or with the presentation of the data. Authors need to analyze and discuss this point critically.

**Suggestion/corrections in addition to the above**

Grammatical errors/Corrections

Eg. In conclusion
The present study performed in vitro studies of antimicrobial properties of three different Pasak Bumi (E. longifolia Jack, R. elliptica and T. macrophylla) on oral pathogens which gave positive results and different degree of activity.

The above statement needs to be revised “The present study performed in vitro studies............?”
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**Introduction:**
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