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Abstract

Background: E-cadherin is the major adhesion receptor in epithelial adherens junctions (AJs). On established epidermis, E-cadherin performs fine-tuned cell-cell contact remodeling to maintain tissue integrity, which is characterized by modulation of cell shape, size and packing density. In zebrafish, the organization and distribution of E-cadherin in AJs during embryonic epidermis development remain scarcely described.

Methods: Combining classical immunofluorescence, deconvolution microscopy and 3D-segmentation of AJs in epithelial cells, a quantitative approach was implemented to assess the spatial and temporal distribution of E-cadherin across zebrafish epidermis between 24 and 72 hpf.

Results: Increasing levels of E-cadh protein parallel higher cell density and the appearance of hexagonal cells in the enveloping layer (EVL) as well as the establishments of new cell-cell contacts in the epidermal basal layer (EBL), being significantly between 31 and 48 hpf.

Conclusions: Increasing levels of E-cadherin in AJs correlates with extensive changes in cell morphology towards hexagonal packing during the epidermis morphogenesis.
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Amendments from Version 2

In this version we provided additional data for hexagonal cells of the EVL and analyzed whether the observed differences in the number of hexagonal cells/µm² between stages were significant. We carried out the same analysis applied for the global cell packing analysis (Figure 4b in version 2) but only for hexagonal cells of the EVL (Figure 4a in this version). This revealed a significant increase in the average number of hexagonal cells/µm² in the EVL markedly between 24 hpf and 31 hpf, supporting that ordering towards hexagonal cell packing geometry establishes early during embryonic epidermis morphogenesis. Figure 4 has an additional panel and thus the originals were labeled differently and therefore, we provide a new Figure 4 and new Dataset 3 with excel files labeled accordingly.

We also provide a new Figure 3 with asterisks in panel b, to denote the statistical significance of the differences.

See referee reports

Introduction

The skin is the largest organ of the body in direct contact with the environment. It has a complex structure, being constituted by many different tissues. Skin performs functions that are vital in maintaining body homeostasis, such as the control of body temperature and protection from physical damage and bacterial invasion. Sensory axons innervate the skin at early developmental stages enabling the embryo to sense mechanical, thermal, and chemical stimuli.

In particular, the topology –connectivity, continuity and neighborhood–, and individual cell phenotypes of epidermis define the strength and permeability of the protective barrier between the organism and its environment. Although epidermis structure varies between aquatic and terrestrial organisms, its stratification is a common mechanism during development.

Epidermis development in zebrafish (Danio rerio)

In zebrafish, an immature epidermis establishes soon after the end of gastrulation, constituted by the surface layer, enveloping layer (EVL), and the inner epidermal basal layer (EBL). EVL arises at mid-blastula stage (2.5 hours post fertilization; hpf) covering the whole embryo. During epiboly, EVL maintains tight joins to the yolk syncytial layer (YSL), and becomes the migration substrate for the underlying deep cells spreading during gastrulation. At the tail bud stage (8–10 hpf), the epiblast forms the outer germ layer, the ectoderm, characterized as a pseudo-epithelial germ layer. From the non-neural ectoderm arises the EBL, which covers the whole embryonic surface underneath the EVL to form a two-layered epithelium by 10 hpf. At 24 hpf, the epidermis is a distinctive bilayer in which the basal layer actively produces collagen to form the basal membrane and the primary dermal stratum. It is after three weeks that the epidermis becomes further stratified and develops into the adult teleost four-layered epidermal structure: cuticle, surface, intermediate and basal strata. In adult zebrafish, the EVL cells are replaced by those derived from basal keratinocytes. Thus, the epidermis of adult zebrafish, as in mice, derives from basal stem cells, further expanding the similarities of epidermal ontogeny across vertebrates.

The importance of studying E-cadh in epidermis

E-cadh is member of a superfamily of cadherins, calcium-dependent cell-cell adhesion molecules forming junctions along the apicolateral membranes of adjacent cells. E-cadh plays a key role in determining cell polarity and differentiation, and thereby in the establishment and maintenance of metazoan tissue homeostasis. As epithelia are constituted by cell phenotypes with the maximum polarity and whose identity is primarily specified by E-cadh, it is key to know its expression in the epidermis establishment and maintenance. Furthermore, due to the mechano-transduction activity coupled to the acto-myosin cytoskeleton remodeling, E-cadh is involved in processes such as cell division orientation in planar polarized epithelia and collective cell migration. Relevant as well is that E-cadh has been characterized as a potent suppressor of invasion and metastasis in epithelia, which are usually located in direct contact with mutagenic and/or carcinogenic agents responsible for 85–90% of human cancers.

In zebrafish, E-cadh transcripts and proteins are maternally deposited. Reduced levels of the maternal and zygotic protein have been proved to delay epiboly progression with lethal phenotypes. E-cadh is required for blastomeres adhesion during the cleavage stage and later during gastrulation and epiboly. Indeed, as epiboly proceeds, EVL directs cell migration and the spreading of cells of the deep cells layer (DCL) in a process that requires dynamic cell contacts remodeling mediated by E-cadh. Once the bi-layered epidermis is established, E-cadh role becomes more refined by keeping its integrity while actively remodeling cell-cell contacts within each layer. At tissue scale, this leads to cell rearrangements which establish regular geometric patterns, and loss of E-cadh results in altered epidermis topology. Strikingly, scarce knowledge exists regarding epidermal spatiotemporal expression of E-cadh after epiboly stages. By combining 3D-deconvolution and segmentation of AJ's in epidermal cells we were able to obtain a quantitative profile of E-cadh expression during normal epidermis morphogenesis from embryonic-to-larval life of zebrafish.

Methods

Zebrafish husbandry

Zebrafish strain of T/AB genetic background was used as wild-type. Male and female adults of 8-months-old were obtained from the Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biology of Rosario (IBR-CONICET-UNR), Argentina, and maintained at 28°C on a 14-h light/10-h dark cycle. Adult fishes were kept in rectangular glass tanks of 12 liters at a density of 1–2 fishes/liter. In each tank, chlorine free water was constantly aerated and filtered (ATMAN hang On filter HF 010), and renovated by 1/3 twice a week. Water temperature was maintained with a heater (Atman 200W). Water pH was kept between 7.8–8.2, salinity was maintained between 350–600 TDS and nitrates were controlled using biological films included in the filtering system. Fishes were fed twice a day with dried flakes (TetraMin) and twice a week with freshly hatched Artemia cysts.

After breeding, laid eggs were collected and maintained at 28°C. Then, embryos and larvae were staged according to Kimmel et al. Around 20 to 25 embryos were collected at 2.5, 18, 24, 31, 48 and 72 hpf, then dechorionated and sedated...
with buffered tricine methylsulfonate (MS-222, Sigma) prior to fixation. Approximately, 10–15 fixed embryos per stage were processed for immunofluorescence detection of E-cadh.

Adults and embryos were handled according to the ARRIVE guidelines and to the national guidelines from the Advisory Committee on Ethics of the Facultad de Bioquímica y Ciencias Biológicas de la Universidad Nacional del Litoral, Santa Fe, Argentina (Res. 229 and 388/2006).

Embryos processing for immunodetection of E-cadh
All embryos were fixed in toto in Carnoy solution at room temperature (RT) for at least 2 h and processed according to Izaguirre et al. Briefly, they were washed in PBS and permeated in 1% Triton X-100/PBS pH 7.4 for 1 h. Then, washed in PBS pH 7.4 and incubated in normal goat serum (catalogue number: S-1000 Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) for 45 min, followed by overnight incubation with primary antibody anti E-cadh at 4°C, three washes in PBS, and incubation with secondary goat anti-mouse IgG-FITC antibody at RT in darkness for 2 h. Finally, they were rinsed in PBS and mounted in 50% Glycerol-PBS for microscopy imaging. Embryos directly incubated with secondary antibody and normal goat serum, were used as negative controls.

Antibodies. The 36/E-cadh monoclonal antibody recognizes the cytoplasmic domain of human E-cadh, regardless of phosphorylation status (clone 36 mouse IgG2a, catalogue number: 610181 Transduction Laboratories). It was diluted 1:150 and revealed with secondary goat anti-mouse IgG-FITC antibody (Sigma, catalogue number: F8771, St. Louis, MO) used at 1:100 dilution.

Microscope settings and image acquisition
The spatial distribution of E-cadh in zebrafish epidermis was analyzed by fluorescence microscopy followed by image deconvolution and cell segmentation in 3D. The trunk was selected for the ease of orientation and image acquisition within the studied periods. Images were acquired with an inverted wide field sectioning microscope Olympus IX83 coupled to a digital camera CMOS-ORCA-Flash 2.8 (Hamamatsu), and commanded by Olympus Cell Sens software v. 1.13. Raw images were processed using FIJI v. 3.0. Sampling in xy was 0.182 µm with z-step every 0.33 µm. The epidermis was completely scanned along the trunk region. Lamp power was set at 12 %, and exposure time was experimentally determined and fixed in 370 ms, in order to avoid pixel intensity saturation and to minimize photobleaching.

Deconvolution, intensity based segmentation of AJs and fluorescence intensity measurements
Deconvolution was applied to restore fluorescence, which improved contrast and z-resolution, enabling better definition of E-cadh in AJs for subsequent application of the 3D-segmentation tool. Quantification of E-cadh fluorescence intensity was carried throughout the epidermis bilayer (~ 6 µm) in calibrated 3D-ROIs set at 2500 µm² × 0.33 µm × 20 slices (16500 µm³). First, deconvolution was performed on individual 3D-ROI by applying Richardson-Lucy algorithm running under the open source Deconvolution Lab 2 v 2.0.0, with a theoretical point spread function. The Trainable Weka Segmentation Plugin v. 3.1.0, a classification tool based on machine learning in FIJI was applied on each deconvolved 3D-ROI so as to create a template that would automatically find the cell boundaries by providing trainable examples of membranes and cytosol (set as background). Each segmented 3D stack was further converted into 8-bit binary 3D-mask and multiplied by the corresponding deconvolved 3D-ROI to obtain the final “Result of Classification”. On each classified image E-cadh fluorescence was quantified as the sum of pixel intensities per 3D-ROI and expressed as raw integrated density (RawIntDen). This measurement was performed on at least six 3D-ROIs per embryo to cover the trunk region, in five embryos per developmental stage. The pipeline for the image processing, theoretical psf and classifier model files are available as Supplementary File 1 as well as an example output.

Fluorescence intensity measurements in individual EVL cells
On each classified image, 3D-ROIs of fixed volume (10 µm² × 3 µm deep) were selected along cell-cell contacts in EVL cells and fluorescence intensity was expressed as RawIntDen/cell-cell contact. To assess the fluorescence intensity in individual cells of the EVL, 3D-ROIs were manually outlined along cell perimeters to include the full membrane width and thickness and expressed as RawIntDen/cell area.

Cell morphology and area measurements
Cell morphology and area were assessed in EVL and EBL cells from previously selected 3D-ROIs. Round, 4-, 5-, 6-, 7- and 8-sided cells were counted using the “polygon selection tool” in the individual layers. Mean area was expressed in the image calibrated units. Cell packing index was scored for the EVL and expressed as mean of number of cells/ ROI area (2500 µm²). Area of penta- and hexagonal cells from EBL and EVL were compared for all stages (Supplementary File 2).

Statistical analysis
Five animals in the specified stages were obtained from three to five independent experiments. Differences in E-cadh levels between developmental stages were analyzed using a Linear Mixed Model (LMM). The assumptions of the model were checked graphically (linearity, homoscedasticity, normality of residuals and independence). The non-normality of the data was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test. The variable “stage” was considered as fixed effects (24, 31, 48 and 72 hpf). The random effects of the model are the number of embryos per stage (5) and number of 3D-ROIs per embryo (at least 6). This number of ROIs per embryo was estimated in order to cover >90% of the embryo trunk for the selected stages.

Differences in mean cellular area and percentages for the observed polygon types were analyzed using LMM containing the same fixed and random effects but adding the variable “morphology”. The same statistical analysis was performed on the data set for the analysis of cell density and expressed as packing index (mean of number of cells / ROI area).

The Tukey’s test was used for post-hoc pair-wise comparison when an effect or an interaction was found significant. Significant differences are denoted with *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. Data were
analyzed with RStudio software’s version 1.1.453 and plotted with the BoxPlotR application or InfoStat software version 2018.

**Results**

**E-cadh expression during embryonic epidermis morphogenesis**

E-cadh expression pattern was determined in wild type zebrafish during epidermis development from 2.5 (blastula period) to 72 hpf. E-cadh protein was clearly detected in embryos at the blastula stage on epiblast cells (EVL) (Figure 1a). By 18 hpf, during primary organogenesis both epidermis layers are already established. At this stage, E-cadh was observed in AJs in EVL cells and weakly detected in EBL cells (Figure 1b–c). At later stages E-cadh labeling was observed as well as cytoplasmic dots, presumably in endocytic vesicles (Figure 1d,g).

In embryos at 24 hpf, E-cadh labeling was observed in vertices (puncta adherens) as well as in micro-clusters along lateral cell-cell contacts in the EVL (Figure 1e). At this stage the underlying EBL was barely visible, detected as a faint E-cadh immunolabeling. From 31 hpf onwards, fluorescence in the underlying EBL cells was clearly detected (Figure 1f–h).

During the transition from embryo to larval stages the growing detection of E-cadh along cell-cell contacts parallels noticeable changes in cell size and morphology in the epidermis bilayer (Figure 1, e–h), which were further analyzed.

E-cadh levels were compared in the epidermis layers between stages 24, 31, 48 and 72 hpf, a period during which intense morphogenetic events lead to hatching and significant physiological changes occur for the resulting larvae epidermis to adapt to the aquatic environment. By implementing a 3D-Segmentation algorithm based on machine learning we were able to generate a mask to extract fluorescence intensity values along cell-cell contacts on 3D-ROIs covering the trunk epidermis bilayer and at each developmental stage (Figure 2a–d). With this approach we measured a significant increase of E-cadh levels between 31 and 48 hpf, consistent with the visible detection of E-cadh in the EBL and the visible increase in cell density in the EVL, which was subsequently quantitated.

**Cell geometry changes during embryo to larval transition**

Changes in cell shape, area and density were quantitated in an attempt to correlate the observed increments in E-cadh expression with cell morphology changes characteristics of developing epithelia. Similar to the other epithelia, the morphogenetic processes leading to epidermis topology development involve cell morphology changes with a predominance of hexagonal geometry in the outermost layer. Therefore, the distribution of the cell polygons classes was analyzed in the EVL layer. While round-cells, 4- and 8-side cell polygons were only detected in 24, 31 and 48 hpf stages and represented no more than 7, 15 and 2 % respectively, pentagonal and hexagonal shaped cells predominated in all stages (Figure 3a). Hexagonal cells constituted approximately 34 % of EVL total cells in stage 24 hpf.

---

**Figure 1.** E-cadh immunofluorescence detection in zebrafish embryo epidermis. a) at 2.5 hours post fertilization (hpf) b) at 18 hpf; c) Zoom of selection in b) showing a single puncta adherens in contacting EVL cells (arrow) and weak E-cadh detection in the EBL (arrowhead); d,g) at 48 hpf, showing cytoplasmic dot labeling in EVL cells; e–h) 24 to 72 hpf embryos, displaying E-cadh distribution in trunk, clearly visible in underlying EBL cells from 24 hpf. Images are contrast enhanced maximum intensity projections of 20 optical slices, z-step: 0.33 µm, scale bar: 50 µm. Objective: UPLFLN 40X 1.3 NA oil.
Figure 2. Expression patterns of E-cadherin (E-cadh) during epidermis development from stage 24-to-72 hours post fertilization (hpf). a) 24 hpf and b) 31 hpf, with incipient E-cadh labeling of the underlying epidermis basal layer (EBL) cells; c) 48 hpf; d) 72 hpf, with stronger detection of E-cadh in the EBL (arrow heads). Panels are representative 3D-ROIs classified images shown as sum of intensities in projections of 20-optical section stacks covering the epidermis thickness (6.6 µm); e) Box-plot of means of RawIntDen/ROI per embryo. Objective, 40X, NA 1.3 oil. Statistical significances, ** p<0.01, * p<0.05.

Figure 3. Polygon class distribution in the EVL layer from 24 to 72 hpf. a) Bar graph displaying mean percentages of x-sided polygons of embryos at 24 hpf (n = 81 cells), 31 hpf (n = 147 cells), 48 hpf (n = 133 cells) and 72 hpf (n = 102 cells). Hexagonal and pentagonal cells are the main cell morphologies observed in the EVL. b) Mean area of cell types on EVL at 24 hpf (EVL n= 73), 31 hpf (EVL n= 147), 48 hpf (EVL n= 133) and 72 hpf (EVL n= 102). Significant differences were found in the mean cell area for penta and hexagonal cells between stages (**p < 0.001). Data obtained from 30 3D-ROIs in five animals for each stage. The bars represent mean values ± standard deviations (SD).

24 hpf and 45–50% at 31, 48 and 72 hpf with a two-fold increase above pentagonal cells from 31 hpf. Hexagonal cells represented a ~ 50 % of the total cells analyzed consistent with previous reports for other species.

For all polygon types assessed in the EVL the mean cell areas decreased within the same polygon type and significantly for pentagonal and hexagonal cells from 24 to 72 hpf (Figure 3b). In the EBL, and despite fewer cells were accessible for area measurements, this tendency was not evident (Supplementary Figure 2). Therefore, the visible increment in cell density in the EVL was characterized by an establishment of hexagonal cell morphology.

We hypothesized then, that the significant increment of E-cadh levels in the epidermis bilayer between 31 and 48 hpf could reflect a contribution of the appearance of more cell-cell contacts per area, the addition of more protein to cell-cell contacts and the emergent detection of the protein in the underlying EBL.

Then, to elucidate whether the increase could be due to the appearance of more cell-cell contacts per EVL area, cell density was estimated globally and for hexagonal cells, and expressed as cell packing indexes (Figure 4a, b). Together, these results showed a significant increment in cell density in the EVL that was characterized by the establishment of hexagonal cell morphology from 24 to 72 hpf. However, there was no significant
change in cell density between 31 and 48 hpf, parallel to the increment in E-cadhs.

The separation of the EVL as a sub-stack in individual 3DROIs is not completely free from the fluorescence contribution of the underlying EBL layer. Therefore, to evaluate the contribution of individual EVL cells to the observed differences in global fluorescence intensity, this was quantified in individual cell-cell contacts of fixed volume (3D-ROIs, 10 µm² × 3 µm deep) in the EVL or in individual cells. With this approach overlapping contacts with the underlying EBL cells were excluded from the measurements. Mean RawIntDen values along cell-cell contacts revealed that more E-cadhs may populate individual contacts during embryonic epidermis morphogenesis and contribute to the total fluorescence increase, although differences were not significant between developmental stages (Figure 4c). Then, fluorescence intensity was quantified in individual cells of the EVL manually outlined from the original 3D-ROIs, and expressed as RawIntDen/cell area (Figure 4d). As expected, the expression of E-cadhs in the EVL followed a similar pattern as the one obtained for the global bilayer analysis. However, differences between 31 and 48 hpf were not significant. Together this data suggested that the main contribution to the significant increase in E-cadhs in the bilayer is due to the growing detection of the protein in the underlying EBL observed at 48 hpf.

**Figure 4. E-cadhs expression profile in individual cells of the enveloping layer (EVL).**

a) Plot of means of cell packing index calculated as the average number of cells/µm²; b) Plot of means of hexagonal cell packing index calculated as the average number of hexagonal cells/µm²; c) Box-plot of means of RawIntDen/cell-cell contact volume; d) Box-plot of means of RawIntDen/average cell area. Statistical significances, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001.

Dataset 1. Raw images for Figure 1 for 2.5 and 18 hours post fertilization (hpf). These can be viewed using FIJI or ImageJ

http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.15932.d217819

Dataset 2. Raw and processed images of 3D-ROIs for assessing RawIntDen, cell areas and cell morphology data for 24, 31, 48 and 72 hours post fertilization (hpf) for Figure 2a and Dataset 3. Raw can be viewed using FIJI or ImageJ

http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.15932.d217820
Discussion

Epithelial architecture retains essential features such as apical/basal cell polarization, formation of cell–cell junctions, and the constitution of a paracellular diffusion barrier, all of which enable epithelia to serve a great diversity of biological functions. The organization of mature epithelia into packaging of hexagonal shaped cells is a feature evolutionarily conserved. This appears to be the optimum arrangement concerning the transduction of forces with minimum energy costs. The role of E-cadh in molecular architecture of epithelia has been studied extensively in animal tissues, partially owing to its decisive play in human cancers. In frog and fish embryos, as in others, cadherins are the main adhesion factors responsible for regulating the shape of the embryo and its role has been thoroughly described during epiboly and gastrulation. On established animal epidermis, E-cadh performs fine-tuned cell–cell contact remodeling to maintain tissue integrity while the body axis elongates, this is characterized by modulation of cell shape, size and density to achieve the stable hexagonal arrangement.

In zebrafish, an immature epidermis is established at 24 hpf, formed by the enveloping layer (EVL) and the epidermal basal layer (EBL). Despite numerous descriptions about E-cadh role in epiboly and gastrulation, there is scarce information about E-cadh distribution in the epidermis beyond this stage and during the embryo to larval transition. During this period body axis elongates from 1 mm at 24 hpf to 3.5 mm at 72 hpf and embryos undergo hatching asynchronously between 48 and 60 hpf. Once in direct contact with water, the embryonic epidermis is the main protective barrier against pathogens. Therefore, we find it relevant to study the spatiotemporal distribution of E-cadh in zebrafish and elucidate a relationship between E-cadh levels, cell morphology and cell density in the epidermis bilayer from “embryos” to “larvae”.

We implemented a trainable 3D-segmentation tool in FIJI to extract fluorescence intensity values from epithelial cells in toto immunolabeled epidermis. Global expression was estimated from these 3D-segmentation volumes excluding cytoplasm (E-cadh in endosomal or in reticulo-endoplasmic compartments) in the bi-layered epidermis from embryo to larval stages. At present, only one pipeline method was reported for segmentation and tracking of epithelial cells based on the detection of the AJs in voxels in the Drosophila notum and leg epithelium.

At the membrane level, E-cadh protein concentrates in clusters detected as puncta adherens in cell vertices or as lateral micro-clusters at 24 hpf, that turns into a continuous belt structure from stage 31 hpf onwards, when intracellular E-cadh is also frequently observed in the cytoplasm of EVL cells.

Intensity based analysis showed that growing levels of E-cadh along cell-cell contacts during zebrafish epidermis development correlate with cell morphology changes towards hexagonal geometry. Specifically, within a short period between 24 and 31 hpf, a ~two-fold increase in cell density parallels the appearance of penta- and hexagonal cells together representing ~75% of the polygons classes similarly to other animal models.

Global bilayer analysis of E-cadh fluorescence intensity revealed a significant increase in protein expression between 31 and 48 hpf. In an attempt to establish the contribution of individual layers to the observed difference, the outermost EVL layer was analyzed by selecting individual cells or cell-cell contacts without the interference of the fluorescence coming from the basal layer.

Mean E-cadh levels measured in fixed cell-cell contact volumes of EVL cells showed a steady increase from 24 to 72 hpf, but without significant differences between stages. When E-cadh levels were estimated per average cell area in the EVL, a visible increase was observed between 31 and 48 hpf, although non-significant, indicating that the emergent detection of E-cadh in the EBL from 31 hpf onwards may indeed contribute to the significant increase in the protein levels measured in the epidermis bilayer.

We cannot overlook that during this embryonic period characterized body elongation, either cell proliferation or adherens junctions remodeling, through active E-cadh trafficking could account for the observed increase in hexagonal cell density in the epidermis. These mechanisms has been well described for the hexagonal cell packing in the developing D. melanogaster pupal wing under polarized trafficking of E-cadh and should be further analyzed in vivo within this period in zebrafish.

As a novel outcome, a recent report proposed that tension generated by the E-cadh/AmotL2/actin filaments complexes plays a crucial role in developmental processes such as epithelial geometrical packing as well as generation of forces required for blastocyst hatching both in mouse and human. In zebrafish, the hatching process is the result of combined enzymatic digestion of the chorion together with mechanical forces that drive the embryo out of the yolk sac in a similar way as observed for mouse and human blastocyst hatching. In the present work, a peak in E-cadh membrane level together with an increase in hexagonal cells density in the enveloping layer were detected in the epidermis around the time of spontaneous hatching. Therefore, it is conceivable, that cell morphology remodeling leading to hexagonally packed geometry followed by a significant increase in E-cadh may achieve high cell surface compactness and stiffness of the epidermis required for efficient mechanical disruption of the chorion.

Conclusions

The presented results show that during the establishment of embryonic epidermis in zebrafish, growing level of E-cadh protein correlates with increased density of hexagonal cells in the EVL...
and the detection of adherens junctions in cell-cell contacts in the EBL, significantly between 31 and 48 hpf. This differentiated and compact epidermal tissue is most likely to support mechanical stress prior to hatching which starts around 48 hpf, when the embryo contacts for the first time directly the aquatic environment.

The combination of classical immunofluorescence, image deconvolution with intensity based segmentation in 3D offers a powerful tool to study the spatial arrangement of cell-cell adhesion proteins and cell morphology in bi-layered epithelia that can be applied to cadherin morphants, to other species or processes such as wound healing and re-epithelialization of the skin.

**Data availability**
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Click here to access the data.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>numDF</th>
<th>denDF</th>
<th>F-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>350.1999</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stage</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>morpho</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>65.5217</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stage:morpho</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1.4270</td>
<td>0.1514</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then, with this additional data we analyzed whether there was a difference in the number of hexagonal cells/µm² between stages (30 ROIs of 2500 um² in 5-6 embryos per stage). We
carried out the same analysis applied for the global cell packing analysis (Fig 4b in the published version) but only for hexagonal cells of the EVL. After running the LMM method in R, a significant increase in the average number of hexagonal cells/µm² from 24 to 72 hpf in the EVL was revealed and because the total epidermis area analyzed was the same for all stages this indicates as well a significant increase in the absolute number of hexagonal cells from 24 to 72 hpf. However, and in agreement with the global cell packing the difference was not significant between 31 and 48 hpf.

We will provide a plot of means of hexagonal cell packing index calculated as the average number of hexagonal cells/µm²) as a new panel in figure 4 and the corresponding dataset and analysis in R software.

Then, we analyze again the data from Figure 3b with the Lineal Mixed model in R and found out that indeed there was a significant decrease in cell area for penta- and hexagonal cells between stages (table below), which by mistake was not detected in the previous analysis, despite the visibly differences in the sd.

We will provide the new analysis in R for the same datasets and we will add the asterisks to denote the statistical significance of the differences in panel b.

Linear Hypotheses:

| Estimate | Std. Error | z value | Pr(>|z|) |
|----------|------------|---------|----------|
| 31 - 24 == 0 | -195.509 | 16.570 | -11.799 <0.001 *** |
| 48 - 24 == 0 | -198.963 | 16.124 | -12.340 <0.001 *** |
| 72 - 24 == 0 | -158.279 | 19.769 | -8.006 <0.001 *** |
| 48 - 31 == 0 | -3.454 | 12.826 | -0.269 0.9930 |
| 72 - 31 == 0 | 37.231 | 16.619 | 2.240 0.1100 |
| 72 - 48 == 0 | 40.684 | 16.956 | 2.399 0.0751 . |

---

Signif. codes: 0 ‘***’ 0.001 ‘**’ 0.01 ‘*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘ ’ 1

(Adjusted p values reported -- single-step method)

Therefore, a significant increase in the number of hexagonal cells correlates now with a significant decrease in hexagonal cell area indicating the ordering towards hexagonal cell packing during epidermis morphogenesis.
**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.
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The paper clearly shows that the implemented approach is able to provide new insights into the evolution of epithelial cell layers.

Comments to version 1 have been addressed in the response, maybe some of this response should end up in the discussion part in the main text.
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The manuscript by Sampedro et al. provides an interesting and useful contribution to epidermis development in the zebrafish. Cadherin adhesion is among the mechanisms that control epidermis development, and the authors contribute an initial description of cadherin adhesion...
molecule expression changes during epidermis maturation. The image analysis and cell shape changes observed will provide a starting point for additional studies. However, I have some concerns about the manuscript.

1. The monoclonal antibody that the authors used to examine E-cadherin was raised to human E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain sequences. This antibody cross reacts with cadherins in various species and with cadherin types other than E-cadherin. E-cadherin is probably the most prevalent cadherin in the epidermis but this was not shown. If other cadherins are expressed, then this antibody is likely to detect those cadherins too.

2. Fluorescence microscopy can be a useful way to measure protein expression, but several controls would be necessary to ensure that expression is being detected within the linear range of fluorescence detection. These controls were not evident, and expression was not validated with other approaches.

3. The authors state that the prospective epidermis is established at 24 hpf, but this prospective structure is established at neurulation, which occurs significantly earlier.

Overall, this is a useful contribution to our understanding of cadherin adhesion activity during epidermis development.

**Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?**

Yes

**Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?**

Partly

**Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?**

Yes

**If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?**

Yes

**Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?**

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?**

Partly

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**Reviewer Expertise:** Zebrafish cadherin adhesion

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.
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Author Response 05 Dec 2018

**Valeria Sigot**, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de Entre Ríos, Oro Verde, Argentina
1-The monoclonal antibody that the authors used to examine E-cadherin was raised to human E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain sequences. This antibody cross reacts with cadherins in various species and with cadherin types other than E-cadherin. E-cadherin is probably the most prevalent cadherin in the epidermis but this was not shown. If other cadherins are expressed, then this antibody is likely to detect those cadherins too.

VS: We are aware of this, indeed the supplier states in the datasheet that this antibody has some degree of cross-reactivity to P-Cadherin. This cadherin is expected to be expressed in the skin, its presence has been reported in the basal layer of the epidermis in mice most prominently in hair follicles (Tinkle et al. PNAS 2008 105 (40) 15405-15410; https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0807374105).
In adult zebrafish, the presence of P-cadherin was screened through immunohistochemistry in regenerating blastema but without positive detection (Mateus et al. Development (2015) 142, 2752-2763 doi:10.1242/dev.119701.
We did test an anti N cadherin, Clone 32/N-Cadherin (cat. 610920 BD Transduction Lab) IgG1 and we observed only a dim membrane labeling in the eye (figure below).

2-Fluorescence microscopy can be a useful way to measure protein expression, but several controls would be necessary to ensure that expression is being detected within the linear range of fluorescence detection. These controls were not evident, and expression was not validated with other approaches.

VS: We understand your concern and we are aware of the limitations that quantitative microscopy has, so far our intention was to be accurate in measuring the change in protein expression than the amount itself of the protein at a particular stage. In the “methods” section we stated that all the acquisition settings were held equal for each 3D stack, but we will specify in the new version of the manuscript that lamp power was set at 6% and the exposure time set at 370 msec. With these settings we collected z-stacks with lower than desired contrast and counted on the improvement in SNR after applying the deconvolution algorithm. Under these conditions photo-bleaching of FITC could be neglected and the distribution of the available intensity levels for all embryonic development stages corresponded well to the linear dynamic range of detection of the CMOS-ORCA-Flash 2.8 (4500:1, calculated from the ratio of the full well capacity and readout noise). With our approach we aim to implement an image processing routine for extracting fluorescence intensity and cell morphology features in a bilayer with a single immunofluorescence and acquisition procedure, which can be applied as well to living embryos using a transgenic reporter protein in laboratories with limited equipment and resources.

3-The authors state that the prospective epidermis is established at 24 hpf, but this prospective structure is established at neurulation, which occurs significantly earlier.

VS: Certainly, we misused the term “prospective” to refer to the immature bilayered epidermis this will be corrected in the manuscript.
Marc Muller

Laboratory for Organogenesis and Regeneration, GIGA-Research, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

This paper represents a thorough microscopic analysis of E-Cadh expression, as detected by immunostaining, during zebrafish development in the two layers of epidermal cells.

- Fig. 1: what does the white arrow in Fig. 1c point to? Also, weak detection in EBL cells is not so obvious at 18hpf, maybe another arrow (head) would be helpful.
- Fig. 2: Comparison of E-cadh levels between stages: how far can it be excluded that immunodetection by the antibodies may be systematically different at different stages, considering the important changes in the epidermal cells, especially between 31 and 48hpf (hatching)?
- This comment also applies to Fig. 4, where in addition the differences between stages are either non-significant or dismissed by the authors.

Overall, this comment sheds some doubt onto the comparisons of intensities between stages, while the changes in topology (polygon classes) is more secure. I was wondering whether counting of the puncta adherens, independent of intensity, could be performed to further support the conclusions. Also, the weaker labelling of the deeper EBL layer would be further supported by performing immunodetection on sections.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?
Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?
Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
I cannot comment. A qualified statistician is required.

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
Partly

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**Reviewer Expertise:** Zebrafish development, organogenesis and toxicology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

---

**Author Response 28 Nov 2018**

**Valeria Sigot**, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de Entre Ríos, Oro Verde, Argentina

- Fig. 1: what does the white arrow in Fig. 1c point to? Also, weak detection in EBL cells is not so obvious at 18hpf, maybe another arrow (head) would be helpful.
  
  **VS:** The white arrow indicates a single puncta adherens in contacting EVL cells. Following your suggestion, an arrowhead will point the weak detection in EBL cells at 18 hpf.

- Fig. 2: Comparison of E-cadh levels between stages: how far can it be excluded that immunodetection by the antibodies may be systematically different at different stages, considering the important changes in the epidermal cells, especially between 31 and 48hpf (hatching)? This comment also applies to Fig. 4, where in addition the differences between stages are either non-significant or dismissed by the authors.

  **VS:** If we understood your question, your concern refers to how we can explain differences in E-cadh expression whilst cells are changing in size and shape so distinctly between stages and in particular between 31 and 48 hpf.
  Actually, between 31 and 48 hpf there is no significant increase in cell density in the EVL (Fig 4b). Thus, we exclude that the appearance of more cell-cell contacts per area (decrease in cell size and increase in the percentage of hexagonal cells) is the main contribution to the observed difference in E-cadh expression in the EVL. This is explained in the text, but probably the asterisks are misleading in figure 4b, (we will correct that in the new version).
  The graphs in Figure 4 a and c clearly show this “trend” in the increase in the expression level from 31 to 48 hpf, either by quantifying cadherin along fixed volumes of cell-cell contacts, or in individual cells of the EVL. However, this increment was not enough to account for the significant difference observed globally (EVL+EBL) thus we conclude that is the growing detection of E-cadh at the EBL which contributes to this difference between 31 and 48 hpf.
  We did not dismiss the non-significant results shown in Figure 4 which are only for EVL. The Linear Mixed Model approach proved robust enough for our analysis based on intensity information.

Overall, this comment sheds some doubt onto the comparisons of intensities between
stages, while the changes in topology (polygon classes) is more secure. I was wondering whether counting of the puncta adherens, independent of intensity, could be performed to further support the conclusions.

We understand your concern and we are aware of the limitations that quantitative microscopy has, so far our intention was to be more accurate in measuring the change in protein expression than the amount itself of the protein at a particular stage. Even though, as you propose it is more secure to extract morphological data or counting puncta adherens, our approach supported by the LMM statistical method, allows analysing larger areas of epidermis being more representative and descriptive of the tissue.

Also, the weaker labelling of the deeper EBL layer would be further supported by performing immunodetection on sections.

It is true, but we prefer to take advantage of the in-toto labeling and imaging of the whole mounted embryos maintaining as much as possible an intact epidermis. In addition, as we do not have the resources to label specifically the basal cells for instance with an anti p63 antibody, we used this differential analysis of the global (EVL+EBL) and the EVL to account for the contribution of the E-cadh expression from the EBL.

With our approach we aim to implement an image processing routine for extracting fluorescence intensity and cell morphology features in a bilayer with a single immunofluorescence and acquisition procedure, which can be applied as well for live embryos using a transgenic reporter protein in laboratories with limited equipment and resources.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
A major concern with the analysis is the variability of the E-cadherin detection across the epidermis in single images (e.g. Figure 1e-h). The source of this variability was unclear. It was also unclear how the authors dealt with this variability for the quantifications.

The authors also noted “dot labeling in the cytoplasm” in panel 1d, but these puncta were not apparent in panel 1g which displayed the same developmental stage.

Statistical tests were not applied to the analyses of polygon classes in Figure 3. Also it was not stated whether SD or SE bars are shown in Figure 3b.

**Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?**
Yes

**Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?**
Partly

**Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?**
Yes

**If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?**
Partly

**Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?**
Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?**
Partly

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

---

**Author Response 25 Oct 2018**

**Valeria Sigot**, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de Entre Ríos, Oro Verde, Argentina

This paper describes the distribution of E-cadherin and the packing of cells within the developing epidermis of the zebrafish embryo. From 24-72 hours post fertilization the total amount of E-cadherin detected at all cell-cell contacts of the epidermis was found to increase. Over this same developmental period, hexagonal cells seemed to become more prevalent while tetragonal, octagonal and round cells become less frequent. Other parameters were quantified but were found not to be statistically significant between stages of development.
A major concern with the analysis is the variability of the E-cadherin detection across the epidermis in single images (e.g. Figure 1e-h). The source of this variability was unclear.

VS: If I understand well, your concern refers to the variability of E-cadh detection between stages and as well within single images (in fig 1 panel e-h). In this figure, single images (stages) are displayed as maximum intensity projections of 50 optical sections, in addition, they are larger than the ROIs used for quantitation aiming to show the curvature of the trunk and changes in cell morphology and density. As stated in the legend, they are contrast enhanced for visualization purposes. For quantitation, these and other images acquired were processed following the protocol (Suppl. File 1) and displayed in Figure 2, each panel representing a single ROI 3D of 50x50x6.6µm but here as the “sum of intensities” for 20 slices from which fluorescence intensity values were quantitated.

It was also unclear how the authors dealt with this variability for the quantifications.

VS: This was the main challenge of the approach because of the trunk morphology which changes during the studied period and the endogenous fluorescence of the yolk. Although the regions were selected at random along the trunk our criteria was to select the maximum ROI volume that cover a relatively flat region of the epidermis bilayer, with a minimum of 50 stacks including sections below and above the bilayer, to allow for proper restoration of fluorescence upon de-convolution and selecting regions from the yolk extension to the tail to minimize the contribution of the yolk fluorescence.

VS: The LMM (Linear Mixed Model) was employed to analyse the statistical differences of the quantitated intensity values between stages for the non-normal distribution of the data. This was selected as a suitable model to consider the variability of E-cadh detection between embryos within each stage. In this approach, the number of embryos per stage (5) and the number of ROIs/embryo (6) were set as a random effect and the stages (4) set as the fixed effect.

The authors also noted “dot labeling in the cytoplasm” in panel 1d, but these puncta were not apparent in panel 1g which displayed the same developmental stage.

VS: I have already replaced the image for a more evident one, to show the E-cadh cytoplasmic dot pattern along the trunk at 48 hpf.

Statistical tests were not applied to the analyses of polygon classes in Figure 3. Also it was not stated whether SD or SE bars are shown in Figure 3b.

MFS: Figure 3 shows the distribution of all the polygon classes found in the EVL, in the studied stages. Although the pie chart displays the percentage of x-sided polygons, this represents a qualitative observation of the results and as you suggest, it would be more convenient, to support our results, to make a bar graph showing the mean values per n with its corresponding dispersion measure (SD; Standard Deviation). It is intended to show that there are significant differences between the main classes of polygons found, applying the corresponding statistical tests.
MFS: It will be necessary to modify the legend of this figure, specifying that Figure 3a corresponds to a bar graph displaying mean percentages of x-sided polygons of embryos at 24 hpf (n = 81 cells), 31 hpf (n = 147 cells), 48 hpf (n = 133 cells) and 72 hpf (n = 102 cells). The bars will represent mean values with their standard deviations. The type of statistical analysis performed on the data and the p-value obtained will be specified.

MFS: Regarding Figure 3b this shows the mean area of cell types at 24 hpf (EVL n = 73), 31 hpf (EVL n = 147), 48 hpf (EVL n = 133) and 72 hpf (EVL n = 102). In the same way, it will be necessary to complete this legend with statistical test applied, the obtained p-value and indicate that the bars represent cell mean area and its standard deviations (SD). All the data to build these figures were obtained from 30 3D-ROIs in five animals for each stage. The excel sheet that shows all these values (mean ± standard deviation) and the new Figure 3 will be completed and uploaded in "data availability" section.

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.