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Abstract

Background: Rheumatoid arthritis is an inflammatory and chronic disease of the joints affecting 1% of the world’s population. Women are three times more likely to be affected than men. Many drugs are being used for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis but they often have severe side effects. C-C motif chemokine ligand 28 (CCL28) recruits leukocytes and other proinflammatory factors to the site of joint inflammation. The purpose of the present research is the computational evaluation of astragalin, a natural flavonoid extracted from black tea, as an inhibitor of CCL28 by in silico docking.

Methods: The three-dimensional structure of CCL28 to act as a molecular target was obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID: 6CWS). The quality of the CCL28 structure was assessed using Phyre2 and Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) software was used for binding affinity analysis. Astragalin served as a ligand for docking and naproxen, a known drug for rheumatoid arthritis, was used as a standard for comparison.

Results: In molecular docking, astragalin showed significant binding affinity with the CCL28 target molecule, with a binding energy of -5.40 kcal/mol, in comparison with naproxen which has a binding energy of -4.87 kcal/mol. Astragalin has strong binding affinity for CCL28 as compared to standard naproxen.

Conclusion: This study revealed that astragalin could have the potential to serve as an inhibitor of CCL28 for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis.
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Introduction
Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is an autoimmune inflammatory disease of synovial joints. The prevalence of RA is about 1% worldwide and women are three times more likely to be affected than men. The onset of RA is mostly seen in people aged 30–50 but can occur at any age. In the past two decades, research has advanced understanding of RA pathogenesis which has revolutionized RA treatment and led to the development of new therapeutic agents. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) are groups of drugs commonly used to control and alter the progression of RA. However, these medications cannot completely cure RA, are expensive and have some notable side effects. Therefore, the development of new therapeutics for RA with fewer side effects is required.

Astragalin (Kaempferol 3-O-beta-D-glucoside) is a flavonoid found in black tea, alcoholic beverages such as red wine and Cuscuta plants. Preliminary studies have shown it has potential pharmacological characteristics such as anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties. Computational analysis is an important technique for determining the potential of astragalin in inhibiting C-C motif chemokine ligand 28 (CCL28). Virtual screening tools provide an easy way to identify therapeutic targets suitable for multiple ligands.

CCL28, a mucosa-associated epithelial chemokine, is found in the epithelial cells of many tissues such as the lungs, guts and salivary glands. Studies have reported the involvement of CCL28 in RA angiogenesis, a process that occurs early in RA pathogenesis. RA angiogenesis is fostered by the interaction of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. The ligation of CCL28 to its receptor CCR10 is involved in B- and T-cell trafficking in RA pathogenesis.

The ability of astragalin to bind and inhibit CCL28 has not yet been reported. Therefore, in this paper we will describe the potential inhibition of the CCL28 target by an astragalin ligand using computational and bioinformatics tools.

Methods
Target protein structure
The three-dimensional crystal structure of the target protein CCL28 (PDB ID: 6CWS) was downloaded from the Protein Data Bank using a similar method to that carried out by Esther et al.

Preparation of ligand
The two-dimensional chemical structure of astragalin was accessed from the PubChem database (PubChem CID: 5282102) and drawn using Accelrys Draw 4.1 software with default parameters. The resulting MOE file of the ligand was converted into PDB format by Accelrys Discovery Studio 4.1 software using default parameters. The chemical structure of astragalin is shown in Figure 1. We used naproxen as standard drug which is being used against arthritis. The chemical structure of this molecule was taken from the PubChem database (PubChem CID: 156391).

Optimization of target and ligand
PDB coordinates of target and ligand proteins were optimized by energy minimization, 3D protonation and stable conformation parameters of Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) software (version 2014.0901) and files were saved in MDB format.

Quality estimation of target protein
The quality of the CCL28 predicted protein structure was estimated using the Phyre web server. The ProQ2 quality assessment and Ramachandran plot was applied for identifying the structural conformation of the proteins using the default parameters of the tool.

Binding site analysis
We computed and analysed the binding sites of target protein using the default parameters of the MOE software.

Molecular docking analysis
A computational ligand-target docking approach was used to determine structural complexes of CCL28 with astragalin in order to understand the structural basis of these protein targets specificity. Finally, docking was carried out by MOE based on RMSD and E-score. The energy of the interaction of these derivatives with the protein targets is assigned “grid point”. At each step of the simulation, the energy of the interaction of ligand and protein was evaluated using atomic affinity potentials computed on a grid. Molecular docking was performed to analyse the binding of the astragalin ligand with the active sites of the CCL28 target protein. The optimized ligand was docked with the target using MOE software with default parameters as described by Esther et al.

Results
Quality estimation of predicted CCL28 protein structure
The quality of the CCL28 predicted protein structure was estimated by the Phyre web server. Phyre provided a 3D structure of the target protein and the dimensions of the 3D structure in Å were X=48.506, Y=53.506 and Z=38.552. Analysis of the secondary structure of the target protein provided by Phyre.
revealed high confidence in the predicted structure, indicated by red regions in Figure 2, suggesting that the protein structure quality is high. The sequence profile graph shows that M residues are highly favourable, L and V residues are moderately favourable and remaining residues are less favourable. The ProQ2 quality assessment showed the quality of protein target and could be considered as “good” model indicating less mutation sites in the protein structure (Figure 3). The Ramachandran plot shows that approximately 98% amino acid residues of the target protein model exist in the most favoured region whereas <2% exist in the disallowed region. This Ramachandran plot representing the backbone conformation of CCL28 amino acid residues is shown in Figure 4.

Binding site analysis and molecular docking

Binding sites of the target protein were analysed using MOE software. Analysis revealed that His 99 and Thr 101 residues were present in a pocket of the target protein. The spatial orientation of the astragalin ligand in the binding pocket of the target is shown in Figure 5. In silico molecular docking using MOE software resulted in the successful docking of target CCL28 with the astragalin ligand. The binding energy of astragalin with CCL28 is -5.40 kcal/mol, as compared to standard naproxen which has a binding energy of -4.87 kcal/mol. A comparison of the binding energies and root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) values of astragalin and standard naproxen with the CCL28 target is shown in Table 1 and Table 2.

Figure 2. The CCL28 was modelled using the Phyre2 server. The sequence alignment of protein molecule shows high confidence, indicated by red colour, while blue indicates low confidence. 49% of the structure is in alpha helices, 11% is in beta-strands and 13% is in transmembrane helices.

Figure 3. The quality of the CCL28 model was estimated by ProQ2 of the Phyre2 server. Red colour indicates “Good” regions while blue represents “Bad” regions of the structure. The quality of model indicated the minimal disordered region (shown by broken lines).
Figure 4. The Ramachandran plot of the CCL28 protein target constructed by ProQ2 indicated whether the amino acid residues occur in the “favoured region” or “disallowed region” of the plot. For a “good” protein model there must be ≥90% amino acid residues in the most favoured region or <2% in the disallowed region of the plot.

Figure 5. Confirmation and pose of molecular docking interaction of astragalin as ligand molecule with CCL28 as target protein.
respectively. Results show that astragalin has strong binding affinity for the CCL28 target molecule as compared to naproxen. The ligand interaction module of MOE was used to view ligand interactions. Figure 6 shows astragalin interacting with CCL28 via hydrogen bonding with the His 99 and Thr 101 residues.

Discussion
The CCL28 protein is involved in the angiogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis as it recruits leukocytes to the site of joint inflammation. Inhibition of the CCL28 protein blocks the migration of leukocytes and other proinflammatory factors and this serves as a promising target for arthritis.

Methotrexate and leflunomide are DMARDs used for rheumatoid arthritis treatment. In a few patients, serious liver damage due to methotrexate has been observed. Similarly, leflunomide also exhibits certain side effects. These side effects have increased the need for the development of safe alternative, treatment approaches. Current research is focused on the development of bioactive molecules from natural resources which don’t have side effects. Astragalin isolated from the leaves of black and green tea possesses potential therapeutic effects including anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and anticarcinogenic activities. It may have the ability to inhibit the production of inflammatory mediators such as IL-1b, IL-6 and TNF-alpha.

Astragalin acts as an inhibitor and prevents the binding of CCL28 to its receptor CCR10 which is a primary step for the recruitment of leukocytes and formation of blood vessels inside inflamed joints of RA patients.

Table 1. Binding Energy (E SCORE), RMSD (root mean square deviation), MSEQ (molecule sequence number) and S (scoring function) values obtained during docking analysis of astragalin as a ligand molecule and CCL28 as a target protein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No.</th>
<th>MOL</th>
<th>MSEQ</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>RMSD</th>
<th>E SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Astragalin-CCL28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5.4045</td>
<td>2.6406</td>
<td>-5.4045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Astragalin-CCL29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.9543</td>
<td>2.271</td>
<td>-4.9543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Astragalin-CCL30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.7815</td>
<td>2.7907</td>
<td>-4.7815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Astragalin-CCL31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.625</td>
<td>2.7101</td>
<td>-4.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Astragalin-CCL32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.5687</td>
<td>2.6752</td>
<td>-4.5687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Astragalin-CCL33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.4539</td>
<td>2.604</td>
<td>-4.4539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Astragalin-CCL34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.4806</td>
<td>3.4983</td>
<td>-4.4806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Astragalin-CCL35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.9169</td>
<td>1.3223</td>
<td>-0.9169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Astragalin-CCL36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
<td>2.4669</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Astragalin-CCL37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8654</td>
<td>1.6814</td>
<td>0.8654</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Binding Energy (E SCORE), RMSD (root mean square deviation), MSEQ (molecule sequence number) and S (scoring function) values obtained during docking analysis of naproxen as a standard drug and CCL28 as a target protein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No.</th>
<th>MOL</th>
<th>MSEQ</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>RMSD</th>
<th>E_SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Naproxen-CCL28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.873</td>
<td>1.9432</td>
<td>-4.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Naproxen-CCL28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.794</td>
<td>4.5019</td>
<td>-4.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Naproxen-CCL28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.478</td>
<td>7.0793</td>
<td>-4.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Naproxen-CCL28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.334</td>
<td>2.1122</td>
<td>-4.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Naproxen-CCL28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.253</td>
<td>4.3536</td>
<td>-4.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Naproxen-CCL28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.050</td>
<td>2.1431</td>
<td>-4.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Naproxen-CCL28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.023</td>
<td>0.9265</td>
<td>-4.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Naproxen-CCL28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3.902</td>
<td>3.5261</td>
<td>-3.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Naproxen-CCL28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3.688</td>
<td>4.0819</td>
<td>-3.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Naproxen-CCL28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3.652</td>
<td>2.3819</td>
<td>-3.652</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6. Binding site atoms of CCL28 residues around ligand showed interaction of His99 and Thr101 amino acid residues of CCL28 with ligand atoms (carbon and oxygen atoms).

In silico docking studies of astragalin with CCL28 were carried out using MOE software\textsuperscript{16}. Lowest binding energy and root mean square values (RMSD) from docking studies indicate a strong interaction between ligand and target. In silico molecular docking showed successful binding of CCL28 with the astragalin ligand. The binding energy of astragalin with CCL28 is \(-5.40\) kcal/mol, a lower binding energy than that of naproxen with CCL28 which is \(4.87\) kcal/mol. These docking results suggest that astragalin can act as a potential inhibitor for CCL28.

Conclusion
Molecular docking results of astragalin with CCL28 showed that this ligand has strong binding affinity for CCL28. Therefore, astragalin may act as an important inhibitor of CCL28 to treat rheumatoid arthritis in the future.

Data availability

**Underlying data**
Structure of CCL28 from Protein Data Bank, Accession number 6CWS: [https://identifiers.org/pdb/6CWS](https://identifiers.org/pdb/6CWS).
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In the Introduction section:
- Information about RA angiogenesis and early RA pathogenesis may be provided.
- What is the chemical formula of astragalin and what are its biological function?
- What are the diseases in which the effect of astragalin is already reported?
- The information of other ligands that are reported to be inhibited by astragalin and hence can be potential inhibitors of other inflammatory diseases may also be provided.

In the Method section:
- Author used various software such as Accelrys Draw 4.1 software, Accelrys Discovery Studio 4.1 software, Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) software. However, why particularly was this software used? Information is missing. The importance of using each of these softwares used for the present study may be provided.

In the Results section:
- The statement “Analysis of the secondary structure of the target protein provided by Phyre revealed high confidence in the predicted structure” is unclear. It may be explained elaborately. How did the author arrive for a high confidence state in the predicted structure and what about a low confidence state? What are the criteria of a high confidence state? The analysis of results with respect to the figure may be explained elaborately.
- Also, the analysis ProQ2 quality assessment may be provided. Based on this analysis how the protein quality is decided to be good. Ref for ProQ2 quality assessment may also be provided.
- Further, the in silico molecular docking study using MOE software resulted in the successful
docking. What are the criteria of successful docking? How has a successful docking state been resulted? Also, why has naproxen been used as standard? What is the other standard recommended to be used in general in RA?

○ The in-silico validation could have been done for better results.

In the discussion section:
○ The results could have been discussed more elaborately for better impact.

○ It is not clear from the study why only one ligand is targeted. Other ligands could have been also studied and comparative analysis could have been provided.

○ Why and how astragalin is specifically targeted to inhibit C-C motif chemokine ligand 28 only?

The conclusion of the study could have been stronger:
○ The ref may be provided for the following lines:
  “The CCL28 protein is involved in the angiogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis as it recruits leukocytes to the site of joint inflammation”.
  “In a few patients, serious liver damage due to methotrexate has been observed”.
  “Astragalin isolated from the leaves of black and green tea ..... activities”.
  “Methotrexate and leflunomide are DMARDs used for rheumatoid arthritis treatment”.
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Yes, the current work is accurately presented including the recent literature. Authors have discussed about the recent updates and potential application of Astragalin. The role of C-C motif chemokine ligand 28 (CCL28) has been cited explaining the recruitment of leukocytes and other proinflammatory factors at the site of joint inflammation and arthritis.
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