Exploring the optimal duration of video recording in a post-discharge eLearning platform for cardiac patients [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Borut Kirn1,2

1Medical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, 1000, Slovenia
2Primarius C.I., Ljubljana, 1000, Slovenia

Abstract

Background: On a post-discharge eLearning platform for patients with coronary artery disease, videos are used as the main educational media. Medical content takes a long time to be thoroughly explained, frequently exceeding the viewer’s attention span. To find the optimal duration for such an educational video, we studied the retention of video watching.

Methods: In this study, 135 (88% male; age 62±9 years) patients with coronary artery disease actively used eLearning platform which included 60 video recordings with duration ranging from 21 sec to 303 sec. The videos were divided into two groups based on their duration (short < 100 sec and long > 100 sec). From the platform usage metadata, an average video retention rate was obtained as a ratio of video viewing time and total video duration for videos watched six times or more. Independent t-tests for mean values and f-test for variance were used to compare the groups.

Results: In total, 35 (18 short and 17 long) videos were included in the study and were viewed (mean ± SD) 22 ± 11 times. The mean duration of short videos was: 80 sec ± 14 sec and of long videos it was: 160 sec ± 51 sec. The retention rate in the short and long group was 0.99 ± 0.05 and 0.94 ± 0.19 respectively. Average values were not significantly different (P = 0.33), but variances were (P < 0.05).

Conclusions: This study shows that the effective attention span for a video recording of this kind, based on an eLearning set-up and local population, is up to 100 sec. Videos shorter than 100 sec are mostly watched fully without a break. In contrast, longer videos are often rewound, and parts watched again or not watched to the full length at all.
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Introduction

One issue surrounding recovery at home is poor utilization of instructions given to patients after being discharged from a clinic. It has been shown that patients and their families often experience information overload while at the clinic and often forget or misinterpret up to 85% of the instructions received. To solve this problem, eLearning has been put forward as a novel mode of delivering instructions to patients. In eLearning, reliable medically and scientifically sound videos are used to educate patients and their informal caregivers about the etiology, pathophysiology and treatment of their medical condition, doing so by addressing the following questions: “What has happened?” “What can you do by yourself?” and “Who can help you?”. Video “lectures” given by doctors, nurses, physiotherapists, psychologists and dietitians are recorded and edited into topic-related modules. In addition, peer patients share best recovery practice and experiences. Selected videos are complemented by simple texts, a short quiz or illustrations.

Most educational information is conveyed to the patients and their informal caregivers in video format. Therefore, it is crucial that the design of these recordings is thought through and executed carefully. The video recordings are obtained in raw format from interviews and are then post-processed to concisely deliver the required medical content. Deciding the duration of the video recording presents a challenge. If a video is long enough to fully explain the complex medical condition, there is a risk that the patient skips the video or stops watching midway, given that the usage of eLearning platforms is based solely on personal motivation. The optimal video duration to fulfill both requirements, to fully convey the medical content while retaining viewer attention, however, is not known. The purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of video duration on cardiac patients’ viewing behavior.

Methods

Study background

Within the scope of a large randomized clinical study conducted in Jessa Hospital, Hasselt, Belgium and in collaboration with Primarius platform developers ClinicalTrials.gov registration number NCT02475967. Ethical approval was given by the Ethical Committee of Jessa Hospital, Hasselt, Belgium (approval number 15.82/CARDIO15.11) and all included patients provided written informed consent. The metadata provided by Videe video streaming provider were analyzed. The analysis included 135 patients with coronary artery disease (88% male; age, 62±9 years) which actively used the provided post-discharge eLearning platform. Upon discharge, patients received a one-month access to a secure eLearning platform via a voucher containing anonymous personal login codes with which the contents were accessed, this way also assuring anonymity of the patients with respect to metadata acquisition. The patients used the eLearning platform at home and without supervision.

The content of the platform was composed of 20 topics, containing information about what has happened, what they can do by themselves and who can help them, presented from three perspectives—those of the clinician, patient and informal caregiver. Each topic contained three videos. In total there were 60 video recordings with duration ranging from 25 to 300 sec (Figure 1).

Videos

The videos were divided into two groups based on their duration. The short group included videos with duration <100 sec and the long group included videos with duration ≥100 sec. The video retention rate was defined as the ratio between video viewing time and total video duration. In addition, videos were divided into group featuring doctors and videos featuring peer-patients. Only videos that were watched six times or more were included in the analysis.

Statistical analysis

Independent t-tests for mean values and f-test for variance were used (Microsoft Excel 2016) to compare retention rates between the short videos and long videos groups. The differences in retention rate between the videos featuring doctors and videos featuring peer-patients were also determined.

Results

Characteristics of videos watched

Out of 60 available videos 35 were watched six times or more and were thus included in the analysis. They were viewed on
average 22 ± 11 times (Figure 2). Of the analyzed videos, 22 featured peer-patients and the rest (13 videos) featured (para-) medical professionals. With respect to their duration, 18 videos were classified as short, with an average duration of 80 sec ± 14 sec, and 17 were classified as long, with an average duration of 160 sec ± 51 sec (Figure 2). Individual results for each video are available as Underlying data.

Retention rates
In Figure 3 the retention rate of video watching is shown for each video with respect to its duration. A clear difference in the spread of retention rate is visible around the video duration length of 100 sec. The videos shorter than 100 sec have retention rate around 100%, ranging from 107% for shorter videos (60 sec) and decreasing towards 88% as videos duration increases towards 100 sec. The videos longer than 100 sec have a wide range of retention rates, ranging from 60% to 133% without any clear trend. The average retention rate of the short and long videos group was 0.99 ± 0.05 and 0.94 ± 0.19, respectively. Mean values were not significantly different (P = 0.33), but variances were significantly different (P < 0.05).

The timeline of meta data acquired from watching 4 different types of videos is shown in Figure 4. We found no significant difference (P=0.7) when comparing the retention of watching videos featuring patients (0.97 ± 0.14) to those featuring (para-)medical caregivers (0.95 ± 0.12).

Discussion
This study showed that videos which are shorter than 100 seconds are typically watched by patients without pause, while the videos longer than 100 seconds either stopped watching prematurely or frequently rewound and watched again. This finding is further supported by a clearly expressed negative slope of retention rate within the short video group. As expected, the longer the videos in this group the lower the retention rate. The study included 35 video recordings out 60 available to the patients which indicates that some topics are not as interesting for the patients as it was anticipated. Out of the 35 analyzed videos, two-thirds were featuring peer-patients and one-third the (para-)medical professionals. This difference shows the large interest of patients in peer-patient experiences, at least at the initial decision level, when deciding whether to watch the video or not.

The duration of the video is undoubtedly linked to the attractiveness of the content, the explanation provided by the featured interviewee and on the difficulty of the topic being discussed.
The main result of this study should be an increase in the awareness in the eLearning content creator community that videos as a main means of conveying information and educating should be carefully designed, because the natural attention span of most patients is up to 100 seconds.
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