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Abstract

Background: The search for a tissue-mass reducing reproductive hormone involved a bioassay-guided physicochemical fractionation of sheep blood plasma. This brought forth a candidate protein whose apparent mass on gels and in mass spectrometry (MS) was 7-8 kDa, implying a polypeptide of ~70 residues. Four purification runs gave Edman N-terminal sequences relating to MKPLTGKVKEFNNI. This is bioinformatically obscure and has been resistant to molecular biological investigation. The sequence was synthesized as the peptide EPL001, against which was raised a goat polyclonal antiserum, G530. Used in an antigen capture campaign, G530 pointed to the existence of a novel derivative of secretogranin II (SgII), the neuroendocrine secretory vesicle helper protein and prohormone. The proposed SgII derivative was dubbed SgII-70, yet the sequence commonality between SgII and EPL001 is essentially NNI.

Methods: Immunohistochemical (IHC) labelling with G530 is reported within rat, mouse and human cerebrovasculature and in glandular elements of the mouse intestine. Epitope mapping involved IHC peptide preabsorption, allied to deductive bioinformatics and molecular modelling in silico.

Results: G530 is deemed monoepitopic in regard to both its synthetic antigen (EPL001) and its putative endogenous antigen (SgII related). The epitope within EPL001 of the anti-EPL001 antibody is inferred to be the contiguous C-terminal KEFNNI. This is so because the G530 blockade data are consistent with the epitope in the mammalian endogenous antigen being part contiguous, part non-contiguous KE-F-NNI, ex hypothesi. The observed immunostaining is deduced to be due to pre-SgII-70, which has a non-C-terminal NNI, and SgII-70, which has an N-terminal MLKTGEKPV/N and a C-terminal NNI (these two motifs being in the reverse order in the SgII parent protein).

Conclusion: The present data are consistent with the hypothesis that the anti-EPL001 antibody binds to an SgII-related epitope. SgII is apparently subject to peptide splicing, as has been reported for the related chromogranin A.
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Introduction

Polyclonal antisera (hereafter ‘antibodies’) raised in rabbits and a goat to a synthetic peptide have provided neuroendocrine immunohistochemistry (IHC) images in mammals (human, sheep, rat) and in the embryo of the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster. But what exactly were the antibodies seeing endogenously? They had been raised as a result of a hunt for a tissue-mass inhibiting reproductive hormone. A peptide of 7-8 kDa (polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, MALDI-TOF MS) was found in sheep jugular vein plasma subjected to fractionation via ultrafiltration and guided by assays in vitro (internal organ reduction in rats) and in vivo (reduced division of rat bone marrow cells), but scant amino acid sequence data could be obtained before the target molecule was lost to view. In this prior work an unambiguous sequence obtained by automated step-wise Edman degradation (Applied Biosystems/PROCISE, Foster City, CA, US) was the N-terminal 14 amino acids MKPLTGKVKEFNNI, synthesized as a peptide designated EPL001. The preceding purification run provided the partially similar ML/KPLTGGAMEF, while a following run delivered the highly similar MKPLT/GKVXXFNNI. On another occasion readings at only four positions could be obtained, providing, however, in-register matches: - - P - - - Y - - FN. This was deemed significant as it involved a maximally purified bioactive fraction derived from ultrafiltered sheep plasma subjected also to gel filtration and anion exchange chromatography. The unambiguous 14-residue sequence is bioinformatically obscure and proved resistant to investigation by molecular biology approaches involving the use of oligonucleotide probes and RT-PCR to find matching sequences in DNA and RNA libraries and the use of anti-EPL001 antibodies to identify cDNA synthesized proteins. The 14 residues MKPLTGKVKEFNNI were synthesized as a peptide designated EPL001. A goat anti-EPL001 antibody was raised and designated G530. Apart from being used in IHC, G530 was deployed in an antigen capture campaign featuring immunoprecipitation (IP) with liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS), using two main feedstocks: aqueous extract of rat hypothalamus and fruit fly embryo material. The former was tested for bioactivity. It proved to have anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic effects in an assay in vitro involving rat bone marrow cells. This inhibitory influence was subject to prior immunodepletion by an anti-EPL001 antibody, except when peptide EPL001 was added as well during the immunodepletion process, achieving preabsorption. Multiple lines of evidence indicated that the mammalian antigen was likely to be a proteoform of secretogranin II (SgII), the neuroendocrine secretary vesicle helper protein and prohormone. At ~70 residues, this polypeptide derivative was dubbed SgII-70 (pronounced ‘sig two-seventy’). Cryopreserved material in IP/LC-MS delivered no credible candidate. ‘Likely SgII relatedness’ arose from rat hypothalamic aqueous extract subjected to formalin fixation and antigen retrieval. What works in IHC seems to have worked in IP/LC-MS. Meanwhile the fruit fly antigen appeared to be an uncharacterised protein, (UniProt ID Q9W2X8), which was newly recognised as having extensive homology in detail with SgII. Both the fly and mammalian candidates bear homologues of the other’s MS ID peptide.

The G530 IP/LC-MS campaign yielded a protein identified by the MS software as Q8CGL8, a splice variant of rSgII of 37.1 kDa, though it could equally have been full-length rSgII. This was the only item snare in both of the two forms of antigen retrieval used and so was to that extent the sole mammalian candidate, but SgII-70 itself was not bagged. In regard to EPL001, preliminary mapping of the epitope – defined as that part of an antigen molecule to which an antibody binds – involved dot blot analysis with G530 of three peptides: full length EPL001 and two component peptides, the N-terminal MKPLTG and the C-terminal KVKEFNNI. This showed that the synthetic epitope (singular for parsimony) resides in the C-terminal section of EPL001. Mammalian Q8CGL8 has the EPL001 C-terminal match V---NNI, while fly Q9W2X8 has K---NNI, sketchy resemblances both. Is the EPL001 sequence really related to these proteins?

A chance observation provided a platform for the current investigation, which amounts to an attempt to get beyond the frustrating vagaries of purification and instead use G530 in IHC to elucidate the primary sequence of whatever it is that the antibody sees endogenously, putatively SgII-70. SgII has a pair of domains which sort the protein intracellularly into secretory vesicles. It was noticed that the ovine-derived EPL001 sequence, MKPLTKVKVKEFNNI, finds a nine-residue resemblance in the second sorting domain of sheep SgII (W5QEU8). The nine-residue string, ‘sSgII-9’, is 367 MLKTGEKPV 375 (residue numbering with signal sequence). The shaded residues match those from the front half of EPL001, in the form of three doublets, separated by singleton matches to the residues in the second half of EPL001. Disregarding the apparent non-random interleaving of the front and back halves of EPL001, Spearman’s rank correlation between sSgII-9 and EPL001 is 0.59, a moderate positive correlation. The probability of a nine-residue partial anagram of EPL001’s 11 residues (i.e. minus NNI) occurring by chance in a typical ovine protein is about 1 in 146,000, as previously calculated. Going further, EPL001 and sSgII-9 have the same initial residue: methionine. The probability of this is 1 in 11. The overall likelihood therefore of there being a methionine-commencing nine-residue anagram is 1/146000 x 1/11 or about 1 in 1.6m. The likelihood of there being a nine-residue anagram and NNI in the same protein is tinier still. (NNI probably has sorting domain relatedness too, like sSgII-9.) Finally, there is an alignment of M - - - - - K 375 between EPL001, sSgII-9 and the homologue of SgII’s second sorting domain within fly Q9W2X8 that is also unlikely to be due to chance. Why EPL001 might be an encoded version of sSgII will be considered later (see Discussion). Comparing EPL001’s C-terminal section with sSgII sequence elements sets up the prediction that the endogenous epitope could involve six residues, thus:

\[367\text{ML} \text{KTGEKPV} \text{375} \text{K} \ F \text{256NNI} \text{01}\]

Or thus, reading sSgII-9 in reverse:

\[367\text{VPKEGTKLM} \text{367} \text{K} \ F \text{256NNI} \text{01}\]
These possibilities can be represented as K-E-F-NNI and KE-F-NNI, respectively. There are numerous other combinations of three or more residues from these SgIIIs sequence elements that match the order of residues in EPL001’s C-terminal section, such as K-V-F-NNI and V-KE-F-NNI. All are mixed, i.e. part contiguous, part non-contiguous, except NNI.

This paper attempts to deduce the endogenous epitope of the G530 anti-EPL001 goat antibody in mammals, via IHC peptide preabsorption studies on selected tissues (cerebrovasculature, gut), aided by deductive bioinformatics and molecular modelling in silico. Preabsorption, i.e. mixing of the antibody with antigen prior to application of the antibody, to block staining, has been achieved in western blotting with the C-terminal EPL001 peptide but not with the N-terminal peptide, in regard to aqueous extract of rat hypothalamus purified using an immunoaffinity column1. Both the western blotting and the immunopurification used G530. IHC is not described in a review of epitope mapping methods1, but is comparable to ELISA-based peptide-panel techniques for dissecting antigen-antibody interactions. The hypothesis here is that the G530 anti-EPL001 antibody binds to a SgII-related epitope; the null hypothesis is that it binds to something else. The hypothesis informed preabsorption peptide design and predicts that the endogenous epitope is probably a part non-contiguous version of EPL001’s presumed contiguous epitope. Data consistent with the SgII hypothesis and its epitope prediction are presented herein. This first attempt to elucidate the primary sequence implies that SgII-70 is the product of peptide splicing.

Methods

Antibody

The goat anti-EPL001 antibody was chosen for this IHC investigation because it had been used with success in the antigen capture campaign1 to disclose the target molecule’s apparent relatedness to SgII. Prior published IHC images have, however, been obtained predominantly using rabbit antisera, preferred in this application. The goat polyclonal antiserum (G530) was raised as described elsewhere2,3. A cysteine EPL001 peptide was synthesized conjugated at its N-terminus with the carrier protein KLH. The goat was injected simultaneously with antigen (400 µg) in PBS mixed with an equal volume of complete Freund’s adjuvant followed by eight booster injections at monthly intervals. An antibody dilution curve was obtained4. Titre was also established via IHC, with blockade of rat and ovine hypothalamic staining by EPL001 at 0.5 mg/ml. This and other examples of IHC preabsorption have been described previously4. No staining was seen with pre-immune serum. An antibody to LRP1 (ABP-PAB-10774) was obtained from a commercial supplier (Allele Biotech, San Diego CA), as was an antibody to SgII (ab192824, rabbit polyclonal to chromogranin C/SgII, raised to a recombinant fragment within human chromogranin C/SGII aa 1–277; Abcam UK).

Peptides

Peptides for use in IHC competition studies were synthesized by a commercial supplier (Peptide Protein Research Ltd, Fareham, UK). The peptides were manufactured to GLP using Fmoc solid phase synthesis. Purification involved RP-HPLC using water and acetonitrile as the mobile phases. Peptides were then analysed via LC-MS to determine mass and purity. All peptides were stored at -20°C prior to use. Amino acid sequences are given in Table 1, together with notes on provenance. A control peptide was deployed, in the form of EPL030. This is a random scrambling of the amino acid sequence of EPL001. Peptide design was informed by an earlier analysis1, expanded upon in Introduction, which conjectures that the EPL001 sequence can be decoded to reveal SgII.

Immunohistochemistry

Brain, small intestine, kidney and spleen tissue was obtained from mice (six C57/Bl6 male mice, supplied by Charles River Laboratories, approximately six months old and weight 35–45g). Mice were housed with free access to Global Rodent Maintained Diet (Harlan Teklad) and water. They were maintained in an ambient temperature of 21±1°C under a controlled light–dark photocycle (12:12 h), with lights on at 07:00 h. Mice were humaneely euthanised by overdose of sodium pentobarbitone. Brain tissue was also obtained from rats and humans. The rat details are as follows: four male Wistars supplied by Charles River Laboratories, six months old, 125–150 g; housed under a 12h light/dark cycle with ad libitum diet (Global Maintained Diet, Harlan Teklad); euthanasia via a sodium pentobarbitone overdose. The human details were thus: post-mortem cortex, aged control subjects, two male and two female, age 76–87 years; see Ethics. Formalin-fixed, wax-embedded blocks, cut into 7-µm sections and mounted onto slides, were used for IHC. Mounted sections of cerebral cortex from bovine brain were obtained from AMSBIO Biotechnology, Abingdon, OX14 4SE. Sections were dewaxed and rehydrated using Histoclear and alcohol dilutions. Antigen retrieval was carried out by microwaving the sections for ten minutes in citrate buffer pH 6.0. Following blocking of endogenous peroxidases (0.3% H2O2 in PBS for 30 minutes, for DAB sections only), sections were incubated overnight with primary antibody at a dilution of 1:4000. In initial preabsorption experiments, G530 was preincubated with a ten-fold excess of competing peptide for 30 minutes, before being added to the sections. Peptides were used at 10 µg/ml (vs G530 at ~1 µg/ml). Development of the sections was performed using biotinylated secondary antibodies at a 1:500 dilution (BA1000/RRID AB_2313606; BA-2000/RRID AB_2313581; BA5000/RRID AB_2336126), ABC reagents (PK-6100/ RRID AB_2336819, used according to manufacturer’s instructions) and a DAB kit (all Vector Laboratories, Peterborough, UK). Sections were briefly counterstained with Mayer’s haematoxylin solution before dehydration, mounting with DPX and coverslipping. For control experiments, the secondary biotinylated antibody was omitted. In some experiments, following incubation with G530 (± competing peptide), the secondary antibody was anti-goat Alex Fluor 568 used at a 1:500 dilution (A11079/AB_2534123; Invitrogen, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

G530 was preabsorbed with tripeptides based on the epitope-relevant C-terminal section of EPL001. This was with and without the EPL001 parent peptide. The aim of the ‘with EPL001’...
In an IHC dose-response study involving the EPL001 C-terminal tetrapeptide FNNI and alanine substituted versions thereof the tissue consisted of serial sections of mouse small intestine and coronal sections of rat brain. The G530 antibody (final dilution 1:4000) was incubated in primary incubation buffer (PBS + 0.3% Triton X-100 + 2% bovine serum albumin) with peptide (dissolved in high purity water) at dilutions between 0.1 ng/ml and 1 µg/ml (final peptide concentration) for 30 min prior to addition to sections (tissue dewaxed and rehydrated; antigen retrieval with citrate at pH 6.0). Sections were incubated overnight at 4ºC. Further development was with the anti-goat secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 568. Serial images of matching features, either within the walls of small cerebral blood vessels or in glandular elements of the mouse small intestine, were analysed using ImageJ version 1.52i with a fixed threshold to give a value for ‘area labelled’.

### Table 1. Peptide panel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Blockade of G530 labelling (gut &amp; cerebrovascular)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MKPLTGKVKEFNNI</td>
<td>Sheep (Ovis aries)</td>
<td>Edman N terminus of candidate polypeptide for inhibitory hormone, obtained via bioassay guided fractionation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEDDVYKTNNIAYEDVGGE</td>
<td>Rat (Rattus norvegicus)</td>
<td>‘Secretogranin II relatedness’ arose from G530 purified rat hypothalamus: section of sSgII (P10362) bearing EPL001’s <strong>NNI</strong>, which is also part of the EM66 processed SgII peptide. SgII in sheep (W5QUEU8) &amp; human (P13521) are DEDDIYK<strong>NNI</strong>AYEDVGGE</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRSKEQQK<strong>NNI</strong>SHHNYKLKN</td>
<td>Fruit fly (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>Section of G530 purified fly protein (Q9W2X8) bearing EPL001’s <strong>NNI</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLKTGEKPVKFNNIKGLEOF</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Speculative splicing of sections of sSgII</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLKTGEKPVKFNNI (EPL142)</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Second sorting domain of sSgII spliced to <strong>NNI</strong>: anagram of EPL001</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLKTGEKPVKFNNI (EPL143)</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Ditto with KF reversed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MKPVFNNI (EPL801)</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Shortened version of EPL142</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLKTGEKPKN (EPL373)</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Second sorting domain, hSgII-9</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MKPVFN (EPL601)</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Shortened version of EPL801</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLKMGKNIPEVT (EPL030)</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Sequence of EPL001 randomly scrambled as control peptide</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEFNNI (EPL536)</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>C terminus of EPL001</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFNNI (EPL545)</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GKV, KVK, KVE, KEF, EFN, FNN, <strong>NNI</strong></td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Triplets from the epitope-relevant C-terminal section of EPL001</td>
<td>Only <strong>NNI</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NNI</strong>, FNN, FNAI, FANI, <strong>ANNI</strong></td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>C-terminal tetramer of EPL001 and alanine substituted variants thereof</td>
<td>Yes, but <strong>ANNI</strong> at the highest concentration only (Figure 5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In an IHC dose-response study involving the EPL001 C-terminal tetrapeptide FNNI and alanine substituted versions thereof the tissue consisted of serial sections of mouse small intestine and coronal sections of rat brain. The G530 antibody (final dilution 1:4000) was incubated in primary incubation buffer (PBS + 0.3% Triton X-100 + 2% bovine serum albumin) with peptide (dissolved in high purity water) at dilutions between 0.1 ng/ml and 1 µg/ml (final peptide concentration) for 30 min prior to addition to sections (tissue dewaxed and rehydrated; antigen retrieval with citrate at pH 6.0). Sections were incubated overnight at 4ºC. Further development was with the anti-goat secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 568. Serial images of matching features, either within the walls of small cerebral blood vessels or in glandular elements of the mouse small intestine, were analysed using ImageJ version 1.52i with a fixed threshold to give a value for ‘area labelled’.

### Molecular modelling

Models in silico were developed using Molecular Modelling Pro Plus, version 6.22, and ChemSite, version 5.10, produced by ChemSW (Accelrys Inc., San Diego, USA; Avogadro is an open-access alternative). Models were constructed by sequential additions of amino acid residues. Each model was adjusted in conformation to minimize energy levels: energy minimization was carried out in 1-fs time steps, to a total of 10,000 fs, with 100 equilibrium steps per iteration. Iterations were continued until six repeat iterations yielded no change in energy gradient. Analysis of interatomic distances mostly involved atoms in amino acid side-chains. Distances between pairs of atoms were computed automatically after atoms were selected manually on-screen. Each measurement was repeated twice more after closing the model and reloading to verify the initial measurement. For EPL001’s **KEFNNI**, nine atoms were selected from side chains and two from the peptide backbone (Figure 6). This permitted 46 measurements, each atom to every other atom: a-b, a-c etc. Distances

---

Table 1. Peptide panel. Amino acid sequences, proprietary codes, species background, relationship to EPL001 or SgII and ability to preabsorb in IHC the anti-EPL001 antibody G530. Peptides were used at 10 µg/ml (vs G530 at ~1 µg/ml).
between the same atoms were calculated for KEFNNI as a free peptide, with comparisons reducing in number for free EFNNI through free FNNI to free NNI. Other ad hoc interatomic measurements are described in Results.

Statistical analysis
Calculations to provide p values in the IHC image analysis were conducted using unpaired t-tests. A chi-squared test was used for interatomic distance comparisons in the molecular modelling, with measurements from the EPL001 model in silico representing expected (E) interatomic distances and measurements from the modelled free peptides (KEFNNI, EFNNI, FNNI, NNI) as observed (O) distances. Chi-squared values were calculated on the basis of (O-E)^2/E.

Ethics
All experimental procedures were conducted in strict compliance with applicable laws, regulations, rules and professional standards, with appropriate ethical oversight. The G530 antiserum was raised in compliance with the Australian Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act 1986, with procedures approved by the relevant Animal Ethics Committee. The provision of animal tissue for histology in the UK was licensed in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. Human post-mortem tissue sections were provided, with ethical approval, by courtesy of Brains for Dementia Research Network (Alzheimer’s Society and Alzheimer’s Research UK). Data integrity has been maintained throughout, without outlier exclusions and with appropriate recording and archiving.

Results
Labelling of antibody G530
Antibody G530, raised to the 14mer peptide EPL001, demonstrated labelling within the walls of cerebral blood vessels in mouse, rat and human, in a manner not previously described. The labelling was observed in the walls of arteries and arterioles, but not capillaries and appeared to be associated with the fibroblast and smooth muscle layers surrounding the contractile vessels (Figure 1). Co-labelling employing an antibody to LRP1 confirmed the vascular G530 labelling to be within blood vessel walls (Figure 2). In mouse small intestine sections, G530 produced labelling within the muscularis mucosae and possibly some columnar epithelium but no labelling within lamina propria (Figure 3), consistent with prior findings. In other tissues evaluated prior to the study proper, labelling of blood vessel walls was observed within mouse spleen and kidney and bovine (this paper) and ovine brain. Labelling was noted within cortical neurons of the species examined, with preabsorption in one series by EPL001 but not in another. The greater reliability of the cerebrovascular staining commended this as a focus in the present study. An antibody to SgII did not produce any labelling of mouse, rat and human cerebral blood vessels; although labelling was observed in the mouse small intestine, this bore no relation to G530 labelling. Raw images used to generate Figure 1–Figure 5 and Table 1 are available as Underlying data.

Peptide competition experiments
In initial peptide competition experiments, labelling within both human and mouse cerebral blood vessels and mouse small intestine was prevented by preincubating G530 with its cognate peptide EPL001 (Figure 3). Table 1 shows that peptides with a C-terminal NNI block labelling. Thus, effective blockers, in straightforward competition with the native antigen for antibody binding, are the NNI-concluding 14mers EPL142 and EPL143 (Figure 4), MKPVFNNI and the EPL001 C-terminal fragments KEFNNI, EFNNI and FNNI. Ineffective are six peptides: the fly and rodent SgII 20mer homologs

Figure 1. Immunohistochemical labelling within the walls of human cerebral blood vessels. Sections of human cingulate cortex were labelled by antibody G530 as described in Methods. (A–D) Cerebrovascular wall labelling at differing magnifications (scale bars: A = 500 μm; B, C = 25 μm; D = 10 μm). (E) Lack of labelling (arrowed) in cerebral capillary walls (scale bar = 500 μm).
Figure 2. Immunofluorescent labelling within the walls of human cerebral blood vessels. Sections of human cingulate cortex were labelled by antibody G530 as described in Methods. (A) Labelling by an antibody to LRP-1. (B) Labelling by G530. (C) Merge of (A) and (B). Scale bars, 50 μm.

Figure 3. Preabsorption of G530 labelling by peptide EPL001. Wax sections of cerebral cortex, human (A, B) and mouse (C, D), and of mouse small intestine (E, F) were incubated with either antibody G530 (A, C, E) or G530 preabsorbed by a ten-fold excess of cognate peptide EPL001. Scale bars: (A–D) = 100 μm; (E, F) = 25 μm.
Figure 4. Preabsorption of G530 labelling of elements within the wall of mouse small intestine by different peptides. G530 was preincubated for 30 min with a x10 excess of potential preabsorption peptide before application to wax sections of mouse small intestine. (A) G530 alone. (B) G530 + EPL001. (C) G530 + EPL030. (D) G530 + EPL142. (E) G530 + EPL143. Amino acid sequences of peptides are shown in Table 1. Scale bars, 10 μm.

and the 20mer extended form of EPL142 (EPL122), all with mid-sequence NNIs; a scrambled-sequence version of EPL001 lacking NNI but with a mid-sequence NI, KLKMNGKNEPVFT; and two peptides lacking NNI altogether but terminating in N, in one case FN: MLKTGEKPN and MKPVFN. NNI was the only one of seven EPL001-related trimers that preabsorbed G530. Given this result, the attempt was not made to co-administer NNI and EPL001, inhibitors both. The other six trimers co-administered separately with EPL001 were ineffective as counter-inhibitors. EPL001 administered alone achieved near-total preabsorption across a range of concentrations (mean ± SEM, n = 4): 0.1 ng/ml = 0.56 ± 0.56; 1 ng/ml = 0.30 ± 0.30; 10 ng/ml = 0 ± 0; 100 ng/ml = 0.09 ± 0.05; and 1 µg/ml = 0 ± 0. Preabsorption titration across the same range of concentrations then focussed on FNNI, EPL001’s C-terminal tetramer, via alanine substitutions. Three tetramers in the form F - - I returned concentration-response curves; two tetramers in the form - - - I and F - - - did not (Figure 5). Labelling was actually increased by ANNI, except at the highest concentration. Preabsorption with EPL001’s C-terminal tetramer FNNI was asymptotic at the highest concentrations, with 2.7% staining (G530 alone bring 100%) at 100 ng/ml (~0.2 µM/L) and 3.5% at 1 µg/ml. Preabsorption was further investigated using EPL001’s C-terminal hexamer KEFNNI. At 100 ng/ml (~0.13 µM/L) the area of staining (as % of G530 staining without competing peptide) was 29.53 ± 9.69 (n = 8, p = 0.062, not significant (NS) vs preabsorption by EPL001 at 100 ng/ml), while at 10 µg/ml it was 4.7 ± 2.4 (n = 3, p = 0.12, NS). (The corresponding figures for MKPVFNNI were 44.40 ± 11.85, n = 8, p = 0.028 vs EPL001 and 3.92 ± 1.97, with n = 3, p = 0.11, NS.) In approximate terms, the IC_{50} preabsorption trend is as follows: EPL001 < 0.1 ng/ml; FNNI ≤ 10 ng/ml; KEFNNI ≤ 100 ng/ml.

Interatomic distances between residues of different peptide sequences

The interatomic distances calculated via molecular modelling (Figure 6) yielded on statistical analysis a proportion of (O-E)^2 values >10, betokening a big difference between observed and expected, as follows: KEFNNI, 39%; EFNNI, 11%; FNNI, 5%; NNI, 25%. Free FNNI is indistinguishable from EPL001’s FNNI in statistical terms (Chi^2 = 4.54, degrees of freedom (df) = 19, 99.5% confidence level). The null hypothesis, that there is no correlation between EPL001 and, separately, KEFNNI (Chi^2 = 111.31, df = 45, NS), EFNNI (Chi^2 = 23.67, df = 35, NS) or NNI (Chi^2 = 4.36, df = 7, NS), was accepted for the other comparisons of interatomic distances (see Underlying data). Interatomic distances were also compared between the Ks and E in the C-terminal section of EPL001 (KV K EFNNI) and those in sSgII-9: ML KT G E K P V (see Introduction). The same side chain measurements (in Å) were made for these sequences as for the K and E in EPL001’s KEFNNI. Using the letters given in Figure 6, EPL001’s K7-E10: a-b = 3.06, a-c = 3.49, EPL001’s K9-E10: a-b = 11.09, a-c = 12.24. sSgII-9’s K3-E6: a-b = 3.12, a-c = 2.77. sSgII-9’s E6-K7: a-b = 11.58, a-c = 11.92. Interatomic measurements (20 in total) were made of the FNNI in an in silico model of MKPVFNNI (EPL801, Table 1). These were compared with FNNI measurements in EPL001’s KEFNNI and in free KEFNNI. The FNNI distances for EPL001 and EPL801 are similar, with the KEFNNI results very dissimilar (see Underlying data). F-I measurements for EPL001 are as follows (using letters as given in Figure 6), with EPL801 data in parentheses: d-f = 6.79 (6.34), d-g = 5.49 (7.40), e-f = 7.45 (6.90), e-g = 8.45 (8.96). The figures for KEFNNI are: d-f = 10.57, d-g = 13.56, e-f = 12.21, e-g = 14.01. A chi-squared test of all 20 measurements with EPL001 data as expected showed that the
Figure 5. Preabsorption of G530 labelling by C-terminal tetramer (FNII) and alanine substituted variants thereof. (A) G530 (dilution 1:4000) was incubated for 30 min with tetramers at concentrations of 0.1 to 1000 ng/ml before application to the sections for IHC. Graph shows staining intensity (ImageJ) expressed as a percentage of G530 signal in absence of tetramer. Consistency of results invited data aggregation (murine gut, rat cerebrovasculature). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM of four determinations. The horizontal axis effectively represents the full preabsorption achieved with EPL001 at all concentrations. (B) Statistical comparisons (p values) are shown in the matrix for data points at 100 ng/ml (~0.2 μM).

**Figure 6. Model in silico of peptide EPL001.** Minimized molecular model of the 14mer MKPLTGKVKEFNNI. Carbon = green; nitrogen = blue; oxygen = red; sulphur = yellow. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Within the putative epitope KEFNNI (LYS 9 – ILE 14) atoms are indicated (a–k) that were used to determine interatomic distances. a = nitrogen in the side-chain of K9; b = delta carbon in E; c = hydroxyl oxygen in the side-chain of E; d = beta carbon in F; e = gamma carbon of F; f = peptide bond carbon of I; g = delta carbon of I; h = gamma carbon of N13; i = nitrogen of the side-chain of N13; j = peptide bond carbon of N12; k = nitrogen of side-chain of N12.
FNII in MKPVFNII is highly similar to t1FNIIa in EPL001: Chi² = 4.33, dof = 19, 99.5% confidence level. The K2-F5 gaps in MKPVFNII are a-d = 8.91 and a-e = 9.42, taking ‘a’ as the side-chain nitrogen of K2. For comparison, KEFNNI’s figures for K1-F3 are a-d = 8.69 and a-e = 11.14, while EPL001’s K9-F11 gaps are a-d = 11.38 and a-e = 10.37.

**Discussion**

This report describes the unusual situation where the identity is unclear of an endogenous antigen of an antibody raised to a synthetic peptide, itself of problematic sequence. Epitope mapping is being used here to help solve a purification puzzle, the pieces of which are ‘EPL001’, ‘G530’ and ‘SgII’. It has been demonstrated previously that a goat polyclonal antiserum (G530) raised to the synthetic peptide MKPLTGKVKEFNNI (EPL001) labels neuroendocrine and other tissues in various mammalian species and that the endogenous antigen likely relates to secretogranin II (SgII). To determine the endogenous epitope at amino acid resolution, the present report uses IHC, after previous immunoblotting showed that the synthetic epitope resides within or comprises KVKEFNNI, EPL001’s C-terminal section. Conventional epitope mapping techniques involve X-ray crystallography, nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometry, MS, phage display, ELISA and mutagenesis, with electron cryomicroscopy a recently developed method of revealing the structures of antibody-antigen complexes. MS-based epitope mapping has been reviewed, with studies involving synthetic peptide antigens ranging from 47 residues down to 14, as here. In the latter case of a 14mer peptide, antibodies were interrogated via a panel of synthetic peptides, with alanine substitution, using immunoaffinity-MS and, separately, dot blotting and ELISA. Epitope mapping has been reported for a granin, chromogranin A, using ELISA with a panel of overlapping peptides. The approach used in the present study has been to probe an enigmatic native antigen in situ because of its resistance to purification. A panel of IHC preabsorption peptides included overlapping trimers, plus a series of alanine-substituted C-terminal tetramers. Immunolabelling is described within the walls of mouse, rat and human cerebral blood vessels and in the wall of the mouse small intestine. Although the EPL001 peptide displays anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic activities in vitro and tissue-mass reducing properties in vivo (with relevant immunoneutralizations by anti-EPL001 antibodies in vitro and in vivo), implying that an endogenous analogue might do likewise, functional aspects are not a concern in the current report. Neither is the import of the histomorphology. Instead, for antigen elucidation, the focus is exclusively on what the anti-EPL001 antibody binds endogenously in a detailed molecular sense.

The efficacy of preabsorption and the absence of non-specific binding confirm the ostensible specificity of G530. But to what is it specific? The deployment of two-dozen 3–20mer synthetic peptides in competitive preabsorption studies has demonstrated the importance of a C-terminal NNI in blocking G530 labelling. Although a phenylalanine residue adjacent to the NNI sequence does not appear essential for competition, as the NNI trimer preabsorbs G530 on its own, three N-containing tetramers in the form F - - I, including EPL001’s C-terminal FNII itself, each delivered the semblance of a concentration-response curve, while two tetramers in the forms - - - I and F - - - did not. In a preliminary analysis, the epitope in the mammalian endogenous antigen could be a C-terminal tetramer, FNII. Granted EPL001’s ovine provenance, there are no full-length proteins with a C-terminal FNII in that part of the TrEMBL database devoted to Ovis aries (personal communication, Chris Mundy, independent bioinformatician, Liverpool, UK). There are three in a total of 26,443 proteins C-terminating in NNI: two uncharacterised proteins (W5Q2R9 and W5QFS6) and sheep ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (C5IS99). All have similar sequences C-terminating in MNNI and 152/153 residues, double the expected number from the purification campaign. None of these bears any sequence resemblance to EPL001 beyond the possession of C-terminal NNI. The same is true of 80 hits C-terminating in NNI in 499,317 all-species sequences in UniRef50, though four more terminate in FNIII without otherwise resembling EPL001 to a significant degree. None ends in EPL001’s C-terminal EFNII. That leaves the possibility of a proteolytically derived peptide, converting a non-C terminal NNI into a C-terminus, but there are no searchable databases of such items.

Among endogenous antigens, 10% are contiguous in that they involve a sequence of neighbouring amino acids along a protein backbone. The other 90% are either entirely non-contiguous, comprising non-consecutive amino acids brought together in space by protein folding, or mixed contiguous and non-contiguous. It has been hypothesized that the native antigen of G530 is related to SgII and that it is mixed, perhaps K-E-F-NNI or K-E-F-NNI, corresponding to EPL001’s conjectured maximum likely contiguous epitope KEFNNI (see Introduction). If KEFNNI endogenously were fully contiguous, then the triplets KEF, EFN and FNN might be expected to block the antibody, but they don’t – though FNII extended to FNNA does to a modest extent (Figure 5). That NNI alone among the trimers successfully preabsorbed G530 indicates that NNI is probably the only contiguous epitopic element endogenously. The sole NNI in the SgII parent protein is not preceded by an F (see Table 1, third item, for the relevant sequences of rSgII, hSgII and sSgII). This implies that the endogenous epitope is thus at least minimally mixed, in the form of F·NNI – and that this is why alanine substitution can be used successfully to probe this part of the epitope. Epitopes cannot be predicted reliably from amino acid sequences, according to a survey of MS epitope mapping, with structure-based rules lacking. This review found 57 relevant papers from 1986–2015, disclosing 63 epitopes. These ranged in size from 4–71 amino acid residues, with a mean of 15, median of 12 and mode of 8. The present epitope might be F-NNI, but smallness renders this unlikely. That free FNII is markedly less preabsorptive than EPL001 supports the view that there is more to the epitope than F-NNI.

‘SgII relatedness’ arose on the basis of the present antibody’s deployment in an antigen-capture campaign directed at rat hypothalamus aqueous extract. SgII itself has been...
described previously in secretory granules in human astrocytes and in mouse cerebellar brush cells, as well as in rat lateral hypothalamic neurons and endocrine cells of the small intestine. The tissue distribution of SgII, however, does not match that of the G530 binding site (as reported here and discussed previously). The G530 immunopurification campaign did not bring forth SgII-70 as such, but a larger protein identified by the MS software as Q8CGL8, a splice variant of rSgII. This item has a single non-C terminal NNI that the present work suggests would not be seen by the antibody. It can, however, be surmised that such an NNI might be seen in the presence of other relevant co-located non-contiguous epitope residues, notably F. This is perhaps why FNNA is preabsorptive. Western blots with G530 on sheep serum and rat PC12 conditioned medium visualized single bands at ~7+ kDa (op. cit.). These monobands related to extracellular secreted entities. In contrast, rat hypothalamus yielded three or more close bands around 7+ kDa, whether the aqueous extract was subjected to anion exchange chromatography or purified using a G530 affinity column. Staining intensity increased down the gels, with all bands preabsorbed by EPL001. The hypothalamic bands represent intracellular forms. They could be intermediates in the processing of SgII-70 towards secretion. This suggests that a non-C-terminal NNI becomes C-terminal, with the IHC exhibiting pre-SgII-70 as well as SgII-70. In this model, G530 is monoepitopic in both senses, towards the synthetic antigen and the endogenous antigen, but in the latter case there is more than one (appropriately folded) SgII-related form.

G530 labels features within the walls of the cerebrovasculature, labelling which is likely to represent at least in part the smooth muscle cell layer. This interpretation is strongly supported by the association of this labelling with that for LRP1, a marker for smooth muscle cells. No association has been reported between SgII and vascular smooth muscle cells, although secretoneurin (SN), a 33mer peptide derived from the proteolytic processing of SgII has angiogenic properties. The SN sequence does not contain NNI and has no overlap with that of EPL001. Another SgII peptide is EM66. This 66mer does possess SgII’s NNI but the sequence of EM66 otherwise does not resemble that of EPL001 and includes no F. If a peptide, possibly with a C-terminal NNI, is processed from SgII then it must be derived via a different proteolytic pathway than SN or EM66.

Immunostaining was paradoxically enhanced by ANNI (Figure 5 and Table 1, where ANNI is recorded as the NNI sequence in hSgII and sSgII). Binding of this tetramer to tissue can be suspected, via its alanine N terminus, providing additional NNI epitopes for the antibody to bind. The 14mer peptide EPL143 was preabsorptive (Table 1). In this case a culminating NNI is preceded by K, showing that G530 may be able to recognize any C-terminal NNI, though the F tetramer data indicate that this is not the endogenous epitope in full. Molecular modelling upheld the immunosorbert trimer NNI as a passable representation in space of EPL001’s ANNI, although the likeness narrowly escaped statistical significance. Referring to three peptides in particular, the spatial resemblance in each case to EPL001’s ANNI is sufficiently associated>KEFNNI (both significantly associated)>KEFNNI (NS). In contrast, the preabsorption power ranking is FNNI>KEFNNI>MKPVFNNI. The activity of KEFNNI supports the relevance of KE to the endogenous epitope, in addition to FNNI. (The relative weakness and variability of KEFNNI as a preabsorptive agent and the divergent dimensions of the hexamer from the parent peptide made alanine substitution of the hexamer an unpromising option.) MKPVFNNI, with lower immunosorberence, lacks an E. This indicates that E is a key component in the endogenous epitope, especially as the K-F gaps are similar in KEFNNI and MKPVFNNI.

Ovine SgII-9 is MLKTGEKPV (see Introduction), while human SgII-9 has one difference, involving a dissimilar type of amino acid: MLKTGEKP. As IHC staining is seen in tissue sections from both species, the V in sSgII-9 and hence in EPL001’s C-terminal section (KYKEFNNI) is arguably irrelevant to the epitope. Side-chain interatomic distances (Figure 6: a-b and a-c) between K and E in sSgII-9 (MLKTGEKPV) are strikingly smaller, at ~3 Å, than those relating to the KE in EPL001 (MKPLTGKVEFNNI), but those of EK in sSgII-9 (MLKTGEKPV), at a little under 12 Å, are similar to those of EPL001’s KE. Leaving aside any contribution to the epitope of nearby peptide backbone atoms and potential reverse-sequence steric differences, this first-order fit supports the deductions that the synthetic epitope of the G530 antibody is EPL001’s KEFNNI (Figure 6, LYS 9 – ILE 14) and that the endogenous epitope is KE-F-NNI. This latter refines an earlier prediction of K-E-F-NNI. By species, the SgII-related epitopes are proposed to be: sheep (WSQEUE8), KE-F-NNI; human (P13521), KE-F-NNI; rat (P10362), KE-F-NNI; and mouse (Q03517), KE-F-NNI.

The foregoing is consistent with there being a peptide derivative of SgII of ~70 residues that N-terminates in MLKTGEKPV/ N and C-terminates in NNI, with these motifs sufficiently close together in space to be seen by an antibody. This is a piquant deduction because of residue numbering, which in SgII is as follows: KE-F-NNI. Reverse peptide splicing is implied by the present results or splicing from separate SgII molecules. Peptide splicing has been reported for another granin protein, chromogranin A, in an SgII-relevant intracellular locus, the secretory vesicle.
machine did not provide a faithful N-terminal sequence (except for the initial methionine). Instead, it read available super-
ficial residues, of the sort recognized indeed by antibodies. EPL001 thus represents epitope mapping by aberrant Edman sequencing. Hence an anti-EPL001 antibody recognizes sSgII-70. The reason that Edman sequencing was befuddled is deduced to be sSgII-70’s structure (relating perhaps to sorting domain chemistry), which lends itself to depolymerisation on the machine’s analytical matrix, a subject for further work.

Probing, via IHC preabsorption, an endogenous epitope that might be non-contiguous using a panel of short synthetic pep-
tides, while requiring careful interpretation and a guiding hypothesis, has proved productive. A key insight is that antibody binding can be blocked with less than a full complement of epitope residues. Within the EPL001 14mer peptide MKPLTG-KVKEFNNI, the epitope of the anti-EPL001 G530 antibody is evidently KEFNNI. This must be so, as the endogenous epitope is deemed to be KE-F-NNI, a mixed contiguous and non-
contiguous antibody binding site, as predicted by the hypothesis of antigen relatedness to SgII. The present data are thus consist-
ent with the hypothesis that the anti-EPL001 antibody binds to an SgII related epitope. The postulated SgII-70 evidently N-terminates in MLKTGEKPV/N and C-terminates in NNI. The next desideratum, en route to hormone substantiation, is a full primary sequence.

Data availability

Underlying data

Figshare: Immunohistochemical labelling within the walls of blood vessels in the human cingulate cortex (BA24) with antibody G530. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.985536.v16. This project contains raw images from Figure 1.

Figshare: Human visual cortex labelled with G530 antibody or a commercial antibody to LRP1. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.9879719.v17. This project contains raw images from Figure 2.

Figshare: Immunohistochemical labelling by antibody G530: blocking with cognate peptide. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.9879734.v18. This project contains raw images form Figure 3

Figshare: Immunohistochemical labelling of mouse small intestine by antibody G530. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.9879773.v19. This project contains raw images form Figure 4.

Figshare: Immunohistochemistry with antibody G530 - tittering with tetramers. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.9884996. This project contains raw images form Figure 5.

Figshare: Immunohistochemical labelling of mouse small intestine and rat brain by antibody G530 - Table data. https://doi.
or/10.6084/m9.figshare.9884363.v111. This project contains raw images behind Table 1.

Figshare: Immunohistochemical labelling of mouse small intestine by antibody G530 - competition by tetramers. https://doi.
or/10.6084/m9.figshare.9884534.v113. This project contains raw data discussed in the Results section.

Figshare: Immunohistochemical labelling of blood vessels within human visual cortex (BA17) and in mouse small intestine by antibody G530 - competition by KEFNNI and MKPVFNNI. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.9884624.v114. This project contains raw images from Figure 5.

Figshare: Immunohistochemical labelling of mouse small intestine by antibody G530 - competition by tripeptides. https://doi.or/10.6084/m9.figshare.9913040.v115. This project contains inter-atomic distances for peptide sequences assessed in the study.
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This study describes an attempt to clarify which epitope an antibody raised to a candidate peptide EPL001, G530, binds to.

A rather crude methodology of using IHC with preabsorption of G530 with tripeptides was applied. One would normally expect a couple of techniques to be used to confirm the sequence, such as using a more sophisticated and definitive analytical method such as using MS, whereas in this study they have relied on the presence or absence of immunolabelling on tissue sections, which is rather more subjective. The findings would have been more convincing if backed up using a more sophisticated analytical technique.

As it stands I think the study would need to provide further experimental evidence to be worthy of indexing, such as including experiments where functional studies looking at SgII binding/blocking are carried out with G530 along with a known SgII antibody.
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We thank Dr Shnyder for his input. The factor under investigation is refractory to MS, a constraint now highlighted in the revised version of the paper. A research impasse called for highly unusual measures: the deployment of epitope mapping in a factor hunt (as spelt out in a new first sentence to **Introduction**), the use of IHC for epitope mapping (with automated image analysis to eliminate subjectivity), the adoption of rarified bioinformatics (newly uprated, see **Discussion** in particular), bespoke statistics (also uprated) and molecular modelling. Confirming for Dr Shnyder, a known antibody to SgII was used in the study as a comparator and found to yield a different staining pattern to that of G530. To clarify the situation this sentence has been added to **Discussion: ‘So, G530 does not see full-length SgII.’** The paper describes novelty under duress. The pursuit of an elusive factor goes on.
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In the manuscript by Howlett et al. the authors report on their findings and thoughts on two main topics: (i) attempts to identify the endogenous antigen protein(s) which is (are) decorated by a polyclonal antibody (pAb), named G530, and through which are visualized e.g. certain blood vessel structures in tissues from different origin by IHC, and (ii) investigations targeted on defining the dominating epitope structure, starting with the amino acid sequence "MKPLTGKVKEFNNI", named EPL001, which was taken to raise G530 and for which IHC is used as readout.
The authors' research subjects are of high interest for the scientific community and stand in context with developing and applying state-of-the-art methods for elucidating antibody epitopes which is a necessity to understand scope and limitations of the applicability of antibodies as sophisticated research tools, as diagnostic tools, and so on.

The authors' work underlying hypothesis seems to be: “Once the antibody's target structure (which in effect is the antigen's epitope structure) has been identified, the “endogenous” antigen(s) is (are) identified as well”.

While this hypothesis seems logical and following it straight forward for successful antigen identification, the authors face the problem that the amino acid sequence stretch “MKPLTGKVKEFNNI” - which previously had been derived from Edman sequencing - cannot be matched to any known protein sequence of any of the species whose tissues had been investigated by IHC. Unfortunately, neither in this nor in the authors’ previous manuscript on the subject was the Edman sequencing result confirmed (see ref. 1 of Howlett et al.). Instead the authors admit indirectly that a rather poorly defined protein source had been applied for Edman sequencing (quote: “…but scant amino acid sequence data could be obtained before the target molecule was lost to view.”).

In an attempt to overcome this shortcoming the authors speculate that the experimentally determined amino acid sequence “MKPLTGKVKEFNNI” might originate from a protein’s partial peptide which was produced by peptide splicing. The idea to assume peptide splicing as a cause of the determined amino acid sequence is driven by (rather weak) amino acid sequence similarities which seemed to point to SgII (or SgII-70) as a potential source of the amino acid sequence in question. Unfortunately, the authors do not provide evidence that peptide splicing should occur with SgII as substrate within the tissues which had been investigated by IHC.

SgII was taken into consideration by the authors because this protein had been listed as potentially identified by IP followed by LC-MS analysis, as is stated. The authors report that in this particular case SgII identification was based on a single peptide match - out of a protein that in case of coming from Drosophila contains 1220 amino acids - when setting an FDR of 5%. Following suggested standards (see Carr et al. 2004; Mol. Cell. Proteom. 3, 531-533, 2004) this identification result would rather be considered questionable. Of even more importance, finding a potential target protein by IP followed by LC-MS cannot replace precise characterization of an antigen's total amino acid sequence prior to performing epitope mapping experiments. For determining an unknown amino acid sequence on the protein level, see e.g. Yefremova et al. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. (2015) 26:482-492.

Next, instead of repeating and/or improving antigen identification upon IP (or by other means) and despite not having unequivocally characterized the assumed antigen's amino acid sequence, the authors had raised a polyclonal antibody, G530, against a synthetic peptide, named EPL001, which comprises the amino acid sequence “MKPLTGKVKEFNNI”. The authors show that (i) G530 recognizes certain blood vessel structures in tissues from different origin by IHC and (ii) G530-dependent IHC staining can be abolished by blocking G530 upon pre-incubation with EPL001.

Encouraged by the antibody-related IHC staining pattern, the authors herewith justify their epitope mapping experiments which are described in this manuscript, despite the fact that their first try failed to identify the “endogenous” antigen by deducing its identity from its assumed epitope amino acid sequence “MKPLTGKVKEFNNI”.

Epitope mapping with IHC as readout, as conducted in this manuscript, looks like an interesting
alternative to other epitope mapping methods and starts with subsequently exposing the antibody of interest, in this case G530, to various peptides which do or do not show binding to the antibody. In this study peptide EPL001 and some derivatives therefrom were applied for pre-incubating G530 prior to conducting IHC staining experiments. However, one has to keep in mind that lack of IHC staining of the investigated tissue sections - which stands for saturation of the antibody’s paratope by peptide binding - is at best an indirect manner of epitope mapping and without appropriate controls lacks proof that loss of IHC staining is not caused by unrelated means, such as addition of detergents, pH change, etc. Unfortunately, the manuscript’s Experimental section does not provide enough information to estimate possible influence of such potential confounding factors. The authors are asked to provide more experimental details (see recommendations in the article guidelines: “Methods sections should provide sufficient details of the materials and methods used so that the work can be repeated by others.”). Also to be considered, binding of the peptide(s) under study to the antibody of interest is not shown directly by this method.

Nevertheless, the authors performed the respective blocking experiments with various peptides, which are summarized in table 1 of this manuscript, and report that there are shorter partial peptide structures - with resemblances to EPL001 - which render negative IHC staining, hence block G530. From these results a “motif” of six amino acid residues (“KEFNNI”) is deduced by the authors as being necessary for binding G530 with both, the EPL001 peptide and the as of yet still unknown “endogenous” antigen. While the authors’ reasoning can be accepted for EPL001 and its shorter peptide derivatives, demanding that the “KEFNNI” motif must be present on the “endogenous” antigen of G530 is not automatically warranted. Moreover, one has to consider that the “KEFNNI” motif is precisely part of, but shorter than, the EPL001 peptide amino acid sequence and, therefore, adds no new information beyond what had been shown by dot blot experiments (contained in ref. 1, Figure 2). Consequently, the authors see themselves forced to narrow their base of their hypothesis on an even shorter piece of amino acid sequence as compared to that of EPL001, their first try with searching for the “endogenous” antigen using an amino acid sequence motif. In other words, the authors loosen stringency for data base search to find the mutual antigen and (as might have been expected) fail again in their attempt to convincingly identify the “endogenous” antigen of G530 by applying their “epitope amino acid sequence-based” strategy with focus on SgII as the potential “endogenous” target.

In their attempts to provide more evidence on their reasoning the authors include results from molecular modelling approaches by which they intend to substantiate their assumptions about SgII being the “endogenous” antigen and to describe molecular structural features of EPL001 which might be required for antibody binding. Yet, these in-silico investigations remain theoretical and descriptive, hence, they ultimately stay inconclusive and are not convincing with respect to now “nailing” SgII as the “endogenous” antigen.

Intriguingly, throughout this manuscript the authors apply methods whose data are to be interpreted rather indirectly in order to prove or falsify their hypothesis instead of using methods whose data provide results which can be directly interpreted to come to unequivocal conclusions. In other words, the authors try to compensate lacking experimental evidence with unproven theories. One is missing experiments which (i) deliver direct evidence about the nature of the epitope’s amino acid sequence(s) and (ii) allow determining the identity of the “endogenous” antigen. These circumstances are addressed by the authors in the discussion and outlook of this manuscript but their respective statements remain sketchy.

More precise outlines about how the authors plan to continue with their attempts to experimentally determine the “endogenous” antigen of G530 ought to be added to this paragraph of the manuscript. The
authors could mention that despite their first unsuccessful attempts with “aqueous” protein extracts it might seem more promising to retrieve the full length antigen protein, e.g. by immunoprecipitation, with protein extracts which also contain less soluble proteins (see e.g. DeCaprio and Kohl, Cold Spring Harb Protoc 2017 doi: 10.1101/pdb.prot098566). They could mention that they intended to perform an in-depth characterization of the pulled-down and confirmed antigen protein, e.g. by mass spectrometric methods (see e.g. Yefremova et al. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. (2015) 26:482-492). With respect to the epitope mapping and antibody recognition motif search, the authors could point to next apply methods which are capable to directly show binding to antibodies of peptides with varying amino acid sequences. A mass spectrometry-based method which is capable to do this is named “ITEM-THREE” and has recently been published by us (see Danquah et al. Mol. Cell. Proteom. (2019) 18:1543-1555).

Minor comments:

The term “primary sequence” ought to be deleted from the manuscript and replaced by either “amino acid sequence” or “primary structure”, depending on what of the two is to be described.

The M+M section needs more precise descriptions so that the “storage conditions” of the peptides can be understood. The pre-incubation experiments need to be described in more detail. Buffer compositions, protein and peptide concentrations, and pH need to be given.

How was the antiSGII antibody performance tested? Please add details. Without knowing whether the antibody is in fact capable of binding to SgII it is difficult to estimate the mentioned IHC results.
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**D Howlett**, Kings College London, London, UK

We are honoured to receive Professor Glocker's extensive analysis.

1. **EPL001.** The EPL001 sequence has been a deep source of perplexity. As described in *Introduction* it was seen once unambiguously during physicochemical purification and three times less fully, with appropriate bioactivity and MALDI MS correlates. Synthesized as a 14mer peptide EPL001 surprised by displaying anti-organotrophic and reproductive effects, as described in *Introduction* and *Discussion*, in line with the hypothesis underlying the entire project, of the existence of a tissue-mass reducing reproductively related hormone. Anti-EPL001 antibodies provided images of neuroendocrine relevance and immunoneutralised relevant bioactivities. Even though it is bioinformatically obscure, EPL001 is impossible to ignore, in our view: it is telling us something.

2. **Potential use of MS in epitope mapping.** The project experience with MS has been productive overall but problematic. We chose IHC for epitope mapping because we felt there was a reasonable probability of success, even though IHC is not a recognised route to defining antibody binding. The probability of success in MS-enabled epitope mapping, which in the case Professor Glocker's outstanding method features a proteolytic enzyme, is more conjectural, as the history of the use of MS in the present project indicates, particularly in regard to the curious use of formalin fixation. The sheep plasma fraction from which the Edman EPL001 sequence was obtained yielded a peak in MALDI-TOF MS at \( m/z \) 7583.64 (Fig. 2 in Hart JE, 2013. Proteinaceous compounds: US patent 8367801, also EP 2234632, 2014; available at https://www.google.com/patents/US8367801?dq=Proteinaceous+compounds.&cl=en). Small peaks like this could be obtained in MALDI by hitting samples hard with the laser. Electrospray ionisation yielded nothing. The use of proteolysis with multiple MS modalities (see new section in *Introduction*) was similarly fruitless. Orbitrap LC-MS with trypsinisation eventually took the project to ‘SgII relatedness’, which evaluation cohered with the evidence from IHC, purification, westerns and so on, including a fruit fly candidate antigen Q9W2X8 that itself unexpectedly turned out to be grainin-like. (MS IDs were on the basis of sole tryptic peptides, granted, but the mammalian and fruit fly proteins each had homologues of the other's identifier, as newly described in an extended section of *Introduction*, involving new statistics and bioinformatics.) This apparent SgII advance was on the basis of formalin crosslinked feedstock in an immunoprecipitation campaign featuring aqueous extract of rat hypothalamus. Frozen unfixed material provided no credible hits. Formalin
fixation with antigen retrieval had been learnt from IHC. We have found no precedents for the use of formalin in factor hunt purification and MS. The need for crosslinking presumably speaks of factor lability. Notably, though, the sought-for 70mer was not found. Instead a larger SgII entity was identified, putatively a processed intermediate. More important than further epitope work now is a full amino acid sequence.

3. The connection with SgII. The IHC images in reference 1 are granin-like. They could be taken straight out of a paper on one of the SgII derived peptides. The granin suspicion starts with IHC, not with purification MS or bioinformatics. Referring to bioinformatics, there are two kinds in the paper: the existing material is in Introduction and refers to the second sorting domain of SgII. The bioinformatics in Discussion has been subject to significant augmentation on the basis of ‘NNI-omics’. The NNI-ome is that part of the ovine proteome comprising proteins with at least one example of the motif NNI, the final triplet in EPL001 important in the epitope of the anti-EPL001 antibody G530. The sift reaches SgII as the lead candidate. In Discussion there is a new pen-ultimate paragraph. This in effect describes a sequence alignment between EPL001, sSgII and the fly protein Q9W2X8 as either meaningful or a remarkably freakish coincidence. In our view, the sought-for factor is very likely to be secretogranin II related. Although an interim report following the 2017 paper (reference 1), the present account is preferred as a paper of record, which colleagues will need in efforts at confirmation or refutation. It might also be of interest to the epitope mapping community. Professor Glocker is thanked for stimulating many improvements to our paper.
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