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Abstract

Making scientific analyses reproducible, well documented, and easily shareable is crucial to maximizing their impact and ensuring that others can build on them. However, accomplishing these goals is not easy, requiring careful attention to organization, workflow, and familiarity with tools that are not a regular part of every scientist's toolbox. We have developed an R package, workflowr, to help all scientists, regardless of background, overcome these challenges. Workflowr aims to instill a particular "workflow" — a sequence of steps to be repeated and integrated into research practice — that helps make projects more reproducible and accessible. This workflow integrates four key elements: (1) version control (via Git); (2) literate programming (via R Markdown); (3) automatic checks and safeguards that improve code reproducibility; and (4) sharing code and results via a browsable website. These features exploit powerful existing tools, whose mastery would take considerable study. However, the workflowr interface is simple enough that novice users can quickly enjoy its many benefits. By simply following the workflowr "workflow", R users can create projects whose results, figures, and development history are easily accessible on a static website — thereby conveniently shareable with collaborators by sending them a URL — and accompanied by source code and reproducibility safeguards. The workflowr R package is open source and available on CRAN, with full documentation and source code available at https://github.com/jdblischak/workflowr.
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Introduction

A central tenet of the scientific method is that results should be independently verifiable — and, ideally, extendable — by other researchers. As computational methods play an increasing role in many disciplines, key scientific results are often produced by computer code. Verifying and extending such results requires that the code be "reproducible"; that is, it can be accessed and run, with outputs that can be corroborated against published results. Unfortunately, this ideal is not usually achieved in practice; most scientific articles do not come with code that can reproduce their results.

There are many barriers to sharing reproducible code and corresponding computational results. One barrier is simply that keeping code and results sufficiently organized and documented is difficult — it is burdensome even for experienced programmers who are well-trained in relevant computational tools such as version control (discussed later), and even harder for the many domain scientists who write code with little formal training in computing and informatics. Further, modern interactive computer environments (e.g., R, Python), while greatly enhancing code development, also make it easier to create results that are irreducible. For example, it is all too easy to run interactive code without recording or controlling the seed of a pseudo-random number generator, or generate results in a "contaminated" environment that contains objects whose values are critical but unrecorded. Both these issues can lead to results that are difficult or impossible to reproduce. Finally, even when analysts produce code that is reproducible in principle, sharing it in a way that makes it easy for others to retrieve and use (e.g., via GitHub or Bitbucket) involves technologies that many scientists are not familiar with.

In light of this, there is a pressing need for easy-to-use tools to help analysts maintain reproducible code, document progress, and disseminate code and results to collaborators and to the scientific community. We have developed an open source R package, workflowr, to address this need. The workflowr package aims to instill a particular "workflow" — a sequence of steps to be repeated and integrated into research practice — that helps make projects more reproducible and accessible. To achieve this, workflowr integrates four key features that facilitate reproducible code development: (1) version control; (2) literate programming; (3) automatic checks and safeguards that improve code reproducibility; and (4) sharing code and results via a browsable website. These features exploit powerful existing tools, whose mastery would take considerable study. However, the workflowr interface is designed to be simple so that learning it does not become another barrier in itself and novice users can quickly enjoy its many benefits. By simply following the workflow "workflow", R users can create projects whose results and figures are easily accessible on a static website — thereby conveniently shareable with collaborators by sending them a URL — and accompanied by source code and reproducibility safeguards. The Web-based interface, updated with version control, also makes it easy to navigate through different parts of the project and browse the project history, including previous versions of figures and results, and the code used to produce them. By using workflowr, all this can be achieved with minimal experience in version control systems and Web technologies.

The workflow package builds on several software technologies and R packages, without which this work would have been impossible. Workflow builds on the invaluable R Markdown literate programming system implemented in knitr and rmarkdown, which in turn build on pandoc, the "Markdown" markup language, and various Web technologies such as Cascading Style Sheets and Bootstrap. Several popular R packages extend knitr and rmarkdown for specific aims such as writing blogs (blogdown), monographs (bookdown), and software documentation (pkgdown). Analogously, workflow extends rmarkdown with additional features such as the reproducibility safeguards, and adds integration with the version control system Git. Git was designed to support large-scale, distributed software development, but in workflow it serves a different purpose: to record, and provide access to, the development history of a project. Workflow also uses another feature of Git, "remotes", to enable collaborative project development across multiple locations, and to help users create browsable projects via integration with popular online services such as GitHub Pages and GitLab Pages. These features are implemented using the R package git2r, which provides an interface to the libgit2 C library. Finally, beyond extending the R programming language, workflowr is also integrated with the popular RStudio interactive development environment.

In addition to the tools upon which workflow directly builds, there are many other related tools that directly or indirectly advance open and reproducible data analysis. A comprehensive review of such tools is beyond the scope of this article, but we note that many of these tools are complementary to workflow in that they tackle aspects of reproducibility that workflow currently leaves to the user, such as management and deployment of computational environments and dependencies (e.g., conda, Homebrew, Singularity, Docker, Kubernetes, packrat, checkpoint, switchr, RSuite); development and management of computational pipelines (e.g., GNU Make, Snake make, drake); management and archiving of data objects (e.g., archivist, Dryad, Zenodo); and distribution of open source software (e.g., CRAN, Bioconductor, Bioconda). Most of these tools or services could be used in combination with workflow. There are additional, ambitious efforts to develop cloud-based services that come with many computational reproducibility features (e.g., Code Ocean, Binder, Gigantum, The Whole Tale). Many of these platforms manage individual projects as Git repositories, so workflow could, in principle, be installed and used on these platforms, possibly to enhance their existing features. Other R packages with utilities to facilitate reproducibility that could complement workflow include ProjectTemplate, rtools, and usethis, as well as many of the R packages listed in the "Reproducible Research" CRAN Task View.

Of the available software tools facilitating reproducible research, perhaps the closest in scope to workflow are the R package adapr and the Python-based toolkit Sumatra. Like
workflowr, both adapr and Sumatra use version control to maintain a project development history. Unlike workflowr, both place considerable emphasis on managing and documenting dependencies (software and data), whereas workflowr only records this information. In contrast, workflowr places more emphasis on literate programming — the publishing of text and code in a readable form — and more closely integrates other features such as tracking project development history via Git with literate programming.

The workflowr R package is available from CRAN and GitHub, and is distributed under the flexible open source MIT license (see Software availability). The R package and its dependencies are straightforward to install while being highly customizable for more dedicated users. Extensive documentation, tutorials, and user support can be found at the GitHub site. In the remainder of this article, we describe the workflowr interface, explain its design, and give examples illustrating how workflowr is used in practice.

**Operation**

In this section, we give an overview of workflowr’s main features from a user’s perspective. For step-by-step instructions on starting a workflowr project, see the “Getting started with workflowr” vignette.

For basic usage, only five functions are needed (summarized here, and described in more detail later):

- `wflow_start()` initializes a new project, including the template directory structure (Figure 1A);
- `wflow_build()` renders webpages from R Markdown (Rmd) analysis files, with reproducibility safeguards in place;
- `wflow_publish()` renders the webpages and updates the project development history—it commits the code, calls `wflow_build()`, then commits the webpages;

![Figure 1. The workflowr package helps organize project files and results.](image)

**Figure 1. The workflowr package helps organize project files and results.** **A** The function `wflow_start()` populates a project directory with all the files and subdirectories (shown in red) needed to begin a workflowr project. This default directory structure encourages users to organize their files as the project progresses—as the project develops, additional Rmd files may be organized in the “analyses” folder. This is only a suggested structure; users can change the names of most files and directories. Required files are shown in boldface. **B** All results are organized into a website (all HTML files generated by workflowr are automatically stored in `docs/`). The use of hyperlinks allows for efficient access to the results. The screenshots above illustrate how a workflowr website can be navigated. Clicking a hyperlink in the main page, `index.html`, navigates the browser to a webpage containing some results, `visualize.html`; clicking on the “Home” hyperlink in the navigation bar brings the browser back to the main page. For larger projects, the navigation bar can be used to quickly access different sections of a project.
The primary output of \texttt{workflowr} is a project website for browsing the results generated by the Rmd analysis files (Figure 1B). The use of websites to organize information is, of course, now widespread. Nonetheless, we believe they are under-utilized for organizing the results of scientific projects. In particular, hypertext provides an ideal way to connect different analyses that have been performed, and to provide easy access to relevant external data (e.g., related work or helpful background information); see Figure 1B and Use cases below.

\section*{Organizing the project: \texttt{wflow_start()}}

The function \texttt{wflow_start()} facilitates project organization by populating a directory with suggested subdirectories, scripts, and configuration files for a data analysis project (Figure 1A). The subdirectories created by default are \texttt{analysis/}, \texttt{docs/}, \texttt{output/}, \texttt{data/}, \texttt{code/}, and \texttt{render/}. The subdirectories are intended for storing raw data files; for saving processed data files and other outputs generated by the scripts and analyses; for storing the website HTML files; and for storing raw data files; and output/, for saving processed data files and other outputs generated by the scripts and analyses. This setup is flexible and configurable; only two of the directories, \texttt{analysis/} and \texttt{docs/}, are required, and both can be renamed later.

In addition to creating a default file structure for a data analysis project, \texttt{wflow_start()} also initializes the project development history: it creates a Git repository, and commits the files and directories to this repository. This is all done behind the scenes so no familiarity with Git is needed. We give more details about the Git repository in the Implementation section below.

In some cases, a user will have an existing project (with files that may or may not be tracked by Git), and would like to incorporate \texttt{workflowr} into the project — \texttt{wflow_start()} also easily accommodates this scenario, with additional arguments to control how the \texttt{workflowr} files are added to the existing project. See the package vignette, “Migrating an existing project to use \texttt{workflowr},” for more details; it can be accessed by running \texttt{vignette("wflow-03-migrating")} after loading the \texttt{workflowr} package in R.

Finally, \texttt{wflow_start()} changes R’s working directory to the root of the project directory. Although this is a simple step, it is important for correctly resolving file paths. Forgetting to change the working directory is a very common source of errors in data analyses.

\section*{Generating results reproducibly: \texttt{wflow_build()}}

In a \texttt{workflowr} project, analyses are performed using the R Markdwon literate programming system\textsuperscript{[1]}. The user develops their R code inside Rmd files in the \texttt{analysis/} directory, then calls \texttt{wflow_build()}, which runs the code and renders the results as HTML files in the \texttt{docs/} directory. The \texttt{wflow_build()} function extends the \texttt{render_site()} command from the \texttt{rmarkdown} package with several reproducibility safeguards:

1. It creates a clean R session for executing the code. This is critical for reproducibility—results should not depend on the current state of the user’s R environment, and all objects necessary to run the code should be defined in the code or loaded by packages.

2. It automatically sets the working directory in a consistent manner (the exact setting is controlled by a configuration file; see Implementation below). This prevents one of the most common failures to reproduce in R—not setting the working directory before running the R script, resulting in incorrectly resolved relative file paths.

3. It sets a seed for the pseudorandom number generator before executing the code. This ensures that analyses that use random numbers always return the same result.

4. It records information about the computing environment, including the operating system, the version of R used, and the packages that were used to produce the results.

Finally, \texttt{wflow_build()} summarizes the results of these reproducibility safeguards in a report at the top of the webpage, along with additional “reproducibility checks”, which alert the user to potential reproducibility issues, such as changes that were not committed to the project development history, and the use of (non-reproducible) absolute file paths (Figure 2).

\section*{Keeping track of the project’s development: \texttt{wflow_publish()}}

As a project progresses, many versions of the results will be generated as results are scrutinized, analyses are revised, errors are corrected, and new data are considered. Keeping track of a project’s evolution is important for documenting progress and retracing the development of the analyses. This is sometimes done without version control tools by copying code and results whenever an important change is made. This typically results in a large collection of files with names such as \texttt{results-v2-final_final.pdf} or \texttt{anova_analyses_before_adding_new_samples.R}. This approach is tedious and error-prone, and makes it difficult to communicate changes to collaborators.

The version control system, Git, provides a more systematic and reliable way to keep track of a project’s development history. However, Git was designed to manage source code for large-scale software projects, and using it for scientific analyses brings some specific challenges. The relative complexity of Git provides a high barrier to entry, discouraging many researchers from adopting it for their projects. And Git is not ideally suited to data analysis projects where one wants to coordinate the tracking of source code, data, and the results...
generated by the code and data. Using Git commands to identify the version of the code that was used to generate a result can be non-trivial.

The \texttt{wflow\_publish()} function is designed to address these challenges: it takes the steps necessary to coordinate tracking of code and results, and reduces these steps to calling a single, easy-to-use function. The command performs three steps, detailed in Figure 3. These steps are designed to ensure that each new collection of results added to the project development history has been produced by a unique and identifiable version of an Rmd analysis file.

Even experienced Git users will benefit from using \texttt{wflow\_publish()}. Besides the convenience of a single function, \texttt{wflow\_publish()} ensures that:

1. Every commit to an (Rmd) analysis file is associated with a commit to the results file generated by that analysis file.
2. An analysis file is only published and committed if it runs successfully; on failure, \texttt{wflow\_publish()} aborts, and neither code nor results are committed to the Git repository (R code that does not work can still be committed to a workflowr project via other methods, e.g., directly using Git, but it will not be associated with a committed results file).

Publishing an analysis is not necessarily final — after calling \texttt{wflow\_publish()}, the analysis can be repeatedly updated and re-published using \texttt{wflow\_publish()}. Each time \texttt{wflow\_publish()} succeeds in committing a new version of the code and results, a link to previously published versions of the analysis are embedded in the webpage so that readers can easily access previous versions and compare with the latest results.

**Checking in on the project’s development:**
\texttt{wflow\_status()}

As a workflowr project grows, it is important to be able to get an overview of the project’s status and identify files that may need attention. This functionality is provided by the \texttt{wflow\_status()} command, which gives the status of each Rmd

---

**Figure 2.** The workflow reproducibility report summarizes the reproducibility checks inside the results webpage. (A) A button is added to the top of each webpage. Clicking on the button (1) reveals the full reproducibility report with multiple tabs. If any of the reproducibility checks have failed, a red warning symbol (!) is shown. Clicking on the “Checks” tab (2) summarizes the reproducibility checks, with icons next to each check indicating a pass or failure. Clicking on an individual item (3) reveals a more detailed description of the reproducibility check, with an explanation of why it passed or failed. In (A), the Rmd file contains changes that have not yet been committed, so one of the reproducibility checks has failed (uncommitted changes are acceptable during active development, but not acceptable when results are published). In this case, the recommendation is given to run \texttt{wflow\_publish()} to fix the issue. (B) If all the workflow reproducibility checks pass, the workflow button shows a green check mark (✔), and clicking an individual item in the reproducibility report (3) gives more detail on the reproducibility check.
file in the project — either “scratch”, “unpublished”, or “published”, whose definitions are given in Figure 4. The “published” Rmd files, which are those that have been run through `wflow_publish()`, are further recorded as either “up-to-date” or “modified” depending on whether the Rmd file has been modified since `wflow_publish()` was run. The `wflow_status()` function highlights all Rmd files in the “scratch”, “unpublished” or “modified” states, and suggests suitable next steps.

**Sharing code and results: wflow_git_push()**

The version-controlled website created by `workflowr` is self-contained, so it can be hosted by most Web servers with little effort. Once the website is available online, the code and results can be shared with collaborators and colleagues by providing them with the website’s URL. Similarly, the `workflowr` repository can also serve as a companion resource for a manuscript by referencing the website URL in the paper.
Since a workflow project is also a Git repository, the most convenient way to make the website available online is to use a Git hosting service. The workflowr package includes functions `wflow_use_github()` and `wflow_use_gitolab()` to simplify the setup process on two of the most widely used services, GitHub and GitLab. Once a user has created a Git repository on one of these online platforms, the project can be easily uploaded using `wflow_git_push()` (there is also a companion function `wflow_git_pull()`, which is used when multiple people are collaborating on a workflow project, or when a project is being updated from multiple computers).

The results files in a workflow website include links to past versions of analysis and figures, making it easy for collaborators to benefit from the versioning of analyses without knowing anything about Git. For example, if a collaborator wants to download a previous version of a figure generated several months ago, this can be done by navigating the links on the workflow website.

**Installation**

The workflowr package is available on CRAN. It works with R versions 2.3.5 or later, and can be installed on any major platform that is supported by R (Linux, macOS, Windows). It is regularly tested on all major operating systems via several continuous integration services (AppVeyor, CircleCI, Travis CI). It is also regularly tested by CRAN using machines running Debian GNU/Linux, Fedora, macOS, Solaris, and Windows.

Because workflow uses the rmarkdown package to build the HTML pages, it requires the document conversion software pandoc to be installed. The easiest way for R users to install pandoc is to install RStudio.

Installing Git is not required because the R package dependency git2r includes libgit2, a minimal Git implementation (nonetheless, installing Git may be useful for occasional management of the Git repository outside regular workflow usage).

**Customization**

Workflow projects are highly customizable. For example, the look of the webpages can be customized, via options provided by the rmarkdown package, by editing the `analysis/_site.yml` configuration file. Additional settings specific to workflow, such as setting the seed for the pseudorandom number generator, or setting the working directory for the Rmd files, can be controlled in the `_workflowr.yml` file.

**Implementation**

Here we give an overview of the workflow package implementation. All workflow commands can be invoked from `R` (or RStudio) so long as the working directory in R is set to the directory containing a workflow project, or any subdirectory of a workflow project (this is similar to how Git commands are invoked). To determine the root directory of a workflow project from a subdirectory, whenever a command is called from the R console, workflow uses the `rprojroot` R package to search for the RStudio project file stored at the root of the project (the RStudio project file is a required file, so if this file is deleted, the workflow commands will not work).

**Organizing the project: wflow_start()**

The function `wflow_start()` populates the project directory using predefined template files (see Figure 1). It uses the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>workflowr status</th>
<th>Has Rmd been committed to Git repository?</th>
<th>Has HTML been committed to Git repository?</th>
<th>Does HTML contain the latest results?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scratch</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpublished</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Published (Up-to-date)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Published (Modified)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. The workflowr package is an R Markdown-aware version control system. The function `wflow_status()` assigns a state to each Rmd file in the workflow project based on its status in the Git repository's working tree, and based on the Git status of the associated HTML results file.
R package to insert relevant variables, e.g., the name of the project, directly into the newly created files. When \texttt{wflow_start()} is called with \texttt{git = TRUE} (which is the default), a Git repository is created in the project directory, and all newly created or modified files are committed to the repository. If the user has never previously created a Git repository on their computer, they may need to first call \texttt{wflow_git_config()} to configure Git.

Generating results reproducibly: \texttt{wflow_build()}

The \texttt{wflow_build()} function generates a responsive website from a collection of Rmd files. Both \texttt{wflow_build()} and \texttt{wflow_publish()} support file patterns, also known as “wildcard expansion”; for example, \texttt{wflow_build("analysis/*.Rmd")} will generate webpages for all the Rmd files in the analysis/ directory.

The \texttt{wflow_build()} function extends the \texttt{render_site()} function from the \texttt{rmarkdown} package. The \texttt{render_site()} function in turn builds on the Bootstrap framework to create a responsive website with a navigation bar. This rendering step includes downloading and linking to the required CSS and JavaScript files. Many website settings, such as the labels and URLs included in the navigation bar, can be adjusted in the \texttt{analysis/_site.yml} configuration file (these options can also be set individually inside the Rmd files, which will override the default options set in \texttt{analysis/_site.yml}). Like other R packages that extend \texttt{rmarkdown} (e.g., \texttt{bookdown}), \texttt{workflowr} provides a custom site generator in the function \texttt{wflow_site()}, which alters the website generation process. For example, one change to this process is that the generated website files (the HTML, CSS, JavaScript and figures) are moved instead of copied from analysis/ to docs/. This reduces unnecessary duplication of files. Most of \texttt{workflowr}'s key features, including the reproducibility report, are implemented in \texttt{wflow_html()}, which we describe next.

In the \texttt{rmarkdown} package, the rendering of individual webpages from Rmd files is controlled by a separate function, \texttt{html_document()}. The \texttt{workflowr} package provides an analogous function, \texttt{wflow_html()}. This function also extends \texttt{html_document()}, so all features implemented in \texttt{rmarkdown} (e.g., code chunk folding, generating a table of contents from the section headings) are inherited by \texttt{wflow_html()}. Most of the \texttt{workflowr} content is added as a preprocessing step prior to executing the R code in the Rmd file. To achieve this, \texttt{wflow_html()} copies the original Rmd file to a temporary directory, incorporates the additional content, then executes the code. The content embedded into the Rmd file includes a code chunk that calls \texttt{set.seed()}, a code chunk toward the end of the file that calls \texttt{sessionInfo()}, and inline HTML tags for elements such as the reproducibility report (Figure 2) and links to previous versions of figures. There is also a brief postprocessing step to incorporate additional HTML, CSS, and JavaScript elements needed to display the \texttt{workflowr} elements added in the preprocessing step. This postprocessing is done when \texttt{pandoc} converts the generated markdown to the final webpage.

The process for embedding links to past versions of files — that is, files added to previous commits in a Git repository — requires some additional explanation. Links to past versions are included only if the user has set up a remote repository hosted by either GitHub or GitLab. Clicking on a link to a past version of an Rmd file (or figure file) in a web browser will load a webpage displaying the R Markdown source code (or figure file) as it is saved in the given commit. For past versions of the webpages, we use an independent service \texttt{raw.github.com}, which displays the HTML file in the browser like any other webpage (this is because GitHub and GitLab only show the raw HTML code). These links will point to valid webpages only after the remote repository (on GitHub or GitLab) is updated, e.g., using \texttt{wflow_git_push()}. In the current implementation, when an Rmd file (and its corresponding HTML file) is renamed, the webpage does not include links to past versions prior to renaming. So renaming files will limit the ability to browse the project development history.

The \texttt{wflow_html()} function allows for considerable customization of the \texttt{workflowr} reproducibility report, and other features. The settings in the \texttt{analysis/_site.yml} configuration file are passed to function \texttt{html_document()} in the \texttt{rmarkdown} package, whereas the settings in \texttt{_workflowr.yml} are read by \texttt{wflow_html()}; see \texttt{help(wflow_html())} for a full details on all \texttt{workflowr} settings that can be customized in this file. For example, the default function used to record the session information at the bottom of each webpage, \texttt{sessionInfo()}, can be overridden by adding the YAML field \texttt{sessioninfo} (e.g., the function from the \texttt{devtools} package could be used instead by setting \texttt{sessioninfo: devtools::session_info()}).

To execute the code, \texttt{wflow_build()} first creates a new R session to execute the code. This is implemented using the R package \texttt{callr}.

By default, the \texttt{rmarkdown} package renders an Rmd file in the directory where the Rmd file is stored; that is, the R working directory is automatically changed to the directory containing the target Rmd file. By default, \texttt{wflow_html()} overrides the behaviour, and instead executes the R code with respect to the root project directory. This default is intended to improve reproducibility by resolving file paths from a consistent reference point. This execution directory can be controlled by the \texttt{knit_root_dir} option, which is set in the \texttt{_workflowr.yml} configuration file. By default, new projects execute the R Markdown code chunks in the root directory. If this setting is not configured, \texttt{workflowr} reverts to the \texttt{rmarkdown} default. It is also possible to have a different \texttt{knit_root_dir} setting for different files, but this is generally not recommended as it will make the code more difficult to follow.

Keeping track of the project’s development: \texttt{wflow_publish()}

One of the steps in \texttt{wflow_publish()}, as we have mentioned, is a call to \texttt{wflow_build()}. It also runs Git commands to commit the source code and rendered HTML files...
(Figure 3). These Git commands are executed behind the scenes. We have also implemented many checks and extensive error handling to make sure that the Git repository and R environment are in an acceptable state for committing the results. When an issue arises, wflow_publish() attempts to detect the issue as early as possible, then it reverts the Git repository to the initial state and, when possible, suggests how to fix the issue. For example, wflow_publish() will stop if any of the files contain conflicts from a previous merge using Git.

Checking in on the project’s development: wflow_status()

The wflow_status() function checks the status of each Rmd file in the project by comparing the state of the file in the Git repository’s working tree against the Git status of the corresponding HTML file. In Git terminology, a “scratch” Rmd file in a workflow project is an uncommitted file in a Git repository; “unpublished” means that the Rmd file is committed to the Git repository but the corresponding HTML file is not; a “published” Rmd file and its HTML file are both committed to the Git repository; and a “modified” Rmd file has changes — these changes can be unstaged, staged, or committed — that were made since the last time the corresponding HTML file was committed (Figure 4).

Using git2r, it is mostly straightforward to determine the status of each file. The only complicated step is determining whether published Rmd files have been modified. If all changes to an Rmd file have been committed to the Git history, an Rmd file is considered “modified” if it has modifying commits that are more recent than commits modifying the corresponding HTML file.

Sharing the code and results: wflow_git_push()

To use wflow_git_push(), the remote Git repository must first be configured. The user can configure the remotes manually using the git remote subcommand or using wflow_git_remote(). Alternatively, the workflow package provides two functions, wflow_use_github() and wflow_use_gitlab(), that simplify the creation and configuration of remote repositories hosted on GitHub and GitLab. These two convenience functions also add a navigation bar link with the URL of the remote source code repository. The wflow_use_github() function takes the additional step of activating the GitLab Pages by creating a file .gitlab-ci.yml with the proper configuration (GitLab Pages must be set up manually; there is currently no way to automate this via the GitHub API).

Use cases

Workflow was officially released on CRAN in April 2018. As of September 2019, it has been downloaded from CRAN over 7,000 times, and it has been adopted by many researchers. The most common use cases are 1) documenting research development and including the project website in the accompanying academic paper, and 2) developing reproducible course materials to share with students. Here we highlight some successful examples.

Repositories for research projects

Human dermal fibroblast clonality project

https://davismcc.github.io/fibroblast-clonality

A workflow project accompanying a scientific paper on computational methods for decoding the clonal substructures of somatic tissues from DNA sequencing data. The webpages describe how to reproduce the data processing and analysis, along with the outputs and plots.

Characterizing and inferring quantitative cell cycle phase in single-cell RNA-seq data analysis

https://github.com/jdblischak/fucci-seq

A workflow project supporting a paper on measuring cell cycle phase and gene expression levels in human induced pluripotent stem cells. The repository contains the processed data and the code implementing the analyses. The full results can be browsed on the website.

Flexible statistical methods for estimating and testing effects in genomic studies with multiple conditions

https://github.com/stephenslab/gtexresults

A workflow project containing the code and data used to produce the results from the GTEx data set that were presented in Urbat et al. An investigations on “truncated adaptive shrinkage”

https://github.com/LSun/truncash

A workflow project created by a Ph.D. student created to keep track of his investigations into controlling false discoveries in the presence of correlation and heteroskedastic noise. This repository illustrates the use of workflow as a scientific notebook — the webpages contain written notes, mathematical equations, source code, and the outputs generated from running the code.

Repositories for courses

Stanford STATS 110

https://xiangzhu.github.io/stanford-stats110

A workflow website for a statistics course taught at Stanford. The website includes working R examples, homework, the course syllabus, and other course materials.

Single-cell RNA-seq workshop

https://github.com/crazyhottommy/scRNA-seq-workshop-Fall-2019
A workflow website for a workshop on analysis of single-cell RNA-seq data offered by the Harvard Faculty of Arts and Sciences Informatics group as part of a two-week long bioinformatics course. The R examples demonstrate how to use several bioinformatics packages such as Seurat and msigdb to prepare and analyze single-cell RNA-seq data sets.

Introduction to GIS in R

https://github.com/annakrystalli/intro-r-gis

A workflow website for a workshop given at the 2018 Evolutionary Biology Conference. The website includes working R demonstrations, setup instructions, and exercises.

Summary

Our main aim in developing workflow is to lower barriers to open and reproducible code. Workflow provides a core set of commands that can be easily integrated into research practice, and combined with other tools, to make projects more accessible and reproducible. The R package is straightforward to install, easy to learn, and highly customizable.

Since the first official release of workflow (version 1.0.1, released in April 2018), the core functionality has remained intact, and we expect it to remain that way. The core features of workflow have been carefully tested and revised, in large part thanks to feedback and issue reports from the user community. Our next aim is to implement several enhancements, including:

- Create a centralized workflow project website to make it easier for researchers to share and discover workflow projects.
- Provide additional functions to simplify website hosting on other popular platforms such as Netlify and Heroku.
- As workflow projects grow, it becomes increasingly important to document not only the evolution of the code and results over time, but also how the results interrelate with one another. Therefore, we aim to implement syntax that allows file dependencies to be recorded in the Rmd files, and incorporate checking of dependencies as part of the workflow reproducibility safeguards.

As workflow has been used in a variety of settings, we have also uncovered some limitations. Here we report on some of the more common issues that have arisen.

One limitation is that Git — hence workflow — is not well suited to tracking very large files. Therefore, large data files must be left out of the project development history, which reduces reproducibility. One possible workaround is to use Git LFS (Large File Storage) or related tools that allow large data files to be tracked and stored remotely inside a Git repository. This, however, requires considerable expertise to install and configure Git LFS, so it is not a satisfactory solution for some workflow users. Also note that sensitive or secure data can be added to a workflow project so long as the storage and access practices meet the data security requirements (workflow has options to simplify creation and management of projects with security requirements).

Since workflow builds on Git, users who already have experience with Git can use Git directly to manage their workflow projects. This provides additional flexibility, but is not without risk; for example, Git commands such as git reset can be used to alter the project development history, and has the potential to break workflow.

Finally, workflow records information about the computing environment used to generate the results, but it does not provide any facilities for replicating the environment. This is an area with many recent software advances — there are many widely used tools for managing and deploying computational environments, from container technologies such as Docker to package managers such as Anaconda and packrat. We view these tools as being complementary to workflow, and one future direction would be to develop easy-to-use functions that configure such tools for use in a workflow project.

Data availability
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Software availability
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- Source code available from: https://github.com/jdblischak/workflow
- Archived source code at time of publication: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3241801
- License: MIT
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