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Abstract

Background: Over 60% of the United States population is infected with herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1). Current HSV-1 treatment regimens exert their antiviral effects through a common mechanism of action and suffer from high dosing frequencies, which may contribute to patient noncompliance and the subsequent development of antiviral resistance. Although primarily known for their functions in maintaining homeostatic control of arterial blood and osmotic pressures, components of the Renin-Angiotensin Aldosterone System (RAAS) have been implicated in viral replication and some components demonstrated antiviral properties. However, the antiviral properties of RAAS components have not been well characterized in reference to HSV-1.

Methods: To address this gap in knowledge, we evaluated the antiviral effects of captopril, an Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 1 (ACE-1) inhibitor, on HSV-1 infection in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells. We demonstrated that captopril attenuates HSV-1-induced cytopathic effects (CPE) via cell-based and morphological assays. To investigate the potential mechanism, we conducted molecular modeling studies and identified the ability of captopril to interact with and bind HSV-1 glycoprotein D. To determine where in the virus life cycle captopril exerts its protective effects, we performed experiments observing the effect of captopril on both viral entry and replication utilizing a green fluorescent protein-tagged virus and subsequent quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction.

Results: Results suggest captopril protects cells from HSV-1-induced CPE through its effect on viral replication by increasing cell viability in infected cells and decreasing virus replication, which we propose is
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modulated through the decreased expression of ICP0.

**Conclusions:** Collectively, the results presented here support further evaluation of captopril, and other RAAS components, as a basis for potential novel therapeutic interventions for the treatment of HSV-1, its associated pathologies, and potentially other virus infections.
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Introduction

Herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) is a neurotropic virus that infects over 60% of the United States population and 90% globally. HSV-1 is responsible for a diverse array of biological effects and physical manifestations, including cold sores and encephalitis. HSV-1 remains the leading infectious cause of corneal blindness and encephalitis. Moreover, HSV-1 infection is a significant contributing factor in Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) transmission and pathology. Although HSV-1 infection is common, there is neither vaccine nor cure. Over 75% of HSV-1 antiviral drugs are nucleoside analogues that inhibit virus genome replication. Antivirals are effective in reducing the duration and frequency of outbreaks, but their efficacy is limited to active infections and doesn’t mitigate reactivation, which occurs from latent infections. Current therapeutic targets have major limitations, such as the requirement for an active infection, high dosing frequencies, and the development of antiviral resistance. Targeting a common mechanism of action contributes significantly to the development of antiviral resistance, an emerging problem for treating HSV-1 and other virus infections. The poor bioavailability of most HSV-1 antivirals requires high dosing frequencies, up to three to five times per day, to maintain therapeutic levels. However, Valacyclovir, a pro-drug of acyclovir, is the exception with an improved bioavailability profile compared to its parent drug and thus allows a reduced dosing frequency. Acyclovir, the mainstay of treatment for HSV-1 infection, consists of a synthetic nucleoside analogue that targets viral DNA polymerase and functions by inhibiting viral replication due to its affinity for viral thymidine kinase. Acyclovir’s clinical use in immunocompromised patients can lead to the development of antiviral resistance. HSV-1-induced pathologies, the global burden that results from HSV-1 infection, and the lack of effective treatments highlight a need for the identification of novel antiviral strategies and therapeutics. Thus, there is an urgent medical need to identify novel drug targets and subsequently develop and evaluate novel therapeutic strategies and interventions that can exert their action through a distinct mechanism.

Components of the Renin-Angiotensin Aldosterone System (RAAS), known for their function in the homeostatic control of arterial blood and osmotic pressures, have been implicated in regulating virus activity. Human cytomegalovirus, Human Herpesvirus-5 (HHV-5), has been demonstrated to cause an increase in arterial blood pressure, further supporting our investigation into the relationship between viral infections and the RAAS system. The ongoing Severe Acute Respiratory Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV2)-induced pandemic further highlights the interaction between viruses and RAAS components as it utilizes Angiotensin Converting Enzyme-2 (ACE-2), a cellular protein, as its primary entry receptor. These findings support our hypothesis that components of RAAS may attenuate HSV-1 infection. Losartan, an angiotensin type 1 receptor blocker, and des-aspartate-angiotensin 1, both inhibitors of RAAS, have demonstrated antiviral effects in coxsackievirus and rhinovirus infections, respectively. The work described here evaluated the hypothesis that Angiotensin Converting Enzyme-1 (ACE-1) inhibition is protective against HSV-1-induced cytopathic effects (CPE). We have demonstrated that the ACE-1 inhibitor captopril attenuates CPE in HSV-1-infected SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells. The results reported here are the first, to our knowledge, to show that captopril protects neuroblastoma cells from HSV-1-induced CPE by impairing virus genome replication.

Methods

Cell culture

The neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cell line (ATCC, CRL2266, lot # 11C016) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM; Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and 7% Penicillin and Streptomycin (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Cells were seeded into flasks containing supplemented medium and maintained at 37°C in saturated humidity with 5% CO₂. For assays, SY5Y cells were sub-cultured into 96-well plates at a seeding density of 1× 10⁵ cells per well.

Virus

The F strain of HSV-1 was graciously contributed by the Fraser Lab at The University of Pennsylvania. Cells were infected at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.01. During infection, virus was delivered to cells in serum-free DMEM and cells were administered either captopril (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO; administered at various concentrations (0, 1pM, 10pM, 1nM, 10nM, 1µM)) or vehicle control (0.1% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)) post-infection. One-hour post-infection, inoculum was aspirated and an equal volume of complete media was added to the cells. Cells were incubated until the cell viability assay or imaging analysis was performed. Andrea Bertke (Virginia Tech) donated the green fluorescent protein-tagged HSV-1 (GFP-HSV-1).

Cell viability

Cell viability was quantified via the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium (MTT) assay (Sigma-Aldrich, M5655). Cells were cultured with cDMEM in 96-well plates until they were 90% confluent. Next, subsets of wells were infected with HSV-1 (F strain) at a MOI of 0.01 for 24 hours. MTT solution (5mg/ml) was added to each well and incubated for four hours at 37°C. After removing the supernatant from wells, 100 µl DMSO was added to each well. The absorbance was read at 570 nm with a 630nm reference wavelength via a Benchmark Plus (BIO RAD) spectrophotometer. All experiments were repeated in triplicate and processed in parallel.

Time course analysis

Time course analysis was evaluated via the previously described methods used in the cell viability protocol, utilizing the MTT assay at 3, 9, and 24 hpi. All experiments were repeated in triplicate and processed in parallel.

Photomicrographs

Photomicrographs were obtained utilizing an Olympus IX81 microscope equipped with a Hamamatsu camera. MetaMorph software (version 6) was used to image cells, and photomicrographs were taken with the differential interference contrast (DIC) filter at a final magnification of 20x (Micro-Manager is a...
free alternative if access to MetaMorph is not available). Three distinct fields of view were imaged per well.

**GFP-replication assay**

Green fluorescent protein-tagged HSV-1 (GFP-HSV-1) was used to infect cells, as previously described. There were three treatment groups: Mock, Infected/Vehicle, and Infected/Captopril. A Mock/Captopril group was not used in this experiment as we wanted to examine the effect of captopril on HSV-1 replication and previous data demonstrated that captopril was non-toxic. Photomicrographs were taken with a DIC filter at 8, 24, and 48 hours post infection (hpi).

**Molecular modeling**

AutoDock Vina (version 1.1.2), a docking program, was used to investigate the interaction between HSV-1 glycoprotein D (gD) (Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID: 1P7C) and captopril (PDBID: 2X8Z). Captopril was collected from the bound crystal structure of AnCE using PyMOL V1.8.6.2. AutoDock Vina was used to approximate the favorability of HSV-1 gD binding with captopril. The crystal structure of both molecules were obtained from the PDB and used to generate 3D structures. To perform the docking simulations, AutoDock Vina generated a grid box to enclose the active site with dimensions of 126 Å × 126 Å × 126 Å and a grid spacing of 0.375 Å using Autodock Tools. The results are represented by free energy binding.

To render the Ligand Interactions Diagram, Schrodinger Maestro V10.6 was used. Initially, crystal structure of HSV1 gD (receptor) and captopril (ligand) were obtained from the PDB as mentioned above. The imported protein structure obtained from the PDB was not suitable for immediate use in the molecular docking study as it contained excess material (i.e., water molecules, metal ions, cofactors, etc.). To overcome this obstacle, the protein structure was prepared using the protein preparation wizard (preprocessed, optimized, and minimized) in Maestro v10.6[1,2]. The ligand structures of the data set were prepared by LigPrep module of Schrodinger v10.6[3].

**Quantitative PCR (qPCR)**

SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells were treated with either vehicle (0.1% DMSO), the F strain of HSV-1 (MOI=0.01), or captopril and HSV-1 (F strain, MOI=0.01) together in media without serum for one hour. After this, an equal volume of complete media was added to the cells. Next, RNA was isolated from treated cells according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit; Cat. No. 80204, Lot # 154018161). Following RNA isolation, the purity and concentration of resulting RNA were measured using the Thermo Scientific Nano Drop 2000 Spectrophotometer. All samples were stored at -80°C for future use.

**cDNA synthesis.**

The protocol used to synthesize first-strand complementary DNA from purified RNA was followed using the Invitrogen SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Thermo Fisher, Cat. No. 18080-051). RNA (100ng) isolated from SH-SY5Y cells was placed in Bio-Rad Low-Profile PCR Tubes containing 10mM dNTP mix and 50ng of random hexamer primers. The final volume in each tube was 10 uL. Positive (HeLa RNA) and negative control (tube containing HeLa RNA but no reverse transcriptase) reactions were also prepared. Samples were placed in a Bio-Rad T100 Thermal Cycler and incubated at 65°C for 5 minutes, cDNA synthesis mix (according to the manufacturer’s instructions) was added to each tube including the positive and negative controls. After following subsequent steps detailed in the SuperScript III manual, the resulting cDNA was stored at -20°C.

**qPCR cycle settings.**

The protocol for qPCR was adapted from Thermo Fisher Scientific PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Catalog No., lot# 1601009). Reactions were run in triplicate in Bio-Rad 96-well PCR plates. Primers for each gene of interest were synthesized by Integrated Data Technologies and diluted to 10uM concentrations with DEPC-treated water. Human GAPDH was used as a reference gene, and ICP0 was utilized to measure HSV-1 viral gene expression. The primer sequences for human GAPDH were: 5’ TGG GCT ACA CTG AGC ACC AG 3’ (forward) and 5’ GGG TGT CGC TGT TGA AGT CA 3’ (reverse). The forward primer sequence for ICP0 was 5’ CCC ACT ATC AGG TAC ACC AGC TT 3’. The sequence for ICP0 reverse primer was 5’ CTG CGC TGC GAC ACC TT 3’. Each analyzed well of the PCR reaction plate contained the following: forward primer (500nM), reverse primer (500nM), PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (2X), DEPC-treated water, and cDNA (10ng). The PCR plate was sealed and centrifuged, and inserted into the CFX96 Real-Time System. The qPCR cycling mode was as follows: two minutes at 50°C followed by two minutes at 95°C. Next, a total of 40 denature (15 seconds at 95°C) and anneal (60°C) cycles were utilized. Melt curve analyses were performed with each reaction. ICP0 gene expression levels were normalized to human GAPDH levels.

**Data analysis**

All analyses for statistically significant differences were performed utilizing a two-way analysis of variance (time x infection status or concentration x infection status). The alpha value was set at 0.05. If warranted, a Tukey’s post-hoc test was performed. For the concentration-response curve, a Dunnnett’s multiple comparisons post-hoc test was used, comparing the different concentrations to the vehicle (0) control. Data are expressed as means (± SEM). GraphPad Prism (version 6) statistical analysis software was utilized to perform statistical comparisons and prepare graphical representations of quantitative data.

**Results**

**Concentration response**

To determine the concentration dependent response of captopril on SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells, we first assessed cell viability and cellular morphology via the MTT assay and DIC microscopy, respectively. SH-SY5Y cells were treated with a range of concentrations (0, 1pM, 10pM, 1nM, 10nM, 1uM) of captopril. To investigate if captopril treatment alone adversely affected cell viability, the experiment was first performed in uninfected cells. The concentration response data in uninfected SH-SY5Y cells demonstrated that captopril (Figure 1) did not impact cell viability as viability remained approximately 100%
with no statistically significant differences observed at any concentration.

Alongside the uninfected cells, HSV-1 infected SH-SY5Y cells were treated with a range of concentrations of captopril (0, 1pM, 10pM, 1nM, 10nM, 1µM). Results from the MTT cell viability assay demonstrated a statistically significant reduction in viability, compared to their uninfected counterparts \( (F(1,98)=10.22, p < 0.005) \). HSV-1 infected, captopril-treated cells had a statistically significant, dose-dependent increase in cell viability from 10pM to a maximum efficacy observed at 10nM, compared to infected, untreated cells \( (F(6,98)=23.82, p<0.0001) \). The asterisk denotes that the HSV-1-infected group was statistically significant from the uninfected group at the 0 concentration. The plus signs represent groups (infected with HSV-1 and treated with captopril) statistically significant from their counterparts that were infected the F strain of HSV-1 but received no captopril treatment. Each bar represents the average of six cell culture replicates.

**Time course analysis**
Experiments were conducted to measure cell viability at different time points (3, 9, and 24 hpi). Cell viability measurements were equivalent among all the groups at 3 hpi. At 9 hpi (F strain of HSV-1, MOI=0.01), the Virus/Vehicle group had 20% fewer viable cells \( (F_{(1,8)}=23.27; p<0.0005) \) compared to every other treatment group (Figure 2). At 24 hpi there were approximately 25% less viable cells in the Virus/Vehicle group compared to all other groups. At 9 and 24 hpi, the only significant difference observed was between the Virus/Captopril group and the Virus/Vehicle group. Differences due to time alone were not statistically significant.

**Cellular morphology**
Photomicrographs were taken as a qualitative analysis to corroborate the MTT results (Figure 3). The experimental groups (Mock, Mock/Captopril, Virus/Vehicle, and Virus/Captopril) were exposed to the same conditions (24 hpi and 10 nM captopril) prior to obtaining photomicrographs. Cells in Figure 3a (Mock) are representative of healthy SH-SY5Y cells indicated by the confluence and the appearance of intact cellular extensions. Cells in the Mock/Captopril group (Figure 3b) were also very confluent and exhibited similar structural characteristics to the Mock group (Figure 3a). In both of these groups, there were no indicators of substantial cell death such as changes in cellular morphology (i.e., cell rounding) and loss of anchorage dependence.
Figure 2. Time course analysis of HSV-1 infected SH-SY5Y cells treated with captopril (10 nM). Cell viability was evaluated via MTT assay at 3, 9, and 24 hours post infection (hpi) with the F strain of HSV-1 (MOI=0.01). At 9 and 24 hpi, the Virus/Vehicle group was statistically significantly different compared to the all other groups. Each bar represents the average of six biological replicates. The asterisks indicate groups that were statistically significant from the other groups (not denoted with an asterisk) at their respective time points.

Figure 3. Photomicrographs of SH-SYSY cells: qualitative comparison of treatment groups. Photomicrographs show morphological changes 24 hours after captopril (10nM) administration. Uninfected cells administered vehicle (0.1% DMSO) (3a) or captopril alone (3b) were indistinguishable in confluence and structure. In the absence of captopril, HSV-1 infected cells were rounded, an indicator of adverse cell health (3c). Captopril treated, HSV-1 infected cells (3d) maintained their neurite extensions (as seen in 3a and 3b) and were more confluent when compared to HSV-1 infected, untreated cells (3c). (Arrows are intended to illustrate morphological changes.) Additionally, cells treated with captopril preserved the neurite extensions associated with healthy SH-SYSY neuroblastoma cells. Images were taken at a final magnification of 200x using an IX Olympus 81 inverted microscope and MetaMorph microscopy imaging software.
HSV-1 infected cells (Virus/Vehicle) exhibited a reduction in confluence (Figure 3c). Cells in this group also demonstrated multiple instances of cytopathy such as changes in morphology, the loss of anchorage dependence, and a decrease in neurite extensions on cells that remained attached. HSV-1 infected cells treated with captopril (Virus/Captopril, Figure 3d) were more confluent compared to the Virus/Vehicle group and maintained normal characteristic extensions of neuroblastoma cells.

Replication of GFP-expressing HSV-1

Cells infected with a GFP-expressing HSV-1 strain were analyzed at 1, 3, 8, 24, and 48 hpi. In the Virus/Vehicle group, GFP expression was not observed until 8 hpi. After this time point, the percentage of GFP-positive cells increased in a time-dependent manner. At 8 hpi, an average of 13% of cells were GFP-positive in the Virus/Vehicle group compared to only 1% in the Virus/Captopril group (F_{(1,1)} = 3.58; p<0.05; Figure 4). At 24 hpi, 30% of cells were GFP-positive in the Virus/Vehicle group compared to 25% of GFP-positive cells in the Virus/Captopril group. This pattern continued at 48 hpi, with 61% of GFP-positive cells observed in the Virus/Vehicle group versus 27% of GFP-positive cells in the Virus/Captopril group (F_{(1,1)} = 2.72; p<0.05).

Micrographs of cells infected with the GFP-HSV were imaged at 20X magnification. Cells receiving the Uninfected/Vehicle treatment did not exhibit any GFP fluorescence at any time point (Figure 5a–c). With the administration of the GFP-expressing virus (MOI=0.01), cytopathic effects (e.g., cellular rounding) were noted as well as fluorescent imaging at all three time points (8, 24, and 48 hpi; Figure 5d–f). Co-administration of captopril (10nM) with the GFP-HSV reduced the occurrence of both cytopathy and green fluorescence (Figure 5g–i).

Molecular modeling

We conducted molecular modeling studies to determine the free binding energy (ΔG) between the ACE inhibitor captopril and HSV-1 gD. Results demonstrated that the free binding energy between captopril and HSV-1 gD was favorable and calculated to be -3.9 kCal/mol (Figure 6A). Further evaluation of the interaction between HSV-1 gD and captopril identified the specific amino acid residues responsible for the interaction (Figure 6B).

qt-PCR

Transcripts of the viral gene ICP0 were detected at 2 hpi by SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells infected with the F strain of HSV-1 (Virus/Vehicle Group). qPCR results demonstrated that captopril co-administration to HSV-1 infected cells decreased ICP0 gene expression by approximately 34%, compared to infected cells not administered captopril (p<0.0001; Figure 7).

Discussion

To strengthen the work relating RAAS components and antiviral activity, we studied the anti-HSV-1 effects of captopril in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells. Based on previous findings from Zhang et al., we hypothesized that ACE inhibition would confer protective effects induced by HSV-1. We have demonstrated that captopril attenuates HSV-1-induced CPE in SH-SY5Y cells. Results of cell viability assays indicate captopril protects cells from HSV-1-induced cellular death by 18% when compared to untreated counterparts. This finding was further substantiated by a qualitative assessment of photomicrographs in which GFP fluorescent intensity was used as a marker for virus entry and replication. Experiments using GFP-HSV-1 and subsequent qt-PCR demonstrated that captopril blunted HSV-1 replication. These results support our hypothesis that captopril reduces the cytopathic effects and replication of HSV-1.

Figure 4. Viral replication assay based on percentage of GFP-positive cells. HSV-1 used was constructed to express GFP as a measure of replication. The percentage of GFP positive cells was quantified via manual image analysis at 1, 3, 8, 24, and 48 hpi. At 1 and 3 hpi there were no GFP-positive cells in any of the treatment groups. At later time points (8, 24, and 48 hpi), there was a time-dependent increase in percentage of cells expressing GFP in both the Virus/Vehicle and Virus/Captopril group. Each bar represents the average of six biological replicates. The statistically significant differences in the percentage of GFP positive cells were observed between the Virus/Vehicle and the Virus/Captopril groups at 8 and 48hpi (F_{(2,30)} = 12.82; p<0.0001).
Figure 5. Captopril reduces virus-induced cytopathy and GFP-HSV-1 replication. Photomicrographs from cells in the Uninfected/Vehicle, Virus/Vehicle, and Virus/Captopril groups were imaged at a final magnification of 200X using an Olympus IX81 microscope. An HSV-1 virus fused with GFP was utilized in this experiment. GFP expression, an indicator of viral replication, was not detected in any cells in the Uninfected/Vehicle group (a–c). GFP expression increased over time in cells infected with GFP-HSV (MOI=0.01, d–f). With co-administration of captopril (10nM), there was a decrease in green fluorescence and dying cells (indicated by the rounded morphology) in HSV-1 infected cells (g–i).

Figure 6. Molecular modeling: captopril has a favorable interaction with HSV-1 gD. (A) A ribbon diagram of HSV-1 gD is shown in complex with captopril (small molecule). Molecular modeling via AutoDock Vina determined that captopril has a favorable interaction with HSV-1 gD, indicated by a predicted free binding energy (ΔG) of -3.9 kCal/mol. (B) Captopril-HSV-1 gD predicted interaction with specific amino acid residues of gD color coordinated by charge.
Infection with HSV-1 results in life-long infection with initial infection typically occurring in childhood. HSV-1 can cross the blood brain barrier, promote inflammation and damage the central nervous system. There are few drugs licensed for the treatment of HSV-1 infections. Acyclovir remains the “gold standard” in HSV-1 therapy, more than thirty years after its development. Extensive clinical use of acyclovir and other approved treatments has contributed to the emergence and persistence of resistant viral strains, particularly in immunocompromised individuals. Treatments effective against HSV-1 are nucleoside analogues with the exception of foscarnet, a pyrophosphate analogue. Foscarnet is primarily restricted to individuals whose virus infections are resistant to acyclovir. Thus, few treatment options coupled with the emergence of resistant viral strains contribute to a growing need of novel antiviral targets and strategies.

Components of the RAAS have been implicated and shown to play a role in regulating virus activity and select RAAS inhibitors cross the blood brain barrier, leading us to hypothesize that captopril could attenuate the cytopathic effects of HSV-1 in SH-SY5Y cells. SARS-CoV-2 that caused a worldwide pandemic also has links to RAAS components. SARS-CoV-2 utilizes ACE-2 as a receptor to facilitate entry into host’s cells. This finding further demonstrates the potential significant relationship between RAAS components and viruses.

In this study, we investigated the role of ACE-1 and its inhibitors in HSV-1 replication. We determined the most effective captopril concentration for reducing the cytopathic effects of HSV-1 in SH-SY5Y cells was 10nM. According to Henda et al., captopril has an IC50 range of 1.79-15.1nM when used with synthetic substrates and an IC50 around 16.71µM when used with Angiotensin I. Thus, the concentration needed to reduce HSV-1 replication is well below its active range in inhibiting ACE. Other RAAS inhibitors, such as losartan, have been evaluated in vivo for antiviral effects using a concentration of 12mg/kg against coxsackievirus B3 which induces myocarditis. Des-aspartate-angiotensin I, an angiotensin non-apeptide, has also been shown to have an antiviral effect against rhinovirus when used between a 10^-10 and 10^-12 nanomolar range. At such a low concentration, 10nM, we do not anticipate any significant non-specific effects. Collectively, our quantitative and qualitative data indicates that captopril does not significantly alter cell viability or induce any visual cytopathic effects in uninfected cells.

Time course experiments were conducted at 3, 9, and 24 hpi to evaluate if there were time-dependent changes in cell viability. Time points were selected based on reported times for the initiation of viral gene expression. Immediate-early (α) genes, such as infected cell protein 0 (ICP0), are typically expressed within 1 hpi; early genes (β), such as thymidine kinase, are usually expressed within 3hpi; and late (γ) genes, such as glycoprotein C (gC), are typically expressed between six and eight hpi; and Latency Associated Transcripts are expressed upon initial infection and are continuously abundantly expressed following initial infection. Based on relevant literature and our preliminary findings, we decided to observe the effect of viral infection at 24 hpi. Significant differences were observed between the
Virus/Vehicle group when compared to the Virus/Captopril groups at 9 and 24 hpi.

Computational approaches to drug discovery are used extensively to determine the potential of candidate and novel drugs. The current COVID-19 crisis reinforces the use of computational approaches in drug discovery. We used a computational approach to evaluate the interaction between ACE inhibitors and HSV-1 via molecular modeling and found that captopril favorably interacts with HSV-1 gD with a negative free binding energy ($\Delta G$) of $-3.9 \text{kCal/mol}$ while the other evaluated ACE inhibitors (lisinopril and fosinopril) do not interact with HSV-1 gD.

The primary limitation to this study is the use of only one cell line. Future studies to determine the effects of captopril in alternative cell lines infected with HSV-1 are warranted. Moreover, it would be prudent to investigate how other methods of ACE inhibition or Angiotensin II receptor antagonism affect HSV-1 replication. Future work will also determine how well captopril impairs HSV-1 replication at higher MOIs. Yet, the work detailed here provides a firm basis for captopril to be further evaluated as a novel antiviral effective against HSV-1.

The overall goal of this study was to evaluate the anti-HSV-1 properties of captopril. Our results, both quantitative and qualitative in nature, demonstrate that captopril exerts a protective effect against HSV-1. Thus, these results strengthen work addressing antiviral effects of RAAS components and offers potential for a novel strategy for combatting HSV-1 infection. As a commonly prescribed and relatively safe blood pressure medication, captopril has demonstrated promising potential as a therapeutic intervention for the treatment of HSV-1. Problems associated with current HSV-1 treatment regimens are largely centered around antiviral resistance and high dosing frequencies due to low oral bioavailability. Acyclovir is reported to have a bioavailability between of 20%, requiring a dosing frequency of five times per day$^{10}$ to maintain therapeutic levels. Valaciclovir, a prodrug of acyclovir, also used for the treatment of herpes virus infections increases bioavailability to 55%-60%, which causes a decrease in dosing frequency from five to three times per day to be effective, but is accompanied by a price increase which may affect which treatment options are prescribed. Captopril has an oral bioavailability of approximately 65%-70%, which if effective as an antiviral, as data suggest, may result in a further decrease in dosing frequency, potentially leading to an increase in patient compliance and is relatively inexpensive. Additionally, captopril crosses the blood brain barrier (BBB), and thus could decrease the CNS inflammatory response and prevent further damage caused by viral-mediated BBB permeability.

Collectively, our findings warrant further studies that will test the mechanisms underlying the antiviral effect demonstrated in this work. Future work will delineate any possible direct interactions between the drug and HSV-1 itself as well as any effects of captopril on viral entry. This work highlights a critical area of study that could provide a novel approach to reducing HSV-1 entry and reducing the pathologies associated with its infection and reactivation while providing a much-needed novel therapeutic alternative.

Conclusions
Current treatment options for HSV-1 and HSV-1-associated neurological complications largely rely on high dosing frequencies of nucleoside analogues, such as acyclovir, famciclovir and ganciclovir, which can have damaging side effects on multiple organ systems.$^{20,21}$ Previous studies have made connections between RAAS and viral activity, demonstrating that RAAS components may have antiviral abilities.$^{15,16}$ Here, we studied antiviral properties of the ACE inhibitor, captopril, against HSV-1. By combining qualitative analysis of cellular structure with quantitative measurements of cellular viability and viral replication, and molecular modeling, we have demonstrated that captopril effectively decreases the cytopathic effects induced by HSV-1 by mediating viral replication. Due to the difficulty of treating viral infections, this work has the potential to be applicable across a broad spectrum of other viruses and raises additional questions regarding systemic effects of HSV-1 infection, such as hypertension and other cardiovascular diseases in general. This work provides a platform to further investigate the mechanisms of captopril, an already approved drug, as an effective therapeutic alternative for common HSV-1 infection.

Data availability
Underlying data
Figshare: Quantitative and qualitative data assessing the antiviral effects of Captopril, an ACE-1 inhibitor, on HSV-1, https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12881441.v1$^{22}$.

This project contains the underlying data files:
- Captopril Dose-Response data.xlsx [Captopril dose-response data]
- HSV-1 & Captopril Time Course Data.xlsx [HSV-1 and captopril time course data]
- JPG files - Qualitative comparison of cell morphology of different treatment groups
- Virus Replication Assay-GFP+ Cells.xlsx [HSV-1 viral replication quantitation based on GFP+ cells]
- PNG files - Qualitative evaluation of GFP+ cells in different treatment groups at different time points
- Captopril-HSV-1 Interaction (dragged).pdf [Molecular modelling: Captopril has a favorable interaction with HSV-1 gD]
- ICP0 gene expression data.csv [Captopril treatment down-regulates HSV-1 ICP0 expression]

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).
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Overall: The manuscript is fairly well written however the manuscript is poorly referenced, details needed in the introduction and methods sections, there is only a marginal effect of Captopril on HSV-1 replication, there are no direct PCR results for early and late gene markers of HSV-1 replication, and there are strong assumptions made on effects of virus replication and ICPO.

It is my opinion that the manuscript be 'Not Approved' based on the lack of rigor, the marginal effects of Captopril on HSV-1 replication that is not likely to be biologically relevant in preventing infection, and the lacks appropriate controls.

Main Comments:

1. The implications of treating HSV-1 which is a latent virus that induces recurrent disease with an ACE-1 inhibitor could result in side effects related to blood pressure control and this intervention would not be curative. If ACE-1 inhibitors could disrupt viral latency, then this would be novel.

2. The authors state “Moreover, HSV-1 infection is a significant contributing factor in Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) transmission and pathology”. It should be known that it is HSV-2 that predisposes individuals to HIV-1 acquisition and not HSV-1.

3. The manuscript is poorly referenced.

4. The level of HSV-1 clinical resistance and the resistance in the general population after acyclovir therapy should be stated in the introduction.

5. I agree with the authors that novel therapies for HSV-1 are warranted but this has to include
therapies that disrupt or prevent latency. Suppression of ICPO has to correlate with virus replication over a time course that includes appropriate controls.

6. There is no mention of the 8 different herpesviruses that infect humans in the introduction. There is no mention of HSV-2 and how it differs from HSV-1 in terms of clinical disease.

7. The authors state Components of the Renin-Angiotensin Aldosterone System (RAAS), known for their function in the homeostatic control of arterial blood and osmotic pressures, have been implicated in regulating virus activity. There is no reference to support this statement.

8. The authors should also consider that cytomegalovirus is a beta-herpesvirus that is known to be implicated in vascular disease whereas HSV-1 is an alpha-herpesvirus that goes latent in trigeminal ganglia.

9. The authors describe the attenuation of CPE in HSV-1-infected SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells. What is the rationale for using transform cell lines and not primary cells in these experiments?

10. A brief description of the HSV-1 F strain is warranted in the materials and methods section of the manuscript.

11. The authors state “Cells were incubated until the cell viability assay or imaging analysis was performed. The exact time of incubation should be included.

12. Lab adapted strains behave differently than primary clinical isolates and this distinction should be made clear in the manuscript.

13. There is no information on how Captopril was obtained the source and how it was sterilized for cell culture in the infection assays. The rationale for the concentrations of Captopril was not mentioned in the manuscript.

14. In figure 1, there still significant infection in the cultures treated with Captopril at all concentrations with a viability increase of 30-40% for all concentrations of Captopril and there is no dose-response trend regarding viability.

15. The values found in Figure 2 are statistically significant but unlikely to be biologically relevant in suppressing HSV-1 infection in vivo.

16. Figure 3 does not show if these cells are infected because staining for an HSV-1 marker was not performed here. It is also unclear what the arrow is pointing at.

17. The authors state “qPCR results demonstrated that captopril co-administration to HSV-1 infected cells decreased ICPO gene expression by approximately 34%, compared to infected cells not administered captopril.” Only one immediate early gene was examined in this assay and the claims being made for ICPO are not supporter here.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?
Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?
Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
No

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
Partly

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
No
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This study demonstrates that captopril, an angiotensin converting enzyme-1 inhibitor, attenuates HSV-1 infection in SY5Y neuroblastoma cells. Strengths of the study include evidence that captopril at low doses modestly reduces HSV-1 infection using multiple techniques including cell viability, microscopy, GFP-replication assay, qPCR, and cDNA synthesis. Weaknesses of the work include lack of experimental evidence for the mechanism of action of captopril, especially in light of the molecular modeling prediction that captopril binds to gD, an important viral protein responsible for HSV-1 binding and cell-to-cell spread. Major and minor recommendations for acceptance are outlined below.

Major revisions:

- Given the results of molecular modeling showing that captopril binds to glycoprotein D, the
authors must address whether this binding pocket represents a nectin or HVEM binding domain and whether captopril acts to prevent HSV-1 binding or cell-to-cell spread.

Structure of Herpes Simplex Virus Glycoprotein D Bound to the Human Receptor Nectin-1

○ HSV-1 spread from one cell to another requires gD and its receptors, enabling membrane fusion and multinucleated syncytia formation. Photomicrographs (Fig 5) suggest that this may be the case in this experiment. Since the authors only investigated the expression of ICP0, an early viral gene product made immediately upon HSV-1 entry, reduced expression in the presence of captopril suggests that captopril's mechanism may be to reduce viral entry. The authors should perform additional experiments, such as a neutralization assay or viral titer analysis to address the mechanism of action of the captopril effect.

○ Captopril reduces growth in Sy5Y cells which could be responsible for reduction in HSV-1 replication.


○ In the discussion, the authors state that the primary limitation of this study is the use of only one cell line. If there are no previous studies investigating the use of this cell line and HSV-1 infection, the authors should provide a rationale as well as perform a growth curve to address the use of this cell line.

○ The authors state that other evaluated ACE inhibitors (lisinopril and fosinopril) do not interact with HSV-1 gD. Using another ACE-1 inhibitor to test the potential mechanism of captopril interaction with gD would be an appropriate control.

○ Different studies provide conflicting evidence about whether captopril crosses the blood brain barrier (BBB). The authors provide no references for this statement in the discussion. Cerebrovascular effects of the converting enzyme inhibitor captopril. PMID: 6400112

J O Jarden, D I Barry, S Strandgaard, D I Graham, O B Paulson

Minor revisions:

○ Page 1 “some components demonstrated antiviral properties demonstrate”- **provide specific examples**

○ corneal blindness and encephalitis - **repetitive**

○ Human cytomegalovirus, **Human Herpesvirus-5 (HHV-5)**, has been demonstrated to cause an increase in arterial blood pressure — **add** by increasing expression of renin and Ang II

○ Authors need to provide additional information about captopril in the introduction.

○ Fig 1- there are no asterisks or plus signs in the figure- why 140-160% better viability?
Fig 3- any difference in the microscopy technique used for the photomicrographs a and d versus b and c- the light transmitted through the specimens looks different.

Fig 4- not much change at 24 hr but drastic change at 48- could this effect be due to captopril preventing reinfection via gD?

Need scale bars in micrographs- some of the micrographs look to be at different magnifications- is there any way to improve contrast? The cells are difficult to see with the dark background.
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