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Abstract
Data organized into hierarchical structures (e.g., phylogenies or cell types) arises in several biological fields. It is therefore of interest to have data containers that store the hierarchical structure together with the biological profile data, and provide functions to easily access or manipulate data at different resolutions. Here, we present TreeSummarizedExperiment, a R/S4 class that extends the commonly used SingleCellExperiment class by incorporating tree representations of rows and/or columns (represented by objects of the phylo class). It follows the convention of the SummarizedExperiment class, while providing links between the assays and the nodes of a tree to allow data manipulation at arbitrary levels of the tree. The package is designed to be extensible, allowing new functions on the tree (phylo) to be contributed. As the work is based on the SingleCellExperiment class and the phylo class, both of which are popular classes used in many R packages, it is expected to be able to interact seamlessly with many other tools.
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Introduction
Biological data arranged into a hierarchy occurs in several fields. A notable example is in microbial survey studies, where the microbiome is profiled with amplicon sequencing or whole genome shotgun sequencing, and microbial taxa are organized as a tree according to their similarities in the genomic sequence or the evolutionary history. Also, a tree might be used in single cell cytometry or RNA-seq data, with nodes representing cell sub-populations at different granularities. Currently, phyloseq and SingleCellExperiment are popular classes used in the analysis of microbial data and single cell data, respectively. The former supports the information pertaining to the hierarchical structure that is available as the phylo class (e.g., phylogenetic tree), and the latter is derived from the SummarizedExperiment class (defined in the SummarizedExperiment package), which is widely used as a standardized container across many Bioconductor packages. Since the data structures in these fields share similarities, we were motivated to develop an S4 class, TreeSummarizedExperiment, that not only leverages the facilities from the SummarizedExperiment class, but also bridges the functionality from the phylo class, which is available from the ape package and has been imported in more than 200 R packages.

We define TreeSummarizedExperiment by extending the SingleCellExperiment class, so that it is a member of the SummarizedExperiment family, and thus benefits from the comprehensive Bioconductor ecosystem (e.g., iSE, SEtools, and ggbiom). At the same time, all slots of the phyloseq class have their corresponding slots in the TreeSummarizedExperiment class, which enables convenient conversion between these classes. Furthermore, we allow the link between profile data and nodes of the tree, including leaves and internal nodes, which is useful for algorithms in the downstream analysis that need to access internal nodes of the tree (e.g., treeclimbR).

Methods
R version: R version 4.0.2 (2020-06-22)

Bioconductor version: 3.11

Package: 1.4.8

Implementation
The structure of TreeSummarizedExperiment. The structure of the TreeSummarizedExperiment class is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. The structure of the TreeSummarizedExperiment class. The rectangular data matrices are stored in assays. Each matrix usually has rows representing entities (e.g., genes or microbial taxa) and columns representing cells or samples. Information about rows and columns is stored in rowData and colData, respectively. The hierarchy structure on rows or columns is stored in rowTree or colTree respectively, and the link information between rows/ columns and nodes of the row/column tree is in row/Links colLinks.
Compared to the SingleCellExperiment objects, TreeSummarizedExperiment has four additional slots:

- rowTree: the hierarchical structure on the rows of the assays.
- rowLinks: the link information between rows of the assays and the rowTree.
- colTree: the hierarchical structure on the columns of the assays.
- colLinks: the link information between columns of the assays and the colTree.

The rowTree and/or colTree can be left empty (NULL) if no trees are available; in this case, the rowLinks and/or colLinks are also set to NULL. All other TreeSummarizedExperiment slots are inherited from SingleCellExperiment.

The rowTree and colTree slots require the tree to be an object of the phylo class. If a tree is available in an alternative format, it can often be converted to a phylo object using dedicated R packages (e.g., treeio).

Functions in the TreeSummarizedExperiment package fall in two main categories: operations on the TreeSummarizedExperiment object or operations on the tree (phylo) objects. The former includes constructors and accessors, and the latter serves as “components” to be assembled as accessors or functions that manipulate the TreeSummarizedExperiment object. Given that more than 200 R packages make use of the phylo class, there are many resources (e.g., ape) for users to manipulate the small “pieces” in addition to those provided in TreeSummarizedExperiment.

The toy datasets as the example data
We generate a toy dataset that has observations of 6 entities collected from 4 samples as an example to show how to construct a TreeSummarizedExperiment object.

```r
library(TreeSummarizedExperiment)

# assays data (typically, representing observed data from an experiment)
assay_data <- rbind(rep(0, 4), matrix(1:20, nrow = 5))
colnames(assay_data) <- paste0("sample", 1:4)
rownames(assay_data) <- paste0("entity", seq_len(6))
assay_data

##         sample1 sample2 sample3 sample4
## entity1       0       0       0       0
## entity2       1       6      11      16
## entity3       2       7      12      17
## entity4       3       8      13      18
## entity5       4       9      14      19
## entity6       5      10      15      20
```

The information of entities and samples are given in the row_data and col_data, respectively.

```r
# row data (feature annotations)
row_data <- data.frame(Kingdom = "A",
                        Phylum = rep(c("B1", "B2"), c(2, 4)),
                        Class = rep(c("C1", "C2", "C3"), each = 2),
                        OTU = paste0("D", 1:6),
                        row.names = rownames(assay_data),
                        stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
row_data
```
# column data (sample annotations)
col_data <- data.frame(gg = c(1, 2, 3, 3),
                      group = rep(LETTERS[1:2], each = 2),
                      row.names = colnames(assay_data),
                      stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
col_data

##         gg group
## sample1  1     A
## sample2  2     A
## sample3  3     B
## sample4  3     B

The hierarchical structure of the 6 entities and 4 samples are denoted as row_tree and col_tree, respectively. The two trees are phylo objects randomly created with rtree from the package ape. Note that the row tree has 5 rather than 6 leaves; this is used later to show that multiple rows in the assays are allowed to map to a single node in the tree.

library(ape)

# The first toy tree
set.seed(12)
row_tree <- rtree(5)

# The second toy tree
set.seed(12)
col_tree <- rtree(4)

# change node labels
col_tree$tip.label <- colnames(assay_data)
col_tree$node.label <- c("All", "GroupA", "GroupB")

We visualize the tree using the package ggtree 2.2.4. Here, the internal nodes of the row_tree have no labels as shown in Figure 2.

library(ggtree)
library(ggplot2)

# Visualize the row tree
ggtree(row_tree, size = 2, branch.length = "none") +
  geom_text2(aes(label = node), color = "darkblue",
             hjust = -0.5, vjust = 0.7, size = 4) +
  geom_text2(aes(label = label), color = "darkorange",
             hjust = -0.1, vjust = -0.7, size = 4)
The `col_tree` has labels for internal nodes as shown in Figure 3.

```r
# Visualize the column tree
ggtree(col_tree, size = 2, branch.length = "none") +
  geom_text2(aes(label = node), color = "darkblue",
             hjust = -0.5, vjust = 0.7, size = 4) +
  geom_text2(aes(label = label), color = "darkorange",
             hjust = -0.1, vjust = -0.7, size = 4)+
  ylim(c(0.8, 4.5)) +
  xlim(c(0, 2.2))
```

**Figure 3. The structure of the column tree.** The node labels and the node numbers are in orange and blue text, respectively.

The construction of `TreeSummarizedExperiment`

The `TreeSummarizedExperiment` class is used to store the toy data generated in the previous section: `assay_data`, `row_data`, `col_data`, `col_tree` and `row_tree`. To correctly store data, the link information between the rows (or columns) of `assay_data` and the nodes of the `row_tree` (or `col_tree`) can be provided via a character vector `rowNodeLab` (or `colNodeLab`), with length equal to the number of rows (or columns).
of the assays; otherwise the row (or column) names are used. Tree data takes precedence to determine entities included during the creation of the TreeSummarizedExperiment object; columns and rows with labels that are not present among the node labels of the tree are removed with warnings. The link data between the assays tables and the tree data is automatically generated during the construction.

The row and column trees can be included simultaneously during the construction of a TreeSummarizedExperiment object. Here, the column names of assay_data can be found in the node labels of the column tree, which enables the link to be created between the column dimension of assay_data and the column tree col_tree. If the row names of assay_data are not in the node labels of row_tree, we would need to provide their corresponding node labels (row_lab) to rowNodeLab in the construction of the object. It is possible to map multiple rows or columns to a node, for example, the same leaf label is used for the first two rows in row_lab.

```r
# all column names could be found in the node labels of the column tree
all(colnames(assay_data) %in% c(col_tree$tip.label, col_tree$node.label))

## [1] TRUE

# provide the node labels in rowNodeLab
tip_lab <- row_tree$tip.label
row_lab <- tip_lab[c(1, 1:5)]
row_lab

## [1] "t3" "t3" "t2" "t1" "t5" "t4"

both_tse <- TreeSummarizedExperiment(assays = list(Count = assay_data),
                                      rowData = row_data,
                                      colData = col_data,
                                      rowTree = row_tree,
                                      rowNodeLab = row_lab,
                                      colTree = col_tree)

both_tse
```

When printed on screen, TreeSummarizedExperiment objects display information as the parent SingleCell-Experiment class followed by four additional lines for rowLinks, rowTree, colLinks and colTree.
The accessor functions
Slots inherited from the `SummarizedExperiment` class can be accessed in the traditional way (e.g., `assays()`, `rowData()`, `colData()` and `metadata()`). These functions are both getters and setters. To clarify, getters and setters are functions for users to retrieve and to overwrite data from the corresponding slots, respectively.

For new slots, we provide `rowTree` (and `colTree`) accessors to retrieve the row (column) trees, and `rowLinks` (and `colLinks`) to retrieve the link information between `assays` and nodes of the row (column) tree. Currently, these functions are getters but not setters. If the tree is not available, the corresponding link data is NULL.

```r
# access trees
rowTree(both_tse)
```

```
## Phylogenetic tree with 5 tips and 4 internal nodes.
## Tip labels:
## [1] "t3" "t2" "t1" "t5" "t4"
## Rooted; includes branch lengths.
```

```r
colTree(both_tse)
```

```
## Phylogenetic tree with 4 tips and 3 internal nodes.
## Tip labels:
## [1] "sample1" "sample2" "sample3" "sample4"
## Node labels:
## [1] "All" "GroupA" "GroupB"
## Rooted; includes branch lengths.
```

```r
# access the link data
(r_link <- rowLinks(both_tse))
```

```
## LinkDataFrame with 6 rows and 4 columns
##             nodeLab nodeLab_alias nodeNum isLeaf
##         <character>   <character> <integer> <logical>
## entity1          t3       alias_1         1      TRUE
## entity2          t3       alias_1         1      TRUE
## entity3          t2       alias_2         2      TRUE
## entity4          t1       alias_3         3      TRUE
## entity5          t5       alias_4         4      TRUE
## entity6          t4       alias_5         5      TRUE
```

```r
c_link <- colLinks(both_tse)
```

```
## LinkDataFrame with 4 rows and 4 columns
##             nodeLab nodeLab_alias nodeNum isLeaf
##         <character>   <character> <integer> <logical>
## sample1     sample1       alias_1         1      TRUE
## sample2     sample2       alias_2         2      TRUE
## sample3     sample3       alias_3         3      TRUE
## sample4     sample4       alias_4         4      TRUE
```

The link data objects are of the `LinkDataFrame` class, which extends the `DataFrame` class with the restriction that it has at least four columns:
- `nodeLab`: the labels of nodes on the tree
- `nodeLab_alias`: the alias labels of nodes on the tree
• **nodeName**: the numbers of nodes on the tree
• **isLeaf**: whether the node is a leaf node

More details about the **DataFrame** class could be found in the *S4Vectors* R/Bioconductor package.

**The subsetting function**

A **TreeSummarizedExperiment** object can be subset in two different ways: 

- to subset by rows or columns, and
- subsetByNode to retrieve row and/or columns that correspond to nodes of a tree. To preserve the original clustering information, the **rowTree** and **colTree** are kept identical after subsetting, while **rowLinks** and **rowData** are updated accordingly.

```
sub_tse <- both_tse[1:2, 1]
sub_tse
```

```r
# the row data
rowData(sub_tse)
```

```r
## DataFrame with 2 rows and 4 columns
##             Kingdom      Phylum       Class         OTU
##         <character> <character> <character> <character>
## entity1           A          B1          C1          D1
## entity2           A          B1          C1          D2
```

```r
# the row link data
rowLinks(sub_tse)
```

```r
## LinkDataFrame with 2 rows and 4 columns
##             nodeLab nodeLab_alias   nodeNum    isLeaf
##         <character>   <character> <integer> <logical>
## entity1          t3       alias_1         1      TRUE
## entity2          t3       alias_1         1      TRUE
```

```r
# The first four columns are from colLinks data and the others from colData
cbind(colLinks(sub_tse), colData(sub_tse))
```

```r
## DataFrame with 1 row and 6 columns
##             nodeLab nodeLab_alias nodeNum    isLeaf   gg group
##         <character>   <character> <integer> <logical> <numeric>
## sample1     sample1       alias_1         1      TRUE         1
##               group
##         <character>
## sample1           A
```
To subset by nodes, we specify the node by its node label or node number. Here, entity1 and entity2 are both mapped to the same node t3, so both of them are retained.

```r	node_tse <- subsetByNode(x = both_tse, rowNode = "t3")
rowLinks(node_tse)
```

## LinkDataFrame with 2 rows and 4 columns
##             nodeLab nodeLab_alias   nodeNum    isLeaf
##         <character>   <character> <integer> <logical>
## entity1          t3       alias_1         1      TRUE
## entity2          t3       alias_1         1      TRUE

Subsetting simultaneously in both dimensions is also allowed.

```r	node_tse <- subsetByNode(x = both_tse, rowNode = "t3", colNode = c("sample1", "sample2"))
assays(node_tse)[[1]]
```

##         sample1 sample2
## entity1       0       0
## entity2       1       6

**Changing the tree**
The current tree can be replaced by a new one using `changeTree`. If the hierarchical information is available as a `data.frame` with each column representing a taxonomic level (e.g., `row_data`), we provide `toTree` to convert it into a `phylo` object that is further visualized in Figure 4.

```r
# The toy taxonomic table
(taxa <- rowData(both_tse))
```

## DataFrame with 6 rows and 4 columns
##             Kingdom      Phylum       Class         OTU
##         <character> <character> <character> <character>
## entity1           A          B1          C1          D1
## entity2           A          B1          C1          D2
## entity3           A          B2          C2          D3
## entity4           A          B2          C2          D4
## entity5           A          B2          C3          D5
## entity6           A          B2          C3          D6

**Figure 4.** The structure of the taxonomic tree that is generated from the taxonomic table.
# convert it to a phylo tree
taxa_tree <- toTree(data = taxa)

# Viz the new tree
ggtree(taxa_tree) +
  geom_text2(aes(label = node), color = "darkblue",
             hjust = -0.5, vjust = 0.7, size = 4) +
  geom_text2(aes(label = label), color = "darkorange",
             hjust = -0.1, vjust = -0.7, size = 4) +
  geom_point2()

If the nodes of the two trees have a different set of labels, a vector mapping the nodes of the new tree must be provided in rowNodeLab.

taxa_tse <- changeTree(x = both_tse, rowTree = taxa_tree,
                       rowNodeLab = taxa["OTU"])

taxa_tse
## class: TreeSummarizedExperiment
## dim: 6 4
## metadata(0):
## assays(1): Count
## rownames(6): entity1 entity2 ... entity5 entity6
## rowData names(4): Kingdom Phylum Class OTU
## colnames(4): sample1 sample2 sample3 sample4
## colData names(2): gg group
## reducedDimNames(0):
## altExpNames(0):
## rowLinks: a LinkDataFrame (6 rows)
## rowTree: a phylo (6 leaves)
## colLinks: a LinkDataFrame (4 rows)

rowLinks(taxa_tse)
## LinkDataFrame with 6 rows and 4 columns
##     nodeLab nodeLab_alias nodeNum isLeaf
## entity1   D1       alias_1         1   TRUE
## entity2   D2       alias_2         2   TRUE
## entity3   D3       alias_3         3   TRUE
## entity4   D4       alias_4         4   TRUE
## entity5   D5       alias_5         5   TRUE
## entity6   D6       alias_6         6   TRUE

Aggregation
Since it may be of interest to report or analyze observed data at multiple resolutions based on the provided tree(s), the TreeSummarizedExperiment package offers functionality to flexibly aggregate data to arbitrary levels of a tree.

The column dimension. Here, we demonstrate the aggregation functionality along the column dimension. The desired aggregation level is given in the colLevel argument, which can be specified using node labels (orange texts in Figure 3) or node numbers (blue texts in Figure 3). Furthermore, the summarization method used to aggregate multiple values can be specified via the argument FUN.
# use node labels to specify colLevel
agg_col <- aggValue(x = taxa_tse,
                    colLevel = c("GroupA", "GroupB"),
                    FUN = sum)

# or use node numbers to specify colLevel
agg_col <- aggValue(x = taxa_tse, colLevel = c(6, 7), FUN = sum)

assays(agg_col)[[1]]

##         alias_6 alias_7
## entity1       0       0
## entity2       7      27
## entity3       9      29
## entity4      11      31
## entity5      13      33
## entity6      15      35

The rowData does not change, but the colData is updated to reflect the metadata information that remains valid for the individual nodes after aggregation. For example, the column group has the A value for GroupA because the descendant nodes of GroupA all have the value A; whereas the column gg has the NA value for GroupA because the descendant nodes of GroupA have different values, (1 and 2).

# before aggregation
colData(taxa_tse)

## DataFrame with 4 rows and 2 columns
##                gg       group
##         <numeric> <character>
## sample1         1           A
## sample2         2           A
## sample3         3           B
## sample4         3           B

# after aggregation
colData(agg_col)

## DataFrame with 2 rows and 2 columns
##                gg       group
##         <numeric> <character>
## alias_6        NA           A
## alias_7          3           B

The colLinks is also updated to link the new rows of assays tables to the corresponding nodes of the column tree (Figure 3).

# the link data is updated
colLinks(agg_col)

## LinkDataFrame with 2 rows and 4 columns
##             nodeLab nodeLab_alias nodeNum    isLeaf
##         <character>   <character> <integer> <logical>
## alias_6      GroupA       alias_6         6     FALSE
## alias_7      GroupB       alias_7         7     FALSE

The row dimension. Similarly, we can aggregate rows to phyla by providing the names of the internal nodes that represent the phylum level (see taxa_one below).
# the phylum level

taxa <- c(taxa_tree$tip.label, taxa_tree$node.label)
(taxa_one <- taxa[startsWith(taxa, "Phylum:"))]


# aggregation

tag_taxa <- aggValue(x = taxa_tse, rowLevel = taxa_one, FUN = sum)
assays(tag_taxa)[[1]]

## sample1 sample2 sample3 sample4
## alias_8        1       6      11      16
## alias_10      14      34      54      74

Users are nonetheless free to choose nodes from different taxonomic ranks for each final aggregated row. Note that it is not necessary to use all original rows during the aggregation process. Similarly, it is entirely possible for a row to contribute to multiple aggregated rows.

# A mixed level

taxa_mix <- c("Class:C3", "Phylum:B1")
tag_any <- aggValue(x = taxa_tse, rowLevel = taxa_mix, FUN = sum)
rowData(tag_any)

## DataFrame with 2 rows and 4 columns
## Kingdom Phylum Class OTU
## alias_12 A B2 C3 NA
## alias_8 A B1 C1 NA

Both dimensions. The aggregation on both dimensions could be performed in one step using the same function specified via FUN, in which case the aggValue function aggregates rows first, and columns second. If different functions are required for different dimensions, or if columns need to be aggregated before rows, users should perform the aggregation in two steps.

ntag_both <- aggValue(x = both_tse, colLevel = c(6, 7),
                      rowLevel = 7:9, FUN = sum)

As expected, we obtain a table with 3 rows representing the aggregated row nodes 7, 8 and 9 (rowLevel = 7:9) and 2 columns representing the aggregated column nodes 6 and 7 (colLevel = c(6, 7)).

assays(tag_both)[[1]]

## alias_6 alias_7
## alias_7 16 56
## alias_8 39 99
## alias_9 24 64

Functions operating on the phylo object.

Next, we highlight some functions to manipulate and/or to extract information from the phylo object. Further operations can be found in other packages, such as ape, tidytree. These functions are useful for users who wish to develop more functions for the TreeSummarizedExperiment class.

To show these functions, we use the tree shown in Figure 5.
Conversion of the node label and the node number  The translation between the node labels and node numbers can be achieved by the function `convertNode`.

```r
convertNode(tree = tinyTree, node = c(12, 1, 4))
## [1] "Node_12" "t2"      "t9"
```

```r
convertNode(tree = tinyTree, node = c("t4", "Node_18"))
##      t4 Node_18
##       5      18
```

Find the descendants  To get descendants that are at the leaf level, we could set the argument `only.leaf = TRUE` for the function `findDescendant`.

```r
# only the leaf nodes
findDescendant(tree = tinyTree, node = 17, only.leaf = TRUE)
## $Node_17
## [1] 4 5 6
```

When `only.leaf = FALSE`, all descendants are returned.

```r
# all descendant nodes
findDescendant(tree = tinyTree, node = 17, only.leaf = FALSE)
## $Node_17
## [1] 4 5 6 18
```

More functions.  We list some functions that might also be useful in Table 1. More functions are available in the package, and we encourage users to develop and contribute their own functions to the package.

Custom functions for the `TreeSummarizedExperiment` class
Here, we show examples of how to write custom functions for `TreeSummarizedExperiment` objects. To extract data corresponding to specific leaves, we created a function `subsetByLeaf` by combining functions working on the `phylo` class (e.g., `convertNode, keep.tip, trackNode`) with the accessor function `subsetByNode`. Here, `convertNode` and `trackNode` are available in `TreeSummarizedExperiment`, and `keep.tip` is from the `ape` package. Since the numeric identifier of a node is changed after pruning a tree

---

**Figure 5.** An example tree with node labels and numbers in black and blue texts, respectively.
with `keep.tip`, `trackNode` is provided to track the node and further update links between the rectangular assay matrices and the new tree.

```r
# tse: a TreeSummarizedExperiment object
# rowLeaf: specific leaves
subsetByLeaf <- function(tse, rowLeaf) {
  # if rowLeaf is provided as node labels, convert them to node numbers
  if (is.character(rowLeaf)) {
    rowLeaf <- convertNode(tree = rowTree(tse), node = rowLeaf)
  }

  # subset data by leaves
  sse <- subsetByNode(tse, rowNode = rowLeaf)

  # update the row tree
  ## -------------- new tree: drop leaves ----------
  oldTree <- rowTree(sse)
  newTree <- ape::keep.tip(phy = oldTree, tip = rowLeaf)

  ## -------------- update the row tree ----------
  # track the tree
  track <- trackNode(oldTree)
  track <- ape::keep.tip(phy = track, tip = rowLeaf)

  # update the row tree:
  # 1. get the old alias label and update it to the new alias label
  # 2. provide the new alias label as rowNodeLab to update the row tree
  oldAlias <- rowLinks(sse)$nodeLab_alias
  newNode <- convertNode(tree = track, node = oldAlias)
  newAlias <- convertNode(tree = track, node = newNode)

  changeTree(x = sse, rowTree = newTree, rowNodeLab = newAlias)
}
```

The row tree is updated; after subsetting, it has only two leaves, t2 and t3.

```r
(both_sse <- subsetByLeaf(tse = both_tse, rowLeaf = c("t2", "t3")))
```
## class: TreeSummarizedExperiment
## dim: 3 4
## metadata(0):
## assays(1): Count
## rownames(3): entity1 entity2 entity3
## rowData names(4): Kingdom Phylum Class OTU
## colnames(4): sample1 sample2 sample3 sample4
## colData names(2): gg group
## reducedDimNames(0):
## altExpNames(0):
## rowLinks: a LinkDataFrame (3 rows)
## rowTree: a phylo (2 leaves)
## colLinks: a LinkDataFrame (4 rows)
## colTree: a phylo (4 leaves)

### rowLinks(both_sse)

```r
# LinkDataFrame with 3 rows and 4 columns
# nodeLab nodeLab_alias nodeNum isLeaf
# <character> <character> <integer> <logical>
# entity1 t3 alias_1 1 TRUE
# entity2 t3 alias_1 1 TRUE
# entity3 t2 alias_2 2 TRUE
```

### Operation

The `TreeSummarizedExperiment` package can be installed by following the standard installation procedures of Bioconductor packages.

```r
# install Bioconductor
if (!requireNamespace("BiocManager", quietly = TRUE))
  install.packages("BiocManager")
# install TreeSummarizedExperiment package
BiocManager::install("TreeSummarizedExperiment")
```

Minimum system requirements is R version 3.6 (or later) on a Mac, Windows or Linux system. We highly recommend to use the latest versions of R (currently, 4.0.2) and Bioconductor (at time of writing, 3.11) to gain access to the latest version of this package.

### Use cases

To demonstrate the functionality of `TreeSummarizedExperiment`, we use it to store and manipulate a microbial dataset. We further show exploratory graphics using the available functions designed for `SummarizedExperiment` objects in other packages (e.g., `scater`), or customized functions from popular visualization packages (e.g., `ggplot2`).

```r
# Packages providing dataset
library(HMP16SData)

# Packages to manipulate data extracted from TreeSummarizedExperiment
library(tidyrl)
library(dplyrl)

# Packages providing visualization
library(ggplot2)
library(scales)
library(ggtree)
library(scater)
library(cowplot)
```
The Human Microbiome Project (HMP) 16S rRNA sequencing data, v35, is downloaded using the R package `HMP16SData`, which contains survey data of samples collected at five major body sites in the variable regions 3–5. v35 is available as a SummarizedExperiment object via the ExperimentHub.

```r
(v35 <- V35())
```

### class: SummarizedExperiment
dim: 45383 4743
metadata(2): experimentData phylogeneticTree
assays(1): 16SrRNA
rownames(45383): OTU_97.1 OTU_97.10 ... OTU_97.9998 OTU_97.9999
rowData names(7): CONSENSUS_LINEAGE SUPERKINGDOM ... FAMILY GENUS
colnames(4743): 700013549 700014386 ... 700114717 700114750
colData names(7): RSID VISITNO ... HMP_BODY_SUBSITE SRS_SAMPLE_ID

# name the assay
names(assays(v35)) <- "Count"

The storage of HMP 16S rRNA-seq data

We store the phylogenetic tree as the `rowTree`. Links between nodes of the tree and rows of `assays` are automatically generated in the construction of the `TreeSummarizedExperiment` object, and are stored as `rowLinks`. Rows of the `assays` matrices that do not have a match to nodes of the tree are removed with warnings.

```r
(tse_phy <- TreeSummarizedExperiment(assays = assays(v35),
    rowData = rowData(v35),
    colData = colData(v35),
    rowTree = metadata(v35)$phylogeneticTree,
    metadata = metadata(v35)["experimentData"]))
```

### Warning in .linkFun(tree = rowTree, sce = sce, nodeLab = rowNodeLab, onRow = TRUE):
47 row(s) couldn’t be matched to the tree and are/is removed.

### class: TreeSummarizedExperiment
dim: 45336 4743
metadata(1): experimentData
assays(1): Count
rownames(45336): OTU_97.1 OTU_97.10 ... OTU_97.9998 OTU_97.9999
rowData names(7): CONSENSUS_LINEAGE SUPERKINGDOM ... FAMILY GENUS
colnames(4743): 700013549 700014386 ... 700114717 700114750
colData names(7): RSID VISITNO ... HMP_BODY_SUBSITE SRS_SAMPLE_ID
reducedDimNames(0):
altExpNames(0):
rowLinks: a LinkDataFrame (45336 rows)
rowTree: a phylo (45364 leaves)
colLinks: NULL
colTree: NULL

cD <- colData(tse_phy)
dim(table(cD$HMP_BODY_SITE, cD$RUN_CENTER))

### [1] 5 12

Exploratory graphics

Here, we show `TreeSummarizedExperiment` working seamlessly with `SEtools` (v. 1.2.0) to prepare data for the exploratory graphics. Since all operational taxonomic units (OTUs) in the sample belong to Bacteria in the SUPERKINGDOM level, we can calculate the sequencing depths by aggregating counts to the SUPERKINGDOM level. The resultant `TreeSummarizedExperiment` object `agg_total` is further converted into a data frame `df_total` with selected columns (`HMP_BODY_SITE` and `RUN_CENTER`) from the column data.
library(SEtools)

agg_total <- aggSE(x = tse_phy, by = "SUPERKINGDOM",
                   assayFun = sum)

# The assays data and selected columns of the row/col data are merged into a # data frame
df_total <- meltSE(agg_total, genes = rownames(agg_total),
                   colDat.columns = c("HMP_BODY_SITE", "RUN_CENTER"))

head(df_total)

##   feature    sample          HMP_BODY_SITE RUN_CENTER Count
## 1  Bacteria 700013549 Gastrointestinal Tract        BCM  5295
## 2  Bacteria 700014386 Gastrointestinal Tract     BCM,BI 10811
## 3  Bacteria 700014403                   Oral     BCM,BI 12312
## 4  Bacteria 700014409                   Oral     BCM,BI 20355
## 5  Bacteria 700014412                   Oral     BCM,BI 14021
## 6  Bacteria 700014415                   Oral     BCM,BI 17157

To make harmonized figures with ggplot2 (v. 3.3.2), we customized a theme to be applied to several plots in this section.

# Customized the plot theme
prettify <- theme_bw(base_size = 10) + theme(
  panel.spacing = unit(0, "lines"),
  axis.text = element_text(color = "black"),
  axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 45, hjust = 1),
  legend.key.size= unit(6, "mm"),
  legend.spacing.x = unit(1, "mm"),
  plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5),
  legend.text = element_text(size = 9),
  legend.position="bottom",
  strip.background = element_rect(colour = "black", fill = "gray90"),
  strip.text.x = element_text(color = "black", size = 10),
  strip.text.y = element_text(color = "black", size = 10))

From Figure 6, we note that more samples were collected from the oral site than other body sites.

# Figure: (the number of samples) VS (centers)
ggplot(df_total) +
  geom_bar(aes(RUN_CENTER, fill = HMP_BODY_SITE),
           position = position_dodge()) +
  labs(title = "The number of samples across centers", y = "") +
  scale_fill_brewer(palette = "Set1") +
  prettify

Figure 7 shows that the sequencing depth of each sample across different coordination centers are quite similar. Within the coordination center, samples collected from Skin are more variable in the sequencing depth than those from other body sites.

# Figure: (the sequencing depths) VS (centers)
ggplot(df_total) +
  geom_boxplot(aes(x = RUN_CENTER, y = Count, fill = HMP_BODY_SITE),
               position = position_dodge()) +
  labs(title = "The sequencing depths of samples") +
  scale_y_continuous(trans = log10_trans()) +
  scale_fill_brewer(palette = "Set1") +
  labs(y = "Total counts") +
  prettify
Dimensionality reduction

We visualize samples in reduced dimensions to see whether those from the same body site are similar to each other. Three dimensionality reduction techniques are available in the package scater (v. 1.16.2), including principal component analysis (PCA)\(^15\), t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE)\(^16\), and uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP)\(^17\). Since TreeSummarizedExperiment extends the SingleCellExperiment class, functions from scater\(^18\) can be used directly. Here, we first apply PCA and t-SNE on data at the
operational taxonomic unit (OTU) evel, and select the one better clustering the samples to apply on data aggregated at coarser taxonomic levels to see whether the resolution affects the separation of samples.

**PCA and t-SNE at the OTU level**
The PCA is performed on the log-transformed counts that are stored in the `assays` matrix with the name `logcounts`. In practice, data normalization is usually applied prior to the downstream analysis, to address bias or noise introduced during the sampling or sequencing process (e.g., uneven sampling depth). Here, the library size is highly variable (Figure 7) and non-zero OTUs vary across body sites. It is difficult to say what is the optimal normalization strategy, and the use of an inappropriate normalization method might introduce new biases. The discussion of normalization is outside the scope of this work. To keep it simple, we will visualize data without further normalization.

In **Figure 8**, we see that the Oral samples are distinct from those of other body sites. Samples from Skin, Urogenital Tract, Airways and Gastrointestinal Tract are not separated very well in the first two principal components.

```r
# log-transformed data
assays(tse_phy)$logcounts <- log(assays(tse_phy)$Count + 1)

# run PCA at the OTU level
tse_phy <- runPCA(tse_phy, name="PCA_OTU", exprs_values = "logcounts")

# plot samples in the reduced dimensions
plotReducedDim(tse_phy, dimred = "PCA_OTU", 
                colour_by = "HMP_BODY_SITE") +
              labs(title = "PCA at the OTU level") +
              guides(fill = guide_legend(override.aes = list(size=2.5, alpha = 1))) +
              theme(plot.title = element_text(hjust=0.5),
                    legend.position = "bottom")
```

**Figure 8.** Principal component analysis (PCA) plot of samples using data at the OTU level. The first two principal components (PCs) are plotted. Each point represents a sample. Samples are coloured according to the body sites.
The separation is well improved with the use of t-SNE in Figure 9. Samples from Oral, Gastrointestinal Tract, and Urogenital Tract form distinct clusters. Skin samples and airways samples still overlap.

```r
# run t-SNE at the OTU level
tse_phy <- runTSNE(tse_phy, name="TSNE_OTU", exprs_values = "logcounts")

# plot samples in the reduced dimensions
tsne_otu <- plotReducedDim(tse_phy, dimred = "TSNE_OTU",
colour_by = "HMP_BODY_SITE") +
labs(title = "t-SNE at the OTU level") +
theme(plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5)) +
scale_fill_brewer(palette = "Set1") +
labs(fill = "Body sites") +
guides(fill = guide_legend(override.aes = list(size=2.5, alpha = 1))) +
theme(plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5),
legend.position = "bottom")
tse_phy
```

Notably, there are two well-separated clusters labelled as oral samples. The smaller cluster includes samples from the Supragingival Plaque and Subgingival Plaque sites, while the larger cluster includes samples from other oral sub-sites (Figure 10).

```r
is_oral <- colData(tse_phy)$HMP_BODY_SITE %in% "Oral"
colData(tse_phy)$from_plaque <- grepl(pattern = "Plaque",
colData(tse_phy)$HMP_BODY_SUBSITE)

# Oral samples
plotReducedDim(tse_phy[, is_oral], dimred = "TSNE_OTU",
colour_by = "from_plaque") +
guides(fill = guide_legend(override.aes = list(size=2.5, alpha = 1))) +
theme(plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5),
legend.position = "bottom")
```

**Figure 9.** t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE) plot of samples using data at the OTU level. The first two t-SNE components are plotted. Each point represents a sample. Samples are coloured according to the body site.
Figure 10. t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE) plot of samples from the oral site using data at the OTU level. The two t-SNE components computed are plotted. Each point is a sample. Samples from the 'supragingival or subgingival Plaque' are in orange, and those from other oral sub-sites are in blue.

**t-SNE on broader taxonomic levels**

To organize data at different taxonomic levels, we first replace the phylogenetic tree with the taxonomic tree that is generated from the taxonomic table. Due to the existence of polyphyletic groups, a tree structure cannot be generated. For example, the Alteromonadaceae family is from different orders: Alteromonadales and Oceanospirillales.

```r
# taxonomic tree
tax_order <- c("SUPERKINGDOM", "PHYLUM", "CLASS", "ORDER", "FAMILY", "GENUS", "CONSENSUS_LINEAGE")
tax_0 <- data.frame(rowData(tse_phy)[, tax_order])
tax_loop <- detectLoop(tax_tab = tax_0)
# show loops that are not caused by NA
head(tax_loop[!is.na(tax_loop$child), ])
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parent</th>
<th>child</th>
<th>parent_column</th>
<th>child_column</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># 35 Altermona</td>
<td>Altermonadaceae</td>
<td>ORDER</td>
<td>FAMILY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 36 Oceanospir</td>
<td>Altermonadaceae</td>
<td>ORDER</td>
<td>FAMILY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 37 Rhizobiales</td>
<td>Rhodobacteraceae</td>
<td>ORDER</td>
<td>FAMILY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 38 Rhodobact</td>
<td>Rhodobacteraceae</td>
<td>ORDER</td>
<td>FAMILY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 39 Chromatia</td>
<td>Sinobacteraceae</td>
<td>ORDER</td>
<td>FAMILY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 40 Xanthomonad</td>
<td>Sinobacteraceae</td>
<td>ORDER</td>
<td>FAMILY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To resolve the loops, we add a suffix to the polyphyletic genus with `resolveLoop`. For example, Ruminococcus belonging to the Lachnospiraceae and the Ruminococcaceae families become Ruminococcus_1 and Ruminococcus_2, respectively. A phylo tree is created afterwards using `toTree`. 
Figure 11. t-SNE plot of samples using data at different taxonomic levels. The two t-SNE components computed are plotted. Each point is a sample. Samples are colored according to the body sites.
tax_rank <- c("GENUS", "FAMILY", "ORDER", "CLASS", "PHYLUM")
names(tax_rank) <- tax_rank
fig_list <- lapply(tax_rank, FUN = function(x) {
  # nodes represent the specific taxonomic level
  xx <- startsWith(rowLinks(tse_agg)$nodeLab, x)

  # run t-SNE on the specific level
  xx_tse <- runTSNE(tse_agg, name = paste0("TSNE_", x),
                    exprs_values = "logcounts",
                    subset_row = rownames(tse_agg)[xx])

  # plot samples in the reduced dimensions
  plotReducedDim(xx_tse, dimred = paste0("TSNE_", x),
                 colour_by = "HMP_BODY_SITE") +
                 labs(title = x) +
                 theme(plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5,
                                                  size = 12)) +
                 scale_fill_brewer(palette = "Set1") +
                 theme(legend.position = "none") +
                 guides(fill = guide_legend(override.aes = list(size=2.5)))
})

legend <- get_legend{
  # create some space to the left of the legend
  tsne_otu +
  theme(legend.box.margin = margin(0, 0, 0, 35),
        legend.position = "right")
}
plot_grid(plotlist = fig_list,
          legend, nrow = 2)

Summary
TreeSummarizedExperiment is an S4 class in the family of SummarizedExperiment classes, which enables it to work seamlessly with many other packages in Bioconductor. It integrates the SummarizedExperiment and the phylo class, facilitating data access or manipulation at different resolutions of the hierarchical structure. By providing additional functions for the phylo class, we support users to customize functions for the TreeSummarizedExperiment class in their workflows.

Data availability
Underlying data
Human Microbiome Project data (v35) was used for the presented use cases. The data can be downloaded using the R package HMP16SDat.

Software availability
The TreeSummarizedExperiment package is available at:

Source code of the development version of the package is available at:
https://github.com/fionarhuang/TreeSummarizedExperiment

Archived source code as at time of publication: http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4046096

License: MIT
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The article is structured like a vignette, providing an overview of the class (Figure 1) and stepping the reader through the process of setting up a TreeSummarizedExperiment object and accessing and assigning data to its various slots, firstly for a toy data set and then for data from the Human Microbiome Project.
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**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.
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Thank you for your comments!

1. **swap the order to columns first and rows second, rather than requiring the user to perform two distinct operations?**

   aggValue() is now deprecated and replaced by a new function, aggTSE(), that allows users to swap the order of aggregation and define different functions for the row and the column dimension.

2. **The new slots, rowTree, colTree, rowLinks and colLinks are 'getter' accessors but not currently 'setter' functions. I can imagine a popular use-case among users with an already constructed object of class SummarizedExperiment or SingleCellExperiment would be to simply use as(, "TreeSummarizedExperiment") and then attempt to add the additional slots, for example as the output of hclust(). I would suggest prioritising converting these functions to both 'getter' and 'setter', or perhaps adding a constructor usage for TreeSummarizedExperiment for objects that are already**
**SummarizedExperiment or SingleCellExperiment, if possible.**

rowTree and colTree are now both setters and getters. When the row/column tree is replaced, the rowLinks/colLinks is updated automatically. To avoid breaking links between assays and trees, we don't recommend users to modify the rowLinks/colLinks data. Therefore, rowLinks/colLinks are still kept as getters.

3. **I'm interested in how TreeSummarizedExperiment would work in the case where the hierarchical structure is not a typical single tree, but comprising of multiple distinct tree structures. An example of such is single cell (or single clone) lineage data where there exists a tree structure within each experimental condition, but not between cells from different conditions. Would the colTree slot correspond to a list of trees in this case?**

Yes, it's possible to have a list of trees in the rowTree/colTree. In the rowLinks/colLinks, we have added a new column (whichTree) to give information about which row/column tree a row/column is mapped to. We have also added a new vignette describing how to combine multiple TSEs. ([https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/vignettes/TreeSummarizedExperiment/inst/doc/The_combination_of_multiple_TSEs.html](https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/vignettes/TreeSummarizedExperiment/inst/doc/The_combination_of_multiple_TSEs.html))

4. **How would one go about combining different TreeSummarizedExperiment objects? Do the typical cbind() and rbind() operations have meaning here? In which cases are they not to be used?**

rcbind() and cbind() are now implemented for TreeSummarizedExperiment objects. To rbind() multiple TSEs successfully, it's required that the TSEs agree in the column dimension to have the same colTree() and colLinks(). Similarly, cbind() would require TSEs to have the same rowTree() and rowLinks(). More detailed information is available in the new vignette about combining multiple TSEs. ([https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/vignettes/TreeSummarizedExperiment/inst/doc/The_combination_of_multiple_TSEs.html](https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/vignettes/TreeSummarizedExperiment/inst/doc/The_combination_of_multiple_TSEs.html))

5. **I would be interested in getting to a clustered heatmap as an example of visualisation for the TreeSummarizedExperiment, either implemented using ggplot2/ggtree, or other packages like ComplexHeatmap?**

We have added a new use case of TSE on CyTOF data, and customized a visualization function based on ggtree, ggplot2 and ggnewscale to plot a clustered heatmap.

6. **How would the tree structure information storage scale in terms of the number of rows and columns, or in the hierarchical structures.**

We store the tree structure as a phylo object. The size of a phylo object is quite small even for a tree with $10^6$ leaves (about 90 Mb). To set up the link between rows/columns to a tree, it takes only a few seconds even for $10^6$ rows to a tree with $10^6$ leaves.
This software article introduces TreeSummarizedExperiment, a S4 class for hierarchically structured data in R. This provides a very generic data structure that serves for instance the single cell and microbiome bioinformatics communities, and has already gathered remarkable attention with a growing user base. The package is mature and has been available via Bioconductor for some time already.

The rationale for developing the new software tool has been clearly explained, and sufficient examples are provided. It extends the popular SingleCellExperiment class structure by bringing in tree info on data rows and cols (based on the phylo class). The new extended class has potentially many new applications, for instance in microbiome research; concrete examples are provided. The new class combines and extends other common class structures, which is very beneficial for the overall compatibility. Many tools for manipulation and use already exist based for instance on related work on the SummarizedExperiment family of classes, phylo tree structure, and the phyloseq class.

The overall description of the software is technically sound and follows standard conventions in the R/Bioconductor community. Sufficient details have been provided to allow replication of the software development and its use by others; the documentation and examples are sufficient for getting started with and interpreting outputs of the new class for anyone who has the technical skills that are needed to utilize this work.

**Major**

1. Efficiency of the new method could be discussed further; does this scale up to population level cohorts that have thousands of samples are increasing hierarchical resolutions?

2. How easy it would be to incorporate further supporting information on the rows and columns, for instance on DNA/RNA sequence information?
3. The class is very generic; is the idea that this package can be used as such in (hierarchical) single-cell experiments, microbiome research, and potentially other fields that have little overlap currently? Or is this package meant to be a fundamental structure that can be further extended in more specific application domains? Some more discussion on these aspects could help to contextualize the new class.
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1. "ssay_data" -> "assay_data"
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Thank you for reviewing our work.

1. **Efficiency of the new method could be discussed further; does this scale up to population level cohorts that have thousands of samples are increasing**
**hierarchical resolutions?**

TreeSummarizedExperiment (TSE) inherits the slots of the SummarizedExperiment (SE) & SingleCellExperiment (SCE) classes, and adds new slots like rowTree, colTree, rowLinks, colLinks, referenceSeq. For operations involving the inherited slots, TSE works similarly as SE and SCE. For the new slots, the data manipulation depends on the functions that users have applied on the tree object (of class phylo). These functions might be from TSE or outside TSE. For functions from TSE, either those working on the phylo tree (e.g., findDescendant, convertNode, matTree, addLabel) or those working on TSE (e.g., rowTree, colTree, rowLinks, colLinks, changeTree), takes only seconds even for a tree with up to 100,000 nodes.

2. **How easy it would be to incorporate further supporting information on the rows and columns, for instance on DNA/RNA sequence information?**

TSE now has a slot referenceSeq to store the sequence information of features (rows).

3. **The class is very generic; is the idea that this package can be used as such in (hierarchical) single-cell experiments, microbiome research, and potentially other fields that have little overlap currently? Or is this package meant to be a fundamental structure that can be further extended in more specific application domains? Some more discussion on these aspects could help to contextualize the new class.**

Currently, there is not much overlap in the community across fields, e.g, single-cell experiments, microbiome research. But, we do see that they share similarities in data structures, and can potentially share synergies in data visualization or analysis. We provide TSE as a standalone R package like SummarizedExperiment and SingleCellExperiment, and propose it as a convenient starting point to create R packages for downstream analysis or visualization of data with tree structures. We are open to update our work or receive pull requests if new features (or slots) required in a specific field are feasible to be integrated to TreeSummarizedExperiment. For example, a new optional slot referenceSeq(), which was requested mainly for microbiome data to store RNA/DNA sequencing information, has been developed by Félix G.M. Ernst, and the PR has been accepted in TreeSummarizedExperiment.

4. "**ssay_data**" -> "**assay data**"

The typo is fixed.
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