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Abstract

Background: On March 11th, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) as a global pandemic. Healthcare systems in low- and middle-income countries may face serious limitations during a pandemic, for which understanding the predictors of prolonged hospital stay are crucial in decreasing the mortality rate. The aim of this study was to investigate the predictors of increased length of hospitalization among COVID-19 patients.

Methods: In this prospective study, we investigated the effect of presenting symptoms and laboratory investigations on the duration of hospitalization of 131 COVID-19 patients at a tertiary hospital in Jordan from March 17th to April 9th, 2020.

Results: Patients median age was 24 years [interquartile range (IQR): 8-39], of which 67 (51.15%) were males and 64 (48.85%) were females. Smokers had shorter in-hospital stay (OR: -3.52; 95% CI: -6.73 to -0.32; P=0.03). Taste loss (OR: 5.1; 95% CI: 1.95 to 8.25; P<0.01) and chills or rigors (OR: 4.08; 95% CI: 0.73 to 7.43; P=0.02) were the symptoms significantly associated with increased in-hospital stay, while those who had malaise (OR: -4.98; 95% CI: -8.42 to -1.59; P<0.01) and high white blood cell (WBC) count (OR: -0.74; 95% CI: -1.31 to -0.17; P=0.01) had faster recovery.

Conclusions: Our study found that the most common presenting
Corresponding authors: Isam K. Bsisu (isam_bsisu@hotmail.com), Mohammad M. Abufaraj (dr.abufaraj@gmail.com)

Author roles:
- Alqassieh RS: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation
- Bsisu IK: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing
- Al-Sabbagh MQ: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing – Original Draft Preparation
- El-Hammuri NM: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing
- Yousef MA: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing
- El Jarbeh MA: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing
- Sharqawi AA: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing
- Smadi HZ: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing
- Abu-Halaweh SA: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing
- Abufaraj MM: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2020 Alqassieh RS et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Alqassieh RS, Bsisu IK, Al-Sabbagh MQ et al. Clinical characteristics and predictors of the duration of hospital stay in COVID-19 patients in Jordan [version 1; peer review: 1 approved with reservations, 1 not approved]
First published: 10 Dec 2020, 9:1439 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.27419.1

Symptoms of COVID-19 are cough, malaise, and headache. Smoking, presenting with malaise or elevated WBCs were associated with shorter hospital stay, while loss of taste and chills or rigors at presentation were associated with a longer in-hospital stay.

Keywords
COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, symptoms, smoking, Hydroxychloroquine.

This article is included in the Disease Outbreaks gateway.

This article is included in the Coronavirus collection.
Introduction
In December, China reported a group of pneumonia cases of unknown etiology that were later identified to be caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)\(^1\). On March 11\(^{\text{st}}\), 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) categorized coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) as a global pandemic\(^1\). Patients with COVID-19 frequently present with a cluster of different respiratory symptoms, including fever, chills cough, shortness of breath, sore throat, and new loss of taste and/or smell within 2 to 14 days after exposure in most cases\(^2\).

Coronaviruses belong to viral family Coronaviridae (order Nidovirales) and include viruses approximately 26–32 kilobases in size with a positive-sense single-stranded RNA genome (+ssRNA)\(^3\). The Coronaviridae family contains four genera, one of which is the Betacoronavirus genus, which SARS-CoV-2 belongs to 6.

Initial assessments of the epidemiologic characteristics and transmission dynamics showed that the basic reproductive number (R\(_0\)), which is defined as the expected number of additional cases that can directly be generated by one case in a population susceptible to infection on average over the course of its infectious, range from 2.2 to 3.58\(^4\), with the potential for asymptomatic transmission being a major concern for most of previous investigations.

The first identified case of COVID-19 in Jordan was on March 2\(^{\text{nd}}\), 2020, a returning traveler two weeks prior to quarantine procedures\(^5\). On March 13\(^{\text{th}}\), a wedding ceremony led to a large outbreak of COVID-19 cases in northern Jordan\(^6\), after which a strict lockdown took place and five tertiary hospitals were selected to provide medical care for patients suspected or diagnosed COVID-19 cases\(^6\).\(^{10}\).

Healthcare systems in low- and middle-income countries may face serious limitations in capacity and accessibility during a pandemic, leading to worse clinical outcomes and an increase in mortality rate\(^1\). Therefore, the aim of this study is to investigate the predictors of increased length of hospitalization among COVID-19 patients, in order to provide evidence-based public health outbreak response strategies for COVID-19 and future pandemics.

Methods
Study design
In this prospective observational investigation, we reviewed COVID-19 patients who were admitted to the isolation center at Prince Hamza Hospital (PHH), which is a tertiary hospital in Amman, the capital of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan. We included Jordanian patients above the age of 18 years who were diagnosed with COVID-19 and admitted to the isolation center of PHH. The diagnosis of COVID-19 was made after the collection of nasopharyngeal swabs using Xpert\@ sample collection kit (catalog number XPRSARS-COV2-CE-10, Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA)\(^5\) at the emergency department of five tertiary hospitals in Amman, after which positive cases were transferred to the isolation center at PHH. The data collection took place between March 17\(^{\text{th}}\) till April 9\(^{\text{th}}\), 2020, during which all of the 131 patients admitted to PHH with the primary diagnosis of COVID-19 were included in our investigation, representing 40.4% of the total 324 cases diagnosed with COVID-19 in Jordan during the study’s timeframe. None of the patients were not eligible, declined to be enrolled, or withdrew from the study, and the study was reported in accordance with the STROBE statement (https://www.strobe-statement.org/). It is noteworthy that, in Jordan, all patients diagnosed with COVID-19 were admitted to hospital during the study’s timeframe, regardless of the severity of their illness.

Data collection
Based on semi-structured interviews by anesthesia and intensive care resident physicians, the demographic data, smoking habit, and past medical history of patients were documented directly from the patients during medical history taking. Moreover, we documented the current presenting symptoms, including cough, shortness of breath, chest pain, fever, chills/rigors, sweating, malaise, myalgia, headache, diarrhea, abdominal pain, palpitations, loss of taste, loss of smelling, nasal congestion, and rhinorrhea. Furthermore, baseline vital signs and laboratory investigations were collected, and whether the physician started the patient on hydroxychloroquine as a treatment. All patients were followed-up daily from admission till discharge from the hospital after clinical resolution and having negative test results.

Ethical approval
The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) committee of The Hashemite University (No. 1/10/2019-2020). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to participation in the study. All patients were able to withdraw from the investigation at any time without affecting their care. No identifying information were obtained from the patients, and all collected data were used solely for statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using STATA (Stata Statistical Software: Release 16. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC). The total sample number comprised of 131 patients. The association between the categorical baseline variables and the length of hospitalization was studied using Mann Whitney-U test for the dichotomous variables and Kruskal- Wallis test for the rest of the poly chotomous variables. Data were reported as medians with interquartile range (IQR) as they were non-normally distributed (P<0.01 for the Shapiro-Wilk test).

A Linear regression analysis was used to examine the factors predicting the length of hospitalization. Baseline characteristics, presenting symptoms, and laboratory results at day of presentation were first analyzed using univariable linear regression analysis. Then, only significant variables were fitted into the final multivariable linear regression analyses. We reported the regression coefficients with their level of significance; p-value and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The p-value of statistical tests was two-sided, and statistically significant results were defined as those with a p-value <0.05.
**Results and discussion**

**Characteristics of the sample**

The median age of included patients was 24 years [interquartile range (IQR): 8-39], of which 67 (51.15%) were males and 64 (48.85%) were females. Of the 131 patients, 29 (22.14%) were smokers. No comorbid conditions at presentation was recorded for 95 patients (72.52%), while 9 (6.87%) had hypertension, 8 (6.11%) had chronic respiratory diseases, and 7 (5.34%) had diabetes mellitus [Table 1^1^].

**Presenting symptom of COVID-19**

Overall, 74 (57%) patients presented with cough, of which 47 (36%) had dry cough, while 27 (21%) had productive cough. Malaise (n= 62; 47%) and headache (n=59; 45%) were the second and third commonest presenting symptoms, followed by loss of smell (n=54; 41%), loss of taste (n=51; 29%), and diarrhea (n=51; 39%). Only 49 (37%) patients presented with nasal congestion, chills, or rigors, and 48 (37%) presented with myalgia. Of the 131 patients, 42 (32%) had fever at time of presentation [Table 2^2^].

**Factors predicting the length of hospital stay**

Overall, smokers had shorter in-hospital stay (β: -3.52; 95% CI: -6.73 to -0.32; P=0.03). Moreover, taste loss (β: 5.1; 95% CI: 1.95 to 8.25; P<0.01) and chills or rigors (β: 4.08; 95% CI: 0.73 to 7.43; P=0.02) were the symptons significantly associated with increased in-hospital stay, while those who had malaise (β: -4.98; 95% CI: -8.42 to -1.59; P<0.01) and high white blood cell (WBC) count (β: -0.74; 95% CI: -1.31 to -0.17; P=0.01) at presentation had faster recovery. Hydroxychloroquine was not associated with decreasing the duration of hospital stay (β: -2.55; 95% CI: -5.67 to 0.56; P=0.11) [Table 3^3^].

**Discussion**

In spite of the great progress in understanding COVID-19, a therapeutic or preventive solution is yet to be achieved^4^.

Consequently, better management of medical facilities during the next phase of this pandemic is crucial in improving the outcomes in these patients^1^.

In the present study, smoker, as well as patients presenting with malaise and elevated WBCs at presentation had shorter hospital stay, while loss of taste and chills or rigors at presentation were associated with longer in-hospital stay.

Several previous studies investigated the clinical manifestations of COVID-19. Most common presenting symptoms in most of these studies were fever, cough, dyspnea, malaise and myalgia^6^,11,12. Interestingly, it has been suggested that anosmia (loss of smelling sense) and ageusia (loss of taste function) can represent the first or only symptomatology^13^, while an investigation from Italy found that 64% mildly symptomatic patients had impaired olfaction^14^.

Remarkably, even though multivariable linear regression analyses in the current study did not show significant correlation between demographic factors and the length of in-hospital stay except for smoking habit, an investigation from Germany revealed that those with preexisting respiratory diseases, obese patients, and those with persistently elevated inflammatory markers are at increased risk of developing acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), which will prolong their hospitalization period^15^.

Moreover, a study conducted in China found that severe cases more frequently had dyspnea, lymphopenia, and hypoalbuminemia, with higher levels of c-reactive protein, d-dimer, lactate dehydrogenase, alanine aminotransferase, ferritin, IL-2R, IL-6, IL-10, and TNF-α^1^.

In the current study, patients with elevated WBCs count had shorter in-hospital stay. Immunocompetent WBCs play a significant role in systemic inflammatory response to infection, with neutrophil-lymphocyte count ratio being significantly higher in mortality cases of community-acquired pneumonia^22^.

An interesting finding in our study is that smokers had shorter in-hospital stay, moreover, only 22% of hospitalized COVID-19 patients were smokers. There is a controversy in the current literature about the role of smoking and nicotine in COVID-19^13,24^.

It is postulated that smokers are at higher risk to get respiratory tract infections and develop more severe illness, due to the preexisting bronchopulmonary damage, reduced mucociliary clearance, and the local inflammatory status^23^.

Some reports, however, showed lower COVID-19 related mortality and morbidity in smokers^22^.

Nicotine, as a cholinergic agonist, inhibits pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF, IL-1, IL-6 by binding acetylcholine receptors (nAChR). These cytokines, among the others, might result in the notorious feature of this illness, the cytokine storm. Therefore, it was hypothesized that COVID-19 is a disease of nicotinic cholinergic system. Another supporting observation is that patients with ageusia in our sample had longer in-hospital stay; this sensory disturbance is mainly related to the cholinergic system of the brain, and it might indicate that such patients are having more extensive disease, or technically, nicotinic cholinergic dysfunction^24^.

Although it is not wise or acceptable to advice people to smoke, this might shed the light on the promising role of nicotine in preventing and treating COVID-19 infection.

The rapid upsurge in the number of confirmed cases makes the control of the spread of COVID-19 and its treatment challenging^25^.

Several ongoing clinical trials will soon confirm or disprove the usefulness of several candidate medications in treating COVID-19^26^.

Studies investigating the use of hydroxychloroquine were unable to confirm its benefit on in-hospital outcomes of COVID-19 patients^27^.

On the other hand, dexamethasone has shown a decrease in the 28-day mortality among those who were receiving respiratory support, with both of dexamethasone and methylprednisolone being equally effective in treating moderate to severe COVID-19 cases^28,29^.

With no definitive method of prevention or treatment being available to date, precautions should be made in order to control the spread of COVID-19, including proper hand hygiene, isolation of infected or suspected persons in properly ventilated hospitals, social distancing, discouraging large gatherings, and avoiding direct contact with suspected animal reservoir hosts^30^.

The main limitation of this study is that it did not investigate the predictors of in-hospital mortality of COVID-19 patients.
Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of 131 patients according to the length of their hospital stay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
<th>Length of hospital stay Median (IQR)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>131 (100)</td>
<td>14 (12-19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>67 (51.15)</td>
<td>14 (12-17)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>64 (48.85)</td>
<td>16 (12-20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>38 (29.01)</td>
<td>13 (11-18)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>21 (16.03)</td>
<td>14.5 (13-19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>21 (16.03)</td>
<td>13.5 (11-18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>21 (16.03)</td>
<td>16 (12.5-26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>15 (11.45)</td>
<td>15.5 (12-19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Above 50</td>
<td>15 (11.45)</td>
<td>15.5 (12-19.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No Comorbidities</td>
<td>95 (72.52)</td>
<td>14 (11-18)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic respiratory diseases</td>
<td>8 (6.11)</td>
<td>13.5 (11.5-20)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ischemic heart disease</td>
<td>2 (1.53)</td>
<td>13.5 (11-16)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>7 (5.34)</td>
<td>16.5 (15-18)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>9 (6.87)</td>
<td>19 (17-20)</td>
<td><strong>0.05</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drug allergies</td>
<td>3 (2.29)</td>
<td>14 (9-19)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other comorbidities</td>
<td>17 (12.98)</td>
<td>17 (13-19)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>29 (22.14)</td>
<td>14 (11-17)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non smoker</td>
<td>102 (77.86)</td>
<td>14.5 (12-20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABO blood group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>30 (43.48)</td>
<td>14 (12-19)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>12 (17.39)</td>
<td>16 (13-19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>5 (7.25)</td>
<td>13 (12-17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>22 (31.88)</td>
<td>13 (11-16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxychloroquine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Received hydroxychloroquine</td>
<td>63 (48.09)</td>
<td>14 (12-18)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Did not receive hydroxychloroquine</td>
<td>68 (51.91)</td>
<td>15 (11-20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Previous studies suggested that older age, high Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score, and elevated d-dimer are associated with poor prognosis. However, upon plotting mortality against the incidence of COVID-19, a significant positive correlation was found, suggesting that mortality is associated with heavier healthcare burden. Hence, understanding the predictors of prolonged hospital stay and prognostic factors are crucial in decreasing the mortality of any pandemic. Moreover, we recommend future studies to take into consideration duration and severity of each symptom in order to develop a better understanding of the clinical course of COVID-19. The main strength of our study is that, in Jordan, all COVID-19 patients were hospitalized during the study’s timeframe, regardless of the severity of their illness. Therefore, our sample gives a good opportunity to observe the natural progression of COVID-19 under controlled conditions.

**Conclusion**

Our study found that the most common presenting symptoms of COVID-19 are cough, malaise, and headache. Smoking, presenting with malaise or elevated WBCs were associated with shorter hospital stay, while loss of taste and chills or rigors at presentation were associated with a longer in-hospital stay. Such findings are important in risk stratifying COVID-19 patients according to their presenting symptoms and past medical history. Although it is not wise or acceptable to consider smoking behaviors, future research should shed light on the role of nicotinic receptors in mitigating this illness.

### Table 2. The presenting symptoms of 131 COVID-19 patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry cough</td>
<td>47 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productive cough</td>
<td>27 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaise</td>
<td>62 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>59 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of smelling</td>
<td>54 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of taste</td>
<td>51 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>51 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal congestion</td>
<td>49 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chills/rigors</td>
<td>49 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>48 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>42 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinorrhea</td>
<td>33 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweating</td>
<td>29 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortness of breath</td>
<td>25 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>25 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>19 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>10 (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Multivariate linear regression analyses of the predictors of the length of hospital stay in 131 Patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>β coefficient</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>-3.52</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-6.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The presenting symptom</td>
<td>Dry cough</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chills/rigors</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malaise</td>
<td>-4.98</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>-8.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss of taste</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory values at presentation</td>
<td>White blood cells (WBC) count</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxychloroquine</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.55</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-5.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This project contains the following underlying data:
- Phd data coded-final.tab (Clinical characteristics and predictors of the duration of hospital stay in COVID-19 patients in Jordan)
- Codebook.docx (Data codebook)

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).
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The article titled "Clinical characteristics and predictors of the duration of hospital stay in COVID-19 patients in Jordan" represents an attempt to assess clinical factors that might be associated with COVID-19 patients' hospitalization in Jordan. The article rationale is good, however, it cannot reflect the figure in the whole country as data being taken from five centers and included a limited number of patients. I would suggest revising the title unless the data at this time represents the total number of patients in the whole country.

In the introduction, the authors started to recount history of the beginning of COVID-19 observation in China, but the year was not mentioned (December 2019). Please, add 2019.

The introduction should include a background section on factors reported in the study that might affect patients' hospitalizations that were reported, at least, for similar diseases (MERS, for example). In addition, the authors should discuss the other factors that could affect this parameter; such as comorbidities.

In the material and methods' section, the following sentence “It is noteworthy that, in Jordan, all patients diagnosed with COVID-19 were admitted to hospital during the study's timeframe, regardless of the severity of their illness” needs further clarification; does this mean that those were all COVID-19 patients reported in the whole country? If yes, it would be very early to generalize the findings of the current study and this must be clearly indicated as a limitation.

In the results section, smoking status was not found as a predictor for the length of the hospital stay, which is odd knowing that COVID-19 patients suffer from serious lung problems. Did the author investigate confounding factors with smoking status; such as age, for example? Also, I think calculating odd ratio is not suitable for the study design.

I think the findings were concluded from a premature study, which was conducted at the very
beginning of the Corona crisis; therefore, the conclusions are premature and cannot reflect the logical and the expected conclusions regarding COVID-19. Thus, the study should be revised by including data of a larger sample size to be more representative and provide evidence-based conclusions. Having said that, the study rationale is good and interesting; but when supported with robust design, it will be of more interest to the scientific community and will better reflect the real situation.

**Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?**
Partly

**Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?**
Yes

**Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?**
Yes

**If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?**
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**Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?**
Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?**
Partly
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**Reviewer Expertise:** Clinical laboratory sciences with a special focus on cancer biology and biomarkers

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.
The study is well written and interesting. However, it has a lack of novelty and should be improved. I would suggest to add more information:
1) Hospital length of stay is often made by different wards and eventually ICU. I think it is important to understand which patients were admitted to ICU, if some of them were endotracheally intubated, tracheostomize, if some patients had hemorrhage, thrombosis, infections, other complications, which PaO2/FiO2 on admission, if they were non-invasively ventilated (CPAP, NIPPV, High flow), if CPR, D-dimer, previous antibiotic therapy, SOFA on admission, Charlson comorbidity index, steroidal therapy, sedation, analgesia, myorelaxants, etc. and other factors that could have been predictors of hospital stay.

The study aims to investigate only predictors but I believe that there is a lack of some important factors which could have changed patients' clinical course.
I suggest to extend the analysis to other important factors and, if possible to divide between those who survived and those who did not OR those who were admitted to ICU/those who did not.
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Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?
Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
Partly

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
Partly
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**Reviewer Expertise:** Intensive care medicine, mechanical ventilation, ARDS, COVID-19, infectious disease, neurocritical care

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to state that I do not consider it to be of an acceptable scientific standard, for reasons outlined above.

Author Response 02 Jun 2021

Isam Bsisu, School of medicine, The University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan
We would like to thank Dr. Denise Battaglini for his valuable review, which will enable us to improve the quality of our manuscript.

This study included data collected at the beginning of the pandemic in Jordan, during which all patients diagnosed with COVID-19 were admitted to hospital during the study’s timeframe. We agree with the reviewer that the aforementioned laboratory investigations and interventions are important in determining the clinical course, and could have been predictors of hospital stay. Due to the limited number of cases at that time, we were unable to compare between cases in terms of outcomes and ICU admission. For instance, there were only 7 mortality cases related to COVID-19 in the whole country on the last day of patients recruitment, April 9th, 2020. Moreover, this prospective study only documented the laboratory investigations performed at that time, which were done based on their indication and patient’s clinical scenario.

The scientific knowledge and clinical practice is dynamically changing during COVID-19 pandemic, and so does the indicated investigations, the guidelines for medical management, and post discharge medical care for cured patients. We understand that this study was conducted and published prior to the two peaks in Jordan. However, it provided unique data in which we can understand the predictors of hospital stay based on one of the most important steps in the clinical practice of any physician, which is history taking and clinical examination. In addition, simple laboratory values can be of great benefit as a predictor. Future studies including patients from the whole country during the two peaks can be conducted (as we will recommend in our discussion section in the updated version 2), and it will surely be of great benefit in understanding the clinical course of the disease and its effect on the hospital stay and clinical outcome.
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