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Abstract

This is a case of an unusual cause of a testicular mass and the clinical features associated with its presentation and management. The patient presented with testicular pain and was found to have a testicular mass on ultrasound with a central 1cm anechoic region with arterial wave-form concerning for a pseudoaneurysm. The patient underwent orchiectomy with resolution of his symptoms. This case highlights the presentation of testicular artery pseudoaneurysm and outcome following orchiectomy.
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Case history
A 34 year-old Caucasian man presented to the Emergency Department at the University of Kansas Medical Center (Kansas City, Kansas) with a 1 week history of right-sided orchalgia in December of 2012. The day prior to presentation the patient was admitted at an outside institution for presumed orchitis, where he was treated with intravenous levofloxacin 500mg. During that hospitalization a scrotal ultrasound was obtained which revealed hypervascularity of the right testicle but no masses or lesions within the testicle. He was discharged the next day, but due to persistent symptoms presented to our institution. He denied any constitutional symptoms and was voiding without difficulty or irritative symptoms. He additionally denied any prior genitourinary trauma or infections including any history of orchitis, prostatitis, urinary tract infection, or sexually transmitted infection. The patient was monogamous without any high-risk behavior. The patient had no past medical history and was on no prior medications. His examination revealed a swollen and indurated right testicle without involvement of the paratesticular structures. There was no discrete testicular mass palpable. Scrotal ultrasound revealed a region of hypogenicity measuring 3.3cm × 2cm felt to represent an intratesticular hematoma (see Figure 1). Within this there was a 1cm central focus that demonstrated an arterial wave form with alternating reversal of flow, suggestive of a pseudoaneurysm (see Figure 2). Our differential diagnosis included abscess, testicular artery aneurysm/pseudoaneurysm, or testicular neoplasm. We counseled the patient on the ultrasonographic findings and our presumed diagnosis of testicular artery pseudoaneurysm. The patient was in a considerable amount of pain and was additionally concerned about the possibility of a testicular neoplasm and elected to undergo radical orchiectomy. Tumor markers were obtained prior to orchiectomy and were within normal limits. He tolerated the procedure without any adverse event and was discharged to home with resolution of his pain on the first post-operative morning. Pathologic evaluation confirmed the presence of significant intraparenchymal hemorrhage within a background of chronic orchitis (see Figure 3). At the time of post-operative follow-up – 2 weeks after his orchiectomy – the patient was in excellent condition with complete resolution of his pain. His surgical incision was well healed and he had no evidence of intra-scrotal pathology.

Figure 1. Scrotal ultrasound of the right testicle in transverse revealing a hypoechoic lesion within the central testicle with an anechoic central core.

Figure 2. Doppler ultrasound of the right testicle in transverse reveals an arterial waveform within the center of the anechoic portion of the testicular mass.
formation, the use of ultrasound for the diagnosis, and the use of orchiec- 
tomy as a potential treatment in patients with unremitting 
pain.

Certainly the management of this case is limited by the radical 
treatment offered – namely orchiecetomy. We did consider and 
offer the patient a more conservative trial of observation for pos-
sible resolution. However in the absence of overwhelming evidence 
to rule out malignancy we felt that radical orchiecetomy would not 
only establish our working diagnosis, but also rule out the possi-
blility of testicular neoplasia. Regardless, at the time of final follow-
up the patient was satisfied with his course of care and the outcome.

Consent
The patient was unable to be reached for consent and no next-of-kin 
information was available to contact the patient. The write-up does 
not contain sufficient information to identify the patient as this is a 
case based mainly on radiology and pathology findings. We have 
made numerous attempts to contact the patient and it appears that 
his contact information as provided at the time of treatment is no 
longer valid.
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Jay Sandlow
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The authors describe a fairly rare case of testicular artery pseudoaneurysm, presumably secondary to orchitis. The case history is complete and the figures are well-presented. Furthermore, based on the patient’s history, age, and ultrasound findings, their management was not unreasonable. However, I would like them to describe in more detail the thought process that led to a radical orchiectomy, particularly since pseudoaneurysm was in their differential. Because the patient was of reproductive age, I wonder if it would have been possible to preserve some functioning testicular tissue. Based on the gross appearance of the specimen, it appears that at least a third of the testis was unaffected. How accurate is scrotal ultrasound in identifying a testicular artery pseudoaneurysm? Finally, looking back, is there something that the authors could have done to prevent a radical orchiectomy? This might be helpful for those of us facing this situation in the future. I think with some revisions of the Discussion, this case report should be acceptable.
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This case report is a classic “suspicious-looking-lesion-in-the-testicle” that is treated by removal and diagnosed definitively only after the fact, based on histology. The care provided was standard. However, besides learning about the existence of the lesion, there is no information in this case report that might help others PREVENT the extreme measure of radical orchiectomy for a benign lesion. What other diagnostic tests could have been done? Why wasn’t partial orchiectomy considered in the treatment algorithm? The learning potential of this case is limited.
I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.
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**Nelson Bennett**
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This is a very nice presentation and description of a rare issue. The manuscript underscores that a complete scrotal/testicular ultrasound be carefully scrutinized. To further enhance the impact of the study, the authors may want to consider including the values of the testicular tumor markers.

Good Show.
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