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Abstract
This is a case of an unusual cause of a testicular mass and the clinical features associated with its presentation and management. The patient presented with testicular pain and was found to have a testicular mass on ultrasound with a central 1cm anechoic region with arterial wave-form concerning for a pseudoaneurysm. The patient underwent orchiectomy with resolution of his symptoms. This case highlights the presentation of testicular artery pseudoaneurysm and outcome following orchiectomy.

Corresponding author: William P Parker (wparker@kumc.edu)
Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.
Copyright: © 2014 Parker WP and Nangia AK. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).
How to cite this article: Parker WP and Nangia AK. Testicular artery pseudoaneurysm: a case report [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 2 approved with reservations] F1000Research 2014, 3:2 (https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.3-2.v1)
First published: 06 Jan 2014, 3:2 (https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.3-2.v1)
Case history
A 34-year-old Caucasian man presented to the Emergency Department at the University of Kansas Medical Center (Kansas City, Kansas) with a 1 week history of right-sided orchalgia in December of 2012. The day prior to presentation the patient was admitted at an outside institution for presumed orchitis, where he was treated with intravenous levofloxacin 500mg. During that hospitalization a scrotal ultrasound was obtained which revealed hypervascularity of the right testicle but no masses or lesions within the testicle. He was discharged the next day, but due to persistent symptoms presented to our institution. He denied any constitutional symptoms and was voiding without difficulty or irritative symptoms. He additionally denied any prior genitourinary trauma or infections including any history of orchitis, prostatitis, urinary tract infection, or sexually transmitted infection. The patient was monogamous without any high-risk behavior. The patient had no past medical history and was on no prior medications. His examination revealed a swollen and indurated right testicle without involvement of the paratesticular structures. There was no discrete testicular mass palpable. Scrotal ultrasound revealed a region of hypoechogenicity measuring 3.3cm × 2cm felt to represent an intratesticular hematoma (see Figure 1). Within this there was a 1cm central focus that demonstrated an arterial wave form with alternating reversal of flow, suggestive of a pseudoaneurysm (see Figure 2). Our differential diagnosis included abscess, testicular artery aneurysm/pseudoaneurysm, or testicular neoplasm. We counseled the patient on the ultrasonographic findings and our presumed diagnosis of testicular artery pseudoaneurysm. The patient was in a considerable amount of pain and was additionally concerned about the possibility of a testicular neoplasm and elected to undergo radical orchiectomy. Tumor markers were obtained prior to orchiectomy and were within normal limits. He tolerated the procedure without any adverse event and was discharged to home with resolution of his pain on the first post-operative morning. Pathologic evaluation confirmed the presence of significant intraparenchymal hemorrhage within a background of chronic orchitis (see Figure 3). At the time of post-operative follow-up – 2 weeks after his orchiectomy – the patient was in excellent condition with complete resolution of his pain. His surgical incision was well healed and he had no evidence of intra-scrotal pathology.

Figure 1. Scrotal ultrasound of the right testicle in transverse revealing a hypoechoic lesion within the central testicle with an anechoic central core.

Figure 2. Doppler ultrasound of the right testicle in transverse reveals an arterial waveform within the center of the anechoic portion of the testicular mass.
formation, the use of ultrasound for the diagnosis, and the use of orchiectomy as a potential treatment in patients with unremitting pain.

Certainly the management of this case is limited by the radical treatment offered – namely orchiectomy. We did consider and offer the patient a more conservative trial of observation for possible resolution. However, in the absence of overwhelming evidence to rule out malignancy we felt that radical orchiectomy would not only establish our working diagnosis, but also rule out the possibility of testicular neoplasia. Regardless, at the time of final follow-up the patient was satisfied with his course of care and the outcome.

Consent
The patient was unable to be reached for consent and no next-of-kin information was available to contact the patient. The write-up does not contain sufficient information to identify the patient as this is a case based mainly on radiology and pathology findings. We have made numerous attempts to contact the patient and it appears that his contact information as provided at the time of treatment is no longer valid.

Author contributions
William Parker prepared the manuscript; Ajay Nangia edited the manuscript and participated in the clinical care of the patient.

Competing interests
No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information
The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Discussion
Intratesticular hemorrhage is frequently associated with trauma. In the absence of trauma, testicular artery aneurysm and pseudoaneurysm have been described as an infrequent source of hematoma formation. To our knowledge there have been two cases of testicular artery aneurysm and two of pseudoaneurysm reported in the literature, with etiologies of trauma \(^1\)–\(^3\) and infection \(^4\).

As in previous cases of testicular artery aneurysm and pseudoaneurysm, the diagnosis was established on ultrasonography. Furthermore this case describes a second scenario in which sonographically diagnosed orchitis has progressed to this clinicopathologic entity \(^4\). Our management included radical orchiectomy to rule out possible malignancy and the patient recovered with complete resolution of his pain. This case supports orchitis as a risk factor for pseudoaneurysm formation, the use of ultrasound for the diagnosis, and the use of orchiectomy as a potential treatment in patients with unremitting pain.
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The authors describe a fairly rare case of testicular artery pseudoaneurysm, presumably secondary to orchitis. The case history is complete and the figures are well-presented. Furthermore, based on the patient's history, age, and ultrasound findings, their management was not unreasonable. However, I would like them to describe in more detail the thought process that led to a radical orchiectomy, particularly since pseudoaneurysm was in their differential. Because the patient was of reproductive age, I wonder if it would have been possible to preserve some functioning testicular tissue. Based on the gross appearance of the specimen, it appears that at least a third of the testis was unaffected. How accurate is scrotal ultrasound in identifying a testicular artery pseudoaneurysm? Finally, looking back, is there something that the authors could have done to prevent a radical orchiectomy? This might be helpful for those of us facing this situation in the future. I think with some revisions of the Discussion, this case report should be acceptable.
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This case report is a classic “suspicious-looking-lesion-in-the-testicle” that is treated by removal and diagnosed definitively only after the fact, based on histology. The care provided was standard. However, besides learning about the existence of the lesion, there is no information in this case report that might help others PREVENT the extreme measure of radical orchietomy for a benign lesion. What other diagnostic tests could have been done? Why wasn't partial orchietomy considered in the treatment algorithm? The learning potential of this case is limited.
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This is a very nice presentation and description of a rare issue. The manuscript underscores that a complete scrotal/testicular ultrasound be carefully scrutinized. To further enhance the impact of the study, the authors may want to consider including the values of the testicular tumor markers.

Good Show.
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