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Abstract
Lentigo maligna is a lentiginous proliferation of atypical melanocytes confined to the epidermis, typically on chronically sun-damaged skin. Following biopsy and exclusion of invasive disease, therapy may involve Mohs surgery, topical treatment or radiotherapy. However, lentigo maligna often involves adnexal structures, creating histological difficulty in distinguishing these foci from invasive melanoma. We present a case in which, during Mohs excision, a nodule of severely atypical melanocytes appeared to lie within the dermis, potentially altering treatment and prognosis. The use of laminin-5 provided a means of resolving this diagnostic dilemma, facilitating continuation of Mohs surgery until tumour clearance was achieved.
Introduction
Lentigo maligna is a form of melanoma in-situ that may be present in up to 1.17% of Caucasians aged 65–74 years. The most significant risk factor is lifetime UV radiation exposure; accordingly, lentigo maligna often presents as an irregularly pigmented macule at sun-damaged sites in elderly individuals – particularly the head and neck. Left untreated, reported rates of progression to invasive melanoma vary between 2.2–50%, and the prognosis of invasive disease is similar to that of other melanoma types after adjusting for tumour thickness. However, controversy remains regarding the best therapeutic modality for lentigo maligna.

Report
A 76 year old British seaman presented in April 2010 with an unevenly pigmented brown macule on the left side of his neck, measuring 31 × 26 mm. This was at the site of a previous lentigo maligna that had been excised with a 5 mm margin.

A diagnostic biopsy showed a lentiginous proliferation of atypical melanocytes tracking down adnexae, confirming recurrence. The patient was referred for Mohs surgery.

In addition to lentigo maligna in the epidermis, one Mohs section revealed a large nodule of severely atypical melanocytes, apparently lying mid-dermis.

This presented a significant interpretational problem. Lentigo maligna commonly involves hair follicle epithelia; thus, the nodule may reflect complete replacement of the follicular epithelial cells still confined by basement membrane (in-situ disease). Alternatively, it could represent a focus of invasive melanoma, in which case Mohs surgery would have to be aborted.

To clarify this important distinction, immunocytochemical staining was performed for laminin-5 (Dako, Z0097, 1:100), a component of basement membrane. This revealed immunopositivity of the basement membrane of the follicular epithelium, confirming that the atypical melanocytes were confined to the hair follicle.

Mohs surgery was therefore completed in a 2-stage, 10-section procedure. Following confirmation of negative margins, the defect measured 45 × 35 mm – substantially larger than the original lesion. This was closed using a rhombic transposition flap, which healed well. To date there has been no recurrence.

Discussion
Lentigo maligna presents several challenges in terms of diagnosis and management. Firstly, clinical and dermoscopic features may be strikingly similar to solar lentigo. It is also prone to significant subclinical extension beyond the visible limits of the tumour. Following initial tumour debulk, single atypical melanocytes scattered irregularly along the dermo-epidermal junction may be the only histological feature of residual lentigo maligna. This difficulty is compounded by the presence of single mildly atypical melanocytes in chronically sun-damaged skin. Finally, lentigo maligna often spreads into deep adnexal structures, confounding both diagnosis and treatment.
There are various management options for lentigo maligna. Excision is accepted as the gold standard and can consist of conventional excision with a 5 mm margin, or some form of Mohs surgery. Other therapies are destructive, including radiotherapy and imiquimod (Aldara), a topical immunomodulator.

An evidence-based comparison of treatment modalities supports Mohs surgery as first-line, with recurrence rates as low as 0.3% compared to up to 20% for standard excision. The follow-up periods of these trials varied from 18 months to almost five years, but it is notable that the most favourable study for Mohs surgery included 625 patients and measured recurrence over 58 months.

The impressive statistics for Mohs surgery are in part attributable to the superior histological margin control afforded by this technique. Traditional surgical excision uses ‘bread-loafing’ to check for residual tumour, but this allows visualization of less than 1% of the margin. By contrast, in Mohs surgery, tissue is excised tangentially before being processed into horizontal sections. This method – combined with precise mapping and orientation of tissue by the Mohs surgeon reading the pathology – allows all tumour extensions to be traced. The end benefits are two-fold: recurrence rates are significantly improved, as tumour clearance is optimised; and healthy tissue is conserved, preserving cosmesis and function – important considerations on the face. The significance of visualising the entire tumour is underscored by evidence showing that almost 25% of biopsy-proven melanoma in-situ lesions are found to contain invasive melanoma upon pathological examination after complete surgical removal.

Follicular involvement by lentigo maligna is a widely recognised phenomenon, and is a characteristic of lentiginous spread. Indeed, a key dermoscopic feature of lentigo maligna is asymmetrical pigmentation of follicular openings, reflecting uneven descent of melanoma cells into individual hair follicles. Over time the slate-grey dots and streaks surrounding the follicle progress into a rhomboidal pattern, and then to eventual obliteration of the follicle, visible on dermoscopy as black blotches highly specific for malignant growth.

Follicular melanoma has been reported as a rare variant of melanoma, to be distinguished from lentigo maligna melanoma by its significantly smaller surface area (typically < 0.5 cm), the relative symmetry of the lesion and its similarity in appearance to a comedo or pigmented cyst. A recent study investigating the growth characteristics of melanoma in-situ in relation to hair follicle microanatomy has suggested that the follicle itself represents a physiological barrier, restricting the intraepithelial spread of melanoma tumour cells at or beyond the level of the hair follicle bulge.

The present case posed an interesting diagnostic dilemma – the difficulty in distinguishing between dermal invasion and melanoma in-situ within a hair follicle. To resolve this, we used immunocytochemistry for laminin-5 to delineate normal basement membrane surrounding the hair follicle, indicating in-situ disease and therefore justifying continuation of the Mohs procedure.

Laminin-5 is a component of the adhesion complex in basement membrane. It is thought to act as a ligand for the attachment of normal melanocytes to the basement membrane. Conversely, loss of laminin production by melanoma cells is thought to be a marker for malignant transformation. To our knowledge, the use of laminin-5 staining during Mohs has not been previously reported.

Learning points
- Lentigo maligna presents significant challenges in diagnosis and treatment
- Mohs surgery can be effective as long as disease remains in-situ, but this may be difficult to ascertain
- Almost 25% of biopsy-proven melanoma in-situ lesions are upstaged to invasive melanoma upon pathological investigation, highlighting the importance of visualising the entire tumour
- Surgeons using the Mohs technique require a thorough understanding of histopathology using horizontal sections to achieve meaningful margin control
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