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Abstract
Coral bleaching caused by rising sea temperature is a primary cause of coral reef degradation. However, bleaching patterns often show significant spatial variability, therefore identifying locations where local conditions may provide thermal refuges is a high conservation priority. Coral bleaching mortality often diminishes with increasing depth, but clear depth zonation of coral communities and putative limited overlap in species composition between deep and shallow reef habitats has led to the conclusion that deeper reef habitats will provide limited refuge from bleaching for most species. Here, we show that coral mortality following a severe bleaching event diminished sharply with depth. Bleaching-induced mortality of Acropora was approximately 90% at 0-2m, 60% at 3-4 m, yet at 6-8m there was negligible mortality. Importantly, at least two-thirds of the shallow-water (2-3 m) Acropora assemblage had a depth range that straddled the transition from high to low mortality. Cold-water upwelling may have contributed to the lower mortality observed in all but the shallowest depths. Our results demonstrate that, in this instance, depth provided a refuge for individuals from a high proportion of species in this Acropora-dominated assemblage. The persistence of deeper populations may provide a critical source of propagules to assist recovery of adjacent shallow-water reefs.
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Introduction
Mass bleaching events causing extensive mortality of reef-building corals have become more frequent and widespread in recent decades and have affected almost all coral reef regions\(^{1-3}\). Coral bleaching is a generalised stress response resulting from numerous causes including sedimentation, freshwater exposure or disease\(^4\); however, the most geographically extensive and severe events are correlated with sustained periods of elevated sea water temperatures and high light irradiance\(^5\). The bleaching response is caused by the expulsion of a symbiotic dinoflagellate Symbiodinium that occur within the coral tissue and allow corals to harness energy from sunlight, thus providing a significant portion of the energy requirements. The sensitivity of this symbiosis to elevated sea temperature is well-documented\(^6\), suggesting that many coral species will be highly vulnerable to the effects of global warming\(^7\).

Despite this apparent sensitivity, reef corals have persisted through numerous large-magnitude and sometimes rapid changes in sea surface temperatures over the past 240 million years\(^8\). One mechanism by which a species can cope with changing local climate is to move to a more favourable area, and tropical reef corals have repeatedly shifted their distribution to higher latitudes in response to past climate warming\(^9\).\(^{10,11}\). Alternatively, populations may persist in microrefugia, defined as small areas of suitable habitat within regionally unfavourable environmental conditions\(^12\).\(^{13}\). Despite increasing recognition of their importance for conservation planning in terrestrial ecosystems\(^14\).\(^{15}\).\(^{16}\), microrefugia are less considered in the marine realm.

The severity of coral bleaching is often spatially heterogeneous due to both historical\(^17\).\(^{18}\) and environmental\(^19\).\(^{20}\)\(^-\)\(^21\) factors. Coral bleaching is caused by a synergistic effect between heat and light, and therefore microrefugia from bleaching are likely to occur in regions where oceanographic or atmospheric conditions reduce water temperatures or light irradiance relative to surrounding areas\(^22\). Light irradiance declines with depth and ambient temperatures are often lower in deeper waters, therefore the incidence of bleaching and/or subsequent mortality is likely to be lower at greater water depths\(^1\).\(^{23}\).\(^{24}\).\(^{25}\). Warm-water coral bleaching is occasionally reported to depths of 50 m, however, such observations are rarely followed up in order to estimate bleaching-induced mortality. Typically the incidence of bleaching is substantially lower at greater depths and in the few cases it has been measured, so is bleaching-induced mortality\(^25\).\(^{26}\).\(^{27}\).\(^{28}\). For example, mortality rates of corals at a depth of 6 m were only a third of those in 2 m across several turbid inshore reefs on the Great Barrier Reef (GBR)\(^25\). A transition from high to low mortality with increasing depth was observed at numerous sites in the western Indian Ocean during 1998, the most severe and widespread bleaching event on record\(^29\). This transition often occurred across a fairly sharp depth boundary at intermediate depths of 10–15 m\(^29\), therefore species with depth ranges that straddle this transition from high to low bleaching mortality will have a refuge from bleaching in deeper water. However, most assessments of coral reefs consider only shallow habitats, and reductions in mortality with increasing depth may go unnoticed. Furthermore, recent studies of deep-water reefs have indicated that many corals may occur over a wider depth range than currently thought\(^30\).\(^{28}\).

In May–June 2010, a sustained increase in seawater temperatures in the Andaman and South China Seas resulted in extensive coral bleaching and caused high mortality of many coral species\(^31\).\(^{32}\). Six weeks after the peak seawater temperatures, 45% of all corals and 94% of Acropora colonies were dead in shallow waters (1–2 m) around Pulau Weh, Sumatra, Indonesia\(^33\).\(^{34}\). Here, we assess the effects of this severe thermal bleaching event at Pulau Weh over a depth gradient from 2–27 m to investigate 1) whether severe mortality of reef corals observed in shallow water (0–2 m) extended into deeper habitats; and 2) whether depth provided a refuge from bleaching mortality. We concentrate on the corals of the genus Acropora because they are the most diverse and abundant genus in the Indo-Pacific, and are important ecosystem engineers on most Indo-Pacific coral reefs. They are also often amongst the most susceptible taxa to bleaching-induced mortality, and bleaching events often result in shifts from Acropora-dominated communities towards communities dominated by more bleaching resistant taxa (e.g. Porites and the family Merulinidae)\(^35\).\(^{36}\).\(^{37}\).\(^{38}\). Change in Acropora cover before and after a bleaching event is therefore a useful indicator of bleaching severity.

Materials and methods
Pulau Weh (5° 50’N, 95° 20’E) is located in the province of Aceh off the northwest coast of Sumatra, Indonesia. The region’s reefs have received little attention from scientists, but support similarly diverse coral communities to the rest of the Indo-Australian Archipelago\(^39\).\(^{40}\). Northwest Sumatra was the epicentre of the December 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami, and although Pulau Weh’s coral communities were relatively unaffected by this event\(^40\), they suffered substantial mortality in the 2010 Andaman Sea bleaching\(^33\).\(^{34}\). To examine the influence of depth on bleaching mortality, we compared both total coral cover and Acropora cover collected before (November 2009 to February 2010) and after (July 2011) the bleaching event at three depths (0–2 m, 3–4 m and 6–8 m) at four sites on the northern and western sides of Pulau Weh (Batee Gla, Ba Kopra, Rubiah Sea Garden, Rubiah Channel – Figure S1). Coral cover was estimated along 6–10 replicate 10 m line intercept transects, which were haphazardly placed at 0–2 m, and 3–6 replicate 50 m point intercept transects at 3–4 and 6–8 m (see Data File). Any live hard coral (i.e. scleractinian or hydrozoan coral) underlying each survey point was recorded to genus level. Changes in total live coral cover and Acropora cover between 2009 and 2011 were compared using two-factor ANOVA’s. Assumptions of the ANOVA’s were examined using residual analysis and no transformation was necessary. The analyses were based on the proportion of total coral or Acropora cover per 50 m transect.

To determine the proportion of the Acropora assemblage afforded a depth refuge from this bleaching event, we conducted species-level
surveys of *Acropora* assemblages in 0 to 2 m and then at 5 m intervals from 3–27 m in February 2012 at five sites on the northern and western sides of Pulau Weh (Batee Gla, Ba Kopra, Rubiah Sea Garden, Rubiah Channel and Tokong - Figure S1). Sites were chosen based on their bathymetry profiles, with accessible deep sites only present on the steeply-sloping, ocean-facing northern and western coasts. Data were collected at 5 m depth intervals using replicate 10-minute timed swims, where the species identity of every living *Acropora* colony was recorded. Post-bleaching surveys were compared to shallow-water (0–2 m) surveys conducted in November 2009 before the bleaching event using 40 min timed swims at these same sites. Corals were identified using taxonomic references provided in “Staghorn Corals of the World” by Wallace CC and “Corals of the World”, by Veron JEN. Analysis of Similarities (ANOSIM), a multivariate approximation of ANOVA, was performed on a square root-transformed Bray-Curtis similarity matrix to determine any significant difference in the *Acropora* assemblage among sites.

**Results and discussion**

A total of 40 *Acropora* species were observed during the study, confirming the high diversity previously reported on Acehnese reefs. ANOSIM revealed no significant difference in assemblage structure among sites, which were therefore pooled for further analysis. Bleaching mortality was very high in the shallows, however, mortality diminished rapidly with increasing depth (Figure 1). Total coral cover declined by 75% at 0–2 m but only 20% at 3–4 m, while there was no significant change at 6–8 m (Figure 1a; 2-way ANOVA depth by year interaction; F = 21.2, p < 0.001). The decline in mortality was even more pronounced in the *Acropora*, with cover declining by approximately 90% at 0–2 m and 60% at 3–4 m, with

**Figure 1.** Change in live coral cover on Pulau Weh following the 2010 bleaching event at depths of 0–2, 2–4, and 6–8 metres. (a) total live coral cover; and (b) live *Acropora* cover.
no change detected at 6–8 m (Figure 1b; 2-way ANOVA depth x year; F 2,123 = 17.9, p < 0.001).

A high proportion of this diverse Acropora assemblage was afforded a refuge from bleaching mortality in deeper water. Of the 29 Acropora species occurring in shallow waters < 7 m, 19 (66%) also occurred below the approximate depth of transition from high to low mortality (Figure 2). However, the refuge effect would be diminished if mortality had reached into deeper waters. If, for example, the transition between high and low bleaching mortality had occurred at 12 m, 14 (48%) of the species affected would have had a refuge in depth. Similarly, if bleaching mortality extended to 22 m, only 6 species from the shallow assemblage (21%) would have had colonies persisting below the transition depth.

Doubts regarding the potential significance of depth as a refuge for corals from warm-water bleaching have previously been raised because (1) bleaching has been observed in the deeper areas of reefs, (2) there is limited overlap of species between deep and shallow reef areas, and (3) genetic partitioning within species among depths suggests that deeper population cannot provide an effective source of recruits for shallow populations. Firstly, while bleaching often extends to the lower depth limits of some shallow water species, both bleaching frequency and, most importantly, mortality, is often strongly depth dependent (Figure 3). Indeed, a transition from high to low mortality occurred at depths of ≤ 15 m (~50% of sites surveyed in the Indian Ocean in 1998—see Table 1). Secondly, our results indicate that even with a pronounced depth zonation in the Acropora assemblage, two-thirds of species occurring in shallow depths had a depth range that straddled the transition in bleaching mortality. The depth zonation of coral assemblages is one of the most consistent and predictable patterns in nature and therefore our results are not an anomaly. Thirdly, the genetic divergence between populations above and below the transition in mortality at between 4 and 8 m is unlikely

Figure 2. Depth distribution of 40 Acropora species observed at Pulau Weh following the bleaching event. Shaded (grey) panel indicates the depth range where bleaching mortality was high. Of the 29 species occurring in 0–7 m depth, 19 (66%) also occurred below 7 m.
coral cover on reef slopes (6–9 m depth) on the GBR suggests that bleaching has been a comparatively minor source of coral mortality over the last few decades, despite two mass bleaching events in 1998 and 2002. However, in the 1998 bleaching event on the inshore GBR, bleaching mortality was on average 3-times higher at 2–4 m when compared to 5–8 m. Clearly, ecosystem assessments considering only a single depth may provide a biased view of the relative importance of the many different agents of coral mortality, and should therefore be conducted over a range of depths to accurately assess the relative importance of multiple stressors.

Our results, and those of previous studies, indicate that bleaching mortality can vary considerably over a small depth range. Consequentely, surveys conducted at a single depth may greatly misrepresent the proportion of coral populations killed by coral bleaching. For example, long-term, large-scale monitoring of coral cover on reef slopes (6–9 m depth) on the GBR suggests that bleaching has been a comparatively minor source of coral mortality over the last few decades, despite two mass bleaching events in 1998 and 2002. However, in the 1998 bleaching event on the inshore GBR, bleaching mortality was on average 3-times higher at 2–4 m when compared to 5–8 m. Clearly, ecosystem assessments considering only a single depth may provide a biased view of the relative importance of the many different agents of coral mortality, and should therefore be conducted over a range of depths to accurately assess the relative importance of multiple stressors.

Identifying areas or conditions that consistently provide refuges for corals from thermal stress is critically important for coral reef...
conservation under future climate change. In 1998, lower mortality and a shallower transition depth was often associated with sites that experienced episodic upwelling of cold water. Although environmental data are not available from Pulau Weh, pulses of cold water were regularly experienced during data collection, and rapid upwelling-driven temperature plunges of up to 10°C are recorded from the west coast of the nearby Similan Islands. Interestingly, Acehnese reefs appeared unaffected by the 1998 bleaching event, despite the coral bleaching extending across virtually the entire Indian Ocean from east Africa and north-western Australia. These cold-water upwelling events may explain the lack of mortality in 1998 and the shallow transition depth during 2010 despite very high sea surface temperatures. Depth-dependent mortality was most evident at Ba Kopra, on the western side of Pulau Weh, supporting the hypothesis that these upwelling events may create small-scale refugia from thermal anomalies. If so, this region may provide a consistent refuge for many corals against rising sea temperatures. In summary, our results show that coral bleaching mortality can diminish rapidly even where shallow-water corals experience severe mortality, and modest depths can provide a refuge for a significant proportion of coral species. Identifying sites where oceanographic conditions reduce the effects of thermal anomalies should be a priority for coral reef conservation.
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Figure S1. Map of Pulau Weh showing location of study sites.
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This article presents information on the depth-related occurrence of bleaching among 40 Acropora species around Pulau Weh, off North Sumatra. The article is providing important data. It is written in a concise way but I suggest that the authors add a bit more nuance to their argumentation.

Title: The authors could be more specific regarding mentioning the selection of coral species in the title. The contents of the paper is not about a representative selection of "reef corals" mainly about staghorn corals, Acropora species, which according to the authors "are also often amongst the most susceptible taxa to bleaching-induced mortality".
Figure 2: The caption is confusing. It mentions the bathymetrical distribution of 41 Acropora species, and the depth range where mortality as a result of bleaching was reported to be high. The main text mentions 40 Acropora species. Does bleaching mortality in 0-2 m refer to all corals or just Acropora corals, because it seems that (almost) no Acropora corals were found here. The authors should explain that this figure represents a mix of data from 2009-2011 (0-8 m: i.e. 0-2, 3-4, 6-8 m) and from 2012 (2-27 m: i.e. 2, 7, 12, 17, 22, 27 m).

Results and discussion: The sentence “Doubts regarding the potential significance of depth as a refuge for corals from warm-water bleaching have previously been raised because…” seems to overlook various papers that do agree with depth-related coral bleaching (e.g. Hoeksema 1991, Marshall & Baird 2000, Craig et al. 2001, Furby et al. 2013).

The sentences “Our results indicate that bleaching mortality can vary considerably over a small depth range. Consequently, surveys conducted only in shallow waters may greatly overestimate the proportion of coral populations killed by coral bleaching” overlook the fact that some coral species show less bleaching on sun-exposed reef flats, suggesting that they are pre-adapted to high water temperatures (e.g. Hoeksema 1991, Ware et al. 1996, Craig et al. 2001, Hoeksema & Matthews 2011).

Since Acropora corals were identified at species level in 2012, is there a way to tell whether the species showed interspecific differences in bleaching (see Hoeksema 1991, Craig et al. 2001, Hoeksema & Matthews 2011)?

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 21 Feb 2014

Tom Bridge, James Cook University, Townsville, Australia

- “The authors could be more specific regarding mentioning the selection of coral species in the title. The contents of the paper is not about a representative selection of "reef corals" mainly about staghorn corals, Acropora species, which according to the authors “are also often amongst the most susceptible taxa to bleaching-induced mortality”.”

  We do assess depth-dependent mortality for all corals, but focus on depth distributions of Acropora because they were the dominant group at these sites. Therefore, we believe the title accurately reflects the nature of the research.

- “Does bleaching mortality in 0-2 m refer to all corals or just Acropora corals, because it seems that (almost) no Acropora corals were found here. The authors should explain that this figure represents a mix of data from 2009-2011 (0-8 m: i.e. 0-2, 3-4, 6-8 m) and from 2012 (2-27 m: i.e. 2, 7, 12, 17, 22, 27 m).”

  All of the data presented in Figure 2 is from 2012 (post-bleaching), as stated in the Methods.
In Figure 2, the bars on the species encountered in the shallowest depth range (0-2 m) have been extended to 0 m to indicate that they could have occurred anywhere from 0-2 m depth.

- “The sentence “Doubts regarding the potential significance of depth as a refuge for corals from warm-water bleaching have previously been raised because…” seems to overlook various papers that do agree with depth-related coral bleaching”

Our discussion states that various authors have discounted the depth refuge effect by several mechanisms. However, we go on to cite examples (Marshall & Baird, 2000; Sheppard & Obura, 2005) of studies that report depth-dependent coral bleaching, demonstrating that the depth-dependent bleaching observed in this study is not an isolated case. We have added a citation to Hoeksema (1991) as a further example of depth-dependent coral bleaching.

- “The sentences “Our results indicate that bleaching mortality can vary considerably over a small depth range. Consequently, surveys conducted only in shallow waters may greatly overestimate the proportion of coral populations killed by coral bleaching” overlook the fact that some coral species show less bleaching on sun-exposed reef flats, suggesting that they are pre-adapted to high water temperatures”

To clarify, we have altered the text to state that “Our results, and those of previous, indicate that bleaching mortality can vary considerably over a small depth range. Consequently, surveys conducted at a single depth may greatly misrepresent the proportion of coral populations killed by bleaching”.

- “Since Acropora corals were identified at species level in 2012, is there a way to tell whether the species showed interspecific differences in bleaching?”

We could test for interspecific differences in mortality among some of the more abundant species; however, this is beyond the scope of the current manuscript, which focuses on depth-dependent mortality.

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.
The authors report that coral bleaching at their study site declined dramatically with increasing depth and they hypothesize that the mitigation of bleaching was caused by localized decreases in temperature caused by cold water upwelling. Although they are continuing their research at the site, including monitoring temperature, at the time they wrote this article no data were available to discuss the magnitude and temporal characteristics of temperature differences between heavily and lightly or unbleached sites. However, the manuscript would be improved with a brief discussion of the magnitude and duration of temperature differences that have been reported in the scientific literature (e.g. Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999) that have been found to induce bleaching.
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**Author Response 21 Feb 2014**

**Tom Bridge,** James Cook University, Townsville, Australia

In the literature, there is a large range of temperatures reported as thresholds to induce bleaching, and considerable variation in these thresholds due to geographic location, composition of the assemblage, thermal history etc. It is certainly an interesting question, but we maintain that without any data any attempt to identify thresholds in this case would be overly speculative. As such, we do not believe this is the correct venue to incorporate such discussion.

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.
In their introduction, the authors mention the importance of both irradiance and temperature, but no further mention of irradiance’s possible role in the observed coral bleaching and mortality patterns is made. Additionally, the author’s state that “pulses of cold water were regularly experienced during data collection” as evidence that upwelling of cold water was the mechanism responsible for the reduced bleaching-induced coral mortality at their deeper survey sites. Although it may not be possible to reconstruct temperature differences during the 2010 bleaching event at their study sites, even temperature records from the different survey depths on the Acehnese reefs well after the event may provide some insight into the possible magnitude of temperature differences that were sufficient to reduce coral mortality. Some discussion of the specific parameters that might distinguish microrefugia, e.g. the frequency and magnitude of differences in temperature or irradiance relative to surrounding waters, would greatly enhance the paper’s utility, and provide an important addition to further work on this topic.
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Unfortunately, no data are currently available to quantify the local oceanographic patterns at this time, and to address this question without such data would be overly speculative. However, we plan to collect temperature data at Pulau Weh as part of ongoing monitoring of reefs in the region.
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**Tyler Smith**
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The article is of great interest considering the impact of thermal stress on coral reefs globally, and the pressing need to identify refugia that might support coral diversity in a warming ocean. The article is basic, in that it only attempts to directly answer two questions: (1) did acroporid corals do better at deeper depths (8m) versus shallower depths (2 and 4m), and (2) is there a significant proportion of acroporid diversity that would be protected by the identified depth refuge in 2010 (i.e., how many acroporid species have a sufficiently wide depth range). I believe that they answer these questions well, but I would have liked to see more thorough investigation of the patterns in the data and I think there are some anomalous parts of the data that I cannot explain. For example, while most sites followed a pattern with increasing bleaching mortality at shallower depths, plotting of the data provided in table 1 shows that one site, Ba Kopra at 4m, showed no change or even an increase in total and acroporid cover, respectively. The
authors never discuss this site to site variability, which might be important for "Identifying sites where oceanographic conditions reduce the effects of thermal anomalies should be a priority for coral reef conservation".

As for anomalous parts of the data, I can't understand why the absolute cover change of acroporid corals seems to surpass that of total coral cover at some sites. For example, at Rubiah Channel the acroporid cover drops an absolute amount of 38.1%, by my calculation. That is a simple calculation of final cover - initial cover, not standardized to the initial coral cover (which would be relative cover change). Therefore, the total absolute coral cover change has to be at least 38.1%, yet it is only 23.6%. This is not that the total cover is really the total cover excluding acropora, since the prior to bleaching acropora + total cover = 102%. Can the authors explain this?

In the methods it would also be necessary to know if the pre- and post-bleaching transects are the same (i.e., fixed permanent transects) or whether they are randomly placed. In either case, but particularly for the latter, it is also necessary to know the method by which the placement of the transect was determined at a site. How are we to know potential biases in the pre- and post-assessments without this knowledge. There is also no information given on the exact location of monitoring sites, which is important for replication of the study. Perhaps coordinates and a map would be appropriate.

One further addition that would be nice, though an addition to the two primary questions of the manuscript, is what is the relative importance of the depth refuge to the in situ shallow coral survival? They mention "recovery" in the title, but this isn't really addressed much in the manuscript, and improving the discussion of potential recovery process would help on that point. I.e., what absolute amount of cover for each species survived deep, and could contribute to shallow water recovery via larval recruitment, versus the amount that survived shallow and could contribute to direct asexual recovery and larval recruitment? Also, any speculation on the relative importance of the processes in recovery (deep to shallow larval recruitment versus shallow to shallow larval and asexual recruitment) would be a good addition and set up future research.

I have a feeling all these questions are easily answerable and that the conclusions are justified, and I think the manuscript is an important addition to a rather sparse body of knowledge concerning reef refuges and refugia.
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Author Response 27 Oct 2013

**Tom Bridge**, James Cook University, Townsville, Australia

Our response to the issues raised by the reviewer are summarised below:

- We acknowledge some variability among sites in the depth of transition from high to low mortality, but the overall trend of decreasing mortality with increasing depth is consistent among sites.

- The reviewer noted that the ‘absolute cover change of Acroporid corals seems to surpass that of total coral cover’, and we note three possible reasons for this observation. Firstly,
such variations are commonly observed on coral reefs after a disturbance event such as coral bleaching due to ‘canopy effects’, whereby removal of canopy-forming taxa (in this case *Acropora*) can cause apparent increases in taxa which were concealed underneath the canopy. Canopy effects have been well documented in the coral reef literature (e.g. *Goatley CHR & Bellwood DR, 2011*). In Pulau Weh, the increases in encrusting/massive *Porites* and *Faviids* suggest canopy effects were a likely cause of these observations. Secondly, surviving corals will have grown from 2009 to 2011. Thirdly, transects were haphazardly placed and therefore some variability within a site is possible from year to year.

- We have included a map of study sites, and also stated in the text that transects were haphazardly placed within a site.
- Coral cover data from 2009 to 2011 was collected only to genus level, therefore it was not possible to address species-specific changes in abundance before and after the bleaching.
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