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Abstract
Buccal bifurcation cyst (BBC) is a rare inflammatory odontogenic cyst, which commonly affects children in the first decade of life. We report a case of a seven-year-old healthy boy with bilateral BBC, which involved unerupted incomplete permanent mandibular first molars. A review of the literature in English language revealed few similar cases. We reviewed 16 manuscripts of bilateral mandibular BBC, reporting a total of 20 cases since 1970. The clinical features of bilateral mandibular BBC summarized here could assist specialists with an accurate diagnosis and provide patients with optimal management.
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Introduction

Buccal bifurcation cyst (BBC) is a rare inflammatory odontogenic cyst. The first case of BBC was reported in 1983 by Stoneman and Worth. Children between the age of 4 and 14 years are most commonly affected. In addition, BBC occurs more in the mandible and most likely involves the permanent mandibular first molar. Typically, BBC causes many undesirable oral manifestations such as buccal swelling at the affected area, delayed tooth eruption or partially tooth eruption associated with deep periodontal pockets. In some cases, pain and infection associated with pus could be present.

Radiographically, BBC is illustrated as a well-defined radiolucent lesion surrounded by sclerotic rim. The lesion either involves the roots of a partially erupted tooth or surrounds an unerupted tooth; usually the permanent mandibular first molar. Histopathologically, the BBC cyst wall is lined by a non-keratinized stratified squamous epithelium with inflammatory lymphocytes infiltrate. Surgical excisional procedure is performed for complete removal of the cysts with the involved teeth to reduce the risk of recurrence of the epithelial cysts.

Here, we report a case of a seven-year-old healthy boy with a chief complaint of painful, slowly growing lower jaw swellings on both right and left sides consistent with bilateral BBC, which involved unerupted incomplete permanent mandibular first molars. A review of the literature revealed few similar cases. We report this case to add to an additional case of bilateral mandibular BBC to the literature.

Case presentation

A seven-year-old healthy boy presented to the Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Clinic with a chief complaint of painful, slowly growing lower jaw swellings on both right and left sides. The patient had no past medical, surgical, or dental history. His guardian reported no known drug and food allergies. The guardian also denied having family history of genetic related diseases or syndromes. The swellings caused esthetic disfiguring of the patient’s face, which led to social exclusion.

On examination, extraoral, bilateral asymmetric swellings of the lower part of the face with no lymph node involvement were noted. Intraorally, painful bilateral, hard, bony mandibular swellings covered with normal color mucosa, extending from the mesial aspect of the mandibular second primary molars on both sides including the retromolar areas, was observed. On palpation, the affected site revealed expansion of the buccal cortical plates on both sides. Both mandibular permanent first molars were not clinically erupted.

Diagnostic radiographs were taken. A panoramic radiograph illustrated bilateral, well-defined radiolucencies surrounded by sclerotic margins and including the unerupted incomplete permanent mandibular first molars (36 and 46, according to the FDI World Dental Federation Notation). The panoramic image showing right radiolucency measured around 1 cm in its greatest dimension, and involved the unerupted permanent mandibular first molar (46, according to the FDI World Dental Federation Notation), and not involving the inferior mandibular cortical bone and the adjacent areas. On the other hand, the left radiolucency measured around 3 cm in its greatest dimension, and involved the unerupted permanent mandibular first molar (36, according to the FDI World Dental Federation Notation), the inferior mandibular cortical bone and the adjacent areas. Moreover, the left radiolucent cyst distally displaced the permanent mandibular second molar tooth bud (37, according to the FDI World Dental Federation Notation) (Figure 1). Provisional diagnosis of the bilateral lesions suggested bilateral BBC, dentigerous cyst, and paradental cyst.

The guardian was informed about the patient’s condition, possible management and complications. Then, a consent form was signed before starting the complete surgical removal of the lesions under general anesthesia. An incision was made, then a buccal mucoperioisteal flap was raised in the mandible at the mandibular permanent first molar area on both right and left sides to expose the bone. The buccal plate was expanded and thinned; especially in the left side. An access to the cyst area was made by performing an ostectomy on both sides. The whole cyst lining was removed with the attached mandibular first molars. Then, the cavity was enucleated and curetted thoroughly (Figure 2), to reduce the risk of recurrence.

The specimens were sent to the histopathology laboratory in two containers. The histopathologic analysis of the multiple serial sections of the two specimens revealed multiple pieces of cyst wall lined by small strip of non-keratinized stratified squamous epithelium with mixed inflammatory reaction and surrounding edematous granulation tissue formed of numerous proliferating capillary type vascular spaces. Also, the specimens...
Radiographic interpretation is essential to assist recognize and differentiate between different cystic lesions. Normally cystic lesions have a well-defined, unilocular radiolucency with a sclerotic margin; however, cysts sometimes present with different features. For instance, paradental cysts present on the lateral crown of an incompletely erupted tooth, while dentigerous cysts usually surround the crown of an unerupted tooth. Every rule has an exception, it is challenging to differentiate between the circumferential dentigerous cyst and the BBC radiographically as both illustrated as radiolucent cysts involving a completely unerupted tooth. Histopathologically, the cyst wall under the microscope shows chronic inflammatory lymphocytes and two to four cell layers thick of proliferating lining of nonkeratinized stratified squamous epithelium. The diagnosis of BBC should be established based on the clinical, radiographical and microscopic characteristics. The clinical examination, radiographic interpretation and histopathologic analysis of both lesions displaying cystic origin under the microscope showed bland looking spindle-shaped fibroblasts and degenerated bony spicules, which was consistent with cystic lesions (Figure 3). Based on the clinical examination, radiographic interpretation, and histopathologic analysis, the final diagnosis was bilateral BBC.

Radiographic interpretation is essential to assist recognize and differentiate between different cystic lesions. Normally cystic lesions have a well-defined, unilocular radiolucency with a sclerotic margin; however, cysts sometimes present with different features. For instance, paradental cysts present on the lateral crown of an incompletely erupted tooth, while dentigerous cysts usually surround the crown of an unerupted tooth. Every rule has an exception, it is challenging to differentiate between the circumferential dentigerous cyst and the BBC radiographically as both illustrated as radiolucent cysts involving a completely unerupted tooth. Histopathologically, the cyst wall under the microscope shows chronic inflammatory lymphocytes and two to four cell layers thick of proliferating lining of nonkeratinized stratified squamous epithelium.

Clinical, bilateral BBC has few challenging similarities with dentigerous cyst, and paradental cyst. For example, all previously mentioned cysts are commonly associated with a tooth. However, paradental cysts and dentigerous cysts usually occur in adults in the mandibular third molar region. Dentigerous cyst is classified as a developmental cyst that may also occur in the mandibular first molar areas and sometimes interfere with the process of normal tooth eruption in children. On the other hand, paradental cyst is considered as an inflammatory cyst, which commonly occurs in the lateral surface of a vital tooth usually the first and second mandibular molars of primary teeth.

Discussion
Bilateral BBC is an uncommon inflammatory odontogenic cyst. It usually arises from the buccal side of the mandibular permanent first molar in children. The pathophysiology of BBC is still undetermined. It is claimed that the inflammation is caused by the deep periodontal pocket caused by tilted tooth. Other authors claim that the reason behind the cyst formation could be because of a defect in the tooth eruption, which may lead to inflammation, proliferation of the epithelial cells and cyst formation. It is also postulated that enamel projections at the area covered by reduced enamel epithelium between the cementoenamel junction and the furcation of the tooth could lead to cyst formation. It is worth mentioning that the etiopathogenesis of BBC is the same of paradental cyst, according to World Health Organization Classification of Head and Neck Tumours (2017).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Patient age</th>
<th>Patient gender</th>
<th>Number of bilateral cases</th>
<th>Associated tooth</th>
<th>Degree of tooth eruption</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stanback</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>9 years</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bilateral mandibular permanent first molars/vital</td>
<td>Not erupted</td>
<td>Marsupialization</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swerdloff</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bilateral mandibular permanent first molars/vital</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vedelofte and Pfrontius</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>13 years</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Case 1: Bilateral mandibular permanent first molars/vital</td>
<td>Fully erupted</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td>1–6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packota et al.</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Case 2: Bilateral mandibular permanent first molars/vital</td>
<td>Partially erupted</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snape et al.</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>11 years</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Case 1: Bilateral mandibular permanent first molars/vital</td>
<td>Not erupted</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David et al.</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>9 years</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Case 1: Bilateral mandibular permanent first molars/vital</td>
<td>Partially erupted</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin-Clade</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Case 2: Bilateral mandibular permanent first molars/vital</td>
<td>Fully erupted</td>
<td>Enucleation/treatment of periapical bone with saline and hydrogen peroxide</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shokati et al.</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>13 years</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Case 2: Bilateral mandibular permanent first molars/vital</td>
<td>Fully erupted</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallego</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bilateral mandibular permanent first molars/vital</td>
<td>Fully erupted</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona et al.</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bilateral mandibular permanent first molars/vital</td>
<td>Fully erupted</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodriguez et al.</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>9 years</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bilateral mandibular permanent first molars/vital</td>
<td>Erupted</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonfino</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bilateral mandibular permanent first molars/vital</td>
<td>Not erupted</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolaner et al.</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Left first molar was not erupted</td>
<td>Enucleation/bone graft</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td>18 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bautista et al.</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Right first molar was partially erupted</td>
<td>Enucleation/bone graft</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bilateral mandibular permanent first molars/vital</td>
<td>Not erupted</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M: Male; F: Female
with bilateral buccal expansion involving both mandibular first molars in a seven-year-old child associated with bilateral BBC was presented here. This reported case had similar clinical, radiographical and histopathological features of bilateral mandibular BBC. It is worth mentioning that the case presented here is very rare because it describes bilateral mandibular BBC. To the best of our knowledge, the bilateral characteristic of BBC is very rare; there are only few cases have been reported in the English-language literature. In this article, we reviewed 16 manuscripts of bilateral mandibular BBC, reporting a total of 20 cases in the period between 1970 to 2019. Furthermore, these cases occurred in different ages starting from 4 years to 13 years with predilection to male patients (Table 1).

Through the past years different approaches have been performed to manage the BBC. In some cases, the way of management was only by following up the lesion with no interventions; some lesions showed different degrees of regression and others needed intervention\textsuperscript{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17}. On the other hand, other cases underwent surgical enucleation of the cysts either with the involved tooth or maintaining the involved tooth. In the current case, we chose to perform a surgical procedure under general anesthesia to enucleate both bilateral mandibular BBCs with the involved teeth to reduce the risk of recurrence and to fulfill the patient’s parent’s desire.

**Conclusion**

BBC typically affects children in the first decade of life. BBC occurs in the buccal area of the mandibular first molar. Only a few bilateral mandibular BBC cases were reported in the literature. Although bilateral mandibular BBC is uncommon, the diagnosis would be less challenging if it is established by the correlation of the clinical examination, radiographic interpretation and histopathological analysis. Moreover, the clinical features of bilateral mandibular BBC summarized in this review could assist specialists to an accurate diagnosis and provide patients with optimal management.

**Patient perspective**

The parents mentioned that the surgery has an excellent impact on their son’s life. Also, the outcomes met their expectations as the facial swellings disappeared.

**Consent**

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient’s father for publication of this case report and accompanying images.

**Data availability**

All data underlying the results are available as part of the article and no additional source data are required.
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- The table of cases from past literature is very informative.
- Some points need to be explained.
- Please add the prevalence rate of such unusual cases to the introduction with citation.
- To evaluate the lesion extent, was CT or CBCT performed prior to surgery? If so, please include a photograph of representing cut.
- Please also include an extraoral clinical photograph.
- Add details on the prescribed drugs and instructions for postoperative care.
- Please address previous citations regarding surgical management of this unusual cyst with complete tooth removal in the discussion.
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Is the case presented with sufficient detail to be useful for other practitioners? Yes
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This is a case of bilateral buccal bifurcation cysts that is unusual in that (a) it caused an extensive esthetic disfiguration leading to “social exclusion” and (b) necessitated extraction of two unerupted permanent molar teeth. However, as there are no clinical photographs, intra-oral or extra-oral, the first feature cannot be documented. Even more, the authors do not contemplate on
the reasons that dictated extractions: does the risk of recurrence (how common is it?) balance the loss of two permanent first molars? A more thorough discussion is necessary.

More specific comments:

**Introduction**
- The Introduction contains information (i.e. “Children between the age of 4 and 14 years are most commonly affected.”) not supported be relevant references.

**Clinical Presentation**
- The swelling are described as “painful” by the patient’s guardian. It would be interesting to know whether those swellings were, also, painful on palpation and/or showed pus excretion, or whether the authors noticed any orifice on the mucosa through which they could probe the cyst.
- The teeth are described as “unerupted incomplete permanent mandibular first molars” (Case presentation, paragraph 3). Do they mean partially/incompletely erupted, as they were clinically not evident but radiographically not surrounded by bone?
- The histopathologic description should be reorganized: “multiple pieces of cyst wall” are profoundly consistent with “cystic lesions”, while it is the presence “of non-keratinized stratified squamous epithelium”, not “bland looking spindle-shaped fibroblasts and degenerated bony spicules” that make the findings “consistent with cystic lesions”. Fig. 3 is not of proper quality.

**Discussion**
- The Discussion contains information not supported be relevant references.
- The main aim of Discussion is for the authors to discuss their findings and offer (if possible) new insights. For instance, the authors correctly state that the inflammation caused by a deep periodontal pocket, or a defect in tooth eruption are possible reasons for the development of . Which of those factors possibly caused the lesions in their cases? How do they explain the bilaterality of the lesion?
- The authors should be very careful in what they state. For instance, in the 2nd paragraph they write:
  - “Clinically, bilateral BBC has few challenging similarities with dentigerous cyst, and paradental cyst.” Only bilateral BCC has those similarities, not the usual unilateral variant?
  - “all previously mentioned cysts are commonly associated with a tooth”. This is common for most cysts, inflammatory or developmental!
  - “paradental cysts and dentigerous cysts usually occur in adults in the mandibular third molar region” and later “paradental cyst... which commonly occurs in the lateral surface of a vital tooth usually the first and second mandibular molars of primary teeth.” Paradental cyst usually develops distally to the third permanent molar.
  - “Radiographic interpretation is essential to assist recognize and differentiate between different cystic lesions”. Please correct syntax.
  - “on the lateral crown”. Wrong expression.
“Every rule has an exception, it is challenging to differentiate between the circumferential
dentigerous cyst and the BBC radiographically as both illustrated as radiolucent cysts
involving a completely unerupted tooth”. The authors correctly include this variety of
dentigerous cyst in the d.d. of the present case (why don't they state it in the case
presentation), but they should give more information not only for the shake of
completeness of their work, but also for “tutorial” reasons. The “Every rule has an exception”
does not to fit in that case, while the whole phrase needs reconsideration.

“Histopathologically, the cyst wall under the microscope shows chronic inflammatory
lymphocytes and two to four cell layers thick of proliferating lining of nonkeratinized
stratified squamous epithelium”. Repetition of well known information. It would more
interesting to know if histopathologic examination can differentiate the cysts included in the
d.d.

The diagnosis of BBC should be established based on the clinical, radiographical and
microscopic characteristics. The clinical examination, radiographic interpretation and
histopathologic analysis of both lesions displaying cystic origin with bilateral buccal
expansion involving both mandibular first molars in a seven-year-old child associated with
bilateral BBC was presented here. This reported case had similar clinical, radiographical and
histopathological features of bilateral mandibular BBC. It is worth mentioning that the case
presented here is very rare because it describes bilateral mandibular BBC. To the best of
our knowledge, the bilateral characteristic of BBC is very rare; there are only few cases have
been reported in the English-language literature.” This paragraph says the same thing many
times. Please reconsider.
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