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Abstract

Background: Maya families suffered greatly during the henequen industry in the Yucatan, but the lives of the Maya women at the time were perhaps worse than anywhere in the world. Changes in Maya body height over the 20th century were assessed in order to show secular changes. The use of the sexual dimorphism index (SDI) allowed for the evaluation of the living conditions prevailing during the existence of the henequen haciendas to the Mexican revolution and a gradual improvement of these conditions by the end of the 20th century.

Methods: In 1994, 364 men and 320 women aged 20-98 years were studied in Merida, Yucatan, Mexico. They were divided into six age groups and by gender: 20-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69 and 70+ years. Stature for both genders, and age at menarche for women were considered. SDI in stature was calculated to assess living conditions.

Results: There were smaller (0.25 cm/10 years) changes in height in men than in women (0.9 cm/10 years) and no significant changes in acceleration of maturation. SDI results showed changes from 9.9 to 7.6, and this may indicate a constant, but very small improvement of living conditions. The age at menarche of women did not show statistically significant acceleration with age.

Conclusions: In the colonial period of the late 19th century until the Mexican Revolution, women were worse off than men. Previous research has shown that when living conditions change, men always react faster than women, e.g. by lowering or increasing body height. Our study of the Maya population in the 20th century showed otherwise; female height increased more than male height. This may reflect that the living conditions of Maya men have not changed over the 20th century, but have improved for women.
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Introduction

James Tanner wrote that the average height of people in any society is a mirror that “reflects rather accurately the material and moral conditions of that society” (Tanner, 1986). Simply stated, height reflects the quality of life. Maya women of southern Mexico and Guatemala have the shortest stature of all non-Pygmy women and that has been so for at least 100 years (NCD-RisC, 2016).

Mayan life in the late 19th and at the turn of the 20th centuries was closely related to the cultivation of henequen fiber. At that time the daily lives of Maya families had a great impact on their biological status. The description of the relationship between the hacienda workers and the henequen industry, using supporting literature, is important here. This is for evaluation, in both sexes separately, changes in body height with age, changes in sexual dimorphism index (SDI) with age, which describes living conditions and changes in women’s age of maturation using age at menarche.

History of henequen industry

With the arrival of the Spaniards in the 16th century, the cultivation of henequen fiber became a mass industry that flourished in the mid-19th century (Rioux, 2014). There were many haciendas (large agricultural estates or plantations) in Spanish-speaking countries, especially in Mexico, and from the mid-18th century these grew in size and economic power for almost a hundred years. In the Yucatan region of Mexico, the haciendas started as maize and sugar plantations, but later they became henequen estates.

The haciendas were independent organizations employing hundreds of workers, arranged according to a certain structure. The most important in the hierarchy were the landowners, then the hacendados (people to whom the land was gifted along with peons - unskilled farm workers), at the bottom there were Maya people (Kumar, 2019). Haciendas were typically composed of a variety of different structures, including a main house, a kitchen, a warehouse, servant quarters, worker housing, stables, a church, a store, a cemetery, a pigsty, roping areas, gardens or fields, and even prisons. It maintained huge fields of henequen, tended by hundreds of men. The main house, or Casa Principal, was usually the largest building, where the hacendado kept his living quarters and where most of the administration occurred (Kumar, 2019; Wells, 1985). Plants had machines to produce henequen fibers and ropes which were shipped worldwide from the port of Sisal (Kumar, 2019).

From the end of the 19th century to the 1920s, for around 40 years, henequen was the basic industry of Yucatán. This resulted in Yucatán becoming the richest state of Mexico (Brannon & Baklanoff, 1987). By this time, the henequen plant was called “green gold”. But henequen had been present in the life of Mayan society since pre-conquest times. The plant was often grown outside of houses, along roads and outside of towns (Rejón, 2006). A major use for the henequen fiber was rope to fasten and pull, and as material for sandals, hunting bows and traps, roofing thatch, fishing nets, hammocks, bags to transport different items (e.g. corn seed) or other framing tools to cultivate milpas (fields cleared of forest where farmers plant more than a dozen plants at once, including corn, avocado, many varieties of squash and bean, melon, tomatoes and the like). Henequen fiber was also used to drill teeth, and the sharp spines of henequen leaves were used as needles. In addition, prisoners of war were bound with henequen rope, juices from Agava were used to make wine, and henequen also could be a decoration (Rioux, 2014).

The henequen industry was owned by homogeneous groups called the ‘elites’. They were most often white individuals of Spanish origin who came from two categories: either they were born in Creole families and most often they were already wealthy, or they used the export and import of henequen to create new wealth (Rioux, 2014). In 1856, as a result of reform laws, the lands belonging to the villagers were divided, the ‘elites’ quickly bought land from Mayas and changed that land from subsistence food farming to henequen production. Unfortunately for Maya people, selling their land was the only way to earn some money and support their families (Evans, 2012). However, soon after selling their land most Maya adults became henequen plantation workers. As already mentioned, many ‘elites’ were successful in the henequen industry, but there were 20 to 30 families known as ‘casta divina’ (divine caste), who controlled 80-90% of the henequen industry (Riestra, 1996).

Life on haciendas for workers was very hard. Haciendas became the places in which the Mayas lived, worked and shopped. Maya families often spent their lives on the plantations for many generations (Peniche Rivero, 2003). We use the term ‘henequeneros’ for individuals (often belonging to ‘elites’) that supervised the production of a henequen in a given hacienda and oversaw the Maya families working there. The role of henequeneros was to encourage workers to remain in the hacienda. Also, marriages outside the hacienda were often forbidden. Everything was done to bring families together in order to control the social life of Maya peasants (Joseph, 1982; Remmers, 1981; Wells, 1985).

Workers were offered loans by henequeneros that were part of the so-called ‘paternalistic goods’ (restriction of the freedom of a person or group of people). This concerned the land of milpa, corn ration, access to water, medical care, and sometimes children’s education. For hacendados (persons who own or supervise haciendas), control over the Maya people provided year-round labor. It was also a certain protection for the workers, but the possible benefits amounted to the loss of autonomy, backbreaking year-round labor, and separation from traditional pueblo life. Thus, henequen workers were essentially slaves as a result of the debt peonage system (an employer compels a worker to pay off a debt with work) (Alston et al., 2009). Even though elites claimed that workers had the right to leave haciendas, realistically, they were accumulating huge debts and were unable to escape. In 1900, rural laborers were spending half to three-quarters of their incomes on basic staples of life, mainly food (Buffington & French, 2000). Their daily food typically consisted of two...
tortillas, a bowl of beans, and a plate of fish (Sterling, 2012). Although hacienda owners claimed slavery was non-existent, their rules and practices bear strong resemblance to a slave society. The workers were forced to buy food and other things at the hacienda-owned store. The store provided credit, and this indebted the Maya to the hacienda. They were slaves because they could not leave the hacienda until they paid their debt, but they could never do this (Rioux, 2014).

In Yucatán at this time, education was devoted to the expansion and success of the henequen industry, and as a result, laborers were mainly trained in henequen production only. However, other residents in Yucatán experienced an expansion of education, and secondary and professional education did advance. In 1857, there were 21 primary schools with less than 2,000 students, but by 1883, there were 225 schools with over 8,000 students. Despite all of the increases in education, many people in Yucatán were illiterate and the literacy rate was still low due to the many laborers on haciendas (Remmers, 1981).

Health problems and injuries were prevalent on the haciendas, but workers did not have access to medical treatment (Remmers, 1981). Henequen is a rough, spiny plant, and its continued contact with skin often caused irritation and eczema among laborers. The challenging manual labor led to many fractured or broken arms and legs. In addition, carrying henequen leaves in the sun and the heat in Yucatán was especially grueling (Remmers, 1981). Additionally, public health agents ignored hacienda conditions and doctors were rarely present. Despite the modernization efforts in society, healthcare on haciendas was virtually non-existent, so modernity was mainly limited to spaces outside of the hacienda system. Conditions were unsanitary, and diarrhea was the leading cause of death, especially among infants. Poor diets and the lack of sufficient food led to starvation for many laborers, and suicide was not uncommon. Doctors and medicines were only present on larger haciendas, and even then, they were not sufficient to treat the quantity of health issues faced by laborers (Ransom Carty, 2006; Remmers, 1981; Wells, 1985).

Laborers were required to wear specific types of clothing and they were not allowed to wear foreign styles. Also, workers and their families were required to cut their hair a certain way (Moseley, 1980).

There are many factors that contributed to the decline of the henequen industry, but the changes brought about by the Mexican Revolution were crucial in causing the era of poverty in Yucatán (Evans, 2012; Rioux, 2014). The Mexican Revolution arrived in Yucatán in 1915, five years after it had begun in Mexico City. Laborers experienced slave conditions, and the revolutionaries sought to give them more rights. Between August 1915 and February 1917, the cost of production of henequen fiber increased by more than double due to the increase in wages for workers. These changes were positive for laborers, but henequeneros were forced to pay higher wages and provide more services to the workers. The only reason henequen continued to prosper was the massive demand created by World War I. The further instability in Yucatán and increased prices of henequen encouraged the United States to continue seeking different sources of fiber. However, elites in Yucatán continued to invest only in henequen, which led to an increase in poverty, because henequeneros could no longer pay the workers’ debts. After the 1920s, the henequen industry faced continued challenges. The Great Depression continued to affect society, which reduced the demand for wheat and henequen. During the 1930s, adverse weather changes, such as drought, windstorms, cold winters, hot summers, as well as grasshoppers, caused further damage to the wheat industry. Between 1934 and 1940, large areas of land were redistributed, which resulted in the confiscation of 61% of henequen fields. Yucatán became one of the poorest states in Mexico and could not survive without the help of Mexico City (Evans, 2012; Rioux, 2014). During the 1960s, there was an attempt to revive the henequen industry, but hard fiber industries had already been established in other areas, and it would have been very challenging for Yucatán to become competitive in the market again (Carrillo, 2013). However, it should be mentioned here that the Mexican Revolution also brought many positive changes to Yucatán as it ended the slave work conditions and gave more rights to laborers. It also created thousands of schools, health reforms, and sanitation services (Rioux, 2014).

Women and henequen industry
The women on henequen haciendas had few rights and were under the control of both their husbands and overseers. It was also not uncommon for husbands to beat their wives. While workers were treated like slaves, their wives often experienced the effects of their anger and frustration in the form of violence mainly because of failures in their work. They also worked very rarely in the henequen cultivation, but if they had to work, they were not paid for their work. Thus, the women stayed mostly at home (Wells, 1998).

Their household responsibilities include preparing food, collecting water and firewood, making hammocks, etc. They were also expected to reproduce to provide for the next generation. Women were also sexually abused. There was a known “ritual of the first night” in which a henequenero or overseer rapes the wife or daughter of a worker to show who has the greatest power in the hacienda (Wells, 2006).

Maya in the Yucatán from the end of Mexican Revolution to the end of the 20th century
The literature contains much information about Maya life in the second half of the 20th century, including their population size, households, work, income, crops, culture and education (Lutz et al., 2000). It is worth noting that the life of the Maya has not changed significantly since the fall of the henequen economy. However, they were somewhat independent in this time, able to cultivate milpa (corn, beans and squash), usually in small fields, and leave their place of residence looking for work anywhere, e.g. in the nearby holiday resorts of Cancun or Merida.
The authors of the present paper spent 13 years in Yucatán, mainly at the end of the 20th century, taking part in various research projects which took place in Merida (the capital city of Yucatán), Progreso, Sotuta, Yaxcabá Municipality, and villages near Chichenitza, such as Pisté where the Steggerda research took place (Steggerda, 1932; Steggerda, 1941; Steggerda, 1977). Observations of these communities by the authors has led to the following reflections about living conditions:

The households (farms) are different, depending on the size of the family and its wealth. Every nuclear family, consisting of parents and at least one child, has its own home. Such a family also has its own or shared garden, kitchen, storage area, and sometimes manufacturing area. The number of shared buildings in a household depends on how many families are living there.

The Yucatán Peninsula has year-round warm weather and is often hot and the style of home offers a cool place to live. The shape of the houses has been similar from generation to generation. The differences between houses are the size and the materials used to build them. The appearance of the houses also vary depending on the village in which they were located. Many houses are built of wooden stakes (Figure 1 and Figure 2), but there are also houses with a more solid construction. Most houses are about the same size. Often the front door is lined up with a backdoor or window to create cross ventilation, which keeps the home cool. The floors are often concrete and keep the house fresher since the slabs are on the ground. The houses are oval in shape with thatched roofs, which are waterproof when laid in a continuous pattern. Some houses consist of mud and stone walls with thatched roofs, and some are also covered with concrete, grass, or palm leaves (which is very expensive). A thatched roof does not absorb the heat like concrete, so that makes it cooler. Backyards are often full of fruit trees, vegetables, herbs and useful things for the family’s meals. There are also chickens, pigs, ducks, or turkeys.

Most people have two houses. One is for living and the other is for cooking. This prevents the living space from getting smoky or catching fire. The fire is low, surrounded by stones, or against a concrete wall. Most of the cooking is done on a comal for making tortillas or pots for cooking in (Figure 3). Every house contains some type of pottery. The ancient Maya used pottery for cooking, eating, and storing water. The interior furnishing of houses for living is limited mainly to the space needed to hang hammocks used for sleeping. It is not common to store a lot of food in the home. The staple food of corn is mainly kept in the field. Also, Maya houses have no furniture. Necessary items are hung in plastic bags, including food. In recent times, however, refrigerators, TV’s and other conveniences have been found there; for example, the authors have often seen a poorly constructed house where there were at least two TV’s, a car with an incomplete body and a huge refrigerator.

Women spend the majority of time not only at home taking care of the children, but also are in charge of gardening and sometimes help men in farm work. Maya women’s dress
is very specific and they try to wear it on every occasion (Figure 4). The men take care of the family by mainly cultivating the milpa, hunting and providing other food for the family.

**Biological status**

As previously mentioned, the 20th century included many economic crises, but overall socio-economic conditions improved. These changes are especially interesting when we relate them to the biological status of the Maya Indian populations before the collapse of their high civilization which took place between the 8th or 9th centuries and was probably caused by a combination of deforestation and drought (Stromberg, 2012). The retardation in growth and maturation of Yucatecan populations during the 19th and 20th centuries has been observed (McCullough, 1982; Saul, 1972; Starr, 1902; Steggerda, 1941). There are only two publications on secular changes of body height in the Yucatán. The first one describes the lack of changes in men born between 1860 and 1933 (McCullough, 1982), the second one describes small changes between 1933 and 1956 (Wolański et al., 1994). It should be added that based on skeletal measurements from archaeological excavations from preclassic (2500 BCE-250 CE), classic (250-900 CE) and postclassic (900-1521) periods, and then based on measurements on living people, it can be concluded that Maya Indians experienced a decrease in body height. From the second half of the 19th century to the first half of the 20th century, stabilization of body height was observed. In the last 60 years a slight increase in body height has been observed in children, which affects the final height in adults (Wolański & Sniarska, 1999).

Globally, Maya people have one of the shortest statures. The average height of contemporary, rural-living Maya men and women in Mexico and Guatemala is 160 cm and 148 cm, respectively (Bogin et al., 2014). Maya adults and children measured in the early to mid-20th century were usually thin, with very low body fat. Short stature and thinness were probably associated with an inadequate diet (Bermudez et al., 2008; Bogin, 2013). Their diet lacked nutrients needed for growth and they also suffered from respiratory and gastrointestinal infections (Bogin, 2013).

**Objectives of study**

On the basis of the presented characteristics of the Maya living conditions in Yucatan from the beginning of the 20th century to almost the end of the 20th century, the aim of this study is to assess the direction and the level of living condition changes.

This assessment is built on a comparison of changes in height with age in both sexes, changes in SDI, and changes in women’s age of maturation. Changes in height (secular trend) with the age of the studied individuals indicate how each sex reacts to the living conditions existing in a given period of time. Changes in SDI indicate what are the differences in body height between men and women for each age cohort, which is considered a manifestation of specific living conditions. This index is used in the absence of information on the living conditions of adults at the time of the interview. In addition, one of the many indicators of changes in biological status is the age of maturation. Data on age at menarche will therefore also be considered.

**Methods**

**Ethical considerations**

Permission to conduct the study was sought and given by CONACyT (Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología). Verbal informed consent was obtained from all participants to participate in the project. Before taking part in the project, the participants were informed of what would be required of them, that participation was voluntary, and that they could withdraw at any time. Verbal consent was obtained over written consent because not all participants taking part in the project were literate. Verbal consent was documented by the project team, and the number of participants that took part or were excluded for various reasons was recorded. The original project protocol included that verbal consent would be obtained, and this mode of consent was therefore permitted by CONACyT.

**Study design and population**

A cross-sectional study including Mérida and vicinity residents (Yucatán, Mexico) was performed.

The sample included 364 men and 320 women between 20-98 years of age and the study took place from February to November 1994.

Estimating the number of samples was needed to optimize costs of the project. The research was sponsored by CONACyT, the Mexican government agency, and the funds allocated for this purpose were sufficient for the measurements of all volunteers from a given region who agreed to the research.

**Figure 4. Maya Women’s Dress - photo taken by Napoleon Wolański in 2001.**
The research was population based and no a priori hypotheses were formulated. It was more about examining the variation of data parameters with age among the Maya. Independently, we tried to have at least 30 people in each study cohort (for each 10-year-old age range and for each gender), which allows us to freely use different statistical tests.

The research was carried out in 1994, i.e. 27 years ago. Most of the research results have never been published due to the lack of available literature (as in the case of this article). Only the publication of the work by Rioux, 2014 - Honors Theses, allowed the authors to find the relevant literature. Unfortunately, there is no such research in later years in Merida or Yucatan. Some of the existing studies (Bogin, 2013; Bogin et al., 2014), approaching biological status in many aspects, are mainly based on nutritional status, and still do not bring significant changes in the improvement of the living conditions of the Maya group. Why this situation affects Maya is the main goal of this work.

The concept of this study was to measure people from the most numerous ethnic group of the Merida population. Most of the sample (about 80%) was identified as Maya. The assessment of belonging to the Maya ethnic group was based both on their surnames (two Maya surnames or one Maya surname, as well as on their appearance: short stature, corpulent body structure). Younger individuals worked in different kinds of factories localized in Merida city and vicinity, producing different brands of soft drinks and food products. People surveyed belonged partly to blue-collar workers and were employed to do moderate to heavy physical work (lifting, raising, carrying), while some worked directly on the production line, where the work was monotonous and required a lot of attention. Not only men worked there, but also women. Many women worked in garment factories, built by Americans and for the needs of the American market, which were located in the vicinity of Merida.

Older people, not working, generally parents or grandparents of people working in factories, were measured in their homes. This research was conducted in two, rather poor, districts of Merida (Chuburna and Cordemex), where many individuals as Maya resided. People of very old age, often living in great poverty, were measured in nursing homes.

When examining more educated people who held an executive position or supervised the work of other people, these data were not included in the final research material.

Education level varied from illiterate to those who had not completed secondary school. Income levels varied between 900 NP (new pesos/month) for younger people to 300 NP/Mo for older people at a time before the economic crisis at the end of 1994 when the value of the peso was 1US$ = 3.4 P.

The sample was divided into six age groups by gender: 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69 and 70+ years.

Data collection

Body height of both men and women were collected, as well as age at menarche for women. The height measurement was performed by persons trained and employed to carry out this research. The same was true for age of maturation questions.

Three phenomena were considered: secular trend in height observed in the cohorts of men and women, sexual dimorphism in height which was useful for the assessment of living conditions for the population studied, and age at menarche.

Data analysis

The secular trend of body height was presented and adjusted for age-associated stature loss after the age of 30 years (0.06 cm / yr) according to Trotter & Gleser (1951).

Sexual dimorphism in height was interpreted using the SDI, which was calculated by the following formula: \( \frac{\bar{X}_{\text{Males}} - \bar{X}_{\text{Females}}}{\bar{X}_{\text{Females}}} \times 100 \). It has been widely described in earlier publications (Antoszewska & Wolaniski, 1992; Siniarska, 1996; Wolaniski, 2012; Wolaniski & Kasprzak, 1976).

Age at menarche changes between 10-year-old women’s cohorts were assessed using the Kruskal-Wallis Test.

All calculations were done in Statistica 13.0 (Dell Inc, 2016).

Results

Our results showed that the body height of men and women declines with increasing age (Table 1). The effect of age and secular trend on body height of adults was assessed using regression analysis of height and height corrected for the effect of aging after 30 years of age on the year of birth (Trotter & Gleser (1951) prediction of the aging process (0.06 cm per year) was used). The results indicate that height adjustment for the effect of aging does not show significant secular trend in men, but these changes are significant in women (Table 2). However, the large difference in height between the 75 and 55 age cohorts (almost 14 and 13 cm, respectively) may be due to osteoporosis in women. These findings emphasize that secular trend in Merida sample is lower in men than in women.

Considering the SDI results for each of the age group, significant changes in the index values from 9.9 to 7.6 were observed. This may indicate a constant, but very small improvement of living conditions, in the time interval examined (Table 3).

The age at menarche of women did not show statistically significant acceleration with age (Table 4, Figure 5).

Discussion

The results of these studies are based on the height data for female and male cohorts analyzed at 10-year intervals, on SDI
### Table 1. Body height of Mayas and sexual dimorphism index (SDI) calculated for each age group (10-year intervals).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Height of men (M)</th>
<th>Height of women (W)</th>
<th>Difference in height in cm</th>
<th>SDI ($\frac{M - W \times 100}{W}$) *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>159.80</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>158.47</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>158.83</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>158.45</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>157.13</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>154.44</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Regression of height and adjusted height with year of birth in Merida adults.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample studied</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>Regression coefficient $(b) \pm SE_b$</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Merida adults Men (n=364)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.080±0.017 cm/yr</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted height⁠¹</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.025±0.017 cm/yr</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (n=320)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.146±0.018 cm/yr</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted height⁠¹</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.090±0.018 cm/yr</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁠¹ Adjusted for age-associated stature loss after the age of 30 years (0.06 cm/yr) after Trotter & Gleser (1951)

### Table 3. Values of Sexual Dimorphism Index (SDI) and their interpretation (based on data of Wolański, 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDI values in %</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.5 – 5.5</td>
<td>In both sexes there is a strong growth retardation in very poor living conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0 – 7.0</td>
<td>Intensive growth of girls, compensating for earlier developmental inhibition. This usually takes place in the second phase of improving living conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0 – 7.5</td>
<td>Moderately severe growth failure in boys, or growth acceleration in girls. This can occur in populations in transition from good to worse, or from worse to better living conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5 – 8.5</td>
<td>Normal growth in both sexes takes place, especially in boys. This SDI of height usually occurs in economically and socially stable populations, or in the first phase of improvement of living conditions, after a previous unfavorable situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0 – 10.0</td>
<td>Girls show moderately severe growth failure, usually with the onset of very poor living conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0 – 11.0</td>
<td>Growth is intensified in boys, but stunted in girls. This can occur in populations that are in a state of disturbance or socioeconomic instability.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
data assessing living conditions, and also taking into account the age of women maturation evaluated by age at menarche.

The found secular trend in height (younger 10-year cohorts are slightly taller than the older ones) and the applied correction for changes related to aging indicate that among female differences in height between age cohorts are much more pronounced than among men. If age related changes are eliminated, the secular trend of 0.25 cm per decade in men in Merida, which is not statistically significant, is seen. In Merida women, it is 0.90 cm per decade, which is statistically significant. These findings emphasize that age changes in height in Merida are lower in men and greater in women.

To understand this phenomenon, the SDI was calculated, and its magnitude explains the prevailing life conditions at the time of each six intervals. The calculation of sexual dimorphism, in the absence of socio-economic data, is still used in the assessment of the prevailing living conditions. Here, we describe a second model, which is based on an approach published by Bogin et al. (2017) and assesses sexual dimorphism of height in relation to three economic predictors: (1) gross domestic product; (2) gross national income per capita adjusted for personal purchasing power; and (3) the relative degree of income distribution adjusted for health inequalities within a nation and calculated by the Wagstaff method (Gini coefficient). In the previous study by Bogin et al. (2017), sexual dimorphism in adult height was calculated for 169 countries and its average value was 11.8 cm (sd 2.0). The variation is considerable and there is a significant positive association for greater sexual dimorphism with increasing height for both men and women. Therefore, it could be concluded that sexual dimorphism in adult height is more affected by its changes in males than females (Bogin et al., 2017). Table 5 shows the interpretation of sexual dimorphism based on three predictors in Bogin et al’s study.

This second model could not be applied on our studied Mayan population, due to the lack of detailed information on the three economic predictors. However, the two models (SDI as used by the authors and the model proposed by Bogin et al., 2017) of the assessment of the impact of living conditions on the body height of Maya men and women show that low or high values of sexual dimorphism may indicate improvement or worsening of living conditions (Bogin et al., 2017; Wolański & Kasprzak, 1976).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agegroup</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Kruskal-Wallis Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>Chi-Square = 3.494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>Sig. = 0.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12.66</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12.53</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12.47</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Age at menarche in Maya women from Merida, Yucatán, Mexico.

Figure 5. SDI scatter chart for age (square polynomial). $SDI = 9.1013*x + 0.0015*x^2$
What are the changes in height if we compare both sexes? Body height has increased dramatically during the 20th century in many populations across the world with only few exceptions. This visible trend started at least in the mid-19th century (Cole, 2003). These changes are also related to body weight and their direction depends on environmental conditions (including mainly living conditions), which can deteriorate or improve. It should be noted, however, that changes in body height occur first in men and then in women and are slightly weaker in women. This is due to greater sensitivity to environmental factors of men than women (Bogin, 1999; Cole, 2003; Kaczmarek & Wolański, 2018; Mamidi et al., 2011; Özer, 2007; Singh-Manoux et al., 2010; Tutkuviene, 2005; Wolański, 2012). In addition, previous studies also looked at some physiological variables, including respiratory variables, and showed a greater relationship between these variables in women then in men, which confirms that women are less sensitive to environmental factors (Siniarska, 2000).

Despite the improvement in living conditions among Maya women, there were no changes in the age of menarche over the 20th century. However, the most recent study, conducted in 2011–2014, showed that in a 33-year-old Maya women menarche occurred at 12.05 years (Azcorra et al., 2018), which is much earlier than observed in a 25-year-old Maya women examined in 1994 (12.42 years; in our study). This may indicate a recent improvement in the living conditions of Maya women in Yucatán but it may also depend on the female cohort taken for the study, which is not as numerous as in the case of this material, as well as may relate to another socio-economic group.

The Maya social and living conditions are also evaluated through research on children and youth in Merida (the capital of the Yucatán state), carried out in 1996–99 (Siniarska et al., 2019). It is assumed that there are three ethnic groups in the Yucatán: the Maya, the Mestizo and the Creole. Ethnic groups were established using two surnames of children from the father’s and mother’s side. Maya (two Maya surnames), Mestizo (one Maya and one Creole surnames), and Creole (two Creole surnames) (Siniarska, 1999; Wolański & Siniarska, 1999). Because there were not statistically significant differences between children from Maya and Mestizo groups in most somatic measurements, those two ethnic groups were joined together and the final comparison was done between Creole and Maya / Mestizo groups. The results of these studies indicate that Maya / Mestizo children and youth are shorter, with shorter legs and are characterized by relatively longer upper limbs than legs and relatively (to height) wider shoulders as compared to their peers from the Creole group. Ethnicity was the main effect factor for leg length both in boys and girls, and for the body proportions: upper-to-lower limb in girls and shoulder-to-body height in boys. It may be stated that variation in body physique and body proportions during the postnatal growth in different ethnic groups is under the influence of complex interaction between genetic and environmental factors (Siniarska et al., 2019). This work was continued using the same type of three-ethnic division by Azcorra et al., 2013.

However, further research, especially that carried out by Fernández del Valle (2011) from 1986 to 2000, showed a different relationship between the Maya and the Creoles. The question arose as to whether researchers were paying careful attention to the ethnic division based on surnames. Is the division that two Spanish surnames mean Creoles, two Maya surnames mean- Mayas, and mixed surnames -mean Mestizos, correct? As it turns out, this division is not fully correct. We have to remember that in the turn of the century (the 19th and 20th) in some places of Maya land (especially in Yucatán), Maya inhabiting communities were under supervision of owners of sisal or other plantations’ haciendas and Maya people received the surname of the owner (as a gift). It is the case of a small Maya community Dzreal located near Pisté (Yucatán Peninsula) investigated in 1986 and 2000 (Fernández del Valle, 2011). The people of Merida, participating in various types of research within ethnic groups, distinguish the Maya mainly by their appearance.

Returning to the results of this work, which includes adults, the observed differences in the secular changes of men and women in the Maya population since the beginning of the 20th century are most likely caused by living conditions. The very poor living conditions of Mayas began in the

### Table 5. Sexual dimorphism (SD) as a difference between mean height of men and women for 169 countries in terms of the three economic predictors. Data from Bogin et al. 2017; permission to republish this data provided by Bogin et al.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean height</th>
<th>Predictors</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men: 171.23; SD = 5.43</td>
<td>GDP - gross domestic product</td>
<td>Not a significant predictor of height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women: 159.44; SD = 4.43</td>
<td>GNI_PPP - gross national income per capita adjusted for personal purchasing power</td>
<td>A significant predictor of height. Greater GNI_PPP greater height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference = 11.79; SD = 2.02; min = 4.47; max = 18.55</td>
<td>Giniw – income distribution adjusted for health inequalities</td>
<td>A significant predictor of height. Lower Giniw greater height</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...and the updated study...
colonial period (19th century), where large haciendas (mostly sisal plantations) existed in the Yucatán Peninsula (EY, 1980; González Navarro, 1979) and continued until the end of the Mexican Revolution (around 1940), when the living conditions of Mayas slightly improved (Evans, 2012; Rioux, 2014). At that time the mortality rate for women was higher than that for men. Men who physically worked on the plantations were under health care by the hacienda owners, but women’s health was not a priority. This fact could have worked as a selection mechanism within the cohort of women. There was a high mortality rate of women and men often had a series of wives (personal communication with Dr Gilberto Balam-Pereira, CINVESTAV Mérida, 2000).

Women on henequen haciendas had very few rights and were under the control of both their husbands and their husbands’ overseers. It is not uncommon for workers to beat their wives because of failures at work. While laborers were treated like slaves, it has been reported that their wives often experienced the effects of their anger and frustration in the form of violence (Wells, 2006). Women had less mobility than their husbands and remained mainly in the home performing many household chores (food preparation, retrieving water and firewood, making hammocks etc.). It was also very rare for women to work with the henequen crop, but if there was a need, they were not paid for their work (Wells, 1998). They were also expected to reproduce to create another generation. Women were also subject to the sexual impulses of men: there was the so-called “ritual of the first night”, in which henequenero or overseers violate a wife or daughter of a laborer to show who has the greatest power in the hacienda (Wells, 2006).

A question can be asked what Maya women themselves think about the changes in their lives. The authors of this work, during a long stay in Yucatán in 1992-2005, had many contacts with various families, especially in the villages of Yaxcabá Municipality. Many research projects took place in these villages, which involved renting apartments and ordering food from the local families. The authors were in close contact with certain families, which made it possible to have very personal conversations. We made a few observations: the Mayas did not want to open bank accounts, and even in the case of significant savings, they did not want to modernize their homes; money was collected in case of the husband or son’s illness (illness in daughters was not alluded to); modern appliances were not required, e.g. buckets of water were used for washing and showers were not regarded as needed. Of course, it is a matter of time when they will change their lifestyle, especially due to younger generations.

Against the background of the characteristics of the life of Maya women at the turn of the 19th and 20th centuries, it can be seen that their situation has improved over the years. Maya women from the end of the 20th century are treated differently, especially due to younger generations. It is expected that the living conditions of Maya men did not improve significantly in the 20th century. This could be the most important reason showing the observed secular trend of increasing height is less in men compared to women.

**Conclusion**

The Maya living conditions throughout the late 19th century and the first half of the 20th century were very bad. This was due to the cultivation of henequen fiber, which was led by ‘elites’, primarily white individuals of Spanish origin, named henequeneros. They bought land belonging to Mayas and turned it into haciendas (large agricultural estates or plantations) producing henequen. After selling their land, most Maya adults became henequen plantation laborers. Haciendas became the places in which Mayas lived, worked and did shopping. Laborers were offered loans by henequeneros as part of the so-called ‘paternalistic goods’ relating to the land of milpa, corn ration, access to water, medical care, and sometimes children’s education. Even though the ‘elites’ claimed that it was a matter of time when they will change their lifestyle, washing and showers were not regarded as needed. Of course, modern appliances were not required, e.g. buckets of water were used for illness (illness in daughters was not alluded to); modern homes; money was collected in case of the husband or son’s illness (illness in daughters was not alluded to); modern appliances were not required, e.g. buckets of water were used for washing and showers were not regarded as needed. Of course, it is a matter of time when they will change their lifestyle, especially due to younger generations. We showed that the changes in living conditions were not as significant for men as for women. Until the end of the 20th century, height of men increased a little, but the changes are not statistically significant, while it increased statistically in the case of women. There has been no change in the maturation time of Maya women throughout the 20th century, but recent research in the early 21st century shows that there has been little progress (earlier maturation assessed by age at menarche). The way of thinking of Maya women, with whom there was direct contact in the late 20th century, was focused mainly on taking care of their husbands and sons, they did not respond to questions about the future of their daughters. They still accepted ‘superiority’ and better health care for their husbands and sons compared to them and their daughters. This may be a result of the mistreatment of women over many generations. However, it is to be hoped that the
quality of life of Maya women will quickly improve significantly due to the widespread fight for women’s rights and the protection of these rights.
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