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Abstract

Background: The pathogenesis of herniated nucleus pulposus (HNP) is complex and may involve the wide variety of gene polymorphism. However, the reports from the existing studies are inconclusive. The objective of this study was to determine the role of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in interleukin 1 alpha (IL-1A), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-A), and vitamin D receptor (VDR) genes on the susceptibility to herniated nucleus pulposus (HNP).

Methods: Four databases (PubMed, Embase, Cochrane, and Web of Science) were searched as of April 1st, 2021. Authors, publication year, targeted genes, genotype and allele frequency in each case and control groups were collected. Newcastle-Ottawa scale was used to evaluate the publication quality. The pooled estimates of association
of \textit{IL-1A} -889C>T (rs1800587), \textit{TNF-A} -238G>A (rs361525), and \textit{VDR TaqI} (rs731236) and susceptibility to HNP were assessed using Z test. 

\textbf{Results}: We screened 3,067 unique studies for eligibility and three, two and nine case-control studies on \textit{IL-1A} -889C>T, \textit{TNF-A} -238G>A, and \textit{VDR TaqI} were included, respectively, in our meta-analysis. The studies consisting 369 HNP cases and 433 controls for \textit{IL-1A} -889C>T, 252 cases and 259 controls for \textit{TNF-A} -238G>A and 1130 cases and 2096 controls for \textit{VDR TaqI}. Our pooled estimates indicated that there was no significant association of those SNPs with the susceptibility to HNP in any genotype, dominant model, recessive model, or allele comparisons. 

\textbf{Conclusion}: Although individual studies suggested the important role of gene expression dysregulation associated with SNPs in \textit{IL-1A}, \textit{TNF-A}, and \textit{VDR}, our data indicated that \textit{IL-1A} -889C>T, \textit{TNF-A} -238G>A, and \textit{VDR TaqI} had weak association with HNP susceptibility in both genotypes and allele distributions. However, since heterogeneity was identified among studies included in this meta-analysis, further meta-analysis with a larger population and subgroup analysis on specific population are warranted to support this finding.

\textbf{Keywords} 
Spinal disc herniation, SPN, IL-1A, TNF-A, VDR
Amendments from Version 1

In the latest version we have added the problem that underly our study in abstract as well as the study design of included articles. We revised the conclusion to state the answer and summary of the research question, instead of restating limitation and result. Materials and Methods: Please define the primary outcome in this review. The primary outcomes have been provided in the Method section. We added “Covariates and sub-group analysis” section in the Method: In discussion, we have modified some parts and the explanation on our main findings and the holistic comparison including the possible reason of our findings has been provided. “Our present study failed to clarify the role of IL-1A (rs1800587), TNF-A (rs361525), and VDR (rs731236) in the pathogenesis of HNP. To the best of our knowledge, our current study is the first study providing the holistic gene polymorphism in the case of HNP. Therefore, the comprehensive comparison in the context of methodological quality between our study and previous studies was unable to perform. However, several possible reason for the negative findings in our study might be proposed. First, HNP is a complex disease and is cause by multiple factors. Thus, no single factor such as a single SNP is responsible for the whole pathogenesis. Second, large variations in the number of samples or allele frequencies among studies in our meta-analysis also contribute to the findings. This probably relates to differences in populations where study data were collected. Finally, the small number of samples significantly influenced the results of our meta-analysis. Therefore, studies with larger sample sizes and sub-analyses for different populations such as Asian, Caucasian, and other populations are warranted whenever more data are available.” We also revised our conclusion based on reviewer comment where the limitation have been separated from conclusion.

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article.

Introduction

Herniated nucleus pulposus (HNP) or disc herniation is the most common spinal degenerative disease associated with lower back pain and radicular pain of the lower extremities due to nerve compression. HNP is also the most common cause of persistent sciatic pain due to displacement of the nucleus pulposus beyond the intravertebral disc space. The most prevalent HNP locations are between the L4 and L5 vertebrae and between the L5 and S1 vertebrae whilst the highest incidence is observed amongst people aged 30-50 years old. Diabetes, smoking, obesity, type of occupation, age, and gender have all been associated with a high risk of developing disc degenerative diseases. However, it has been suggested that genetic factors also play a vital role in susceptibility to disc degenerative diseases. A study showed that individuals aged younger than 30 years who have a family history of disc herniation have a 14.5 times higher risk of developing disc protrusion than individuals who have no family history. Family history is also attributed to a 5.1 times higher risk of disc herniation in people aged between 30-50 years old. The Twin Spine Study found that heredity substantially influences disc degeneration by 43-77%.

The intervertebral disc (IVD) consists of two different components: the nucleus pulposus (NP) and the annulus fibrosus (AF), where proteoglycans (mostly found in NP) acts as an internal semi-fluid mass and collagen (mostly found in AF) acts as a laminar fibrous container. Genes encoding components of IVD such as collagens I, collagens IX, collagens XI, aggrecan, cartilage intermediate layer protein (CILP), and vitamin D receptor (VDR) have previously been studied to determine susceptibility to lumbar disc diseases. Other factors such as increased production of extracellular matrix-degrading enzymes (encoded by matrix metalloproteinase 3 gene (MMP-3) and MMP-9) and increased expression of inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-1 alpha (IL-1A), IL-18, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) are commonly found in disc degeneration. Excessive synthesis, secretion, and biological activity of these inflammatory mediators are associated with tissue destruction and are therefore commonly found in inflammatory disorders including disc degeneration.

One of the mechanisms that alter the production of protein mediators in the human body are single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). These genetic variations, single nucleotide changes at specific positions in a gene, may influence gene expression and hence associate to particular disease. A three-fold increase in susceptibility of disc degeneration was observed in individuals with a TT genotype compared to those without the allele (CC genotype) on SNP IL-1A -889C>T (rs1800587). People with minor allele of IL-1A -889C>T (T allele) also had a 2.4-fold increased risk of disc bulges and a 2.5-fold increased risk of endplate modic change. A study in an Iranian population found that among nine SNPs on pro-inflammatory cytokine genes (IL-1, IL-6 and TNF-A), no association to IVD degeneration was found except for two SNPs in the TNF-A gene (TNF-A –308 G/A and TNF-A –238 G/A). TNF-α plays important role in the pathophysiology of HNP such as upregulating the activity and the gene expression of MMP, stimulating other cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6, and IL-8, stimulating cell migration, altering endothelial permeability, and decreasing the synthesis of collagen and proteoglycan. A study reported that G allele and GG genotype of TNF-A 238G>A (rs361525) were 2.51 times and 2.98 times, respectively, more prevalent in patients with HNP compared to healthy controls.

Several roles of VDR such as regulating chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation, bone mineralization and remodeling, and matrix production have previously been demonstrated. VDR’s role in spinal degenerative disorder has been studied in Italian, Turkish, and Southern European populations. A study in a Chinese population suggested...
that subjects with the t allele of VDR TaqI (rs731236) had a 2.61 times higher risk to have degenerative disc disease.\(^{31}\) Moreover, individuals aged younger than 40 years who had the t allele were almost six times more likely to develop disc degeneration and 7.17 times more likely to develop disc bulge compared to those without the t allele.\(^{31}\) However, studies in Danish\(^{22}\) and Mexican populations\(^{33}\) contradict previous results suggesting a role of VDR TaqI in disc degenerative disease. This conflicting role of SNPs in IL-1A, TNF-A and VDR on HNP therefore needs to be further evaluated. This study sought to determine the association of IL-1A -889C>T (rs1800587), TNF-A 238G>A (rs361525), and VDR TaqI (rs731236) in susceptibility to HNP.

### Methods

#### Study design and protocol

A systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted to assess the association of three SNPs, IL-1A (rs1800587), TNF-A (rs361525), and VDR (rs731236), on susceptibility to HNP. The outcome variable of this study was the risk or susceptibility to have HNP while the response variables were the SNPs in three genes: IL-1A (rs1800587), TNF-A (rs361525), and VDR (rs731236). We searched databases for relevant studies, then extracted and analyzed data from those studies to achieve the pooled odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence interval (95%CI) using a random or fixed effect model depending on the data. This study was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guideline.\(^{34,35}\) The protocol of this study has been registered in PROSPERO (reg. number CRD42021249187).

#### Literature search strategy

The literature searches were conducted on PubMed, Embase, Cochrane, and Web of Science. The searches were conducted using the keywords: ‘degenerative disc disease’ AND (‘IL-1A’ OR ‘rs1800587’ OR ‘-889C>T’) OR (‘TNF-A’ OR ‘rs361525’ OR ‘-238G>A’) OR (‘VDR’ OR ‘rs731236’ OR ‘TaqI’) AND ‘gene polymorphism’, including all results up to April 1st, 2021. The keywords were adapted from Medical Subject Heading (MeSH). Additional studies were also retrieved from the references of relevant papers. If two or more studies with the same study data were identified, the most recent study was used. The processes were conducted by three independent authors (JKF, MI, HAM).

#### Study eligibility

To be eligible for the meta-analysis, a study had to meet all the inclusion criteria below: (1) the study design should be case-control, cross-sectional, or cohort design; (2) the study should evaluate the association of IL-1 (rs1800587), TNF-A (rs361525), or VDR (rs731236) on HNP and have case and control groups; and (3) studies should present genotype frequency or minor allele frequency (MAF). All studies with duplicate records, poor quality or which had deviation from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) were excluded.\(^{36}\)

#### Data extraction

Important information from the studies such as first author name, year of publication, names of targeted gene and the SNP, genotype frequency, or MAF from case and control groups were collected. The allele frequency and MAF were recalculated using Mendel’s law. Data extraction processes were conducted by three independent authors (JKF, MI, HAM) and consensus established together with senior authors (AA, HH) if discrepancies were found.

#### Quality assessment

The quality of the included studies was evaluated using Newcastle-Ottawa Score (NOS)\(^{37}\) by three independent authors (JKF, MI, HAM). This evaluation was conducted to ensure the quality of three fundamental methodological parameters of the studies: patient selection (four points), comparability of the groups (two points), and ascertainment of exposure (three points); NOS ranged from 0 to 9. Each study was then categorized based on the NOS: (1) good quality (NOS ≥ 7); (2) moderate quality (NOS ≥ 5); or (3) poor quality (NOS < 5). Consensus was established if discrepancies were found.

#### Covariates and sub-group analysis

The outcome measure in our study was the incidence of HNP while the predictor covariates were the gene polymorphisms of IL-1A (rs1800587), TNF-A (rs361525), and VDR (rs731236). All genetic models were applied to describe the role of each gene variant in the pathogenesis of HNP. For IL-1A (rs1800587), the allele models were C vs. T and T vs. C; and the genotype models were CC vs. CT + TT, CT vs. CC + TT, and TT vs. CC + CT. For TNF-A (rs361525), the allele models were G vs. A and A vs. G; and the genotype models were GG vs. GA + AA, GA vs. GG + AA, and AA vs. GG + GA. For VDR (rs731236), the allele models were T vs. C and C vs. T; and the genotype models were TT vs. TC + CC, TC vs. TT + CC, and CC vs. TT + TC.

#### Statistical analysis

To assess the association of IL-1A (rs1800587), TNF-A (rs361525), and VDR (rs731236) on HNP, a Z-test was employed. The Egger test was used to evaluate the publication bias and a p < 0.05 indicated the possibility of publication bias in
each calculated result. The Q test was used to evaluate the heterogeneity and decide between random and fixed-effect models for OR calculation. If heterogeneity was indicated (p-value less than 0.10), the random effect model was used; otherwise, the fixed-effect model was used. All analyses were performed using ‘meta’,38 ‘metafor’,39 and ‘dmetar’40 packages in R version 4.0.4.41

**Results**

**Study eligibility results**

The literature searches yielded 3,199 articles of which 3,067 references were retained after removing duplicates. Screening of the titles and abstracts excluded 2,965 articles as they did not meet the inclusion criteria. After a further screening of full text, an additional 90 studies were excluded due to lack of relevance (n = 90), incomplete data (n = 5), and HWE deviation (n = 6) (Figure 1). 12 studies were included in the meta-analysis: three studies for IL-1A (rs1800587),19,42,43 two studies for TNF-A (rs361525),19,44 and nine studies for VDR (rs731236).28,42,45-51 The summary of studies included in the meta-analysis is presented in Table 1.

---

**Figure 1.** Flowchart of the result of literature searches according to the preferred reporting items of systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA).
Table 1. Study characteristics of IL-1A -889C>T, TNF-A 238G>A, and VDR TaqI (rs731236) on HNP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>SNP code</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>NOS</th>
<th>Study groups</th>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>HWE</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>HWE</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>HWE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL1A</td>
<td>-889C&gt;T</td>
<td>Serrano et al</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>AA AB BB n A B n</td>
<td>51 45 4 100 147 53 200 2.41</td>
<td>CC CT TT</td>
<td>55 35 10 100 145 55 200 1.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chen et al</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>AA AB BB n A B n</td>
<td>87 78 28 193 252 134 386 2.27</td>
<td>CC CT TT</td>
<td>102 81 14 197 285 109 394 0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abdollahzade et al</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>AA AB BB n A B n</td>
<td>33 33 10 76 99 53 152 0.15</td>
<td>CC CT TT</td>
<td>62 62 12 136 186 86 272 0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-A</td>
<td>238G&gt;A</td>
<td>Aparicio et al</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>GG GA AA n G A n</td>
<td>45 5 0 50 95 5 100 0.14</td>
<td>GG GA AA</td>
<td>113 9 0 122 235 9 244 0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abdollahzade et al</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>GG GA AA n G A n</td>
<td>61 15 0 76 137 15 152 0.91</td>
<td>GG GA AA</td>
<td>79 57 1 137 215 59 274 7.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDR</td>
<td>TaqI</td>
<td>Chen et al</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>TT TC CC n T C n</td>
<td>79 2 0 81 160 2 162 0.01</td>
<td>TT TC CC</td>
<td>101 186 16 202 0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cheung et al</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>TT TC CC n T C n</td>
<td>92 15 1 108 199 17 216 0.19</td>
<td>TT TC CC</td>
<td>106 109 37 252 321 183 504 1.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colombini et al</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>TT TC CC n T C n</td>
<td>114 117 35 266 345 187 532 0.33</td>
<td>TT TC CC</td>
<td>106 209 3 212 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eser et al</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>TT TC CC n T C n</td>
<td>65 67 17 150 198 102 300 0.00</td>
<td>TT TC CC</td>
<td>150 201 99 300 0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Li et al</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>TT TC CC n T C n</td>
<td>114 6 0 114 234 6 240 0.08</td>
<td>TT TC CC</td>
<td>120 229 11 240 0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oishi et al</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>TT TC CC n T C n</td>
<td>31 8 0 39 70 8 78 0.51</td>
<td>TT TC CC</td>
<td>31 37 5 42 0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Omair et al</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>TT TC CC n T C n</td>
<td>53 70 23 147 176 116 292 0.00</td>
<td>TT TC CC</td>
<td>188 214 163 376 0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Serrano et al</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>TT TC CC n T C n</td>
<td>69 27 4 69 165 35 200 0.42</td>
<td>TT TC CC</td>
<td>100 159 41 200 0.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yuan et al</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>TT TC CC n T C n</td>
<td>156 22 0 156 334 22 356 0.77</td>
<td>TT TC CC</td>
<td>284 540 28 568 0.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our data indicated that the TT genotype of IL-1A -889C>T was 1.37 more frequent in HNP patients than in controls, while the distribution of alleles and other genotypes were similar between patients and healthy controls. CT genotype and T allele of TNF-A 238G>A were both 1.6 times more frequent in healthy controls than in HNP cases. No difference in the distribution of alleles or genotypes between HNP and controls was observed in VDR TaqI (Table 1).

### Table 2. Associations of genotypes and alleles of IL-1A -889C>T, TNF-A 238G>A, and VDR TaqI (rs731236) on HNP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Allele/genotype model</th>
<th>Number of studies</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>OR (CI 95%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>p-Het</th>
<th>p-Egger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1A</td>
<td>CC vs. CT+TT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>0.82 (0.62, 1.09)</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT vs. CC+TT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>1.07 (0.81, 1.42)</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>0.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TT vs. CT+CC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>1.20 (0.13, 11.37)</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C vs. T</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>0.83 (0.67, 1.02)</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T vs. C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>1.21 (0.98, 1.50)</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-A</td>
<td>GG vs. GA+AA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>1.60 (0.00,12882.74)</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA vs. GG+AA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>0.63 (0.00, 4211.31)</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA vs. GG+GA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>1.34 (0.16, 6712.96)</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G vs. A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>1.60 (0.00, 12882.74)</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A vs. G</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>0.67 (0.00, 1555.67)</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDR</td>
<td>TT vs. TC+CC</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>2.65 (0.60, 11.85)</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TC vs. TT+CC</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>1.01 (0.55, 1.85)</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC vs. TC+TT</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>0.94 (0.69, 1.28)</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.3871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T vs. C</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>1.06 (0.91, 1.22)</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C vs. T</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>0.92 (0.50, 1.72)</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.874</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IL-1A**: interleukin 1A gene; **p-Het**: p-heterogeneity; **TNF-A**: tumor necrosis factor alpha gene, **VDR**: vitamin D receptor gene.

**Distribution of allele and genotype frequency of IL-1A -889C>T, TNF-A 238G>A and VDR TaqI**

Our data indicated that the TT genotype of IL-1A -889C>T was 1.37 more frequent in HNP patients than in controls, while the distribution of alleles and other genotypes were similar between patients and healthy controls. CT genotype and T allele of TNF-A 238G>A were both 1.6 times more frequent in healthy controls than in HNP cases. No difference in the distribution of alleles or genotypes between HNP and controls was observed in VDR TaqI (Table 1).

**Association between alleles and genotypes of IL-1A, TNF-A, and VDR polymorphism and HNP**

Our pooled estimates suggested that no IL-1A -889C>T genotypes were associated with the risk of HNPs with CC vs. CT+TT (OR: 0.82, 95% CI: 0.62, 1.09), CT vs. CC+TT (OR: 0.63, 95% CI: 0.67, 1.02), and TT vs. CT+CC (OR: 1.20, 95% CI: 0.13, 11.37) (Table 2 and Figure 2). The pooled data also suggested that allele frequency of IL-1A -889C>T had no significant association with the susceptibility to HNP with OR: 0.83; 95%CI: 0.67, 1.02 for C allele compared to T allele.

Pooled estimates for allele and genotype distribution of the TNF-A 238G>A also had no significant association with the risk for HNP. No association was observed between genotype models and the risk of HNP: GG vs. GA+AA (OR: 1.60; 95%CI: 0.00, 12882.74), GA vs. GG+AA (OR: 0.63; 95%CI: 0.00, 4211.31), and AA vs. GG+GA (OR: 1.34; 95%CI: 0.16, 6712.96) (Table 2 and Figure 3). Distribution of the allele also had no strong association with HNP susceptibility.

Our estimates for genotypes of VDR TaqI (rs731236) suggested that none of the genotypes were associated with susceptibility to degenerative disc disease HNP with OR: 2.65; 95%CI: 0.60, 11.85 for TT vs. TC+CC, OR: 1.01; 95%CI: 0.55, 1.85 for TC vs. TT+CC and OR: 0.94; 95%CI: 0.69, 1.28 (Table 2 and Figure 4). None of the alleles of VDR TaqI (rs731236) were associated with HNP; people with T allele had OD 1.06 with 95%CI: 0.91, 1.22 for HNP.

**Discussion**

Our present failed to clarify the role of IL-1A (rs1800587), TNF-A (rs361525), and VDR (rs731236) on the pathogenesis of HNP. To the best of our knowledge, our current study is the first study providing the holistic gene polymorphism in the case of HNP. Therefore, the comprehensive comparison in the context of methodological quality between our study and previous studies was unable to perform. However, several possible reason for the negative findings in our study might be proposed. First, HNP is a complex disease and is cause by multiple factors. Thus, no single factor such as a single SNP is responsible for the whole pathogenesis. Second, large variations in the number of samples or allele frequencies among studies in our meta-analysis also contribute to the findings. This probably relates to differences in populations where study data were collected. Finally, the small number of samples significantly influenced the results of our meta-analysis.
Therefore, studies with larger sample sizes and sub-analyses for different populations such as Asian, Caucasian, and other populations are warranted whenever more data are available.

HNP occurs when the central part of the intervertebral disc, the nucleus pulposus, herniates through the surrounding part of the disc, the annulus fibrosus. The damage to the annulus fibrosus resulting in the herniated disc may be associated with factors such as gender, age, certain activities such as lifting of weights and carrying, and being overweight.52 For example, the degeneration of disc organization could occur during aging as the regulation of the extracellular matrix (ECM), a major component of the disc, is damaged during the aging process.52 The herniated disc or HNP may occur as a result of several pathological mechanisms. Those mechanisms ultimately cause imbalances in disc composition that are

**Figure 2. The forest plot of the association of IL-1A-889C>T and HNP.** (A) CC vs CT+TT (OR: 0.82; 95%CI: 0.62, 1.09); p-value 0.170, p-Het 0.867, and p-Egger 0.193, (B) CT vs CC+TT (OR: 1.07; CI95%: 0.81, 1.42); p-value 0.637; p-Het 0.373; p-Egger 0.675, (C) TT vs CT+CC (OR: 1.20; CI95%: 0.13, 11.37); p-value 0.764, p-Het 0.039, p-Egger 0.177, (D) C vs T (OR0.83; 95%CI: 0.67, 1.02); p-value 0.081; p-Het 0.369; p-Egger 0.221, and (E) T vs C (OR: 1.21; CI95%: 0.98, 1.50); p-value 0.081; p-Het 0.369; p-Egger 0.221.
directly linked to the quality of the ECM.\textsuperscript{52} Therefore, the balance between the ECM and its degrading enzymes, such as matrix metalloproteases (MMPs), seems to be the key to maintaining normal disc function. Interestingly, of several mechanical pathways, the regulation of the ECM and the MMPs could also be determined by the occurrence of single nucleotide polymorphisms in genes responsible for ECM regulation as explained below.\textsuperscript{53}

Two major structural proteins that are important in the matrix structure of the disc are collagen and proteoglycan.\textsuperscript{52} The main proteoglycan found in the normal intervertebral disc is aggrecan.\textsuperscript{54} Although both annulus fibrosus and nucleus pulposus are mainly composed of water, proteoglycan, and collagen, the level of those contents differ between the structures. The annulus fibrosus consists of 70% water, 15% collagen, and 5% proteoglycan, while the composition in the nucleus pulposus is 77% water, 4% collagen, and 14% proteoglycan.\textsuperscript{52} Any event causing disturbances in those ratios and/or in synthesis and/or degradation of those structural proteins could lead to herniated disc problems. For example, hypoxic and acidic conditions could repress the synthesis of the matrix leading to the dysfunctionalities of the cells of the disc.\textsuperscript{55,56}

The role of inflammation in predisposing the disc to damage has also been revealed. Specifically, proinflammatory cytokines are found to play a specific role in herniated and degenerated discs.\textsuperscript{54,57-60} A controlled immunohistochemical

![Forest plots of the association of TNF-A 238G>A and HNP](image-url)

**Figure 3.** The forest plot of the association of TNF-A 238G>A and HNP. (A) GG vs GA+AA (OR1.60; CI95%: 0.00, 12882.74); p-value 0.628, p-Het 0.034, p-Egger 0.889. (B) GA vs GG+AA (OR0.63; CI95%: 0.00, 4211.31); p-value 0.629, p-Het 0.038, p-Egger 0.864. (C) AA vs GG+GA (OR1.05; CI95%: 0.16, 6712.96); p-value 0.955, p-Het 0.078, p-Egger <0.001. (D) G vs A (OR1.49; CI95%: 0.00, 3473.60); p-value 0.629, p-Het 0.034, p-Egger 0.744. (E) A vs G (OR: 0.67; CI95%: 0.00, 1555.67); p-value 0.629, p-Het 0.056, p-Egger 0.744.
Figure 4. The forest plot of the association of VDR TaqI (rs731236) and HNP. (A) TT vs TC+CC (OR: 2.65; CI95%: 0.60, 11.85); p-value 0.172, p-Het <0.001, p-Egger 0.964, p-Het 0.041, p-Egger 0.791, (B) TC vs TT+CC (OR1.01; CI95%: 0.55, 1.85); p-value 0.964, p-Het 0.041, p-Egger 0.387, (C) CC vs TC+TT (OR 0.94; CI95%: 0.69, 1.28); p-value 0.688, p-Het 0.970, p-Egger 0.387, (D) T vs C (OR: 1.06; CI95%: 0.91, 1.22); p-value 0.775, p-Het 0.023, p-Egger 0.874, (E) C vs T (OR: 0.92; 95%CI: 0.50, 1.72); p-value 0.775, p-Het 0.023, p-Egger 0.874.
study observed the accumulation of inflammatory cells, mainly macrophages, in herniated disc cells indicating the role of proinflammatory cytokines in the disease.58 For example, IL-1β could induce the annulus fibrosus to generate inflammatory factors leading to the impairment of proteoglycan aggregation.59 Another cytokine, TNF-α, is also involved in the development of intervertebral disc problems.60 However, it seems that its effect is less significant than IL-1.61 This finding may be related to its relatively lower expression compared to IL-1 in the normal and healthy disc.52,62

Penetration of those inflammatory cells or proteins could be caused by matrix loss. In normal conditions, aggrecan should prevent the penetration of various compounds, especially serum proteins and cytokines.63 Therefore, in addition to its pivotal role in maintaining sufficient hydration to the disc, proteoglycan loss could stimulate the movement of cytokines towards the disc activating the inflammation cascade.52

One of the mechanisms by which the proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1α and TNF-α, generate problems in the intervertebral disc is related to their effect on inducing MMP production.64-67 The exaggerated activity of MMPs causes excessive degradation of collagen and proteoglycan.54 Another mechanism is associated with the activity of the cytokines in inhibiting tissue inhibitors of MMPs (TIMPs) which are responsible for terminating the action of MMPs.54 Taken together, those actions ultimately impair disc functionality.

As the normal intervertebral disc is relatively avascular and aneural,52 the nutritional supply to the disc depends on the ability of the nutrients to diffuse from the closest vascularized structure outside the disc which are the vertebral bodies.68 The nutrients then penetrate the cartilaginous endplate and finally reach the annulus fibrosus and nucleus pulposus.58,69 Accordingly, calcification of the endplate would diminish diffusion of vital nutrients, leading to the death of the disc cells.70 Therefore, VDR plays a critical role as this ligand-dependent transcription factor is involved in regulating calcium homeostasis and bone mineralization in the body, including in the intervertebral disc.71,72 It has been known that genetic polymorphisms occurring in genes encoding VDR are associated with intervertebral disc problems,72 including herniated disc.

Our current study had several limitations. First, the pertinent confounding factors that might affect the final findings of our study were not included in analysis, such as mechanical and behavioral factors and the levels of proteoglycans. Second, since the included articles in our current study were non-randomized controlled trials (RCTs), the final findings might have the higher risk of bias. Therefore, the up-coming meta-analysis was expected to involve only RCTs studies. Third, the limited reports on the context of gene polymorphism in HNP had made our study included the limited number of studies. Therefore, our findings should be interpreted with caution.

In conclusion, our results suggest that well-regulated IL-1A, TNF-A, and VDR are important for normal intervertebral discs and that dysregulation of these could negatively affect the intervertebral discs. Some individual studies found that SNPs in IL-1A (rs1800587), TNF-A (rs361525), and VDR (rs731236) were associated with the susceptibility to HNP, however, our meta-analysis suggested that the effects are not robust.
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