CASE REPORT

Case Report: ‘Z’ osteotomy - a novel technique of treatment in Blount’s disease [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Raju Karuppal¹, Rahul Mohan¹, Anwar Marthya², Gopakumar TS¹, Sandhya S³

¹Department of Orthopedics, Govt. Medical College Kozhikode, Kerala Health University, Kerala, 673008, India
²IQRAA International hospital and research centre, Kozhikode, Kerala, 673008, India
³Department of Ophthalmology, Govt. Medical College Kozhikode, Kerala Health University, Kerala, 673008, India

Abstract

Blount’s disease is a progressive form of genu varum due to asymmetrical inhibition of the postero medial portion of the proximal tibial epiphysis. The surgical treatments involved in correction of Blount’s disease are often technically demanding, complicated procedures. These procedures can lead to prolonged recovery times and poor patient compliance. In such a context we are suggesting “fibulectomy with Z osteotomy” of the proximal tibia, a relatively simple and highly effective technique. This technique is based on correcting the mechanical axis of the lower limb thereby restoring growth from the medial physis of proximal tibia. We have used a new surgical technique, which includes fibulectomy followed by a Z-shaped osteotomy. We have used this simple technique in a 5 year-old boy with unilateral Blount’s disease. The femoro-tibial angle was corrected from 18.2° of varus to 4.2° of valgus. The angular correction obtained after operation was 22°. There were no postoperative complications. This technique has the advantages of correcting both angular and rotational deformities simultaneously. The purpose of this case study is to introduce a new surgical technique in the treatment of Blount’s disease.

Keywords

Infantile tibia vara, Blount’s disease, Z tibial osteotomy

Corresponding author: Raju Karuppal (drrauortho@rediffmail.com)

Competing interests: The authors declared no competing interests.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2015 Karuppal R et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.


First published: 12 Nov 2015, 4:1250 (https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6770.1)
Case report
A 5 year-old Indian male child presented in June 2013 with unilateral right sided genu varum (Figure 1) noted since January 2013. The deformity was gradually progressing in character. There was no significant history of trauma or infection. Clinical examination showed 18.2° of varus and 10° of intortion of tibia. Biochemical investigations were normal. X-ray showed depression of medial tibial plateau with beaking of posteromedial tibial metaphysis (Figure 2) MRI showed an irregular medial physeal line, posteromedial depression, thinning of medial epiphyseal cartilage and concomitant increase in the joint space (Figure 3). As the child is already 5 years old, to achieve a rapid complete correction surgical treatment was opted. Of the many surgical options like wedge osteotomy and ilizarov correction have its own many demerits. Hence we decided for Z osteotomy which will correct the angular and rotational deformities. It also has predictable result and potential for minimal complications.

Operative technique of Z osteotomy
Pre-operative planning includes quantifying the tibio-femoral angle from standing antero-posterior X-ray of both lower limbs. The surgical aim is to achieve a correction of 5° to 7° of valgus. The
rotational correction needed should also be assessed clinically. The patient should be in supine position. A tourniquet is applied and a fibular osteotomy is performed at the middle of the fibula. The proximal shaft of the tibia is then exposed through a 5 cm long incision just below the tibial tuberosity in the midline.

For the left tibial varus deformity, the upper horizontal limb of the Z osteotomy starts at the medial border of the tibia, one finger breadth below the tibial tuberosity, to the anterior border of the tibia. The vertical limb descends down from this point along the anterior border for a same distance of the upper horizontal limb. The lower horizontal limb of the Z osteotomy starts from this point horizontally to the lateral border of the tibia. (For the right tibial deformity, the reverse Z osteotomy is made).

A Z shape is marked on the proximal tibia by drill holes which are connected by an osteotome to complete the osteotomy. The width of the wedge to be removed is calculated as 1 mm for each 1° of angle to be corrected. A wedge of bone is removed between the vertical and lateral horizontal limb of the Z osteotomy (Figure 4) and the distal tibia is derotated and angulated laterally then re-engaged in the corrected position. The osteotomy site is then stabilized with one or two K wires (Figure 5). The final alignment is confirmed by an X-ray image intensifier. The leg is then immobilized in a long-leg cast. In the presented case, this was worn for eight weeks, after which weight-bearing was allowed. The postoperative period was uneventful.

The femoro-tibial angle was corrected from 18.2° of varus to 4.2° of valgus. The angular correction obtained after operation was 22°. There were no major complications or any neurological problems in our case. The result was favorably comparable with other reported surgical techniques. At the last follow-up in May 2015 child had maintained the correction of angular and rotational alignment (Figure 6).

Figure 4. Technique of Z-osteotomy - Z shape is marked on the proximal tibia by drill holes which are connected by an osteotome to complete the osteotomy (Shown in red line). Wedge of bone is removed (Shown in blue shape) and the distal tibia is derotated and then re-engaged in the corrected position. The red line shown on the fibula is the fibular osteotomy.
Figure 5. In-operative picture shows the osteotomy site and post-operative X-rays. a. Close-up view of correction of deformity by Z osteotomy and stabilization with 2 K wires, b. Varus deformity is corrected to normal alignment, c. Immediate post-operative X-ray anterior posterior view, d. Immediate post-operative X-ray lateral view.

Figure 6. Clinical picture of correction at 2 years follow-up. a. Standing front view shows deformity is corrected to normal and comparable to the opposite side, b. Standing side view shows deformity is corrected, c. Front view of leg in knee flexed position, d. Side view of leg in knee flexed position, e & f. X-ray AP and lateral view shows consolidation of osteotomy site with normal tibial mechanical axis.
Discussion

Infantile tibia vara or Blount’s disease was the first described by Erlacher in 1922. The three-dimensional complex deformity of Blount’s disease includes varus, internal rotation, and (sometimes) procurvatum. The progressive varus deformity in Blount’s disease is thought to be due to repetitive, compressive injury of the proximal tibial growth plate medially with relative overgrowth of the lateral tibial physis. The varus deformity may improve by the age of 4 years; hence operation should be delayed unless significant lateral thrust or other symptoms develop. The spontaneous resolution of the varus deformity in Blount’s disease is rare.

A rapid complete correction would generally be achieved by an osteotomy. It is not advisable to operate on physis to avoid growth disturbances. The commonly used osteotomies are closing and opening wedges at proximal tibia. Other alternatives are Dome and chevron-type osteotomies. The results of closing-wedge, proximal tibial osteotomy was published by Laurencin et al. It has many disadvantages like fracture of the medial cortex, which would produce over correction and shortening of the limb. Martin et al. described the result of Opening-wedge-type osteotomy. The disadvantages of this technique include undercorrection of the internal tibial torsion and instability at the osteotomy site, which requires rigid internal fixation. The disadvantages of external fixation for stabilising osteotomies for tibia vara are longer associated consolidation times, unsightly scars and the need for expensive, complex devices. It also has other demerits, like pin-track infections and postoperative neurapraxia.

The best way to obtain correction should be a simple procedure as near to the deformity (as high in the tibia as possible) to promote rapid union and quick remodeling.

The Z osteotomy of tibia as it has many advantages. Biomechanically, it is more stable than the closing and opening wedge osteotomies because of the special geometry of the osteotomy. Rotational deformity can be simultaneously corrected without affecting the stability and contour of the bone. Bone healing is predicted to be better because of the larger surface area at the osteotomy site. In the surgeon’s perspective it is simple to learn and perform. Only one or two K wires for short term fixation are required because of the inherent stability of the Z shape of the osteotomy, hence a second surgery for the implant removal can be avoided as well. The correction achieved following Z osteotomy is based on the principle of correcting mechanical axis of lower limb thereby restoring growth from the medial tibial physis. As such this procedure does not have any contraindications or limitations similar to other corrective osteotomies.

Conclusion

The Z osteotomy of tibia is a useful simple technique for the correction of tibia vara in Blount’s disease, which has not been previously described in the literature. The Z osteotomy achieves angular and rotational correction of the deformity requiring minimal internal fixation. The amount of correction can be predetermined by appropriate wedge dimensions. It is an easy technique to learn and perform. It allows correction of the deformity while maintaining length, restoring joint alignment and mechanical axis of the limb.
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Positive points:
The article is well designed and authored mentioning the surgical management of varus deformity in Blount’s disease. The case report and surgical steps are clearly described. The described method appears to be a simple and the clinical and radiological correction achieved by the described procedure looks good and hence could contribute as an additional technique in the management of Blount’s disease.

Some of my queries and suggestions are as follows:
1. Case report (line 5) – “Clinical examination showed 18.2 deg of varus”. It would be better if authors can round off the deformity to 18 deg, as assessing 0.2 deg of varus CLINICALLY would be really challenging.

2. Case report (line 10) – Even though, X ray shows medial joint space widening, the MRI does not show concomitant increase in the joint space. Hence that sentence may be modified.

3. While de-rotating and taking the distal fragment into valgus, the superomedial corner of the distal fragment is seen to be unduly prominent (on radiograph). Considering the fact that the medial border of tibia is subcutaneous, whether this undes prominence can lead to wound dehiscence is a cause of worry.

4. Significant gap (on radiograph) is noted at the proximal aspect of the osteotomy site after de-rotation and it would be nice to know if authors feel that the wedge of bone removed from the lateral side can be put back as a graft on the medial side if significant gap is noted.

5. Figure 4 – The fibular osteotomy demonstrated in the figure 4 is a medial to lateral downward sloping short oblique osteotomy. However, in the antero-posterior radiograph of the index case the
fibular osteotomy appear as a transverse cut. Readers would be interested to know about the best direction for the fibular osteotomy which would help in translation of the fibula during de-rotation.

6. Discussion – It would be informative if authors can discuss the merits and demerits of RAB’s osteotomy which seems to be simpler than ‘Z’ osteotomy. Also, post-operative correction can be adjusted if under or over correction is noted by wedging of the cast in RAB’s osteotomy.

7. Conclusion – I/we feel ‘Z’ osteotomy is not simpler than RAB’s osteotomy. The conclusion may be modified describing ‘Z’ osteotomy as another new technique to correct the mechanical axis.

8. It would be worth mentioning that a longer follow up is required to know the status of the proximal tibial medial physis and if the correction of the deformity is maintained till skeletal maturity.
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This is an interesting, nicely illustrated, article describing a surgical technique that can be applied for the management of Blount's disease. Although most surgeons use external fixation for the correction of such deformities, the current article addresses another possibility, namely acute correction and internal fixation. Some patients (especially small children) might not tolerate external fixation, thus this technique offers another tool in the surgeon's armamentarium. Therefore it is a contribution to the literature if alternative techniques are presented. The postoperative result is also nicely illustrated.
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