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Abstract

Hepatitis E virus (HEV) infection can lead to adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes and is a risk for mortality in pregnant women. There are few published data on the seroepidemiology of HEV infection in pregnant women and none are available from Sudan specifically. A cross-sectional study was conducted to investigate the seroepidemiology of anti-HEV Immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies in mothers and newborns in Medani Hospital, Sudan. Socio-demographic, clinical and obstetric characteristics of the women were gathered using questionnaires. Anti-HEV IgG antibodies were investigated in the paired maternal and newborn sera using an enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA). Two hundred and nine women were enrolled to the study. The mean (SD) of their age, parity and gestational age was 27.5 (5.5) years, 2.2(1.5) and 38.8 (1.8) weeks, respectively. Out of these 209, 25 (12.5%) women had a positive result for anti-HEV IgG and two (1.0%) newborns had a positive result for anti-HEV IgG. In logistic regression none of the investigated factors (age, parity, gestational age, residency and education) were associated with anti-HEV IgG seropositivity. There is a high seroprevalence of HEV among pregnant women in central Sudan regardless of their age, parity and gestational age. Optimal preventive measures against HEV infection should be employed.
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Introduction

Hepatitis E virus (HEV) is a single-stranded RNA virus and an emerging infectious agent where it can cause acute viral hepatitis worldwide with estimated 20 million cases of HEV infection occur globally and 70 000 deaths (Aggarwal & Gandhi, 2010; Rein et al., 2012). Due to hormonal and immunological changes, pregnant women are more prone to have severe form of HEV infections where there is increasing evidence that HEV is an important contributor to maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality, especially in the developing countries (Ahmed et al., 2008; Bose et al., 2011; Bose et al., 2014; Kumar et al., 2001; Navaneethan et al., 2008; Ornoy & Tenebaum, 2006; Rayis et al., 2013). In previous studies, Stoszek et al. (2006) and Patra et al. (2007) reported prevalence rates of 84.3% and 60% of anti-HEV antibodies among pregnant women in Egypt and India, respectively.

In Sudan, a high mortality rate was reported among pregnant women in an outbreak of HEV in Darfur and in eastern Sudan (Boccia et al., 2006; Rayis et al., 2013). In spite of this there are no published data on the seroprevalence of anti-HEV IgG in Sudan and screening of HEV is not part of the antenatal care programme. Research on the seroprevalence of HEV is of paramount importance for health policy makers as well as for the practicing clinicians and it will yield data necessary for developing preventive measures. This study was conducted to determine the seroprevalence of anti-HEV IgG among a population of pregnant women and their newborns in Medani, Sudan.

Materials and methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted at the delivery ward of Medani Maternity Hospital, Sudan during the period of March 2013. Medani Hospital is a tertiary care hospital in central Sudan, located in Al Gezira state which is the second largest state in Sudan. Women with a singleton pregnancy were approached to be enrolled to the study. Mothers who experienced stillbirths and those who had been diagnosed with diabetes or hypertension were excluded from the current study. After signing an informed consent form, socio-demographic, medical and obstetric characteristics were gathered using questionnaires. Anti-HEV IgG antibodies were investigated in the paired maternal and newborn sera using an enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA). Wt=weight (Maternal;kg); Ht=height (Maternal;cm), Hb=haemoglobin(Maternal; g/dl); birthwt=birthweight (Child; kg); bloodgroup (Maternal): 0=A, 1=B, 2=AB 3=O; HepIgGM=Maternal Hepatitis E IgG, 1=positive, 0=negative. Raw data file openable by PSPP, available at https://www.gnu.org/software/pspp/.

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by maternal weight and height that were measured. Five millilitres of blood was collected from each woman along with the corresponding infants’ umbilical cord. The umbilical cords were stored in plain tubes and all samples were labelled and kept at room temperature for 30 minutes, centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 10 minutes to separate blood components. Serum was stored at -20 degrees until analyzed for anti-HEV IgG using specific antibody profiles using HEV IgG-specific ELISA (Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany).

Statistics

Data were entered in computer using SPSS software (IBM, UK; version 16) for Windows. Statistics were described as mean (SD) for continuous data and as frequency and percentages (%) for categorized data. T-test and \( \chi^2 \) were used to compare continuous and categorized data, respectively between women who were seropositive for HEV IgG antibodies and women with a negative result for HEV antibodies. Binary logistic regression was conducted where seropositivity for HEV IgG antibodies was the dependent variable and socio-demographic, clinical characteristics were the independent variable. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval were calculated, and \( P \) values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Ethics

Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethical committee of University of Khartoum.

Results

Two hundred and nine women were enrolled in the study. The mean (SD) of their ages, parities and gestational ages were 27.5 (5.5) years, 2.2 (1.5) and 38.8 (1.8) weeks, respectively. Out of these 209, 25 (12.5%) women had a positive result for anti-HEV IgG and two (1.0%) newborns whose mothers also had a positive anti-HEV IgG showed a positive result for anti-HEV IgG.

There was no significant difference in the age, parity, education, gestational age, BMI or history of miscarriage between seropositive and seronegative anti-HEV IgG women (Table 1). Likewise, in logistic regression none of these investigated factors were associated with anti-HEV IgG seropositivity (Table 2).

Dataset 1. Raw dataset for Eltayeb et al., 2015 'Maternal and newborn seroprevalence of Hepatitis E virus at Medani Hospital, Sudan’

http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.7041.d101652

Socio-demographic, clinical and obstetric characteristics of the women were gathered using questionnaires. Anti-HEV IgG antibodies were investigated in the paired maternal and newborn sera using an enzyme-linked immunosassay (ELISA). Wt=weight (Maternal;kg); Ht=height (Maternal;cm), Hb=haemoglobin(Maternal; g/dl); birthwt=birthweight (Child; kg); bloodgroup (Maternal): 0=A, 1=B, 2=AB 3=O; HepIgGM=Maternal Hepatitis E IgG, 1=positive, 0=negative; HepIgSC=Newborn Hepatitis E IgG, 1=positive, 0=negative. Raw data file openable by PSPP, available at https://www.gnu.org/software/pspp/.
Discussion and conclusions
The main findings of the current study were: the high prevalence (12.1%) of HEV IgG antibodies among pregnant women regardless of their age, parity, residence and educational levels. Recently Caron & Kazanji (2008) found that 14.1% of 840 pregnant women in Gabon had anti-HEV IgG and the prevalence was significantly higher in the urban areas than in the rural ones (13.5 vs. 6.4%). Yet a much higher (28.66%) HEV IgG seroprevalence was observed among Ghanaian pregnant women, especially pregnant women who were 21–25 years of age and women in their third trimester (Adjei et al., 2009). Furthermore, a high prevalence of HEV infection among pregnant women was reported in their neighboring countries, Egypt (84.3%) (Stoszek et al., 2006), Ethiopia (59%) (Tsega et al., 1993) and during an epidemic in Darfur, Sudan (31.1%; Boccia et al., 2006). Interestingly a low (3.6%) prevalence of anti-HEV IgG was reported in Iran and can be explained by the good hygiene and water supply in the investigated area (Rostamzadeh et al., 2013) and in Mexico (5.7%), where pregnant women of advancing age were more likely to be seropositive for HEV IgG (Alvarado-Esquivel et al., 2014).

In the current study, two of the newborns showed a positive result for anti-HEV IgG. Recently, Mesquita et al. (2013) observed that four pairs (mother and newborn) of participants of the 12 pairs tested were seropositive for anti-HEV IgG. In Egypt, anti-HEV IgG was detected in 31% of 29 neonates with clinical suspicion of congenital infections (El Sayed Zaki et al., 2013). It is worth mentioning that although vertical HEV infection is common and can lead to a high neonatal mortality, HEV is a self-limiting infection in survivors with short-lasting viremia (Khuroo et al., 2009).

### Table 1. Comparing socio-demographic characteristics between HEV IgG seropositive and seronegative women in Medani, Sudan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>HEV IgG seropositive (n= 25)</th>
<th>HEV IgG seronegative (n=184)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) of the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>27.4(5.0)</td>
<td>27.5(5.6)</td>
<td>0.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>2.0(1.9)</td>
<td>2.2(1.4)</td>
<td>0.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age, weeks</td>
<td>38.3(2.5)</td>
<td>38.8(1.7)</td>
<td>0.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/cm²</td>
<td>24.6(2.1)</td>
<td>23.9(2.9)</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin, g/dl</td>
<td>9.9(1.0)</td>
<td>10.4(1.0)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (%) of the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural residence</td>
<td>12(48.0)</td>
<td>101(54.8)</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education &lt; secondary level</td>
<td>13(52.0)</td>
<td>61(33.1)</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of miscarriage</td>
<td>7(28.0)</td>
<td>77(41.8)</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Univariate and multivariate analysis of risk factors and their association with anti-HEV IgG seropositivity among pregnant women in Medani, Sudan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Univariate Analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.92–1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.67–1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7–1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.59–3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level&lt; secondary</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.93–5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of miscarriage</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.72–4.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.94–1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.00–2.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Previously (2001), Kumar and colleagues reported 100% transmission of anti-HEV IgG from the mother to the infant and suggested transplacental transmission of IgG. It has been recently demonstrated for the first time that HEV replication occurs in human placenta and that placenta is a proposed site of extrahepatic replication of HEV in humans (Bose et al., 2014). HEV is mainly transmitted by the fecal-oral route, zoonotic transmission from animal reservoirs (including donkeys) to humans, blood borne, human to human, and vertical transmission from mother to child have been reported (Mirazo et al., 2014).

The high seroprevalence of HEV amongst pregnant women in central Sudan may suggest that HEV may be widespread among pregnant women in the country as well as in the general population. Furthermore, because the virus is transmitted mainly through the fecal-oral route, sanitary and hygiene conditions should be in optimum conditions to reduce the risk of infection. Perhaps the policy of not screening for HEV antibodies in pregnant women in Sudan is based on the assumed low prevalence; screening for HEV should be employed based on the results of the current study. Moreover, antenatal screening of pregnant women would ensure that treating clinicians could take further precautions to protect against perinatal HEV transmission, minimizing the risk. One of the limitations of the current study is the failure to investigate the HEV genotyping which should be considered in the future study.

In summary, the current study revealed a high seroprevalence of HEV among pregnant women in central Sudan regardless of their age, parity and gestational age. Optimal preventive measures against HEV infection should be employed.
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Whenever describing “prevalence rates” be clear whether it represents seroprevalence. Also, when referring to “anti-HEV antibodies” include whether it is in reference to IgM or IgG. If there is any information on duration of detectable IgM/IgG after infection with HEV it would be helpful to include it. Lastly, if there is information on clinical significance of HEV infection it would be helpful to include it. For example, with West Nile virus infection 90% will be asymptomatic, 10% will have West Nile fever and <1% will have a more serious clinical course. And what is the clinical significance of a pregnant woman who is IgG positive for HEV and similarly her infant?

Materials and Methods: Please explain why mothers with diabetes or hypertension were excluded. Table 1: Please clarify whether “haemoglobin, g/dl” was significantly different (p=0.008) between the two groups as the values (i.e., 9.9 vs. 10.4) appear to be very similar.

Conclusions
The fourth paragraph would be more appropriate for the introduction. More description is needed on “optimal preventive measures”. The author suggests that pregnant women be screened prenatally for anti-HEV antibodies. Please clarify whether this would be for IgG or IgM. Screening for IgG would simply reveal whether or not the woman has ever been infected with HEV. To my knowledge there would be no transmission risk therefore please clarify the benefit of a prenatal screening program and what should be done for women found to be anti-HEV positive and infants born to them.
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