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**Abstract**

A deterministic model is proposed to describe the transmission dynamics of coronavirus infection with early interventions. Epidemiological studies have employed modeling to unravel knowledge that transformed the lives of families, communities, nations and the entire globe. The study established the stability of both disease free and endemic equilibria. Stability occurs when the reproduction number, R\(_0\), is less than unity for both disease free and endemic equilibrium points. The global stability of the disease-free equilibrium point of the model is established whenever the basic reproduction number R\(_0\) is less than or equal to unity. The reproduction number is also shown to be directly related to the transmission probability (\(\beta\)), rate at which latently infected individuals join the infected class (\(\delta\)) and rate of recruitment (\(\Lambda\)). It is inversely related to natural death rate (\(\mu\)), rate of early treatment (\(\tau_1\)), rate of hospitalization of infected individuals (\(\theta\)) and Covid-induced death rate (\(\sigma\)). The analytical results established are confirmed by numerical simulation of the model.
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Introduction

Accurate detection of the underlying agent that causes a disease is very important. Globally, many infections remain undetected and therefore untreated, causing complications and major human and economic consequences. Managing diseases in our modern world requires strategic interventions. Several of these interventions have been explored in the case of the COVID-19 pandemic ranging from the use of personal protective equipment (PPEs), lockdowns, social distancing, etc. Such interventions are implemented at particular points during the infection cycle. These are before the infection, early stages of the infection (asymptomatic) and later stages of the infection (symptomatic). Before the infection, individuals may be immunized as a control measure. Immunization is a cost-effective and highly successful health intervention used to manage emerging infectious diseases such as coronavirus. This is a process where a person, through vaccination, becomes protected against an emerging disease. Early treatment of the disease can also be a good strategy in managing infectious diseases. It is therefore important to identify infected persons early enough to put them on treatment. The emergence of COVID-19 is a worry to many in the world. This virus has come with three sub-categories known as alpha, beta and gamma and an introduction of the fourth subgroup called delta coronaviruses [Naik et al., 2020]. Early treatment of SARS-CoV-2 would speed up the recovery process, reduce the likelihood of developing severe outcomes and reduce demand on healthcare systems [Kim et al., 2020; Strain et al., 2005]. Strain et al. [2005] studied the effect of early treatment of primary infection on cellular reservoir clearance of HIV-1 and noted a positive effect. The question arises of whether this will be the case for coronavirus infections. Individuals should also be encouraged to go for voluntary testing as this will increase case detection, thereby reducing the number of secondary infections [Kim et al., 2020]. In every infection, the exposure of an individual to the source of infection is followed by an incubation period which varies from one infectious disease to the other. For example, the incubation period for coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) is 14 days. During the incubation period the infected individual may be asymptomatic and unaware of their infection. This is followed by the onset of symptoms and varied health behaviours of the infected individual. Some researchers support the notion that antiviral therapy hastens viral clearance in asymptomatic infection [Strain et al., 2005; Hu et al., 2020] thereby halting the progression to symptomatic infection and the subsequent impact on the health system and the society at large.

Isolating persons who have been exposed to a coronavirus disease in order to prevent transmission has been a long established public health strategy [Kim et al., 2020]. This is done either in isolation homes or at hospitals in severe cases. Treatment interventions are offered in such circumstances.

This paper looks at the effect of early interventions on the transmission dynamics of coronavirus infection by employing mathematical modelling. Several mathematical models have been used as an engine to unravel knowledge in many epidemiological studies [Asamoah et al., 2017, 2020a, 2020b; Bornaa et al., 2017, 2020; Seidu et al., 2020; Agusto et al., 2015; Ivorra Benjamin and Ramos, 2015]. Most of these studies have yielded exceptional results that transformed the lives of families, communities, nations and the entire globe. Mathematical models have also been used to study pest and worm infestation in agriculture and aquaculture. Mathematical modeling is used in economics to observe, understand, and make predictions about human economic behavior.

Model formulation

Consider \( N(t) \) as the size of the total population at time \( t \). The population is then subdivided into five classes: Susceptible, \( S(t) \), the latently infected (Exposed) \( E(t) \), the clinically symptomatic (Infected), \( I(t) \), the hospitalized in a facility (Hospitalized) \( H(t) \) and Recovered \( R(t) \) Classes. Thus, the population is given as

\[
N(t) = S(t) + E(t) + I(t) + H(t) + R(t).
\]

People are recruited into the susceptible population through birth and immigration at rate \( \Lambda \) and leave by having active contact with the viral source and being infected at rate \( \beta \). These individuals progress to the latently infected class \( E(t) \). The susceptible population also decreases by natural death at the rate \( \mu \). Thus;
\[
\frac{dS}{dt} = \Lambda - (\beta I + \mu) S.
\]

The population of the latently infected (exposed) class decreases whenever an exposed individual begins to show clinical symptoms and is moved into the infected class at rate \(\delta\). This may be due to lack of intervention or intervention failure. It also decreases through natural and disease induced deaths, and recovery from early intervention (treatment) at rates \(\mu, \sigma\) and \(\tau_1\) respectively. Thus,

\[
\frac{dE}{dt} = \beta SI - (\tau_1 + \delta + \sigma + \mu) E.
\]

The population of clinically infected individuals decreases due to hospitalization, natural death and disease induced death at rates \(\theta, \mu\) and \(\sigma\) respectively. Thus,

\[
\frac{dI}{dt} = \delta E - (\theta + \sigma + \mu) I.
\]

The population of the individuals that are hospitalized increases whenever the clinically infected individuals are taken to the hospital at rate \(\theta\), then decreases due to natural death, disease induced death and recovery at rate \(\tau_2\). Thus,

\[
\frac{dH}{dt} = \theta I - (\tau_2 + \sigma + \mu) H.
\]

The population of the recovered individuals increases whenever there is recovery from the exposed class due to early treatment, and also from hospitalized individuals due to recovery after treatment at rates \(\tau_1\) and \(\tau_2\) respectively, and decreases by natural death. For the period under consideration, the recovered is assumed to have permanent immunity.

\[
\frac{dR}{dt} = \tau_1 E + \tau_2 H - \mu R.
\]

The description of the dynamics of the disease infection is depicted by following set of equations.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= \Lambda - (\beta I + \mu) S \\
\frac{dE}{dt} &= \beta SI - (\tau_1 + \delta + \sigma + \mu) E \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \delta E - (\theta + \sigma + \mu) I \\
\frac{dH}{dt} &= \theta I - (\tau_2 + \sigma + \mu) H \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \tau_1 E + \tau_2 H - \mu R
\end{align*}
\]

\((S(0), E(0), I(0), H(0), R(0)) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^5\)

For purposes of analysis, let \(k_1 = \tau_1 + \delta + \sigma + \mu, k_2 = \theta + \sigma + \mu,\) and \(k_3 = \tau_2 + \sigma + \mu.\)

We begin to discuss some basic properties of model (1) in the next section. This includes the positivity and boundedness, equilibrium states, local and global stability of the equilibria and sensitivity analysis. Numerical simulation is also employed to confirm the analytical results. Finally, the results are discussed and are conclusions drawn.

**Qualitative properties of the model**

**Positivity and boundedness**

**Lemma 0.1.** If only all solutions of model (1) start in \(\Omega\), they remain in it for all \(t \geq 0.\)

Also, for the model (1), the region \(\Omega = \left\{ (S, E, I, H, R) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^5 \mid N \leq \frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right\}\) is a positively invariant set.

**Proof.** Define \(\zeta(x) = \{x(t) = 0\text{ and } (S, E, I, H, R) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^5\}, \forall x \in \{S, E, I, H, R\}.\)

The following therefore is obtained from model (1);
As stated in Lemma 2 of Yang et al. [1996], a solution of model (1) is always such that \( (S(t), E(t), I(t), H(t), R(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^5_\geq \). The first part of the lemma is therefore proved.

We have

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} = \Lambda - N\mu - (I + H + E)\sigma \leq \Lambda - \mu N
\]

from \( N = S + E + I + H + R \). Thus, \( N(t) \leq N(0)e^{-\mu t} + \Delta(1 - e^{-\mu t}) \).

Therefore if \( 0 \leq N(0) \leq \frac{\Delta}{\mu} \), then \( \limsup_{t \to \infty} N(t) \leq \frac{\Delta}{\mu} \).

Thus, all solutions of the model remain in \( \Omega \) whenever they start in it. The second part of the lemma is also proved and hence the whole Lemma is proved.

We therefore conclude that model (1) is mathematically and epidemiologically well-posed within \( \Omega \) [Hethcote, 2000].

**Equilibrium points of the model**

There are basically two equilibria for model (1); the disease-free, \( E_0 \) and endemic, \( E^* \) equilibria. The solution of the system

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= \frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{dH}{dt} = \frac{dR}{dt} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

gives the equilibrium points of the model.

Assume that an equilibrium point of the model is typically \( (S^*, E^*, I^*, H^*, R^*) \), then model (1) gives;

\[
\begin{align*}
S^* &= \frac{\Lambda}{\beta I + \mu}, \\
E^* &= \frac{\beta \Lambda I}{(\beta I + \mu)k_1}, \\
I^* &= \frac{\delta \beta \Lambda - \mu k_1 k_2}{\beta} \text{ or } 0, \\
H^* &= \frac{\theta I}{k_3}, \\
R^* &= \frac{(\tau_1 \beta \Lambda k_3 + \tau_2 \theta (\beta I + \mu)k_1)I}{(\beta I + \mu)k_3}\mu}
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

**Theorem 0.1.** The model (1) has unique endemic and disease free equilibria.

**Proof.** The solution of 2 for \( I^* = 0 \) is \( E_0 = (S_0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \), where \( S_0 = \frac{\Delta}{\mu} \). When \( I^* = \frac{\Delta \beta (\Lambda - \mu k_1 k_2)}{\beta} \) is substituted into (2), the endemic equilibrium is obtained, thus proved.
Now, the basic reproduction number is obtained by employing the next-generation matrix method of Zhisheng and Van den Driessche [2012]. Thus:

\[ R_0 = \frac{\beta \Lambda \delta}{\mu k_1 k_2} \]

**Local stability of equilibria**

The stability of an equilibrium point is determined by considering all the roots of the characteristic equation of the Jacobian Matrix of model (1). If all the roots are negative then the equilibrium point is said to be locally asymptotically stable. The Jacobian Matrix \( J \) of the model is given as

\[
J = \begin{bmatrix}
-\beta I - \mu & 0 & -\beta S & 0 & 0 \\
\beta I & -k_1 & \beta S & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \delta & -k_2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \delta & -k_3 & 0 \\
0 & \tau_1 & 0 & \tau_2 & -\mu \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

**Local stability of disease-free equilibrium point (\( E_0 \))**

It can be shown that at \( E_0 \), \( -\mu \) and \( -k_3 \) are roots of the characteristic equation of the Jacobian Matrix \( J \). Clearly all these roots are negative. The roots that remain must therefore satisfy equation 3 at \( E_0 \). This is the characteristic polynomial of the Jacobian Matrix \( J_{E_0} \),

where

\[
J_{E_0} = \begin{bmatrix}
-k_1 & \beta \Lambda \\
\mu & \delta \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The roots of equation 3 are the eigenvalues of \( J_{E_0} \).

\[
\Phi_2 x^2 + \Phi_1 x + \Phi_0 = 0 \quad (3)
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi_2 &= 1, \\
\Phi_1 &= k_2 + k_1 \\
\Phi_0 &= (1 - R_0)k_1 k_2
\end{align*}
\]

Whenever \( R_0 < 1 \), all the coefficients \( \Phi_i, i = 0, 1, 2 \), are positive. This suggests lemma 2 by using Descartes rule of signs.

**Lemma 0.2.** The \( E_0 \) is locally asymptotically stable whenever \( R_0 < 1 \) and unstable whenever \( R_0 > 1 \).

**Local stability of endemic equilibrium point (\( E^* \))**

Again, the roots that remain must, after establishing that \( -\mu \) and \( -k_3 \) are roots of the characteristic equation of the Jacobian Matrix \( J \), satisfy equation 4. This is the characteristic polynomial of the Jacobian Matrix \( J_{E^*} \) at \( E^* \), where

\[
J_{E^*} = \begin{bmatrix}
-i\beta - \mu & 0 & -\beta S \\
i\beta & -k_1 & \beta S \\
0 & \delta & -k_2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The roots of equation 4 are the eigenvalues of \( J_{E^*} \).

\[
\Pi_3 x^3 + \Pi_2 x^2 + \Pi_1 x + \Pi_0 = 0 \quad (4)
\]
where
\[
\begin{align*}
\Pi_3 &= 1, \\
\Pi_2 &= k_2 + k_1 + \beta I + \mu \\
\Pi_1 &= (1 - R_0)k_2k_1 + k_2\beta I + k_2\mu + k_1\beta I + k_1\mu \\
\Pi_0 &= (1 - R_0)k_2k_1 + k_2k_1\beta I 
\end{align*}
\]

Whenever \( R_0 \leq 1 \), all the coefficients \( \Pi_i, i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \) are positive. This also suggests lemma 3 by using Descartes rule of signs.

**Lemma 0.3.** The \( E^* \) is locally asymptotically stable whenever \( R_0 \leq 1 \) and unstable whenever \( R_0 > 1 \).

**Global stability of disease-free equilibrium point \( (E_0) \)**

The technique of Castillo-Chavez et al. [2002] is employed to study the global stability of \( E_0 \). If we let \( Y = (S, R) \) and \( X = (S_1, Q, I, H) \), model (1) can now be re-written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dY}{dt} &= \mathcal{F}(Y, X) \\
\frac{dX}{dt} &= \mathcal{G}(Y, X)
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

where

\[
\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda - \beta SI - \mu S \\ \tau_1 E + \tau_2 H - \mu R \end{bmatrix}
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{G} = \begin{bmatrix} -k_1 & \beta S & 0 \\ \delta & -k_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta & -k_3 \end{bmatrix}
\]

According to Castillo-Chavez et al. [2002], the following conditions establish the global stability of \( E_0 \).

\( H1 \): For \( \frac{dY}{dX} |_{X=0} \), \( Y^* \) is globally asymptotically stable

\( H2 \): \( \mathcal{L}(Y, X) = \mathcal{LZ} - \hat{\mathcal{G}}(Y, X), \hat{\mathcal{G}}(Y, X) \geq 0 \) for \( (Y, X) \in D \).

(6)

where \( \mathcal{L} = D_\mathcal{G}(Y^*, 0) \) is the Jacobian of \( \mathcal{G}(Y, X) \) with respect to \( X \) at \( E_0 \).

If the reduced system of (1) given by

\[
\left. \frac{dY}{dt} \right|_{X=0} = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda - \mu S \\ -\mu R \end{bmatrix}
\]

is considered, then disease-free equilibrium point \( Y^* = E_0 \) is clearly a globally asymptotically stable point of the reduced system. Also, let \( \hat{\mathcal{G}}(Y, X) = \mathcal{LX} - \hat{\mathcal{G}}(Y, X) \), where

\[
\mathcal{L} = D_\mathcal{G}(Y^*, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} -k_1 & \beta S & 0 \\ \delta & -k_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta & -k_3 \end{bmatrix}
\]

and

\[
\hat{\mathcal{G}}(Y, X) = \lambda N \begin{bmatrix} \beta SI - k_1 E \\ \delta E - k_2 I \\ \theta I - k_3 H \end{bmatrix}
\]
Substituting $E_0$, into $\hat{G}(Y,X)$ gives zero components and condition $H_2$ is satisfied. Whenever $R_0 \leq 1$ the $E_0$ is globally asymptotically stable hence establishing the following results.

**Lemma 0.4.** The disease-free equilibrium point $E_0$ of the model is globally asymptotically stable whenever $R_0 \leq 1$.

**Global stability of endemic equilibrium point ($E^*$)**

In this section, we try to find out whether or not the endemic equilibrium is globally stable using Lyapunov functions technique.

**Theorem 0.2.** The endemic equilibrium of model (1) is globally asymptotically stable whenever $R_0 > 1$.

**Proof.** Consider the Lyapunov function

$$
V = \left( S - S^* - S^* \ln \frac{S}{S^*} \right) + \left( E - E^* - E^* \ln \frac{E}{E^*} \right) \\
+ \left( I - I^* - I^* \ln \frac{I}{I^*} \right) + \left( H - H^* - H^* \ln \frac{H}{H^*} \right) \\
+ \left( R - R^* - R^* \ln \frac{R}{R^*} \right),
$$

If we take the derivative of $V$ we obtain

$$
\frac{dV}{dt} = \left( \frac{S - S^*}{S} \right) \frac{dS}{dt} + \left( \frac{E - E^*}{E} \right) \frac{dE}{dt} \\
+ \left( \frac{I - I^*}{I} \right) \frac{dI}{dt} + \left( \frac{H - H^*}{H} \right) \frac{dH}{dt} \\
+ \left( \frac{R - R^*}{R} \right) \frac{dR}{dt}.
$$

If we substitute the expressions of the derivatives, we get

$$
\frac{dV}{dt} = \left( \frac{S - S^*}{S} \right) \left[ \Lambda - (\beta I + \mu) S \right] \\
+ \left( \frac{E - E^*}{E} \right) \left[ \beta S I - (\tau_1 + \delta + \sigma + \mu) E \right] \\
+ \left( \frac{I - I^*}{I} \right) \left[ \beta E - (\theta + \sigma + \mu) I \right] \\
+ \left( \frac{H - H^*}{H} \right) \left[ \theta I - (\tau_2 + \sigma + \mu) H \right] \\
+ \left( \frac{R - R^*}{R} \right) \left[ \tau_1 E + \tau_2 H - \mu R \right].
$$

(7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Par.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Average value/day</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>Natural death rate</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Asamoah et al (2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda$</td>
<td>Rate of recruitment</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_1$</td>
<td>Rate of early treatment</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>Transmission probability</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>COVID-19 induced death rate</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>Rate of hospitalization of infected persons</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_2$</td>
<td>Recovery rate of treated infected individuals</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>Rate at which latently infected individuals join the infected class</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>estimated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Sensitivity Indexes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$\Lambda$</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>$\mu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity Index ($Y_{\beta}^E$)</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.9977</td>
<td>-1.0491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>$\tau_1$</td>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity Index ($Y_{\tau}^E$)</td>
<td>-0.9413</td>
<td>-0.9091</td>
<td>-0.0983</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Simulation results illustrating the local stability of $\mathcal{E}_0$ for $R_0 < 1$.

Figure 2. Plot for sensitivity of parameters of $R_0$. 
Figure 3. Solution curves depicting the impact of $\tau_1$, $\theta$, $\beta$ and $\delta$ on $R_0$.

(a) plot of $\tau_1$ and $\theta$ against $R_0$

(b) plot of $\beta$ and $\delta$ against $R_0$

Figure 4. Solution curves depicting the impact of $\tau_1$ on each of the classes.

(a) Susceptible class

(b) Exposed class

(c) Infected class

(d) Hospitalized class

(e) Recovered class
After some algebraic manipulation \( \frac{dV}{dt} = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B} \), where

\[
\mathbf{A} = \frac{(S_0 - SE_0)\beta}{E} \frac{\delta E(I - I_0)}{I} \frac{\theta I(H - H_0)}{H} \frac{\tau_1 E(R - R_0)}{R} \frac{\tau_2 H(R - R_0)}{R},
\]

and

\[
\mathbf{B} = \mu (S - S_0) + k_1 (E - E_0) + k_2 (I - I_0) + k_3 (H - H_0) + \mu (R - R_0).
\]

**Figure 5.** Solution curves depicting the impact of \( \delta \) on each of the classes varied from initial value of 0.0012 at 0.5 intervals.
Therefore whenever $A < B \Rightarrow \frac{dV}{dt} < 0$, and also $A - B = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{dV}{dt} = 0$, this is possible if $S = S^*, E = E^*, I = I^*, H = H^*, R = R^*$. Therefore, the largest compact invariant set for model (1) is $\{E^*\}$. Hence the global asymptotic stability of $E^*$ is established in the positive region $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{5}$ if $A < B$ for $R_0 > 1$ as in Lyapunov-LaSalle’s stability theorem [LaSalle, 1968].

Sensitivity analysis of the reproduction number ($R_0$)

Parameters play a very important role in the dynamical behaviour of models; therefore the study of the impact of changes in the values of these parameters cannot be underestimated [Seidu et al., 2020; Borna et al., 2021; Chitnis et al., 2010].

Figure 6. Solution curves depicting the impact of $\theta$ on each of the classes varied from initial value of 0.3 at 0.5 intervals.
The influential parameters of the model are considered by beginning to value the changes that occur in their values. Sensitivity analysis is therefore employed to help identify such influential parameters of the model. The normalized forward sensitivity technique is employed to study the sensitivity of $R_0$ to model parameters.

**Definition:** Let model output $R_0$ be differentiably dependent on model parameter $x$. The normalized forward sensitivity index of $R_0$ with respect to $x$ is defined by

$$
\text{Definition: Let model output } R_0 \text{ be differentiably dependent on model parameter } x. \text{ The normalized forward sensitivity index of } R_0 \text{ with respect to } x \text{ is defined by}
$$

![Figure 7. Solution curves depicting the impact of $\beta$ on each of the classes varied from initial value of 0.002 at 0.5 intervals.](image)
The model parameter values, except the natural death rate, in Table 1 are then used as baseline values for the purposes of the sensitivity test and numerical simulation only. The local sensitivity indexes are therefore recorded in Table 2 taking $R_0$ as a model output.

**Numerical simulation**

Considering the baseline parameter values in Table 1, numerical experiments are performed, with initial values of $S = 0.411e + 5$, $E = 0.493e + 2$, $I = 0.243e + 2$, $H = 0.318e + 2$, $R = 0.97e + 3$, to verify the analytical results established. The simulation is carried out using matlab version 1.0 for MathWorks R2017a release.

Simulation to illustrate the local stability of the disease free equilibrium of model (1) is run for $R_0 < 1$.

**Discussions, conclusions and recommendations**

A deterministic model is proposed to describe the transmission dynamics of coronavirus infection with early interventions. The study describes the basic qualitative properties of the model and carried out numerical simulations to confirm the qualitative results. The model is shown to have unique disease-free and endemic equilibria (see equation 2, theorem 1 and the proof). The disease-free equilibrium is locally asymptotically stable when $R_0 < 1$ (see Figure 1). The endemic equilibrium is also locally asymptotically stable when $R_0 > 1$. The global stability of the disease-free and endemic equilibria are respectively established under the conditions; $R_0 \leq 1$ and $R_0 > 1$.

Analysis of the responsiveness of the model parameters to marginal changes is also carried out to identify the most important factors to consider in the fight against the spread of the disease. The results show, in order of importance, that a marginal change in any of the following parameters will affect directly or inversely the reproduction number thereby affecting the transmission dynamics of the disease. These parameters are: the death rate $\mu$, transmission probability $\beta$, recruitment rate $A$, rate at which exposed individuals join the infected class $\delta$, early treatment rate $\tau_1$, rate of hospitalization of infected individuals $\theta$ and coronavirus induced death rate $\sigma$ (see Table 2).

We observe from Table 2 that $A$, $\beta$ and $\delta$ are directly proportional to $R_0$ in order of importance. In other words, an increase (decrease) in any of these parameters will lead to an increase (decrease) in $R_0$ as confirmed by Figure 3b on $\beta$ and $\delta$. It therefore suggests, from the result, that all attempts should be made to reduce the transmission probability and the rate at which latent infected individuals join the infected class. The parameters that are however inversely proportional to $R_0$ are $\mu$, $\theta$, $\tau_1$ and $\sigma$. An increase (decrease) in any of these parameters will lead to a decrease (increase) in $R_0$ as confirmed by Figure 3a on $\tau_1$ and $\theta$. This is also reflected in Figure 4 (a, b, c, d and e). Increasing early treatment reduces the infected population (see Figure 4b, c and d) and increases the non-infected population (see Figure 4a and e). This is also supported by Kim et al. [2020] and Strain et al. [2005] when they carried out independent studies into early treatment of infectious diseases.

Many health care facilities are overstretched in terms of space, equipment and personnel because of the pandemic. This challenge can be handled by either providing more space, equipment and personnel, or by increasing the recovery rate of...
treated infected individuals. This study has confirmed that an increase in recovery rate reduces the hospitalized individuals and thereby reduces the pressure on health care facilities to take in more coronavirus and other patients (see Figure 6d).

It is assumed that the recovered gained permanent immunity because they develop antibodies that react and fight against initial infections. We also observe increases in the rate at which the exposed joins the infected (symptomatic) class (δ). When this happens the symptomatic are moved into hospitals for treatment and subsequently recovery. The effect is that the infected and recovered populations are increased but the susceptible population is reduced because of the immunity gained by the recovered (see Figure 5a, b, c, d and e).

It is also shown that as transmission probability increases the infected increase and the susceptible decrease (see Figure 7a, b, c and d).

It is recommended that:

- early treatment of coronavirus should be considered. This can be effectively carried out when proper surveillance is enforced to identify asymptomatic individuals who are mostly at their early stage of infection. People should also be encouraged to use immune boosters to reduce the rate at which the exposed joins the infected while early treatment is enforced.

- efforts should be made to reduce the rate of infection by insisting on people observing all the coronavirus protocols announced by World Health Organisation and other health institutions.
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