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Abstract

The Auxin Binding Protein1 (ABP1) has been identified based on its ability to bind auxin with high affinity and studied for a long time as a prime candidate for the extracellular auxin receptor responsible for mediating in particular the fast non-transcriptional auxin responses. However, the contradiction between the embryo-lethal phenotypes of the originally described Arabidopsis T-DNA insertional knock-out alleles (abp1-1 and abp1-1s) and the wild type-like phenotypes of other recently described loss-of-function alleles (abp1-c1 and abp1-TD1) questions the biological importance of ABP1 and relevance of the previous genetic studies. Here we show that there is no hidden copy of the ABP1 gene in the Arabidopsis genome but the embryo-lethal phenotypes of ABP1 and alleles are very similar to the knock-out phenotypes of the neighboring gene, BELAYA SMERT (BSM). Furthermore, the allelic complementation test between bsm and abp1 alleles shows that the embryo-lethality in the abp1-1 and abp1-1s alleles is caused by the off-target disruption of the BSM locus by the T-DNA insertions. This clarifies the controversy of different phenotypes among published abp1 knock-out alleles and asks for reflections on the developmental role of ABP1.
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Introduction
The plant hormone auxin plays a central role in plant growth and development. Sensing and interpreting the fluctuating cellular auxin levels is crucial for mediating the corresponding physiological and developmental responses (Enders & Strader, 2015; Grunewald & Friml, 2010). Currently, two main auxin receptor/co-receptor systems are known and have been proposed to activate a range of cellular responses; among them the Auxin Binding Protein 1 (ABP1) has been considered as a prime candidate for the extracellular auxin receptor (Grones & Friml, 2015).

The first notion of ABP1 was based on the auxin-binding activity at the plant cell surface and in the endoplasmic reticulum in crude membrane preparations of etiolated coleoptiles (Hertel et al., 1972). This binding activity was characterized over the next decade by detailed biochemical studies (Batt et al., 1976; Ray et al., 1977). ABP1 was firstly purified from maize coleoptile cells (Lobler & Klambt, 1985) as a soluble 22-kDa large glycoprotein and later its crystal structure was elucidated (Woo et al., 2002).

The biological function and importance of ABP1 has been investigated extensively. Early studies have demonstrated that ABP1 is involved in the rapid regulation of the membrane potential and ion fluxes at the plasma membrane and that it mediates the auxin-induced cell swelling, cell elongation, and cell division (Braun et al., 2008; Steffens et al., 2001; Tomas et al., 2009; Yamagami et al., 2004). In the presence of auxin, ABP1 activates the H+ pump ATPase that acidifies the extracellular space, presumably triggering cell wall loosening (Cosgrove, 2000).

With the advent of the genomic era and Arabidopsis thaliana as a model system, genetic tools have been adopted to facilitate the studies of the ABP1 developmental roles. Using a reverse genetic approach, two independent Arabidopsis thaliana knock-out alleles of ABP1 gene were identified, abp1-1 and abp1-1s (Chen et al., 2001; Tzafrir et al., 2004) and both were reported to be allelic and embryo-lethal, arresting the embryo development at the globular stage. This defined ABP1 as an essential protein required from early embryonic development with functions in cell division and elongation (Chen et al., 2001). However, embryo-lethal phenotype of abp1 knock-out mutants has hampered its further functional characterization. This was rectified by the generation of transgenic lines conditionally downregulating ABP1 levels by ethanol-inducible immuno-modulation or antisense approaches that, despite entirely different technologies used, show the same phenotypes confirming the role of ABP1 in cell division and cell expansion (Braun et al., 2008; David et al., 2007).

Both downregulation lines along with the weak abp1-5 point mutation allele showed defects in clathrin-mediated endocytosis of PIN auxin export proteins (Dhonukshe et al., 2007; Petrášek et al., 2006) and their inhibition by auxin (Paczek et al., 2005). In contrast, the ABP1 gain-of-function mutation has an opposite effect, promoting PIN internalization in tobacco cultured cells and stable Arabidopsis lines (Robert et al., 2010). Opposite effects of ABP1 loss- and gain-of-function lines were observed also for auxin effects on arrangements of microtubules (Xu et al., 2014) and for controlling morphogenesis and shape of leaf epidermal pavement cells (Nagawa et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2010). Furthermore, the importance of auxin binding to ABP1 for gain-of-function phenotypes has been demonstrated by analysis of ABP1 variants with introduced mutations in the auxin binding pocket (Grones et al., 2015). Thus various types of loss- and gain-of-function strategies show an internally consistent picture of ABP1 signaling being involved in a range of physiological and cellular processes.

An important breakthrough came with the finding that the auxin-bound ABP1 docks on the extracellular domain of the Transmembrane Kinase 1 (TMK1) (Dai et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2014) which added the missing piece to the puzzle of how the auxin signal is transmitted from the cell surface to the cytosol and further confirmed involvement of the ABP1/TMK1 pathway in auxin-mediated development, particularly in pavement cell morphology (Grones & Friml, 2015).

Surprisingly enough, shortly after these studies had been published, Gao et al. (2015) described two independent, full loss-of-function abp1 mutants of A. thaliana (abp1-c1 and abp1-TD1) that show no apparent developmental defects under normal growth conditions. This directly contradicts the embryo-lethal phenotypes of the originally described abp1-1 and abp1-1s lines (Chen et al., 2001; www.seedgenes.org/SeedGeneProfile?geneSymbol=ABP1) and also questioned the relevance of the aforementioned studies.

The explanation of these contradictory results is therefore of crucial importance to understand the biological role of ABP1. In this study we aim to clarify the discrepancy between the dramatic embryothal phenotype of the originally described abp1 knockout mutants and the newly identified loss-of-function alleles.

Material and methods
Plant material and growth conditions
Arabidopsis thaliana mutants used in this study were: abp1-1 (Chen et al., 2001), abp1-1s (NASC accession N16148), abp1-c1, abp1-TD1 (Gao et al., 2015), bsm1-1 (Babiychuk et al., 1997). A. thaliana Col-0 wild type seeds were obtained from The Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre (NASC; http://arabidopsis.info). The seeds were vernalized for 3 days in the dark at 4°C. Plants were grown under long-day conditions (16-h light/8-h dark cycles) at 22°C in soil (Potgrond P) : perlite 4 : 1 substrate and watered regularly with tap water.

For selection of abp1-1, abp1-1s and bsm1-1 heterozygous plants, seeds were surface-sterilized with chlorine vapor and plated on 1/2 MS agar medium (pH 5.9) containing 1% (w/v) sucrose and 25 µg/mL kanamycin according to Harrison et al. (2006).

Coverage at the ABP1 locus
Data from two publicly available short read libraries (NCBI Short Reads Archive accessions SRX759525 and SRX703650) from A. thaliana re-sequencing experiments were downloaded and mapped to the deposited reference genome TAIR10 (accessions NC_003070, NC_003071, NC_003074, NC_003075, NC_003076) using the Bowtie 2 plugin within the Geneious software, version 7.1.7 (http://www.geneious.com). For the first analyzed short read library (accession SRX759525), mapping parameters were set to a local alignment (“--very-fast-local”) with multi-mapping allowed.
(value $k = 10$). For the second analyzed short read library (accession SRX703650), mapping parameters were set to end-to-end alignment and the best-match mapping option ($k = 1$) was used. In both cases the seed length ($L$) was set to 22 and number of mismatches ($N$) to 1. To maximize the mapping coverage short reads were mapped single-end. For all the remaining parameters the default Bowtie 2 values were used. For both alignments, the median coverage at the ABP1 locus (bases 1,319,656 - 1,321,477 of NC_003075) was compared to the surrounding 20 Kbp region (Figure 1A and 1B) and to the whole chromosome 4 (Figure 1C and Dataset 2 for SRX759525; Figure 1D and Dataset 3 for SRX703650). Mapping coverage is defined as the number of reads mapped to a given base. Coverage data from the Geneious software were exported and visualized using Matlab (v. R2011b).

**Figure 1.** *In silico* mapping showed normal short read coverage at the ABP1 locus. To test the hypothesis of hidden ABP1 duplicates in the genome of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, two short reads libraries from *A. thaliana* re-sequencing projects (NCBI accession numbers SRX759525 and SRX703650) were separately aligned to the reference *Arabidopsis* genome (TAIR10) using the BOWTIE 2 suit using different mapping parameter sets (see the Methods section). (A, B) The coverage of the 20 kbp consensus sequence within the chromosome 4 that surrounds ABP1 is shown for the two libraries: SRX759525 (A) and SRX703650 (B). (C, D) The overall distribution of the base coverage within the chromosome 4 is shown below. The median coverage at the ABP1 locus is highlighted in light blue and is well within the expected coverage values for both SRX759525 (C) and SRX703650 (D).
Genotyping mutants
The T-DNA insertional mutants were genotyped by using a PCR-based method (Alonso et al., 2003). Amplification of PCR products was made using Phire Plant Direct PCR Kit (obtained from Thermo Scientific by Finnzymes, Espoo, Finland) following manufacturer’s instructions for the dilution protocol and using Bio-Rad T100 Thermal Cycler. The PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation for 5 min. at 98°C and subsequent 40 cycles: denaturation for 5 s at 98°C, annealing for 10 s at the respective annealing temperature for each primer set (calculated with the Thermo Scientific Tm calculator; available at www.thermofisher.com), elongation for 30 s at 72°C and final elongation for 1 min. at 72°C.

Genotyping primers were as follows: cdsBSM_F and nptII_R for the bsm mutation, ABP1_3UTR_FOR and WiscDsLoc_REV for the abp1-1 mutation, WiscDsLoc_REV and qBSM_R2 for the abp1-1s mutation. Genotyping of abp1-cl and abp1-TDI mutants was described previously (Gao et al., 2015). Sequences of all primers used in this study are listed in Table 1. Purified PCR products from plants positive for the presence of the T-DNA insertion were sequenced using a commercial service (LGC genomics, www.lgcgenomics.com). Primers used for sequencing were the same as for PCR. Sequence reads were aligned against A. thaliana genome (TAIR 10) using the BLAST tool (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). The position of the mutation was identified at the border of the sequence part aligned to the ABP1/BSM locus acquired from the TAIR database (https://www.arabidopsis.org), visualized with the SnapGene Viewer software version 2.8.2 (http://www.snapgene.com/products/snapgene_viewer) and edited in MS Power Point 2010.

Table 1. Primers used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotyping primers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSKTAIL-L3</td>
<td>ATACGACGGATCGTAATTTGTCG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABP1-U409F</td>
<td>CCTCATCACAACAAAGTCACTC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABP1-586R</td>
<td>GGAGCCAGCAACAGTCAATGTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cBSM_F</td>
<td>AAAAACCTTCAGCGCTTCCTCTAA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nptII_R</td>
<td>AGCCCAACGCTATGTCCTTAGT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABP1-3-UTR_FOR</td>
<td>GTATCTAGTGTTGCCAAACAACCTCAAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WiscDsLoc_REV</td>
<td>TCCCAACAGTGCGCACCTGAATG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qBSM_R2</td>
<td>CCCAGCTTTGTGAAGCCATTAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for qRT-PCR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qBSM_F2</td>
<td>TTTTCTTCTCGCTCGGTAAGAATTG</td>
<td>BSM gene (At4g02990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qBSM_R2</td>
<td>CCAACCTCTGGTAAGCCATTAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2E</td>
<td>TTGCCAATCGTAGGAATTTAG</td>
<td>ABP1 gene (At4g02980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABP1-586R</td>
<td>GGAGCCAGCAACAGTCAATGTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUB-2_F3</td>
<td>TAACAACCTGGGCCAAGGACAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUB-2_R3</td>
<td>ACAAACCTGGGCCAAGGACAC</td>
<td>TUB2 (At5g62690)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15 s at 60°C, elongation for 15 s at 72°C followed by high resolution melting analysis. Gene expression was calculated with the 2−ΔΔCT method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001). Individual experiments were made in a technical triplicate.

Analysis of embryo defects
To compare the stage of embryo arrest, seeds from siliques of the heterozygous abp1-1 and bsm plants in the 7th to 8th developmental stage were used. For evaluation of embryo development, seeds from the siliques in the 3rd to 5th developmental stage were used. Siliques were dissected using a sharp needle and seeds were extracted and transferred onto microscopic slides into the drop of the Hoyer’s solution (http://www.seedgenes.org/Tutorial.html) and cleared for 1 h similarly as described (Friml et al., 2003). The embryos were analyzed under 20× magnification using a digital camera system of the Olympus BX53 microscope by Normanski optics (Differential Interference Contrast (DIC) light microscopy). Images were processed in the ImageJ software, v. 1.48 (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/) and mounted in Adobe Illustrator CS5.1.

Allelic test
Approximately 4-week-old plants were used for crossing. Flowering recipient plants were emasculated 2 days before pollination. Crosses were generated by manual pollination. Green siliques were dissected 8 days after pollination and number of white and green seeds was counted and their ratio calculated.

Results
No hidden copy of the ABP1 gene can be found in the genome of A. thaliana
One of the possibilities explaining at least some of the recent ABP1 controversies, in particular the strong phenotypes of the conditional alleles, is the presence of a second, non-annotated ABP1 gene copy in the genome of A. thaliana that is functionally redundant and not disrupted in the abp1-c1 and abp1-TD1 alleles. Highly similar copies of the ABP1 gene are present in the annotated genomes of maize (Zea mays), rice (Oryza sativa) or poplar (Populus trichocarpa) (http://phytozone.jgi.doe.gov). During genome assembly, highly similar sequences such as transposons or recently duplicated genes could collapse into one copy resulting in no annotation of the duplicated copy (Stephane Rombauts, personal communication, January 2015). A non-annotated second copy of the ABP1 gene is also present in the sequenced genome of the moss Physcomitrella patens (http://phytozone.jgi.doe.gov) raising the possibility that a similar situation could take a place in the A. thaliana genome.

We hypothesized that in case of a second non-annotated copy of ABP1 in the A. thaliana genome, the short reads coverage at the ABP1 locus would be doubled compared to the neighboring DNA regions and to the coverage of most other loci on the chromosome. To address this question we mapped short reads from two publicly available short read libraries from A. thaliana re-sequencing projects to the annotated Arabidopsis reference genome (TAIR 10) and analyzed the coverage at the ABP1 locus.

As depicted (Figure 1), the number of aligned short reads at the ABP1 locus is comparable with the short read coverage of the neighboring sequences and is well within the range of most common coverage values for loci on chromosome 4 indicating that no hidden copy of ABP1 is present in the A. thaliana genome.

ABP1 is tightly linked with a closely-located gene encoding for plastid-localized mTERF protein BSM important for embryogenesis
Another possibility to explain phenotypic discrepancies between different abp1 mutant alleles is a disruption of another gene in addition to ABP1 that would be responsible for the strong phenotypes in the abp1-1 and abp1-1s alleles. As it can be seen on the ABP1 locus map (Figure 2A), the ABP1 gene is closely located to its neighboring gene BSM in a head-to-head orientation with translational start codons and 5’-UTR regions of the two genes being just 708 bp and 381 bp respectively apart from each other. BSM encodes the mitochondrial transcription termination factor (mTERF)-like protein responsible for mitochondrial transcription termination in humans. In plants, mutants disrupting the BSM gene have been shown to be embryo-lethal (Babiychuk et al., 2011), therefore we suspected that the BSM gene is the most likely off-target of mutations in the abp1-1 and abp1-1s alleles.

To look into this possibility closely, we mapped the relative position of individual mutations within the ABP1/BSM locus (Figure 2A). Surprisingly, we found that the T-DNA insertion in abp1-1s mutant is in fact located in the 5’-UTR of the BSM gene. Because ABP1 and BSM share a common promoter region, we hypothesized that the T-DNA insertion in abp1-1 could also disrupt the expression of the BSM gene thus causing the described embryonic lethality. Notably, the T-DNA insertion in the wild type-like allele abp1-TD1 is located just 24 bp apart from the abp1-1 T-DNA insertion and is even closer to the BSM gene. We speculated that the dramatic phenotypic difference between both closely positioned T-DNA-based insertions might be caused by the presence of multiplied 35S enhancers within the T-DNA construct in the abp1-TD1, because this allele was recovered from the activation tagging Arabidopsis mutant collection (SASKATOON) and harbors multiplied enhancers near the right T-DNA border on the inserted T-DNA element (Figure 2B).

To see whether the expression of the BSM gene in homozygous abp1-TD1 plants was affected by the T-DNA insertion, we performed a qRT-PCR analysis. Surprisingly, the BSM transcript levels in abp1-TD1 were not substantially affected by the T-DNA insertion and were comparable to wild type Col-0 plants (Figure 2B). On the other hand, the expression of the ABP1 gene was abolished in both abp1-c1 and abp1-TD1 homozygous plants as reported previously (Gao et al., 2015). For abp1-1, abp1-1s or bsm mutants it was only possible to evaluate the BSM expression in the heterozygous plants (due to the lethality of homozygous mutants) and this showed no substantial differences compared to wild type plants (Dataset 1).

Therefore, it is possible that while in the abp1-TD1 allele the expression of the BSM gene is rescued by its ectopic expression driven by 35S enhancers within the T-DNA, in the abp1-1 allele, where no such a mechanism exists, the expression of both genes may be disrupted. In addition, the abp1-c1 null allele only contains a small 5 bp deletion at the end of the first exon of ABP1 which is
Figure 2. **ABP1 is tightly linked with its neighboring gene BSM.** (A) Map of the ABP1/BSM locus showing the mapped position of existing loss-of-function mutant alleles (red arrowheads) relative to the ABP1 translation start. Note that the T-DNA insertion in abp1-1s allele is located in the 5′-UTR region of the BSM gene. Positions of the forward primers ABP1-586R (F1) and qBSM_F2 (F2) and reverse primers A2E (R1) and qBSM_R2 (R2) used for the qRT-PCR are indicated by red arrows. (B) Quantitative real-time PCR of the ABP1 (left graph) and BSM (right graph) shows relative transcript levels in wild-type Col-0, abp1-c1 and abp1-TD1 seedlings. The reference gene was TUB2 (At5g62690). The transcript levels were calculated using 2-ΔΔCT method. The data represent average relative quantity values of three technical replicates, and the bars denote standard errors. For wild-type Col-0 plants data from 2 biological replicates are shown.

less likely to have any effect on the BSM gene compared to a few kB long T-DNA insertions in other alleles. Thus it is plausible that in abp1-1 and abp1-1s, both ABP1 and BSM genes are disrupted.

**abp1 and bsm knock-out mutants show similar defects in embryo development**

To compare the embryo-lethal phenotypes of abp1-1 and abp1-1s alleles with the embryo-lethal phenotype of the bsm1-1 T-DNA insertional knock-out allele, we analyzed the embryo development in siliques of abp1-1, abp1-1s and bsm1-1 heterozygous plants along with homozygous new abp1 knock-out alleles.

The inactivation of BSM gene by T-DNA insertion has been shown to cause an embryo arrest at the late globular stage of development (Babiychuk et al., 2011). In the abp1-1 mutants the embryo arrest has been reported at the early globular 32-cell stage in 25% of immature seeds (Chen et al., 2001). In both cases the homozygous mutant embryos never reach the heart-shape stage, indicating prevention in vertical elongation and subsequent anticlinal placement of division planes in the lower tier of cells. Other characteristic features reported in abp1-1 embryos have been connected with failure in degeneration of the suspensor structure and ectopic anticlinal divisions of basal cells resulting in longer suspensors at the later stages (Chen et al., 2001).

Inspection of embryos at different stages from the homozygous abp1-c1 and abp1-TD1 plants did not reveal any aberrations in the embryo development as compared to the wild type embryos (Figure 3) consistent with the lack of obvious postembryonic phenotypes (Gao et al., 2015). Also, no white aborted seeds were found in the older siliques of these plants (Supplementary Figure 1).

Opening and inspection of the older siliques in abp1-1, abp1-1s and bsm1-1 heterozygous plants did not reveal any visible differences between those alleles. In all three cases, the siliques carried approximately 25% of white aborted seeds (see Figure 4) containing developmentally arrested embryos (Figure 3). Analysis of the younger embryonic stages did not reveal any significant differences between
Figure 3. *abp1-1* and *bsm* mutants show similar defects during embryo development. Seeds from the siliques of *abp1-1+/−*(A–H), *bsm+/−*(I–L), *abp1-TD1+/−*(M–P), and *abp1-c1−/−*(R–U) plants at different developmental stages were isolated and cleared in Hoyer's solution and screened for defects in embryo development using Nomarski optics. Wild type-looking embryos in the seeds of *abp1-1* mutant (A–D) showed normal developmental progression: the late globular stage (A), early heart (B), torpedo (C) as well as the mature embryo stage (D). At the globular stage we started to observe differences in embryo development between different mutants earliest manifested by periclinal divisions in protodermal cells (arrows) (A, E, I, M). When most of the wild-type embryos entered the early heart stage (B) some *abp1-1* embryos, from the same siliques as (B) showed abnormal cell divisions (F), also visible in *bsm* (J) (arrows) but not in the *abp1-TD1* (N) or *abp1-c1* mutant (S). At the later stages of embryo development, when wild-type embryos in *abp1-1* (C, D) as well as embryos in *abp1-TD1* (O, P) and *abp1-c1* (T, U) reached the torpedo (C, O, T) and mature stage (D, P, U), the mutant *abp1-1* (G, H) and *bsm* (K, L) embryos were still arrested at the late globular stage. While the *bsm* mutant embryos show signs of apical basal polarity, *abp1-1* embryos formed ball-shaped symmetric structure. Also, abnormal cell divisions in the suspensor cells were visible (arrows). Scale bars, 25 µm.
the analyzed alleles. The obvious embryo defects at the early globular stage were infrequent, and in both abp1 and bsm mutants started to be more pronounced at the late globular stage manifested by the failure of directional cell elongation leading to ball-shaped embryos at later stages. Another characteristic feature for both mutants was a disrupted cell division pattern with frequent periclinal divisions of outer layer cells (Figure 3B). At the later stages of embryo development, bsm mutant embryos showed some degree of apical-basal polarity by forming sometimes oval-shaped structures while abp1-1 mutant embryos continue to divide non-directionally forming more symmetrically ball-shaped embryos. These differences could be explained either by different backgrounds of the two mutant alleles (C24 in the case of bsm and Wassilewskija in the case of abp1-1, respectively) or by simultaneous disruption of both ABP1 and BSM genes in the abp1-1 mutant that may produce stronger effect as compared to a single BSM loss-of-function mutation in bsm1-1. Despite these minor differences at the very late embryo stages, both mutations generated indistinguishable cell elongation and cell division pattern defects starting in both cases at the globular stage resulting in similar embryo-lethal phenotypes.

### Dysfunction of the BSM gene is responsible for embryonic lethality of the abp1-1 and abp1-1s mutants

As the embryo-lethal phenotypes of abp1 and bsm mutants are indistinguishable from each other, we tested whether these phenotypes might be due to the mutations affecting the same gene or
they are independently embryolethal. To address this hypothesis we performed allelic crosses between the bsm and abp1-1 as well as abp1-1s line and looked for the presence of white seeds carrying defective embryos within immature silique 8 days after pollination of emasculated flowers. We assumed that if the mutations affect the same gene it will not be possible to complement the embryolethal phenotype of abp1-1 or abp1-1s mutants with a functional ABP1 allele from the bsm mutant which will result in the segregation of approximately 25% not developing (white) seeds in the F1 generation.

After dissection of silique of bsm1-1 × abp1-1, bsm1-1 × abp1-1s or control crosses bsm1-1 × bsm1-1 and abp1-1s × abp1-1, we observed the presence of clearly distinguishable white seeds (Figure 4A–E). The segregation ratio of 1:4 white: green seeds was observed (Table in Figure 4, Supplementary Table 1) which is in perfect agreement with the Mendelian genetic laws implying that abp1-1 and abp1-1s mutants do not carry a functional BSM gene. On the other hand, when crossed with wild type (Col-0), abp1-c1 or abp1-TD1 mutants, more than 99% of seeds in the silique were green, indicating that a functional copy of the BSM gene present in these lines was able to complement the embryolethal phenotype of bsm1-1, abp1-1 and abp1-1s lines (Figure 4F–M).

In summary, these observations show that the described embryolethal phenotype of the abp1-1 and abp1-1s lines is caused by a disruption in the BSM gene.

**Discussion**

**Embryo-lethal phenotypes of early abp1 knock-outs are caused by disruption of BSM**

Since its discovery, the biological importance of the ABP1 protein as a plasma membrane auxin receptor has been a matter of debate, in part because of its predominant ER localization in plant cells where the conditions for auxin binding are unfavorable (Habets & Offringa, 2015; Napier et al., 2002). Nonetheless, after the two independently isolated Arabidopsis abp1 loss-of-function alleles were reported to be embryolethal (Chen et al., 2001; www.seed-genes.org/SeedGeneProfile?geneSymbol=ABP1), the crucial importance of this protein in cell division and elongation was accepted. This view was challenged by the isolation of two new knock-out alleles (Gao et al., 2015) that harbor mutations in a close vicinity to the previously published insertions and show no obvious phenotypes.

The phenotype of the originally reported abp1-1 mutant was reported to be complemented by the 35S:ABP1 overexpression construct (Chen et al., 2001). However, despite multiple attempts it was not possible to repeat this complementation either with constructs for overexpression of the wild type ABP1 copy or by the genomic fragment (Grones et al., 2015). Altogether, these findings implied that there exists another cause for the drastic phenotypes present in the abp1-1 and abp1-1s mutants.

Here we show that the neighboring gene BSM, which has been shown previously to be crucial for embryogenesis in Arabidopsis (Babiyuchuk et al., 2011) is likely to also be disrupted by the T-DNA insertions in the original abp1-1 and abp1-1s mutants, but not in the newly isolated loss-of-function lines abp1-c1 and abp1-TD1. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the mutant embryos of abp1-1 and abp1-1s as well as the loss-of-function bsm1-1 allele showed similar embryo phenotypes and are arrested at the globular stage as it was shown in the original studies (Babiyuchuk et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2001).

By allelic complementation experiments we showed that the embryolethal phenotype of the original abp1-1 and abp1-1s alleles can be complemented by the functional copy of the BSM gene that is present in the new abp1-c1 and abp1-TD1 alleles, but not by the bsm loss-of-function or the abp1-1 mutants themselves. Therefore, the originally described abp1 mutants are, indeed, loss-of-function alleles of the BSM gene and at least abp1-1 is a double loss-of-function mutant of ABP1 and BSM. Thus, the embryo-lethal phenotype previously attributed to the abp1 loss-of-function alleles is a result of the mutation in the neighboring BSM gene. For this reason, we also propose to re-annotate the abp1-1 and abp1-1s alleles as abp1-1/bsm1-2 and bsm1-3 (for this line it remains unclear if the insertion disrupts both ABP1 and BSM expression), respectively.

**Functional importance of the ABP1 pathway**

The abp1 and bsm allelic test together with no embryonic defects in the true abp1 knock-out lines makes it clear that no role has
been identified for ABP1 in early embryogenesis. However, this clarification of abp1 knock-out genotypes does not per se undermine all experimentation with ABP1 genetic tools. The other ABP1 genotypes comprise conditional and constitutive gain-of-function alleles, two types of conditional knock-downs, as well as a weak abp1-5 point mutation (Braun et al., 2008; Covanova et al., 2013; Grones et al., 2015; Robert et al., 2010; Tromas et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2010). In several analyzed cellular processes including auxin-dependent ROP-GTPase activation, auxin-regulated endocytosis, E3 ligase-mediated ubiquitination, or cortical microtubule reorientation (Robert et al., 2010; Sassi et al., 2014; Tromas et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2014) this genetic material produced fully internally consistent data sets. Furthermore, loss-of-function mutants in TMK receptor-like protein kinases, which interact with ABP1 in an auxin-inducible manner, show largely overlapping phenotypes with abp1 mutants (Xu et al., 2014). Altogether, these data support the importance of the ABP1/TMK auxin perception and signaling mechanism in plant development and physiology. One possible explanation for the absence of obvious phenotype defects in true abp1 knock-outs is a presence of another copy of the ABP1 gene in the genome of A. thaliana that could escape the gene annotation during genome assembly and that could stay undetected by Southern blot analysis if the two copies were located close together. However, by in silico analysis of the ABP1 locus coverage we excluded this possibility. Other possible ways to reconcile the absent phenotype defects in the knock-outs with observations from the other genetic material include a genetic compensation mechanism that can be triggered by independent deleterious loss-of-function mutations but not by the genetic knock-downs of the same genes as shown for example in zebrafish (Rossi et al., 2015). Such compensation machinery could involve previously largely overlooked ABP1-like proteins from the germin family that are not a close sequence homologs of ABP1 but share some common characteristic features and some of them have been identified by their binding to auxin (reviewed in Napier et al., 2002).

Importantly, with the controversy of the embryonic phenotypes in different abp1 mutant alleles clarified, we can move on and with the updated genetic toolbox clarify the role of ABP1 in auxin signaling and plant development. The high affinity binding of ABP1 to auxin, universal occurrence of the ABP1 genes in the genomes from algae to higher plants and its highly conserved structure argue for its importance throughout the plant kingdom and promise further interesting discoveries.
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**Supplementary Table 1**

Allelic test between *A. thaliana bsm* and abp1 knock-out mutants. Complete table with number of scored seeds for all crosses made. The percentage of white seeds from the total number of scored seeds is shown in brackets for each tested combination. N/A = data not available, ♀ = plant was used as a pollen recipient, ♂ = plant was used as a pollen donor.

Click here to access the data.

**Supplementary Figure 1**

Dissected immature siliques of abp1-TD1 and abp1-c1 mutants.

Click here to access the data.
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After publication of an article by Gao and colleagues earlier this year, several published results dealing with the role of ABP1 in auxin signaling and plant development became a matter of doubt. This also concerns the embryo-lethal phenotypes that have been attributed to a loss of ABP1 function in abp1-1 and abp1-1s alleles - quite the opposite of the wild type appearance of the likely abp1-1c and abp1-TD1 null alleles, described by Gao and colleagues. These contradicting results predict off-target effects that would cause phenotypes associated with abp1-1 and abp1-1s, and the authors of this study attempted to identify such targets.

From the results presented in this manuscript it appears that functional disruption of the gene, BSM located proximal to ABP1, is responsible for abp1-1/abp1-1s embryo-lethal phenotypes. This is indicated by genetic complementation analyses, demonstrating that neither abp1-1 nor abp1-1s complement segregation of bsm1-1 embryo-lethal phenotypes, when analyzing progeny of crosses. On the other hand, when introducing bsm1-1 into abp1-c1 and abp1-TD1, the authors observed complementation of embryo-lethal phenotypes. This provides genetic evidence for a scenario in which phenotypes associated with abp1-1 and abp1-1s result from disruption of the BSM1 locus.

This is an important and timely report, summarizing experiments that certainly will contribute to our understanding of ABP1 function in Arabidopsis. Specifically, it finally establishes an off-target candidate locus, responsible for abp1 mutant phenotypes that have been an integral part of a number of published studies.

With respect to the title:
"early abp1 mutants" sounds a bit ambiguous. The authors might consider "original abp1 mutants" instead
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This manuscript examines the genotypic basis behind distinctly different phenotypes which have been reported for arabidopsis mutant lines each annotated as \textit{abp1} knock-out/loss-of-function alleles. The various mutant lines as homozygotes have been reported over time both as conferring embryo lethality and as displaying no obvious phenotype. Naturally, each outcome translates into very different interpretations of the function and relevance of ABP1. Consequently, clarification of the genotypes behind each phenotype is welcome. This paper maps the mutation sites in each allele and ties embryo lethality to disruption of the adjacent gene \textit{BSM}. Thus, ABP1 is not necessary for embryo development in \textit{arabidopsis}. I applaud the clarity of the conclusion and the suggestion to rename the misleading lines.

The title is appropriate and factual. The abstract is appropriate. The experimental strategy is direct and sound, methods are described well and suitable statistical analyses have been employed. All the data are presented clearly and are accessible. The text is clear and well structured.

Following the conclusion drawn from the data presented here, there is a discussion on how this and the report of Gao \textit{et al} affect our understanding of the biological role of ABP1 \textit{in planta}. It is clear that in the case of ABP1 molecular genetic techniques have provided misleading genotypes. Thank goodness this is now cleared up. The case made in the discussion is that these specific false leads do not undermine all ABP1 results where the results are based on different genotypes and complementary tools. This is in stark contrast to the case suggested by the somewhat provocative title of the Gao paper. The phenotypes considered differ in timing and detail, but this paper and that of Gao \textit{et al} are linked by revelations clarifying \textit{ABP1} mutant genotypes. The contrasting perspective offered by the discussion contributes to a healthy, objective dialogue.

Minor points:
Throughout I believe ‘Normanski’ should read Nomarski optics.

In the results section, text associated with the 35S inserts from SASKATOON accessions should refer to Fig 2A (not 2B).

Technical replicates are used for the qPCR data, and where biological replicates are shown there is clearly more variation than between technical replicates, but interpretations of the result are not affected by this level of uncertainty. However, I am not sure the use of “Surprisingly” is necessary in the text (page 5, right column) considering the qPCR data for BSM transcripts in Fig 2B. “In contrast to the loss of ABP1 transcript…” might be more appropriate at this stage of the narrative. Perhaps reordering consideration of the two sets of data (especially given the ABP1 data are shown first in the figure) would help here.
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This article provides a clear introduction to the current controversy regarding the Auxin Binding Protein1 (ABP1). The authors have carried out a thorough genetic analysis which shows that the embryo lethality of the \textit{abp1-1} and \textit{abp1-1s} mutants previously assigned to the loss of ABP1 function is in fact due to loss of the neighbouring gene, \textit{BELAYA SMERT (BSM)}.
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