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**Abstract**

*E. coli* strains 4s, F5 and F17, whose O antigens are structurally characterized and shown to effectively shield the cell surface from bacteriophage attack, were used as the hosts to isolate novel RB49-like bacteriophages. Three novel phage isolates were obtained, and their genomes were sequenced and annotated. Despite high overall identity levels of these genomic sequences, the variants of large distal tail fiber subunit, orthologous to the bacteriophage T2 long tail receptor recognition protein gp38, were unique for each phage, suggesting their role in host range determination. The annotated genomes are available via NCBI Genbank, acc. numbers MZ504876-MZ504878.
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Introduction
The threat of rapidly spreading microbial resistance to antibacterial drugs has revitalized the interest to the usage of bacteriophages as biocontrol agents for agriculture (Allen et al. 2013), aquaculture (D’Accolti et al. 2021), phage therapy in humans and animals (Abedon 2018, Clokie and Mary Ann Liebert Inc. 2020) and other applications.

One of the main limitations of phage-based biocontrol technologies is narrow host range of most of bacteriophages. T-even-related bacteriophages, including RB49-like viruses, are widely spread in nature and feature significant sequence flexibility in the receptor recognition proteins (RBPs), coupled with an high overall homology of the other regions in their genomes (Comeau et al. 2007). This makes them attractive platforms for developing systems of artificial host range management.

The paradigm of the host cell recognition by T-even-like phages was studied in great detail on the bacteriophage T4 model system. The infection of the host cell by T4 is initiated by binding of the tips of the phage long tail fibers (LTFs) to their cognate receptor molecules (OmpC) (Hu et al. 2015). This initial binding triggers the baseplate rearrangement and deployment of the short tail fibers (Taylor et al. 2016) that bind to different receptors tightly fixing the virion on the cell surface to prevent it from been pushed out by the contraction of the phage tail.

The bioinformatic and experimental evidence suggest that in other T-even-like phages the outline of the early stages of infection is essentially the same as in T4, however in most of these viruses (including RB49 and related phages) the recognition of LTF receptors is performed not by the C-terminal domain of the trimeric gp37 protein forming the distal moiety of the LTF, but by a tiny monomeric protein gp38 attached to the end of the gp37 trimer (Trojet et al. 2011, Hyman and van Raaij 2018).

Interestingly, the above-described host recognition scenario was mainly evaluated by studying the phage T4 infection of the laboratory E. coli strains that are depleted of the O antigen biosynthesis, such are the derivatives of K-12 or B strains (Tetart et al. 2001). At the same time evidence accumulates that many types of E. coli O antigen serve as an effective shields restricting the access of the phage receptor-recognition structures to the receptors, located at the outer membrane surface (Peng et al. 2007, Golomidova et al. 2021) (Broeker and Barbirz 2017). The penetration through this shield requires specific recognition and, in some cases, enzymatic degradation of the O polysaccharide, by the phage RBPs (Letarov and Kulikov 2017, Kulikov et al. 2019). In order to evaluate the mechanisms employed by T-even-like bacteriophages to attack the O antigen-producing E. coli strains it is necessary to obtain such phages infective against the host strains in which the protective features of the O antigens were studied in some detail. We previously investigated the structure and protectivity of the O antigens of several wild type isolates of E. coli, including the strains 4s (Knirel et al. 2015, Kulikov et al. 2017), F5 (Golomidova et al. 2019), and F17 (Knirel et al. 2019). Here we report the isolation and sequencing of three RB49-like bacteriophages able to infect these strains. Novel viruses with high degree of overall similarity but featuring different RBP variants serve as useful model systems for in-depth analysis of T-even phage strategies used to circumvent O antigen protection of the host cell, efficiently shielded otherwise.

Methods
Three previously characterized E. coli strains featuring different O antigen types were used for bacteriophage isolation. These were: O28 strain F5 (Golomidova et al. 2019), E. coli F17, producing a novel O antigen type (Knirel et al. 2019) and O22 strain 4s (Knirel et al. 2015). To prepare concentrated phage stocks the relevant host culture was propagated at 37°C with agitation up to OD600 = 0.2, and inoculated with phage at multiplicity of infection (moi) = 0.1. The culture was incubated under the same conditions until the lysis was observed, then 0.05 volume of chlorophorm was added and the lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 10 000 g for 10 min.

The bacteriophages were isolated by direct plating of the commercial therapeutical bacteriophage preparation “Intestibakteriofag” (Mikrogen, Russia) that is marketed as a broad range therapeutic phage cocktail (active against Shigella flexneri, S. sonnei, S. paratyphi A, S. paratyphi B, Salmonella typhimurium, S. infantis, S. choleraesuis, S. oranienburg, S. enteritidis, E. coli, P. vulgaris, P. mirabilis, Enterococcus sp., Staphylococcus, P. aeruginosa) (https://www.microgen.ru/products/bakteriofagi/intestibakteriofag/). This preparation was titrated to single plaques by the conventional double-layer plating technique using three above-mentions E. coli strains as the hosts. All the methods for phage isolation were as described in Kulikova et al. (2007). PCR assay with RB49 g38 specific primers cttgctggatgag ccaattcgcggacgccttaaagattattcattatacaga-gp38C(RB49)-F and ccttttaagggtatttattcacattacgcgagcaccgtaga-gp38C(RB49)-R was used to pick RB49-like phage candidates for further study.
Transmission electron microscopy study was performed using uranyl acetate negative contrast as described in (Kulikov et al. 2014).

To extract the DNA the lysates were treated with DNase (0.01 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) for 30 min at room temperature and the phages were collected by ultracentrifugation (1 h, 75000 g). Viral genomic DNA was extracted from the pellets with CTAB (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide) as described in (Kulikov et al. 2020) using. DNA quality and quantity was assessed by agarose gel analysis and Qubit dsDNA HS fluorometer assay (Qubit, USA). The phage genomic DNA libraries were prepared and sequenced using an Ion Torrent sequencer system (Applied Biosystems, USA) with 400-fold coverage and a median read length of 185 bp. The raw reads from the run were then combined and filtered using the spectral alignment error correction tool SAET 3 (Pevzner et al. 2001). This yielded 157,407-163,568 reads per library with average 190-fold coverage. Primary assembly was conducted with Newbler version 3.0 (Roche Diagnostics, USA), resulting in a single contigs.

Annotation was performed using Prokka (Seemann 2014) with further manual curation. Potential open reading frames (ORFs) were detected by use of SnapGene (GSL Biotech, USA) and subsequently analyzed with HMMER, HHPrED (Soding et al. 2005) (MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit), BLAST (Altschul et al. 1990), tRNA scan-SE (Lowe and Eddy 1997).

A subset of 25 highly similar RB49-like phages using with blastN search with RB49 genome sequence as a query was retrieved from GenBank. The thresholds used were 93% of the query coverage and the average nucleotide identity over aligned segments 92%. Full genome nucleotide alignment and phylogenetic tree reconstruction were performed with NGPhylogeny.fr web services. The genome of RB49 and the bacteriophage genomes obtained in this work were aligned and visualized with Clinker v0.0.21 and clustermap.js (Gilchrist and Chooi 2021).

Results
The direct plating of the commercial therapeutical phage mixture on the lawns of the \(E.\ coli\) strains 4s, F5 and F17 yielded phage plaques. PCR screening with the primers hybridizing to bacteriophage RB49 g38 sequence was performed and about half of the plaques were found positive. One PCR-positive phage isolate per host strain was selected and purified by repeated single plaque isolation. The obtained bacteriophage strains were named as follows: F5-derived phage – Cognac49, F17-derived phage – Whisky49 and 4s-derived phage – Brandy49. The concentrated phage stocks were grown and analyzed by transmission electron microscopy. The bacteriophages were morphologically undistinguishable (Figure 1) having typical appearance of T-even-like viruses (Ackermann and Krisch 1997, Comeau et al. 2007) that was compatible with their expected relatedness to the phage RB49.

The genomic DNA was extracted from the phage stocks and sequenced using the Ion Torrent technology. The assembly procedure yielded a single contig for each of the bacteriophages with the coverage level > 190. Since T-even related phages feature unlimited circular permutations (Comeau et al. 2007), the start of each genomes was set arbitrary according to the standard representation of the RB49 genome. The main features of the genomes assembled are listed in the Table 1.

Gene functions were predicted based on the existing annotations of RB49-like phages producing the best blastN hits for each of the genomes for Brandy49: Phi1 (nucleotide identity 95.77%), vB_EcoM_011D4 (95.57%), kaaroe (96.86%);

![Figure 1. Virion morphology of the phages Cognac49, Whisky49 and Brandy49 as revealed by transmission electron microscopy (1% uranyl acetate in methanol as a negative stain).](image-url)
Table 1. Genomic features of the bacteriophages under study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phage</th>
<th>Genome length, bp</th>
<th>Number of ORFs annotated</th>
<th>Average GC content, %</th>
<th>GenBank accession number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brandy49</td>
<td>169,233</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>MZ504876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognac49</td>
<td>164,033</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>MZ504877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whisky49</td>
<td>165,617</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>MZ504878</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Schematic alignment of the genomes of the phages RB49, Cognac49, Whisky49 and Brandy49. The grayscale indicates the level of the amino acid identity. The ORF colors reflect the groups of homology as revealed by Clinker software.
for Cognac49: Sf20 (98.04%), RB49 (97.59%), KFS-EC (97.79%); for Whisky49: vB_EcoM_011D4 (97.76%), Sf20 (98.38%), GEC-3S (98.17%); query cover >95% for all comparisons. The annotations were then manually curated.

Scan by tRNAscan-SE detected no tRNAs in phage genomes.

The comparison of three genomes to the bacteriophage RB49 (Figure 2) revealed that 42 ORFs shared identical aa sequences in all four genomes, another 140 ORFs were also very similar with the aa identity ≥ 0.90. The phages share also 71 more distantly related ORFs with aa identity levels ≥ 0.50 but ≤ 0.90. This result indicates that the isolated bacteriophages are closely related to each other.

About 56 ORFs making the difference between these three phages (Figure 2), mostly encode for hypothetical proteins with unknown function. Not all RB49 ORFs or their homologues are present in all phages. A trace of recombination event was detected in genome of Cognac49 phage. An integration of foreign genetic material occurred within DNA polymerase gene, which is essential for phage viability. This insertion contains an 825 bp ORF predicted to be an HNH homing nuclease, often present within self-splicing introns. Rfam search (Kalvari et al. 2021) yielded no significant hits, while the analysis of putative secondary structures of corresponding RNA revealed a complex pattern of the stem-loop structures suggesting that insertion could be a bacterial type I intron containing homing nuclease. Ten ORFs with unknown function present in RB49, Brandy49 and Whisky49 are missing in genome of Cognac49, spanning the region homologous to NP_891764.1-NP_891775.1 in RB49.

![Figure 3. Phylogeny of whole genomic nucleotide sequences of a subset of RB49-like bacteriophages.](image-url)
High similarity of the studied phages to RB49 allows us to classify them as follows: Realm: Duplodnaviria, Kingdom: Heunggongvirae, Phylum: Uroviricota, Class: Caudoviricetes, Order: Caudovirales, Family: Myoviridae, Subfamily: Tevenvirinae, Genus: Krischvirus; \textit{Escherichia} virus RB49 type viruses, just as expected from g38 PCR and TEM results. The genomes of 25 bacteriophages closely related to RB49 were extracted from GenBank. Overall nucleotide phylogeny tree of this subset of the phage genomes with the addition of three genomes sequenced during this work is shown on the Figure 3.

Despite their close relatedness, the isolated bacteriophages showed marked differences in their host specificity. When they were cross-tested for the infectivity on all three host strains used, all three phages were found infective towards F5 strain, however, phage Whisky49 could form plaques on both \textit{E. coli} F5 and F17 strains, while Brandy49 had the broadest host range infecting all three host strains (been the only one forming plaques on the \textit{E. coli} 4s lawns). In all the cases the efficiency of plating was similar that observed on the respective isolation host strain.

The specificity of host cell recognition by most of the T-even-like phages is determined by two proteins – the LTF adhesin gp38 and by the short tail fiber protein gp12 (PMD: 21746838). The comparison of gp12 aa sequences from the bacteriophages under the study revealed them to be nearly identical to gp12 from RB49 phage (data not shown). At the same time the sequences of gp38 were found more diverged (Figure 4). Gp38 from the phage Brandy49 was more distant from the consensus sequence compared to other two viruses (Figure 4) that is correlated with a broader host range of this phage. Some of the aa polymorphisms were observed within the loops located between polyglycine stretches and predicted to be responsible for interactions with the receptor (Trojet \textit{et al}. 2011, Dunne \textit{et al}. 2018). Therefore, differences in the particular regions of gp38 sequence may explain different host specificities of these bacteriophages.

The characterization of three new viruses that been closely related to each other show distinct patterns of the infectivity towards \textit{E. coli} strain producing different types of the O antigen provides a useful model system for in-depth analysis of the strategies employed by T-even like phages to infect the host cells featuring effective non-specific protection of the outer membrane surfaces by this polysaccharide structure. The close relatedness of these phages to each other will

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{alignment.png}
\caption{Alignment of the aa sequences of gp38 LTF receptor recognition proteins. The aa residues that differ from the consensus are highlighted in red. Blue bars indicate polyglycine stretches and yellow bars the loops according to the structural data on phage S16 gp38 (Dunne \textit{et al}. 2018).}
\end{figure}
facilitate the comparison of the results of experiments aiming at deciphering fine mechanisms employed by RB49-like phages to penetrate the O antigen shields of different E. coli strains.

**Data availability**
Repository: NCBI Nucleotide database
Accession number: MZ504876 for Brandy49
Root URL: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore
Accession number URL: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MZ504876

Accession number: MZ504877 for Cognac49
Root URL: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore
Accession number URL: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MZ504877

Accession number: MZ504878 for Whisky49
Root URL: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore
Accession number URL: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MZ504878

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).
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The manuscript of Efimov et al. describes three new phages isolated against three E. coli strains expressing structurally different LPS O-antigens. While I find the manuscript interesting, I have a few major and many minor comments that I think the authors could consider:

Major comments
- It would be nice to have a picture of the O-unit structures of the three LPSs, just to see how they differ structurally.

- p.4: “Potential open reading frames (ORFs) were detected by use of SnapGene (GSL Biotech, USA) and subsequently analyzed with HMMER, HHPRED (Soding et al. 2005) (MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit), BLAST (Altschul et al. 1990), tRNAscan-SE (Lowe and Eddy 1997).” When describing the annotation of the genomes, I personally prefer using “predicted genes” or “predicted gene products” instead of ORFs. The genomes are full of ORFs in all six reading frames but only a small fraction of them contain potential genes. In addition, the predicted gene products were not analyzed with tRNAscan-SE, but the phage genomes were.

- The authors should discuss what role the different O-antigens play, if any, for the three phages. Is the LTF receptor an OMP, or is it an LPS structure? If it is OmpC or OmpF (as we identified for three Yersinia phages), for example, would it be possible to compare the sequences of these proteins of the three E. coli strains?

Minor comments

Page 3
- Chlorophorm ==> chloroform
- OD600 ==> OD_{600}
- Check the usage of italics for bacterial names, specifically for E. coli.
The sentence, “Viral genomic DNA was extracted from the pellets with CTAB (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide) as described in (Kulikov et al. 2020) using.” is not finished.

The sentence, “A subset of 25 highly similar RB49-like phages using with blastN search with RB49 genome sequence as a query was retrieved from GenBank.” needs attention. Perhaps like this: “A subset of 25 highly similar RB49-like phages identified with blastN search using the RB49 genome sequence as a query was retrieved from GenBank.”

Also, the next sentence is clumsy: “The thresholds used were 93% of the query coverage and the average nucleotide identity over aligned segments 92%.” Perhaps like this: “A threshold of 93% was used for the query coverage, and 92% for the average nucleotide identity over the aligned segments.”

“Gene functions were predicted based on…”, perhaps like this: “The functions of the gene products were predicted based on…”

Table 1 column: “Number of ORFs annotated” ==> Number of predicted genes.

Figure 2 caption: “The ORF colors” ==> The colors of the predicted genes.

query cover ==> query coverage

“Scan by tRNAscan-SE detected no tRNAs in phage genomes.” ==> tRNAscan-SE detected no tRNAs encoding genes in phage genomes.

This text is problematic: “The comparison of three genomes to the bacteriophage RB49 (Figure 2) revealed that 42 ORFs shared identical aa sequences in all four genomes, another 140 ORFs were also very similar with the aa identity ≥ 0.90. The phages share also 71 more distantly related ORFs with aa identity levels ≥ 0.50 but ≤ 0.90. This result indicates that the isolated bacteriophages are closely related to each other.” If you compare genomes, you use nucleotide sequences, if you compare predicted gene products, you use amino acid sequences. ORFs ==> predicted gene products. aa identity ==> aa sequence identity. Perhaps it would be more clear to use identity percentages instead of a 0-1 scale.

“About 56 ORFs making the difference between these three phages (Figure 2), mostly encode...” ==> “The three phages differed based on the presence or absence of altogether 56 predicted genes (Figure 2), most of which encode...”

were extracted from GenBank ==> were downloaded from GenBank

efficiency of plating was similar that observed ==> efficiency of plating was similar to that observed

Some of the aa polymorphisms ==> Some of the aa sequence polymorphisms

The sentence, “The characterization of three new viruses that been closely related to each other show distinct patterns of the infectivity towards E. coli strain producing different types of the O
antigen provides a useful model system for in-depth analysis of the strategies employed by T-even like phages to infect the host cells featuring effective non-specific protection of the outer membrane surfaces by this polysaccharide structure." is far too long and confusing. Better to split it into 2-3 shorter sentences.

- Figure 4 caption. “Blue bars indicate polyglycine stretches and yellow bars the loops”. The colors are mixed up: blue indicates the loops and yellow the polyglycines.
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The paper describes the sequencing and analysis of three T4-like phages capable of degradation of O-antigens of *E. coli*. The degradation of O-antigen and use of surface receptors by phage may play a crucial role in the host range of T4-like phages and their ability to be potential components of phage cocktails for phage therapy. The comparison of the sequences of isolated phages shed a light on their potential mechanisms involved in the broadening of host range among this group of phages.
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