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Abstract

Introduction: Hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC) is becoming a standard therapy in the treatment of peritoneal carcinomatosis (PC). Compared to systemic chemotherapy, HIPEC improves survival in patients with PC. This therapy has high morbidity rates (up to 41%). In vitro it has been demonstrated that hyperthermia has a toxic effect on malign cells. However, hyperthermia also affects normal tissue. To my knowledge, any additional effect of hyperthermia combined with chemotherapy has never been demonstrated in a clinical setting. In this study, the effects of hyperthermia on outcome and survival were analyzed.

Methods: Patients with PC from any origin who were treated with HIPEC were included in this retrospective, non-randomized study. Data on patient characteristics, tumor characteristics, features of the surgery and postoperative complications were extracted from patient files. Models predicting time to removal of nasogastric tube (TRNT), post-operative major complications, the occurrence of anastomotic leaks and post-operative survival were built, using negative binomial regression, logistic regression or Cox proportional hazards regression as appropriate.

Results: 138 patients treated with HIPEC were included. Maximal temperature during the operation was not statistically significantly associated with anastomotic leaks or post-operative major complications. Maximal temperature during the operation was negatively associated with post-operative survival (P=0.01).

Conclusion: The results suggest that hyperthermia may negatively affect survival in patients who are treated with HIPEC for PC of various origins. This study has the classical limitations of a retrospective study. Therefore, randomized trials are required to confirm the results.
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**Introduction**

Peritoneal carcinomatosis (PC) occurs in 5% of patients with colorectal carcinoma and in patients with FIGO (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics) stage III and IV ovarian cancer. PC can occur synchronous with the primary tumor or as a relapse (metachronous). Survival rates in patients with PC are rather poor. The median survival with standard chemotherapy is 50 and 23 months for PC of ovarian and colorectal origin, respectively. When treated with hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC), survival rates of patients with PC of ovarian and colorectal origin increased to 66 and 30 months, respectively.

There is an increasing interest in the use of locoregional antineoplastic drug therapy in patients with PC. The benefit of intraperitoneal chemotherapy arises from the existence of a peritoneal-plasma barrier. This barrier allows the local administration of higher doses of chemotherapy while minimizing systemic side effects. Cytotoxicic drugs penetrate only a few millimeters into tumor tissue. Oxaliplatin is rapidly absorbed intracellularly, as a result of its lipophilic structure. Combined, these features make oxaliplatin a logical choice for local administration.

Oxaliplatin is recognized as a standard adjuvant treatment in colorectal cancer. Promising results were also demonstrated in ovarian cancer, gastric cancer and malignant mesothelioma. Oxaliplatin is rapidly absorbed intracellularly, as a result of its lipophilic structure. Combined, these features make oxaliplatin a logical choice for local administration.

Hyperthermic perfusions are used because hyperthermia stimulates apoptosis in tumor cells. Recently it was demonstrated that hyperthermia increases the peritoneal oxaliplatin concentration while reducing systemic absorption. However hyperthermia also induces apoptosis in normal cells and affects the healing of anastomosis. Furthermore, it was demonstrated in a rat model of PC of colorectal origin that hyperthermia did not increase survival compared to normothermic intraperitoneal treatment. To my knowledge, any additional effect of hyperthermia combined with intraperitoneal chemotherapy compared to intraperitoneal chemotherapy alone has not been demonstrated.

Although in a recent trial the preoperative level of functioning was reached three to six months after surgery, the morbidity rates described in patients after HIPEC are rather high (up to 41%). The role of hyperthermia in the improved survival is not clear, and it is possible that the morbidity may be (partially) a result of the hyperthermia.

The aim of this study was to identify the impact of the temperature of the perfusate on post-operative ileus, major post-operative complications, the occurrence of anastomotic leaks and post-operative survival.

**Materials and methods**

This is a retrospective, non-randomized study. By the retrospective and anonymised nature of the study, no informed consent of the patients was required. The study included patients from one university hospital. All patients that presented with resectable PC from any origin were eligible for inclusion. Patients with primary PC were included, as well as patients with metachronous PC. Electronic patient files were reviewed and the following data were extracted: age at the time of the operation, gender, body mass index (BMI), duration of anesthesia, time to removal of nasogastric tube (TRNT, measured from the day of operation), duration of stay in intensive care unit (ICU), duration of total hospital stay, 30-days mortality, post-operative complications, maximal perfusate temperature (Tmax), and area under the temperature curve (AUC) as a measure of total temperature. Analyses of biochemistry and cell count were carried out on blood samples taken on the last day before and the first day after HIPEC. White blood cell count, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and gamma-glutamyltransferase (GT) were registered. TRNT was used as a measurement of the duration of post-operative ileus.

The temperature of the perfusate was measured in three locations: left and right in the upper abdomen, and in the pelvis. AUC was calculated separately for each registration location with a data summary model for repeated measures (baseline = 0) in Medcalc™ 12.5.0 (MedCalc Software, Acacialaan 22, B-8400 Ostend, Belgium.) The mean AUC over the three locations was used in this study. The unit of AUC is °C*minute.

The full dataset is provided in the accompanying Data File. Blanks in these tables represent missing data.

**Hyperthermic perfusion**

Patients were placed in modified Lloyd Davies position and the upper body covered with a heating blanket (Bair Hugger, Arizant Healthcare Inc., Eden Prairie, MN, USA). Cytoreductive surgery aimed to remove all resectable implants of tumor while preserving the patient’s quality of life. Following verification of resectability and absence of undetected metastatic disease, the entire colon was mobilized starting from the ileocolic region working towards the left. The major omentum was removed en bloc with the affected colon whenever it was involved in the disease process. The spleen, or pancreatic tail were included in the specimen when affected by cancer. A peritonectomy of the diaphragm was performed according to a previously described method. When required, the tendinous part of the diaphragm was partially resected. Following the...
resections in the upper abdomen, tumor tissue was removed from the pelvis. After that, the serosal surfaces covering the small bowel and mesentery were cleared from tumor tissue by a combination of tumorectomy, wedge resection, or segmental resection as required. At least 150 cm of small bowel had to be preserved. An open abdomen method was used for the administration of the intraperitoneal chemoperfusion, as described previously. The skin was sutured to a retractor frame placed over the abdomen. A plastic hood was positioned over the frame in order to allow the evacuation of vapor escaping from the abdominal cavity. Two Tenkhoftype inflow drains and three multiperforated outflow drains connected to a roller pump were used for chemoperfusion. The drains were placed in the pelvis, right upper abdomen and left upper abdomen. A heat exchanger was placed along the drains in order to maintain the required temperature. Hypothermia (34°C) was maintained prior to the start of chemoperfusion. Central temperature was monitored with an esophageal temperature probe. Abdominal temperature was monitored by means of three thermocouple probes placed left and right in the upper abdomen, and in the pelvis.

Prior to chemoperfusion, intravenous chemotherapy, consisting of folate 20 mg/m² followed by a 5-fluorouracil 400 mg/m² in 250 ml of saline perfusion over 1 hour, was administered to non-ovarian cancer patients according to standard procedures. Oxaliplatin (460 mg/m²) was added to the perfusion circuit when the abdominal temperature reached the set temperature. The duration of the chemoperfusion was 30 minutes. The abdominal cavity was not washed, in order to retain the efficacy of remaining drug. After the chemoperfusion, the abdomen was closed in layers.

Statistical analysis
The primary end-point of this study was overall survival. Overall survival was measured from the day of surgery till death. Patients who were alive at the last contact moment were censored at the date of last contact.

The secondary end-points were major complications, the occurrence of anastomotic leaks and TRNT. TRNT was a proxy variable for post-operative ileus. Univariate relations between Tmax or AUC and stay at ICU, total hospital stay, post-operative white blood cell count, AST, ALT and γ-GT as independent variables. Backwards stepwise selection was used for model building. Statistical significance was assumed when P<0.05.

Results
From July 2005 until February 2011, 138 patients were treated with oxaliplatin-based HIPEC in a tertiary center. Demographic data are illustrated in Table 1. Mean age was 59 years, ranging from 17 to 82 years (Figure 1). Forty-four percent of the patients were males. Nearly 60% of the patients presented with PC originating from colorectal cancer. Ovarian cancer and pseudomyxoma peritonei were the second and third most frequent cause of the PC, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (mean, years)</th>
<th>59</th>
<th>17–82*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male / Female (%)</td>
<td>44/56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (mean)</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>16.8–34.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronous / Metachronous disease (%)</td>
<td>35/65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomyxoma peritonei</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Distribution of age (years). Histogram of frequencies of ages of the included patients.
Details of the surgery are illustrated in Table 2. The mean anaesthesia time was nearly 10 h, ranging from 4 to 18 h and with a standard deviation of 2.8 h (Figure 2). On average, the maximal temperature was 40.5°C and the area under the temperature curve was 1340.63°C*minute (Figure 3 and Figure 4).

Outcome of surgery is summarized in Table 3. Two patients (1.4%) died within 30 days after the operation. Due to the small number of events the influence of AUC and maximal temperature on 30 days mortality could not be examined. Twenty-six patients needed reoperation. Reasons for reoperation were anastomotic leak (fourteen patients), intra-abdominal bleeding (five patients),

### Table 2. Details of surgery (Mean and Standard deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating time (minutes)*</th>
<th>579</th>
<th>166</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of anastomoses (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak temperature (°C)*</td>
<td>40.510</td>
<td>1.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC*</td>
<td>1340.63</td>
<td>91.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Outcome of surgery (* % of patients with at least one anastomosis ** Data represent median and range).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30-day mortality n (%)</td>
<td>2 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major complications n (%)</td>
<td>38 (27.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anastomotic leaks n (%)</td>
<td>16 (18.0)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoperation rate n (%)</td>
<td>26 (18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median ICU stay (days)</td>
<td>2 (0–87)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>18 (3–169)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

perforation of the stomach (one patient), subobstruction (one patient), wound infection (one patient), bladder leak (one patient), and abdominal collection (three patients). In one patient, scald injuries were found during reoperation. Eighty-nine patients had at least one anastomosis, resulting in 155 anastomoses. Anastomotic leak occurred in sixteen patients. However, neither AUC nor Tmax was related to anastomotic leaks (P=0.68 and P=0.67, respectively) or major complications (P=0.50 and P=0.20, respectively).

A logistic regression model assessing the relation between several predictors and the occurrence of anastomotic leaks was fitted (Table 4). Longer operation time, a high number of anastomoses and post-operative leukocyte count were associated with the occurrence of leaks. Two variables describing the temperature during the operation were included in the model: Tmax and AUC. Both were close to significance. However, the effects of these variables were going in opposite directions: increasing AUC was associated with the occurrence of leaks, while increasing Tmax was associated with no leaks.

The number of anastomoses and the total operation time were associated with the occurrence of major complications (Table 5). Tmax and AUC were not related to the occurrence of major complications.

On average, patients stayed 4 days in the ICU (median 2, range 1 to 87, Figure 5). The total hospital stay was 27 days on average (median 18, range 3 to 169, Figure 6). The relationship between stay at ICU and Tmax or AUC is illustrated in Figure 7 and Figure 8, respectively. From these figures, it seems that patients with an extremely long stay at the ICU were treated at higher temperatures. In terms of the total hospital stay, there were fewer outliers and the duration was more evenly spread as a function of Tmax and AUC (Figure 9 and Figure 10).

On average, the nasogastric tube was removed after 7 days (median 5, range 0 to 77). The time to removal of the nasogastric tube seemed to remain constant with increasing temperature (Figure 11 and Figure 12). A model predicting the time to removal of nasogastric tube was fitted (Table 6). Four of the assessed variables turned out to be significantly related to TRNT: sex, Tmax, operation time and post-operative leukocyte count. On average, removal of the nasogastric tube was sooner after the operation in females than in males. With increasing maximal temperature, the expected TRNT decreased. Increasing post-operative leukocyte count and operation time was associated with an increased expected TRNT.

Median survival was 23 and 27 months in patients with PC from colorectal and ovarian origin, respectively (Table 7). In univariate analysis Tmax was significantly associated with hazard of death (P=0.042), while AUC was not significantly associated to this hazard (P=1.117) (Table 8).

A model predicting the hazard of death was built. Four of the considered variables turned out to be significantly related to this hazard: tumor type, sex, maximal temperature and operation time (Table 9). The expected hazard ratio for an increase of 1°C in maximal temperature was 1.6, with the other variables in the model kept at fixed values. The predicted survival curves from this model are presented in Figure 13 and Figure 14.
Figure 5. Distribution of stay at ICU (days). Histogram of frequencies of stay at ICU in the included patients.

Figure 6. Distribution of total hospital stay (days). Histogram of frequencies of duration of hospitalization in the included patients.

Figure 7. Stay at ICU (days) versus maximal temperature (°C). Scatterplot showing the relation between stay at ICU and Tmax.

Figure 8. Stay at ICU (days) versus area under the curve (°C*minutes). Scatterplot showing the relation between stay at ICU and AUC.
Table 6. Model predicting the logarithm of time to removal of nasogastric tube (TRNT) (dispersion parameter 0.3 (95% CI 0.2 to 0.5), scaled deviance 94.9 on 88 degrees of freedom).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.2 to 16.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.6 to -0.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmax</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.4 to -0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.0003 to 0.0020</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative leukocyte count</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.008 to 0.061</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Survival (in months).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary tumor</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22–36</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15–31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16–44</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0–55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Cox regression.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>95%,0% CI for Exp(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.994–1.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmax</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>1.306</td>
<td>1.010–1.688</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following analysis was not initially planned. An additional model predicting the hazard of death was built to evaluate the whether or not blood concentration of sodium, potassium, glucose, lactate, and pO₂ or pCO₂ during the operation were significantly related to post-operative survival. The variables from the first model were included as well. Tumor type, operation time, sex and lactate concentration in the blood were significantly associated with hazard of death (Table 10). The predicted survival curves from this model are presented in Figure 15. Tmax was not significantly associated with the hazard of death after blood lactate concentration was included in the model. This is probably due to high correlation between Tmax and lactate concentration (Figure 16).

Influence of perfusion temperature during hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemoperfusion on post-operative outcome and survival
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Discussion

In selected patients, cytoreductive surgery combined with hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemoperfusion (HIPEC) results in a better survival compared with systemic chemotherapy. Major complications occur frequently in the post-operative period. Hyperthermia
Figure 11. Time to removal of nasogastric tube (TRNT) (days) versus Tmax (°C). Scatterplot showing the relation between TRNT and Tmax.

Figure 12. Time to removal of nasogastric tube (TRNT) (days) versus area under the curve (°C*minutes). Scatterplot showing the relation between TRNT and AUC.

Figure 13. Estimated survival (days) curves for patients with PC from colorectal origin. 1: males, 37°C; 2: males, 42°C; 3: females, 37°C; 4: females, 42°C. All at 579 minutes operation time (mean).
Table 9. Model predicting the logarithm of the hazard of death (HR: Hazard ratio; SE: Standard error).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor type</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMP</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ovarian</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmax</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10. Model predicting the logarithm of the hazard of death, after inclusion of metabolic variables (HR: Hazard ratio; SE: Standard error).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor type</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMP</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ovarian</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14. Estimated survival (days) curves for patients with PC from ovarian origin. 1: 37°C; 2: 42°C. All at 579 minutes operation time (mean).
Figure 15. Estimated survival (days) curves for patients with PC from colorectal origin. 1: males, 37°C; 2: males, 40°C; 3: males, 42°C; 4: females, 37°C; 5: females, 40°C; 6: females, 42°C. All at 579 minutes operation time (mean) and 9.9 lactate mmol/l (mean).

is a possible risk factor for reduced anastomotic healing\textsuperscript{16–18}. There is currently no evidence for better survival in patients treated with hyperthermic chemoperfusion compared to normothermic chemoperfusion. The aim of this study was to analyze the effects of hyperthermia on post-operative survival and on the post-operative complications.

The data presented here show a statistically significant association between increasing temperature during the perfusion and decreasing post-operative survival. More precisely, the expected hazard ratio is 1.6 times as large for an increase of 1 degree in the temperature of the perfusion.

Maximal temperature was related to TRNT, with shorter TRNT for higher temperatures. The relation of temperature to the occurrence of anastomotic leaks was ambivalent. However, the results indicate the possibility of a negative effect of increasing temperatures on the occurrence of anastomotic leaks.

Survival analysis did show an inverse relation between Tmax and post-operative survival both in univariate and multivariate analyses. Previously, in an animal model of PC a negative relation between survival and high temperature was also suggested as well\textsuperscript{19}.

The present study has several limitations. First it is not randomized. Hyperthermia is the standard for intraperitoneal chemoperfusion. The temperature was adjusted to the clinical status of the patients. Normothermic chemoperfusion was administered in patients with important comorbidity. This may cause a bias. However, survival is worse in patients treated with perfusate with a higher temperature although these were the patients with less comorbidity. Second, it is a retrospective study; therefore the data were not acquired in a standardized way which again is a possible source of biases.

Given these limitations, it is too early for a final conclusion on the relationship between hyperthermia and survival in the setting of HIPEC. However, the significantly worse survival in patients treated with hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy HIPEC raises important concerns about the safety of this method. Although, in selected patients, the results of HIPEC seems to be better than standard therapy\textsuperscript{2,4}, treatment can possibly be further
improved by reducing the temperature during the chemoperfusion to body temperature (i.e. 37–38°C). Future research on HIPEC should focus on two aspects of the treatment. First, randomized studies comparing normothermic to hyperthermic chemoperfusion are needed. Second, the causal mechanism of the worse survival in patients treated with hyperthermic chemoperfusion should be clarified.
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Figure 16. Blood lactate concentration (mmol/l) versus maximal temperature (°C). Scatterplot showing the relation between blood lactate concentration and Tmax.
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The author evaluated the correlation of temperature during HIPEC with short-term surgical and long term oncologic outcomes in patients affected by several types of peritoneal carcinomatosis. The idea is interesting, the paper reasonably well-written, and the analysis conducted meticulously.

The temperature was appraised using two parameters: Tmax and AUC.
- The first caveat of the paper is Tmax. If there is a possibility of tissue damage exerted by the heat, the negative effect depends not only on the level of temperature but also on the duration of the exposure to hyperthermic conditions. Under 43°C it is hard to observe significant tissue damage if the exposure does not last more than 30 minutes, according to experimental data. Therefore, in my opinion, this parameter is not suitable and unreliable to achieve the objectives of the study.
- The second weak point is the survival analysis. Important parameters such as PCI, completeness of cytoreduction, tumor grade, lymph node status (for colon cancer), and whether the tumor is primary or relapsing, the platinum sensitivity (for ovarian cancer) were not considered in the cox model. Moreover, in figure 13 the events occurred at the same time points from the surgery in all four subsets. Is that a coincidence? In the figure 14 groups 1 and 2 combined had 46 events (deaths). How could you explain if the total number of ovarian cases were 16 cases?
- The tables outlining logistic regression analysis could be better presented by changing the Estimates by Odds Ratio. Remember, \( OR = \exp(B) = e^{\text{estimate}} \)

My advice is to reconsider the Tmax and survival analysis. Even though these parts contain the only significant correlations, I myself would take them out from the study. The correlations with short-term surgical outcome is sufficiently interesting to justify the publication.
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This article is investigating the role of perfusion temperature on postoperative outcome and survival of patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis. The idea is interesting, however, the presented data does not support the conclusions.

The analysis was retrospective, the time interval very long, monocentric with a bias regarding surgeons, used technique and chemotherapy regime (mixed patients with HIPEC alone or plus intravenous 5FU).

The postoperative outcome is determined mainly by the extent of surgery and critical anastomosis and less by HIPEC itself. This has been shown by several published data. The TRNT is influenced by even more other factors. The causality relation between the maximal temperature and survival has not been demonstrated. Moreover, other significant prognostic factors e.g. peritoneal cancer index, completeness of cytoreduction, histologic subtype etc have not been evaluated. Out of any context, temperature may play in the statistical analysis a role but the clinical interpretation of the results should be very careful. For instance, who were the patients having maximal temperature during HIPEC: was this group having a high tumor load with a high Peritoneal Cancer Index?, a more difficult resection, were they co-morbid etc.

In my opinion, this manuscript is confusing rather than helpful. We know from other hyperthermia studies that efficacy is affected by every °C – this sounds more reasonable to me.
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I am fully aware of the limitations due to the retrospective nature of the study. The intention of the study was to be a pilot study concerning the effects of hyperthermia in the context of HIPEC. The study does not claim to be able to draw any final conclusions on a causal relation between hyperthermia and post-operative survival. However, a negative correlation between those variables was observed. As it is not possible to make any final inference about this matter based on this study, further randomized studies should be conducted in order to confirm or disprove the results from this study.

As mentioned in the article, the patients treated with lower temperatures, were the patients with higher co-morbidity. Nevertheless, these patients had a longer survival in the studied population. Therefore, it could be expected that in a randomized study, the negative effect would be even bigger.

I would be grateful for references to articles studying the effects of hyperthermia on post-operative outcome.
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This article certainly made me sit up and think. The finding of an adverse effect of $T_{\text{max}}$ during HIPEC on long term survival is certainly very challenging. The science of the effects of hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemoperfusion is far from solid, but we have achieved previously unachievable survival results by using it. If this article is correct, we are harming our patients by using heat. I do not have the best statistical brain in the world, but the number of patients is small and for example there are only 21/138 patients with a maximum temperature of <39.5°C and only 10/138 with a temperature >41.5°C. The model used in figures 13 and 14 may well be valid but when there are only one or two patients with a $T_{\text{max}}$ of 37°C it is not appropriate to plot a survival curve. The lactate data is interesting because it provides a clear illustration that a biologically important measure is being affected by temperature.

This paper creates more questions than answers but is clearly a stimulus to others to examine their data.
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One of the referees has requested that extra data plots should be made available as part of the article; two additional plots were created.

The first one shows the actual survival curve (with 95% CI) for all 11 patients treated with maximal temperature below 39°C.

The second one shows the actual survival curve (with 95% CI) for 5 patients treated with maximal temperature below 39°C and primary tumour of colorectal origin.

The plots and data from which they were generated can be found here: http://testbc.figshare.com/verhulst/
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