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Abstract
Various strategies have been implemented to improve the outcomes of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL). In recent years, remarkable advances have been achieved, based on the discovery of cell-of-origin in DLBCL and on more effective targeted agents. This commentary will summarize recent updates on the evolution of frontline therapies for DLBCL, focusing on the upcoming promising frontline chemotherapy platforms and on activated B-cell subtype DLBCL and double-hit DLBCL.
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**Introduction**

Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is the most common subtype of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Therapeutic advances have been achieved in DLBCL with the addition of the anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody rituximab to cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (R-CHOP)\(^1\). Despite this, about one-third of patients with DLBCL do not achieve durable remission and develop relapsed/refractory disease\(^1\). Various strategies have been implemented to improve the outcomes of DLBCL. In this review, we summarize recent updates on the evolution of frontline therapies for DLBCL, focusing on the development of more effective chemotherapy platforms and on subtype-specific therapy.

**Toward more effective chemotherapy platforms beyond R-CHOP**

**R-ACVBp (rituximab, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vindesine, bleomycin, and prednisone)**

The Groupe d’Etude des Lymphomes de l’Adulte investigated increased dose-intensity approaches to improve on R-CHOP. They showed that intensified immunochemotherapy with R-ACVBp significantly improved the survival of patients\(^2\). The primary endpoint was event-free survival (EFS). After a median follow-up of 44 months, 3-year estimates of EFS were 81% (95% confidence interval [CI] 75–86) in the R-ACVBp group and 67% (95% CI 59–73) in the R-CHOP group (hazard ratio [HR] 0.56, 95% CI 0.38–0.83, \(P=0.0035\)). Three-year overall survival (OS) (92% [87–95] versus 84% [77–89], HR 0.44 [0.28–0.81], \(P=0.0071\)) was also increased in the R-ACVBp group. The R-ACVBp group showed significantly increased but manageable grade 3–4 hematological toxicities, with a proportion of febrile neutropenia of 38% (75/196) compared with 9% (16/183) in the R-CHOP group\(^2\). However, we should interpret these positive results for R-ACVBp with caution for the following reasons. First, some of the drugs in the regimen are not available in all countries and testing of substitute drugs or modifications would be needed in real-world practice. Second, the inclusion criteria were limited to patients 18–59 years old and to patients with an age-adjusted international prognostic index (IPI) of 1. These inclusion criteria are not really applicable for the majority of patients with DLBCL. R-ACVBp was the first intensified multidrug regimen to improve on R-CHOP as a first-line treatment for DLBCL in a randomized phase III trial and R-ACVBp should be strongly considered in a substantial proportion of fit patients with curable DLBCL. However, the drawbacks mentioned above restrict its potential as a universal first-line platform for DLBCL treatment.

**DA-EPOCH-R (dose-adjusted etoposide, prednisone, vincristine, cyclophosphamide, and doxorubicin with rituximab)**

Several international groups investigated another increased dose-intensity regimen, DA-EPOCH-R\(^3\). The National Cancer Institute group showed that the progression-free survival (PFS) and OS at 5 years were 79% and 80%, respectively, and suggested that DA-EPOCH-R was a promising first-line treatment for DLBCL, especially in low- and intermediate-IPI groups\(^1\). The Cancer and Leukemia Group B reported that time to progression (TTP) and OS rates were 81% and 84% at 5-years, respectively, with a median follow-up of 62 months and showed that DA-EPOCH-R provided highly durable remissions in both germinal center B-cell (GCB) and non-GCB subtypes\(^1\). Most recently, the Spanish Programa Español de Tratamientos en Hematología group reported 10-year follow-up data showing a good long-term outcome and a tolerable toxicity profile of DA-EPOCH-R in high-risk large B-cell lymphoma patients (IPI higher than 2 or age-adjusted IPI higher than 1)\(^1\). Based on these promising results and favorable toxicity profiles, a randomized phase III study comparing DA-EPOCH-R with R-CHOP (NCT00118209) has completed patient recruitment. The primary endpoint is EFS and results are pending.

**Optimization of rituximab administration**

Further intensification of chemotherapy may not be feasible in older patients, so further intensification of rituximab appears to be an attractive strategy because of its wide therapeutic window. The Deutsche Studiengruppe Hochmaligne Non-Hodgkin Lymphome (DSHNHL) group assumed that early dose densification of rituximab in combination with CHOP-14 might be beneficial and tested a schedule of administration of 375 mg/m\(^2\) rituximab on days 0, 1, 4, 8, 15, 22, 29, 43, 57, 71, 85, and 99, combined with six cycles of CHOP-14, comparing this with eight 2-week applications of rituximab plus the same chemotherapy schedule (DENSE-R-CHOP-14 trial). Unfortunately, although dose-dense rituximab achieved higher rituximab serum levels, it was not more effective than eight 2-week applications, even though there were minor improvements in outcomes for male patients with a poor prognosis\(^3\). The DSHNHL group also tested whether prolonged rituximab exposure might improve the efficacy of R-CHOP. They administered 375 mg/m\(^2\) rituximab on days -4, 0, 10, 29, 57, 99, 155, and 239, together with six cycles of R-CHOP-14 (SMARTER-R-CHOP-14 trial). Interestingly, they reported that compared with eight 2-week applications, extended rituximab exposure significantly improved the outcomes of older poor-prognosis patients without increasing toxicities\(^3\). The superiority of the SMARTER approach will be tested in an ongoing phase III trial using an optimized schedule of rituximab and liposomal vincristine (OPTIMAL>60 trial, NCT01478542) and the primary endpoint of the study is PFS. In previous trials of DLBCL, elderly male patients demonstrated significantly lower rituximab serum levels and worse outcomes than those in elderly female patients. The randomized phase II SEXIE-R-CHOP-14 trial was designed to test the administration of increased doses of rituximab in elderly male patients with DLBCL\(^10\). Increasing rituximab dose from 375 mg/m\(^2\) to 500 mg/m\(^2\) eliminated the increased risk of elderly male patients, showing that PFS rates after a period of 3 years were 74% in males and 68% in females (\(P=0.396\)) and the 3-year OS rates were 82% and 72%, respectively (\(P=0.111\)\(^10\)). In terms of maintenance therapy, a recent large multicenter phase III trial (NHLL13 trial) including 662 DLBCL patients showed that rituximab maintenance therapy during the first remission does not significantly alter the outcome for patients, showing that 3-year estimates of EFS (the primary endpoint) at 3 years is 80% for rituximab maintenance versus 77% for observation (HR 0.79 [0.57–1.08], \(P=0.1433\)) and OS also remains unchanged (92% versus 90%)\(^1\). However, 10% EFS and PFS benefit of rituximab maintenance in subgroup analysis of male patients appears to be worthy of further investigation.
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**ABC-subtype DLBCL**

ABC-subtype DLBCL is characterized by chronic active BCR
signaling, constitutive MYD88 signaling, and subsequent NF-xB
pathway, AKT/mTOR pathway, and interferon pathway
activation\textsuperscript{15–17,29}. The ABC subtype is associated with the worst
outcome among COO subtypes of DLBCL when treated with
standard R-CHOP chemotherapy. Therefore, the majority of
clinical trials of novel agents in combination with R-CHOP as
front-line treatment of DLBCL that are currently underway are
specifically targeting the ABC subtype of DLBCL.

**Ibrutinib plus R-CHOP.** Constitutively activated signaling through
BCR and its associated protein tyrosine kinases (such as Bruton’s
tyrosine kinase [BTK]) play a crucial role in the development and
survival of malignant B-cells, including having involvement in the
pathogenesis of the ABC subtype of DLBCL\textsuperscript{29}. A phase 1/2 trial
of ibrutinib (BTK inhibitor) has shown selective activity against
ABC-subtype DLBCL\textsuperscript{30}. A phase Ib trial of ibrutinib plus R-CHOP
showed that ibrutinib and R-CHOP did not affect each other’s
pharmacokinetics, that ibrutinib is well tolerated when added to
R-CHOP, and that all 18 patients with DLBCL who received the
recommended phase 2 dose had an overall response\textsuperscript{30}. Based on
these data, a randomized, double-blind, phase III trial (PHOENIX,
NCT01855750) comparing ibrutinib plus R-CHOP with placebo
plus R-CHOP in newly diagnosed non-GCB-subtype DLBCL has
completed patient recruitment. The primary endpoint of the study
is EFS and the results are pending.

**Lenalidomide plus R-CHOP.** Lenalidomide is an orally active
immunomodulatory drug that has direct antineoplastic activity plus
indirect effects mediated through multiple types of immune cells
found in the tumor microenvironment, including B-, T-, natural
killer, and dendritic cells\textsuperscript{32}. The antineoplastic effects of lenalido-
mide in ABC-subtype DLBCL were associated with direct targeting
of IRF-4, leading to downregulation of NF-xB pathway activity
and augmentation of the interferon pathway\textsuperscript{23,33}. Recently, the results
of two phase II trials of lenalidomide plus R-CHOP in DLBCL
were published. An Italian group (REAL07 trial) reported an over-
all response rate (ORR) of 92% (CR 86%, PR 6%) and 2-year PFS
and OS rates of 80% (95% CI 64–89) and 92% (95% CI 79–97),
cases fall into the categories of DLBCL, or B-cell lymphoma, unclassifiable, with features intermediate between DLBCL and Burkitt lymphoma. Virtually all double-hit DLBCLs are GCB subtype. Double-hit DLBCL showed a very aggressive clinical course and had a dismal prognosis when treated with standard R-CHOP treatment. Notably, DHLs or triple-hit lymphomas are classified in the new category of "high-grade B-cell lymphoma (HGBL), with rearrangements of MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6" in the 2016 updated WHO classification. "Double-expressor" lymphomas are defined based on IHC stains with MYC and BCL2 staining in more than a specified proportion of tumor cells. Most double-expressor DLBCLs are found within the ABC subtype of DLBCL. Double-expressor DLBCL also has an inferior outcome compared with classical DLBCL and has an intermediate outcome between double-hit DLBCL and classical DLBCL. However, we should interpret these results with caution owing to the retrospective nature of these data.

**DA-EPOCH-R.** Currently, the optimal frontline chemotherapy in double-hit DLBCL is not well defined. Previous retrospective analysis has suggested that DA-EPOCH-R could be a viable option in double-hit DLBCL because it improved ORR and PFS compared with R-CHOP. Recently, Howlett et al. reported a meta-analysis comparing R-CHOP, DA-EPOCH-R, and dose-intensive (DI) regimens (R-Hyper-CVAD and R-CODOX-M/IVAC). They showed that the median PFS for the R-CHOP (n=180), R-EPOCH (n=91), and DI (n=123) groups were 12.1, 22.2, and 18.9 months, respectively. First-line treatment with R-EPOCH significantly reduced the risk of progression compared with R-CHOP treatment. Promising preliminary results have been reported for a phase II study (NCT01092182) of DA-EPOCH-R in MYC-rearranged aggressive B-cell lymphomas, which showed that the PFS, TTP, and OS were 79%, 86%, and 77%, respectively, at a median follow-up of 14 months. Further analysis of these data with longer follow-up is planned.

**Novel agents.** The two major strategies for novel agents in DHLs are 1) modulating the transcription of MYC, BCL2, or BCL6 and 2) targeting MYC, BCL2, or BCL6 proteins. For modulation of the transcription of MYC, BCL2, or BCL6, epigenetics-based treatment with diverse BET bromodomain inhibitors may show promise (BAY1238097 [NCT02369029], CPI-0610 [NCT01949883], OTX015 [NCT01713582], and JQ1). For targeting the proteins, BCL2 inhibitors (navitoclax and venetoclax) and a MYC-targeting aurora A kinase inhibitor (alisertib) show promise and warrant further evaluation.

**Conclusions**

We are at the threshold of an era of precision therapy for DLBCL, of which subtype-specific therapy would be the first step. Ongoing trials may in the near future change the current chemotherapy backbone R-CHOP and provide strategies for using combinations of novel agents with the chemotherapy backbone according to the molecular subtypes of DLBCL defined by GEP assay.
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