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**First-step coding**

## Construing basic research

Erosion of traditional idea of basic research

Emphasis on translation is negative

*Pressure to identify translational applications*

Biological vs Biomedical

*Collaboration*

*False dichotomy*

*MD vs PhD*

## Problems with current system

Corporate interests

Excess of PhD students

Funding allocation

*Research that today would not be funded*

*Credit system*

Hype

*Research Proposals (Hype)*

*Scientific journals*

## Constraints

Governance oversight

Funding

*Timing (Funding)*

*Bridge funding*

*Amount (Funding)*

*Security (Funding)*

Salaries

More time/space to do good research

Career opportunities

## Age/gender

Value of women

Ageism

## Ideas

Public understanding of science

*Feeding results back*

*Curriculum*

*Media*

Research proposals (reform)

## Science policy

Holistic view of science

Applications are unpredictable

"good" science